Orbital Radiotherapy in Graves' Ophthalmopathy for 1 week versus 2 weeks:

A Phase II Randomized Controlled Trial (ORaGO-1 Trial)

(NCT number N/A)

SiCOA 208/2024

Siriraj IRB Protocol Approval Date: March 15, 2024

Date of updated document: April 27 2024

Janjira Petsuksiri, M.D.
Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University
2 Wanglang Rd., Bangkok, Thailand
janjira.pet@mahidol.ac.th

Backgrounds

Graves' ophthalmopathy (GO) is an autoimmune disease primarily affecting orbital fibroblasts. The severity of GO is classified as mild, moderate to severe, or sight-threatening by the European Group of Graves' Orbitopathy (EUGOGO), based on subjective and objective criteria. Moderate to severe GO presents with specific signs, including eyelid retraction, soft tissue involvement, and exophthalmos. Disease activity is assessed using the Clinical Activity Score (CAS), with active GO defined as CAS ≥ 3. Treatment typically begins with intravenous methylprednisolone (IVMP), with orbital radiotherapy (RT) considered as a second-line option for non-responsive or intolerant patients. Low Dose Radiotherapy (LD-RT) is hypothesized to exert anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, and immunomodulatory effects. Studies have shown efficacy of combined LD-RT and IVMP in improving clinical severity. Concerns regarding long-term side effects and treatment access exist. Various RT dosing regimens have been explored, with differing effects on ocular symptoms (1-8). Our study aims to reduce radiation doses by minimizing fractions, aiming to maintain effectiveness while enhancing patient quality of life.

Study Objectives

This phase II prospective randomized controlled trial aims to compare standard orbital radiotherapy fractionation (2 Gy per fraction, 1 fraction per day, total 20 Gy over 2 weeks) with reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy (2 Gy per fraction, 1 fraction per day, total of 10 Gy over 1 week) in combination with intravenous methylprednisolone (IVMP) for patients with active Grave's ophthalmopathy.

Primary Objective

To assess the non-inferiority of outcomes in terms of the proportion of patients transitioning from active (CAS > 4) to inactive eye disease (CAS <3) with a CAS drop > 2 points following orbital radiotherapy combined with IVMP, comparing standard dose and reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy.

Secondary Objectives

- 1. To compare the change in volume of extra-ocular muscles and retrobulbar fat after orbital radiotherapy, comparing standard with reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy
- 2. To evaluate the efficacy in reducing diplopia, by measuring the difference in prism deviation in primary gaze after standard and reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy
- 3. To assess differences in quality of life, using the Graves' ophthalmopathy quality of life (GO-QOL) scores (Thai version) after standard and reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy.

- 4. To compare the incidence of the RTOG radiation toxicities after standard and reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy.
- 5. To examine other ophthalmologic evaluations including visual acuity (VA) and visual fields (VF) between standard dose and reduced-dose orbital radiotherapy.

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Patient with active moderate to severe thyroid eye disease with a CAS \geq 4 with/ without diplopia before starting IVMP
- 2. Patient with poor response to 3 doses of IVMP (CAS drop \leq 1) or CAS score \geq 3 after 3 doses of IVMP
- 3. Patients with diplopia after 3 doses of IVMP
- 4. Patients with Graves' Ophthalmopathy who have maintained euthyroidism for a minimum of three months.
- 5. Non-pregnant adults (aged 35 years or older

Exclusion criteria

1. Individuals with severe hypertension or diabetic retinopathy

All patients required full written informed consent.

Withdrawal criteria

- 1. Graves' Ophthalmopathy with the potential to cause vision-threatening complications.
- 2. Severe complications, such as severe eye inflammation (Grade 3-4) or severe skin complications (Grade 3-4), as defined by RTOG criteria, or instances of complicating infections necessitating additional treatment.
- 3. Instances of intolerance will be treated according to the intention-to-treat principle.
- 4. Patients experiencing complications due to receiving IVMP.

