

NCT01603628

Study ID: 191622-111

Title: BOTOX® Treatment in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity: Double-blind Study

Protocol Amendment 3 Date: July 25, 2016

ALLERGAN – CONFIDENTIAL

The following contains confidential, proprietary information
which is the property of Allergan

STUDY TITLE

BOTOX® Treatment in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity: Double-blind Study

Protocol Number: 191622-111 Amendment 3

EudraCT Number: 2012-000042-35

Phase: 3

Name of Investigational Product: BOTOX® (botulinum toxin type A) purified neurotoxin complex (US Adopted Name is onabotulinumtoxinA)

Sponsor:	Allergan (North America) 2525 Dupont Drive Irvine, California USA 92612 +1-714-246-4500 +1-800-347-4500	Allergan Ltd. 1st Floor, Marlow International, The Parkway, Marlow Buckinghamshire SL7 1YL United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0) 1628 494444 Fax: +44 (0) 1628 494449
----------	--	--

Emergency Telephone Number(s): Refer to the Study Contacts Page.



Allergan Signatory:



Refer to the final page of this protocol for electronic signature and date of approval.

The following information can be found on FDA Form 1572 and/or study contacts page: Name and contact information of Allergan study personnel and Emergency Telephone Numbers; name, address, and statement of qualifications of each investigator; name of each subinvestigator working under the supervision of the investigator; name and address of the research facilities to be used; name and address of each reviewing IRB; 21 CFR 312.23 section 6(iii)b.

INVESTIGATOR SIGNATURE PAGE

INVESTIGATOR:

STUDY LOCATION:

I agree to:

- Implement and conduct this study diligently and in strict compliance with the protocol, good clinical practices and all applicable laws and regulations.
- Maintain all information supplied by Allergan in confidence and, when this information is submitted to an Institutional Review Board (IRB), Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) or another group, it will be submitted with a designation that the material is confidential.

I have read this protocol in its entirety and I agree to all aspects.

Investigator Printed Name

Signature

Date

Investigator Printed Name

Signature

Date

Investigator Printed Name

Signature

Date

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	4
List of Tables.....	8
List of Figures.....	8
Protocol Summary	9
1. Background and Clinical Rationale	17
1.1 Pediatric Spasticity.....	17
1.2 Management of Spasticity in Children.....	18
1.3 BOTOX for Management of Pediatric Spasticity	19
1.3.1 Use of BOTOX in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity	19
1.4 Dose Justification.....	20
2. Study Objectives and Clinical Hypotheses.....	22
2.1 Study Objectives	22
2.2 Clinical Hypotheses	22
3. Study Design.....	22
3.1 Safety Data Review Committee.....	23
4. Study Population and Entry Criteria.....	23
4.1 Number of Patients.....	23
4.2 Study Population Characteristics	23
4.3 Inclusion Criteria.....	23
4.4 Exclusion Criteria	25
4.5 Permissible and Prohibited Medications/Treatments	29
4.5.1 Permissible Medications/Treatments	29
4.5.1.1 Acceptable Contraceptive Methods and Definition of Females of Childbearing Potential	29
4.5.2 Prohibited Medications/Treatments	30
4.5.3 Special Diet or Activities	31
5. Study Treatments	32
5.1 Study Treatments and Formulations.....	32
5.2 Control Treatment	33
5.3 Methods for Masking/Blinding.....	33

5.4	Treatment Allocation Ratio and Stratification	34
5.5	Method for Assignment to Treatment Groups/Randomization	34
5.6	Treatment Regimen and Dosing.....	35
5.6.1	Treatment Regimen/Dosage Adjustments.....	35
5.6.1.1	Dosing Paradigm	35
5.7	Storage of Study Medications/Treatments	37
5.8	Preparation of Study Medications/Treatments.....	37
5.9	Treatment Administration	37
6.	Response Measures and Summary of Data Collection Methods.....	38
6.1	Efficacy Measures	38
6.1.1	Primary Efficacy Measures.....	38
6.1.2	Secondary Efficacy Measures.....	38
6.1.3	Other Efficacy Measures.....	38
6.1.4	Safety Measures	38
6.2	Examination Procedures, Tests, Equipment, and Techniques	39
6.3	Other Study Supplies.....	39
6.4	Summary of Methods of Data Collection	40
7.	Statistical Procedures	40
7.1	Analysis Populations.....	41
7.2	Collection and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Assessments.....	41
7.2.1	Primary Efficacy Variables	42
7.2.2	Secondary Efficacy Variables	42
7.2.3	Other Efficacy Variables	42
7.3	Hypothesis and Methods of Analysis.....	42
7.3.1	Primary Efficacy Analyses.....	42
7.3.2	Secondary Efficacy Analyses.....	44
7.3.3	Other Efficacy Analyses	44
7.3.4	Safety Analyses.....	45
7.4	Subgroup Analyses.....	45
7.5	Sample Size Calculation	45
7.6	Interim Analyses	46
7.7	Additional Analysis/Inference for US FDA	46
7.7.1	Primary Efficacy Analyses.....	46
8.	Study Visit Schedule and Procedures	47

