

## Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP)

Study Title: Use of Various Types of Introducers in Conventional Radial Access  
(TIRE)

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier (NCT Number): NCT07572422

Document Date: 11 January 2019

Prepared by:

Principal Investigator: PhD, MD Aleksandr V. Bocharov

Institution: Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University

## **1. Introduction**

This Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) describes the pre-specified statistical methods and procedures that will be used to analyze data for the clinical trial entitled «Use of Various Types of Introducers in Conventional Radial Access (TIRE)».

## **2. Study Objective**

To compare different types of radial introducers used in conventional radial access by the frequency of complications in routine clinical practice.

## **3. Outcome Measures**

- compare the frequency of occurrence EASY Grade II hematoma between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender);
- compare the frequency of occurrence acute radial artery occlusion between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender);
- compare the frequency of occurrence radial artery perforation between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender);
- compare the frequency of occurrence radial artery false aneurysm between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender);
- compare the frequency of occurrence persistent radial artery spasm between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender);
- compare the frequency of occurrence total frequency of major complications between groups (Rad classic vs Rad polymer vs Rad slender).

## **4. Determining Sample Size and Randomization Methods**

The required sample size was calculated using the method of M. Bland for a high-precision study. The required significance level ( $\alpha$ ) was 0.001 and the statistical power was 95%. The expected rate of radial artery occlusion in the Rad, Rad polymer, and Rad slender groups was assumed to be 12%, 8%, and 8%, respectively. Under these conditions, the calculated sample size was 2723 patients. The planned loss during the study was 20%. Thus, the minimum sample size was 3268 patients.

The study had an experimental design and complied with the CONSORT protocol. A simple randomization method using a random-number generator was chosen. The type of randomization was permuted block randomization. The total block size was 3000, and the allocation ratio between the groups was 1:1:0.7.

## **5. Statistical Methods**

Results are presented as median with interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles) or as number of cases and percentage. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare quantitative data. Yates-corrected chi-square was used to compare qualitative variables. To assess the effect of a specific factor, relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was also calculated. Differences between groups were considered significant at  $p < 0.05$ . When a significant difference was obtained between indicators, the NNT index (number needed to treat to prevent 1 adverse event) was additionally calculated.

## **6. Interim Analyses**

No interim analyses are planned for this study.

## **7. Software**

Statistical analysis of the results was performed using Statistica version 13.3 (TIBCO Software Inc., 2017).

## **8. Approval**

This SAP was finalized and approved prior to database lock and commencement of statistical analyses.