Sample size calculation

The Standard improvement of eye symptoms, as reported in the literature, ranges from 62-85 % after treatment $^{(6,8)}$. During the protocol development phase, a one-sided approach was defined. The non-inferiority margin was set at 23%, providing 80% statistical power with a one-side α of 0.023. The total sample size calculated by Stata (College Station, TX, USA) was 136 eyes (68 eyes in each arm) and shown below. Considering an expected 15% loss follow-up rate, the estimated sample size would be 136 eyes (68 eyes per arm).

```
. power twoproportions 0.85 0.62, m1(2) m2(2) onesided
Estimated numbers of clusters for a two-sample proportions test
Cluster randomized design, Pearson's chi-squared test
H0: p2 = p1 versus Ha: p2 < p1

Study parameters:

alpha = 0.0500
power = 0.8000
delta = -0.2300 (difference)
p1 = 0.8500
p2 = 0.6200

Cluster design:

M1 = 2
M2 = 2
rho = 0.5000

Estimated numbers of clusters and sample sizes:

K1 = 34
K2 = 34
N1 = 68
N2 = 68
```

The research project is scheduled to span a total of 4 years, covering the entire project duration. The data collection period will also extend over this 4 year, and the researchers affirm the initial schedule.

Throughout the project, data for all N participants will be consistently gathered until the predetermined sample size is attained.

Statistical analysis plan

Primary outcome;

The proportion of changes from active to inactive eye disease.

- Proportion of CAS drop \geq 2 (Before IVMP week 24th (end of study)
- Using the Chi-squared test

Secondary outcomes

Using a mixed model or analyzed as repeated measurements over various time points.

The comparison of mean changes between the treatment groups will be conducted using the t-test.

- The measurement of the volume of extra-ocular muscles (9) and retro bulbar fat
- Assessment of diplopia (the difference in prism deviation in primary gaze)
- Assessment of Graves' ophthalmopathy Quality of life (Thai version) (11) scores
- Summation of radiation side effects scores (RTOG criteria) (10)
- Visual acuity (VA) and visual fields (VF) (mean deviation of Humphrey visual field)

Study Flow

week 0		 Diagnosis of Active moderate to severe Graves' ophthalmopathy Preparation of patients for the commencement of systemic high-dose corticosteroid therapy 	- Blood for CBC BUN Cr Electrolyte Anti- HIV - CAS Score - Eye examination - QOL
week 1	1 st IVMP	- administration of 500 mg IVMP	
week 2	2 nd IVMP	- administration of 500 mg IVMP	
week 3	3 rd IVMP	- administration of 500 mg IVMP	
Week 4	4 th IVMP	- administration of 500 mg IVMP- Evaluation of inclusion and exclusion criteria.- RT consultation	After the completion of three doses of steroids. - CAS Score - Eye examination
week 5	5 th IVMP	administration of 500 mg IVMPRe-evaluation of inclusion and exclusion criteria.CT SIMULATION for RT planning	- RT planning - Measure volume/diameter of extra- ocular muscles and retrobulbar fat
week 6	6 th IVMP	- administration of 500 mg IVMP - Randomization before starting radiation therapy	- CAS Score - Eye examination - QOL - Acute/Late RT Side effects
week 7	7 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP - RT completion ARM short course: 10 Gy/5F	- CAS Score - Eye examination - Acute/Late RT Side effects.
week 8	8 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP - RT completion ARM standard course: 20 Gy/10F	- CAS Score - Eye examination - Acute/Late RT Side effects
week 9	9 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP	
week 10	10 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP	

OraGo-1 Trial

week 11	11 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP	
week 12	12 th IVMP	- administration of 250 mg IVMP Stop IVMP	
week 13-14	-	-	-
week 15		1 st Follow up	CAS ScoreEye examinationQOLAcute/Late RT Side effects
week 16-23	-	-	-
week 24 END of the study		2 nd Follow up CT SIMULATION (Non-contrast)	- CAS Score - Eye examination - QOL - Measure volume/diameter of extraocular muscles and retro bulbar fat - Acute/Late RT Side effects