8.1	Patient Entry Procedures	48
8.1.1	Overview of Entry Procedures.....	48
8.1.2	Informed Consent and Patient Privacy	48
8.2	Procedures for Final Study Entry	48
8.3	Visits and Associated Procedures.....	48
8.3.1	Visit 1: 2 to 4 Weeks Before Day 1 (Screening).....	49
8.3.2	Visit 2: Week -2 (PT Start) – Visit with the Physical Therapist.....	51
8.3.3	Visit 3: Day 1 (Randomization/Treatment).....	51
8.3.4	Visits 4, 5, 6, and 7: Weeks 2, 4, 6, and 8 (Follow-up Visits).....	53
8.3.5	Visit 8: Week 12 or Early Termination (Exit Visit).....	54
8.4	Instructions for the Patients.....	55
8.5	Unscheduled Visits.....	55
8.6	Compliance With Protocol	55
8.7	Early Discontinuation of Patients	55
8.8	Withdrawal Criteria.....	56
8.9	Study Termination	56
9.	Adverse Events	56
9.1	Definitions.....	56
9.1.1	Adverse Event.....	56
9.1.2	Serious Adverse Event	57
9.1.3	Severity	57
9.1.4	Relationship to Study Drug or Study Procedure	57
9.2	Procedures for Reporting Adverse Events	57
9.3	Procedures for Reporting a Serious Adverse Event	58
9.4	Procedures for Unmasking of Study Medication	58
10.	Administrative Items	59
10.1	Protection of Human Subjects.....	59
10.1.1	Compliance with Informed Consent Regulations (US 21 CFR Part 50) and Relevant Country Regulations	59
10.1.2	Compliance With IRB or IEC Regulations.....	59
10.1.3	Compliance With Good Clinical Practice	60
10.1.4	Compliance With Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures Regulations (21 CFR Part 11).....	60
10.2	Changes to the Protocol	60

10.3	Patient Confidentiality	60
10.3.1	Patient Privacy	60
10.4	Documentation	61
10.4.1	Source Documents	61
10.4.2	Case Report Form Completion	62
10.4.3	Study Summary	62
10.4.4	Retention of Documentation	62
10.5	Labeling, Packaging, and Return or Disposal of Study Medications/Treatments..	63
10.5.1	Labeling/Packaging.....	63
10.5.2	Clinical Supply Inventory	63
10.5.3	Return or Disposal of Study Medications/Treatments and/or Supplies	63
10.6	Monitoring by the Sponsor	64
10.7	Handling of Biological Specimens	64
10.8	Publications	64
10.9	Coordinating Investigator	64
11.	References.....	65
12.	Attachments	69
12.1	Examination Procedures, Tests, Equipment, and Techniques	69
12.1.1	Physical Examination.....	69
12.1.2	Vital Signs.....	69
12.1.3	Electrocardiogram.....	69
12.1.4	Hematology and Serum Chemistry.....	69
12.1.5	Immunogenicity Testing	72
12.1.6	Pregnancy Test	72
12.1.7	Barry-Albright Dystonia (BAD) Scale	72
12.1.8	Hypertonia Assessment Tool (HAT)	73
12.1.9	Gross Motor Function Classification System – Expanded and Revised (GMFCS–E&R)	75
12.1.10	Modified Ashworth Scale-Bohannon (MAS-B)	75
12.1.11	Modified Tardieu Scale (MTS)	76
12.1.12	[REDACTED]	76
12.1.13	[REDACTED]	77
12.1.14	Goal Attainment Scale (GAS) by Physician	77
12.1.15	Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change (CGI) by Physician...	78

12.1.16	[REDACTED]	78
12.1.17	[REDACTED]	79
12.1.18	Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS)	79
12.2	Package Insert/Summary of Product Characteristics	81
12.3	Glossary of Abbreviations	82
12.4	Protocol Amendment 1 Summary	84
12.5	Protocol Amendment 2 Summary	86
12.6	Protocol Amendment 3 Summary	90

