

Reflow Medical Wingman Catheter Wing-IT Clinical Trial  
RFM-CTO-13001  
NCT03403426  
Document Date: 03-OCT-2018

## PROTOCOL SUMMARY

<b>Title:</b>	A Non-Randomized Study Evaluating the Use of the ReFlow Medical <b>Wingman</b> Catheter to Cross Chronic Total Occlusions in Infrainguinal Peripheral ArTeries (Wing-IT)
<b>Design:</b>	Prospective, multi-center, non-randomized single-arm study of the Wingman Catheter to cross a single infrainguinal peripheral chronic total occlusion (CTO). Safety and effectiveness will be evaluated during the index procedure through 30-day follow-up.
<b>Purpose:</b>	To evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the ReFlow Medical Wingman Catheter used to cross <i>de novo</i> or restenotic infrainguinal CTOs that cannot be crossed with a standard guidewire.
<b>Enrollment and Sites:</b>	A maximum of 85 patients will be enrolled and treated with the investigational device at up to twelve (12) centers in North America and three (3) centers in Europe.
<b>Time Course:</b>	Initial enrollment: Q1 2018 Last enrollment: Q1 2019 Last follow-up: Q1 2019
<b>Primary Efficacy and Safety Endpoints:</b>	<p><u>Primary Efficacy:</u> While using the Wingman device, successful CTO crossing is identified by successful guidewire placement in the distal true lumen confirmed by angiography with no clinically significant perforations.</p> <p><u>Primary Safety:</u> No evidence of significant in-hospital or 30-day MAEs. No evidence of clinically significant perforation, clinically significant embolization or <math>\geq</math> Grade C dissection, after Wingman CTO crossing and prior to adjunctive interventions, confirmed by angiography. Components of the composite primary safety endpoint include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Major Adverse Events (MAEs) defined as death, unplanned target limb major amputation, and emergent target vessel revascularization.</li><li>• Clinically significant perforation defined as all perforations requiring intervention (e.g., covered stent, bypass or other surgery)<sup>1</sup></li><li>• Clinically significant embolization defined as those events that result in distal ischemia (e.g., occlusion of run-off vessel</li></ul>

resulting in pain or foot discoloration) and/or requires rescue intervention.

- Grade C Dissection or greater with a minimum of a dissection protruding outside the lumen of the vessel persisting after passage of the contrast material.<sup>1</sup>

The components of the primary and secondary safety endpoints will be adjudicated by an independent CEC with angiographic elements assessed by an independent core laboratory.

**Secondary Endpoints:**

- 1) Lesion success, defined as attainment of <50% final residual stenosis of the target lesion using any percutaneous method.
- 2) Procedure success, defined as device success and the absence of in-hospital MAEs, clinically significant perforation, clinically significant embolization or Grade C or greater dissection not resolved by visual estimate.
- 3) Procedure safety, defined as any in-hospital AE or MAE following use of a therapeutic interventional device.
- 4) Evaluation of total procedural and fluoroscopic time and contrast volume.
- 5) Evaluation of procedure time associated with use of the investigational device.
- 6) Evaluation of utility of ancillary device in addition to investigational device.

**Inclusion Criteria:**

- 1) Patient is willing and able to provide informed consent.
- 2) Patient is willing and able to comply with the study protocol.
- 3) Patient is > 18 years old.
- 4) Patient has peripheral arterial disease requiring revascularization as evidenced by contrast, CT or MR angiography.
- 5) Patient has at least one but not more than two occluded infrainguinal arteries that are 99-100% stenosed and no flow is observed in the distal lesion except the flow from collateral circulation.
- 6) Target lesion(s) is  $\geq 1$  cm and  $\leq 30$  cm in length by visual estimate.
- 7) Target vessel is  $\geq 2.0$  mm in diameter.
- 8) Patient has Rutherford Classification of 2-5.
- 9) Lesion cannot be crossed by concurrent conventional guidewire.
- 10) Reconstitution of vessel at least 2cm above bifurcation/trifurcation.
- 11) Occlusion can be within previously implanted stent.

**Exclusion Criteria:**

- 1) Patient has a known sensitivity or allergy to contrast materials that cannot be adequately pre-treated.
- 2) Patient has a known sensitivity or allergy to all anti-platelet medications.
- 3) Patient is pregnant or lactating.
- 4) Patient has a co-existing disease or medical condition contraindicating percutaneous intervention.
- 5) Target lesion is in a bypass graft.

- 6) Patient has had a failed crossing attempt without an intervening intervention on the target limb within the past 14 days.
- 7) Patient has a planned surgical or interventional procedure within 30 days after the study procedure.

**Data Analysis  
Center:**

Syntactx  
4 World Trade Center  
150 Greenwich Street / 44<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10007

**Sponsor:**

ReFlow Medical, Inc.  
1003 Calle Sombra,  
San Clemente, CA 92673

**Principal  
Investigator:**

Dr. John Laird

**Angiographic Core  
Lab**

Yale Cardiovascular Research Group  
135 College Street, Suite 101  
New Haven, CT 06510