References

- 1. Hoang, T. D., Stocker, D. J., Chou, E., & Burch, H. B. (2022). 2022 Update on Clinical Management of Graves Disease and Thyroid Eye Disease. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America, 51(2), 287–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2021.12.004
- 2. Miguel, I. S., Arenas, M., Carmona, R., Rutllán, J., Medina-Rivero, F., & Lara, P. C. (2018). Review of the treatment of Graves' ophthalmopathy: The role of the new radiation techniques. Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology, 32(2), 139–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2017.09.003
- 3. Bartalena, L., Kahaly, G. J., Baldeschi, L., Dayan, C., Eckstein, A., Marcocci, C., Marinò, M., Vaidya, B., & Wiersinga, W. M. (2021). The 2021 European Group on Graves' orbitopathy (EUGOGO) clinical practice guidelines for the medical management of Graves' orbitopathy. European Journal of Endocrinology, 185(4), G43–G67. https://doi.org/10.1530/eje-21-0479
- 4. Marcocci, C., Bartalena, L., Bogazzi, F., Bruno-Bossio, G., Lepri, A., & Pinchera, A. (1991). Orbital radiotherapy combined with high dose systemic glucocorticoids for Graves' ophthalmopathy is more effective than radiotherapy alone: results of a prospective randomized study. Journal of endocrinological investigation, 14(10), 853–860. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03347943
- 4. Royò, L. T., Redondo, G. A., Pianetta, M. Á., & Prat, M. A. (2020). Low-dose radiation therapy for benign pathologies. Reports of Practical Oncology & Radiotherapy, 25(2), 250–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpor.2020.02.004
- 5. Abdus-Salam, A. A., Olabumuyi, A. A., Jimoh, M. A., Folorunso, S. A., & Orekoya, A. A. (2020). The role of radiation treatment in the management of inflammatory musculoskeletal conditions: a revisit. Radiation Oncology Journal, 38(3), 151–161. https://doi.org/10.3857/roj.2020.00178
- 6. Weissmann, T., Lettmaier, S., Donaubauer, A., Bert, C., Schmidt, M., Kruse, F. E., Ott, O. J., Hecht, M., Fietkau, R., Frey, B., & Putz, F. (2021). Low- vs. high-dose radiotherapy in Graves' ophthalmopathy: a retrospective comparison of long-term results. Strahlentherapie Und Onkologie. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00066-021-01770-9
- 7. Johnson, K., Wittig, A., Loesch, C., Esser, J., Sauerwein, W., & Eckstein, A. (2009). A retrospective study on the efficacy of total absorbed orbital doses of 12, 16 and 20 Gy combined with systemic steroid treatment in patients with Graves' orbitopathy. Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 248(1), 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-009-1214-3
- 8. Kahaly, G. J., Rösler, H., Pitz, S., & Hommel, G. (2000). Low- versus High-Dose Radiotherapy for Graves' Ophthalmopathy: A randomized, single blind trial. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 85(1), 102–108. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.85.1.6257

- 9. Kim, M., Chang, J. H., & Lee, N. K. (2019). Quantitative analysis of extraocular muscle volume and exophthalmos reduction after radiation therapy to treat Graves' ophthalmopathy: A pilot study. European Journal of Ophthalmology, 31(2), 340–345. https://doi.org/10.1177/1120672119873841
- 10. Cox, J. D., Stetz, J. A., & Pajak, T. F. (1995). Toxicity criteria of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) and the European organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC). International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 31(5), 1341–1346. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-3016(95)00060-c
- 11. Lumyongsatien, M., Keeratidamkerngsakul, B., Pornpanich, K., Vangveeravong, S., Saonanon, P., Wiwatwongwana, D., Mahaisavariya, P., Aryasit, O., & Pongpirul, K. (2019). Development and psychometric properties of the Thai Graves' ophthalmopathy quality of life (GO-QOL) questionnaire. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-019-0164-8
- 12. Marcocci C, Bartalena L, Bogazzi F, Bruno-Bossio G, Lepri A, Pinchera A. Orbital radiotherapy combined with high dose systemic glucocorticoids for Graves' ophthalmopathy is more effective than radiotherapy alone: results of a prospective randomized study. J Endocrinol Invest [Internet]. 1991;14(10):853–60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf03347943

Initial CAS Score item 1-7				
1.Pain or pressure in a periorbital or retroorbital distribution	☐ YES	□ NO		
2.Pain with upward, downward, or lateral eye movement	☐ YES	□ NO		
3.Swelling of the eyelids	☐ YES	□ NO		
4.Redness of the eyelids	☐ YES	□ NO		
5.Conjunctival injection	☐ YES	□ NO		
6.Chemosis	☐ YES	□ NO		
7.Inflammation of the caruncle or plica	☐ YES	□ NO		
Total Score		/7		
Follow up after 1-3 months score items including 8-10				
8. increase of > 2 mm proptosis	☐ YES	□ NO		
9. decrease in uniocular ocular excursion in any one direction of > 8 degrees	☐ YES	□ NO		
10.decrease of acuity equivalent to 1 Snellen line	☐ YES	□ NO		
Total Score		/10		