List of Tables

Table 1	Schedule of Visits and Procedures	14
Table 2	Dilution of Study Drug	33
Table 3	Dosing Paradigm	36
Table 4	Sample Size Assumptions and Power Calculations	46
Table 5	Reference Ranges for Alkaline Phosphatase and Glucose	71

List of Figures

Figure 1	Common Gait Patterns: Spastic Hemiplegia	26
----------	--	----

Protocol Summary

Study Compound: BOTOX® (botulinum toxin type A) purified neurotoxin complex (US Adopted Name, onabotulinumtoxinA)

Phase: 3

Study Objectives: To evaluate the safety and efficacy of a single treatment of 2 doses (4 U/kg and 8 U/kg) of BOTOX with standardized physical therapy (PT) in pediatric patients with lower limb spasticity

Clinical Hypotheses:

- 1) A single treatment of BOTOX at doses of 4 U/kg and 8 U/kg in the lower limb has an acceptable safety profile.
- 2) BOTOX with standardized PT is more effective than placebo with standardized PT as measured by a reduction in muscle tone on the Modified Ashworth Scale-Bohannon (MAS-B). For United States [US] Food and Drug Administration [FDA] only, the hypothesis is that BOTOX with standardized PT is more effective than placebo with standardized PT as measured by a reduction in muscle tone on the MAS-B and improvement on the Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change (CGI) by Physician.

Study Design

Structure: Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group

Duration: 16 weeks (up to 4 weeks of screening and 12 weeks of posttreatment follow-up)

Study Treatment Groups (BOTOX doses are expressed as units per total body weight):

- 4 U/kg BOTOX + PT
- 8 U/kg BOTOX + PT
- Placebo + PT

Control: Placebo

Dosage/Dose Regimen:

There will be 1 treatment in the study. Eligible patients will be injected in the affected leg (referred to as the study limb) with the dose specified in Table 3 according to the randomization assignment.

Patients will receive 1 of the 2 doses of BOTOX (4 U/kg not to exceed 150 U or 8 U/kg not to exceed 300 U) or placebo injected to the study limb in the gastrocnemius (both medial and lateral heads), soleus, and tibialis posterior muscles.

Randomization/Stratification:

Patients will be randomized to 1 of 3 treatment groups in a 1:1:1 ratio within each combination of strata. The stratification categories are as follows:

- Age (\leq 6 years and $>$ 6 years)
- Baseline (day 1) MAS-B score of the ankle with knee extended (MAS-B = 2 and MAS-B $>$ 2)

Visit Schedule:

There will be 8 visits and approximately 13 PT sessions during the study:

Visit 1: Week -4 to week -2 (screening)

BOTOX® (Botulinum Toxin Type A) Purified Neurotoxin Complex

Visit 2: Week -2 (initiation of standardized weekly PT)

Visit 3: Day 1 (randomization and treatment)

Visit 4: Week 2 (follow-up visit)

Visit 5: Week 4 (follow-up visit)

Visit 6: Week 6 (follow-up visit)

Visit 7: Week 8 (follow-up visit)

Visit 8: Week 12 (exit visit)

Study Population Characteristics**Number of Patients:**

Approximately 412 patients will be enrolled to ensure that at least 351 patients (117 per treatment group) will complete the study.

Condition/Disease:

Medically stable monoplegic or hemiplegic pediatric patients with cerebral palsy who have dynamic muscle contracture of the ankle plantar flexors associated with equinus foot position

Key Inclusion Criteria:

- Male or female, 2 to 16 years and 11 months of age (prior to 17th birthday) at the day 1 visit
- Minimum weight of 10 kg at the screening and day 1 visits
- Monoplegic or hemiplegic patients with cerebral palsy who have dynamic muscle contracture (spasticity confirmed by Hypertonia Assessment Tool [HAT]) of the ankle plantar flexors. Equinovarus or equinovalgus deformities are acceptable.

- [REDACTED]

Key Exclusion Criteria:

- [REDACTED]
- Any medical condition that may put the patient at increased risk with exposure to Botulinum Toxin Type A Purified Neurotoxin Complex, including diagnosed muscular dystrophy (eg, Duchenne's muscular dystrophy), myasthenia gravis, Eaton-Lambert syndrome, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, mitochondrial disease, or any other significant disease that might interfere with neuromuscular function
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Uncontrolled epilepsy defined as more than 1 generalized seizure in any month within the 3 months prior to the day 1 visit or history of any of the following within 9 months prior to the day 1 visit: prolonged seizures or repetitive seizure activity requiring administration of a rescue benzodiazepine (oral, rectal, etc) more than once a month, seizures lasting more than 10 minutes, status epilepticus, or epilepsy with autonomic involvement
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Botulinum toxin therapy of any serotype for any condition within 6 months prior to the day 1 visit
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- History of surgical intervention of the lower leg (the knee and below of the study limb; except for tendon lengthening more than 12 months prior to the day 1 visit) or planned surgical intervention of any limb(s) during the study
- Previous casting within 6 months prior to the day 1 visit or splinting with a dynamic splint (eg, Dynasplint® or UltraFlex®) within 3 months prior to the day 1 visit for spasticity of the study limb or the affected upper limb, or planned casting or splinting with a dynamic splint (eg, Dynasplint or UltraFlex) for spasticity of the study limb or affected upper limb during the study
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

Response Measures

Efficacy:

Primary efficacy measures:

- MAS-B ankle score with knee extended
- CGI by Physician (co-primary efficacy measure is for US FDA only)

Secondary efficacy measures:

- CGI by Physician (for non-US FDA analyses)
- Goal Attainment Scale (GAS) by Physician
- Modified Tardieu Scale (MTS) of the ankle with knee extended and knee flexed

Other efficacy measures:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Safety:

- Adverse events
- Physical examination
- Urine pregnancy tests (for all females of childbearing potential)
- Hematology and serum chemistry
- Vital signs (blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature)
- Body weight
- Immunogenicity testing (for patients who weigh at least 15 kg at the screening visit)
- Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS, for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1)

General Statistical Methods and Types of Analyses:

Safety data will be analyzed on the basis of all treated patients based on treatment received, defined as the safety population. Efficacy data will be analyzed on the basis of the modified intent-to-treat (mITT) population, defined as all randomized patients with a valid baseline MAS-B ankle score with knee extended and at least one post-baseline measurement at weeks 2, 4, or 6 for the MAS-B ankle score with knee extended and the CGI by Physician. The primary efficacy endpoint will be the average grade change from baseline in the MAS-B ankle score at weeks 4 and 6. For US FDA only, the average grade change from baseline in MAS-B ankle score at weeks 4 and 6 and the average CGI by Physician at weeks 4 and 6 will be analyzed as co-primary endpoints. Mixed Model Repeated Measures (MMRM) with unstructured covariance structure will be performed with baseline MAS-B as a covariate and factors of age group, treatment group, visit, treatment-by-visit interaction, study center, and previous botulinum toxin exposure. Continuous secondary and other efficacy variables will be summarized by treatment group and analyzed by Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). Categorical efficacy


BOTOX® (Botulinum Toxin Type A) Purified Neurotoxin Complex

variables, such as the responder status for MAS-B, will be analyzed by logistic regression. All statistical tests will be 2-sided with a significance level of 0.05. Safety variables, such as the adverse event incidence rate, vital signs and changes from baseline in vital signs, the incidence of suicide-related events, and laboratory test values and their changes from baseline, will be summarized by treatment group.

Sample Size Calculation:

Approximately 412 patients will be enrolled to ensure that at least 351 patients (117 per treatment group) will complete the study. Using a 2-sample t-test, a significance level of 0.05, and the assumptions in the table below, the power for MAS-B change from baseline is 94% (PASS, [Hintze, 2008](#)). The calculation is based on assumptions for 8 U/kg group and placebo group. Since there are 2 co-primary endpoints for the US FDA analysis, for 8 U/kg group the power for CGI by Physician is calculated to be 97%, and the overall power for the US FDA co-primary endpoints will be at least 91%. The assumption for MAS-B were based on results of completed Studies BTX108509 and BTX108512; the estimates for CGI were based on results of completed Study 191622-021.

Endpoint	Average of Week 4 and 6 for 8 U/kg group	Average of Week 4 and 6 for Placebo group	Standard Deviation	Power
MAS-B Change from Baseline	-0.8	-0.45	0.75	94%
CGI by Physician (Co-primary for US FDA Analysis)	1.2	0.6	1.2	97%
Overall Endpoints				91%



1. Background and Clinical Rationale

1.1 Pediatric Spasticity

Spasticity is classically defined as a disorder of the sensorimotor system characterized by a velocity-dependent increase in muscle tone with exaggerated tendon jerks, resulting from hyperexcitability of the stretch reflex (Lance, 1980). The most common cause of focal spasticity in children is cerebral palsy. Cerebral palsy is a disorder caused by a non-progressive insult of the central nervous system (CNS) that occurs prenatally, perinatally, or during the first 2 years of life and can result in functional motor impairment, irregular movement, and abnormal posture. The increase in the muscle-stretch reflex causes muscle contraction of abnormal strength and duration. Spastic muscles show “velocity-dependent” resistance to passive movement and exaggerated tendon jerks (Lance, 1980).