Eye assessment				
Hertel's Exophthalmometry	REmm	LEmm		
Base :mm.				
VA	RE	LE		
Pinhole	RE	LE		
RAPD	RE Present /Absent	LE Present /Absent		
Color vision	RE Normal /Abnormal	LE Normal /Abnormal		
Motility	RE	LE		
	Ductions (degrees)	Ductions (degrees)		
	Restriction	Restriction		
Lid retraction	☐ YES	□NO		
Eyelid measurement	RE	LE		
	upper (MRD-1)mm	upper (MRD-1)mm		
	(inferior sclera show) mm	(inferior sclera show) mm		
	Lagophthalmosmm	Lagophthalmosmm		
Corneal stain	REsec.	LEsec.		

Radiological assessment

CT SIMULATION

	RE	LE
Extraocular muscle diameters	- Inferior rectuscm	- Inferior rectuscm
	- Medial rectuscm	- Medial rectuscm
	- Lateral rectuscm	- Lateral rectuscm
	- Superior groupcm	- Superior groupcm
Extraocular muscle volume	- Inferior rectuscm ³	- Inferior rectuscm ³
	- Medial rectuscm ³	- Medial rectuscm ³
	- Lateral rectuscm ³	- Lateral rectuscm ³
	- Superior groupcm ³	- Superior groupcm³
Proptosis	cm	cm
Retrobulbar fat volume	cm3	cm3

Grave's Ophthalmopathy Quality of Life (GO-QOL) (Thai version) (Lumyongsatien M

	0	1	2	3
	(never)	Seriously	A little	Not limited
		limited	limited	at all
Functioning				
1. Bicycling				
2. Driving				
3. Moving around the house				
4. Walking outside the house				
5. Reading				
6. Watching TV				
7. Enjoying hobby or pastime				
8. Prevented from doing what you want to do				
Appearance				
9. Changed physical appearance				
10. Stared at on the streets				
11. People have a negative reaction				
12. Influence on self-confidence				
13. Social isolation				
14. Effect on making friends				
15. Reluctance to be photographed				
16. Hide or conceal physical changes				
		Raw score _	Total S	core/100

Total score = (raw score - Y)/ (2 x Y) x 100

Y; number of completed question items

RTOG Radiation side effects

Tissue	Gr •0	Gr •1	Gr •2	Gr •3	Gr •4
Dry eye		☐ Asymptomatic	☐ Symptomatic moderate	☐ Symptomatic with	
	None	clinical or diagnostic	decrease in visual acuity	marked - decrease in	
		observations only;	(best corrected visual acuity	visual acuity , limiting	
		symptoms relieved	20/40 and better or 3 lines or	self-care ADL	
		by lubricants	less decreased vision from		
			known baseline)		
Eye pain		☐ Mild pain	☐ Moderate pain; limiting	☐ Severe pain; limiting	
	None		instrumental ADL	self-care ADL	
Keratitis		☐ Asymptomatic	☐Moderate decrease in	☐Symptomatic with	☐Perforation;
	None		visual acuity (best corrected	marked decrease in	best corrected
			visual acuity 20/40 and	visual acuity limiting self-	visual acuity of
			better or 3 lines or less	care ADL	20/200 or worse
			decreased vision from		in the affected
			known baseline)		eye
Eyelids		□Erythema	□Tender	☐Moist desquamation	☐ Visual loss
	None	☐Loss of eye	☐Bright erythema		
		lashes			
Conjunctiva		□Mild	☐Moderate conjunctivitis		☐ Visual loss
	None	conjunctivitis			
Lacrimal gland		☐ Increased	☐Need artificial tear		☐ Visual loss
system	None	Tearing			
Cornea		☐ Scleral injection	☐ Keratitis	Server keratitis with	☐ Visual loss
	None		☐ Need steroid / ATB	corneal ulcer	
IRIS			☐ Iritis		☐ Visual loss
	None		☐ Photophobia		
Skin		☐ Faint erythema,	☐ Bright erythema /dry	Confluent moist	Ulceration,
	None	mild tight skin	desquamation, Itchy	desquamation ,	hemorrhage,
			☐ Moist desquamation	Soreness with edema	Necrosis
Total scores/ 34					scores/ 34