The patterns of spasticity depend on the areas of the developing brain that are damaged. In patients with hemiplegic spasticity, one half of the body (1 arm and 1 leg) is affected with spasticity. Approximately 25% of children with cerebral palsy present with hemiplegic spasticity in which the upper limb is usually more affected than the lower limb (Stanley et al, 2000). Spastic diplegia, presented in about 13% to 30% of patients with cerebral palsy, is characterized by spasticity of both legs with relative sparing of the arms (Odding et al, 2006; Stanley et al, 2000). Although the arms are less affected, they may still show abnormal reflexes and reduced dexterity. Spastic triplegia is characterized by spasticity affecting both lower extremities and 1 arm is usually affected more severely than the other. Spastic quadriplegia affects all 4 limbs and is the most severe but least common form of spastic cerebral palsy, affecting approximately 20% of patients. Since both cerebral hemispheres are affected, intellectual impairment is common. Patients with quadriplegia may also have severe dysarthria, dysphagia, and other comorbidities such as epilepsy and blindness. Spasticity and abnormal muscle tone contribute both to impairment of function and reduced longitudinal muscle growth in children with cerebral palsy (Dunne et al, 1995).

Cerebral palsy is the most common etiology associated with pediatric spasticity with a prevalence rate of approximately 2.5 in 1000 live births, a number that has increased from 1.5 in 1000 live births 40 years ago (Odding et al, 2006), and is considered to be the most severe childhood physical disability (Beckung and Hagberg, 2002). Essentially all patients with cerebral palsy have impaired motor function with spasticity affecting as many as 90%. Other less prevalent types of disorders associated with pediatric spasticity include posttraumatic brain or spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, and other neurodegenerative

conditions ([Hawamdeh et al, 2007](#)). All of these conditions are associated with significant morbidity and mortality and present an unmet medical need with limited treatment options.

Equinus foot deformity is the most common (75%) form of spasticity in patients with cerebral palsy ([Cobeljic et al, 2009](#)). The increased muscle tone first presents as dynamic deformity and, if left untreated, transforms to fixed deformity as the child grows ([Detrembleur et al, 2002](#)). Early treatment to prevent the development of fixed contracture is therefore important in the management of spasticity in this population.

1.2 Management of Spasticity in Children

Management of spasticity associated with cerebral palsy is focused on helping the child achieve maximal potential in growth and development. Most patients are managed with a combination of treatment modalities, including non-pharmacologic, systemic pharmacologic, local pharmacologic, and surgical treatments.

Non-pharmacologic and non-surgical treatments for spasticity aim to strengthen weakened muscles, weaken spastic muscles, and improve joint range of motion as well as motor development ([Koman et al, 2004](#)). These interventions include occupational therapy (OT), physical therapy (PT), orthotics, splints, casting and other devices, or any combination of these methods. PT and OT are regarded as essential for successful medical and surgical interventions ([Butler and Darrah, 2001](#); [Dumas et al, 2001](#)) but the overall evidence supporting this is weak ([Lannin et al, 2006](#)). A recent study has demonstrated the enhanced effectiveness of OT used in combination with BOTOX® (botulinum toxin type A) purified neurotoxin complex (US Adopted Name is onabotulinumtoxinA), hereafter referred to as BOTOX ([Wallen et al, 2007](#)).

Systemic pharmacologic treatments include anti-spastic drugs such as baclofen, dantrolene, diazepam, scopolamine, and tizanidine ([Gracies et al, 1997](#); [O'Flaherty and Waugh, 2003](#); [Scheinberg et al, 2006](#); [Steinbok, 2006](#)). The aim of systemic pharmacologic management is to reduce the muscle overactivity associated with spasticity. Mechanisms of action vary but the result is either a suppression of muscle excitation or an enhancement of neural inhibition. Response to these medications is variable and unpredictable ([O'Flaherty and Waugh, 2003](#)). Major drawbacks of systemic anti-spastic therapy include the lack of specificity of targeting muscle groups and CNS side effects such as drowsiness, constipation, and cognitive impairment. Local pharmacologic treatments include phenol or alcohol injections for specific chemolysis of targeted nerves. The main risks of phenol are its potential to cause irreversible damage to the nerve and nearby structures, as well as reduce sensation and cause

dysesthesias and neuropathic pain (Gracies et al, 1997). Intrathecal baclofen is reserved for patients with disabling general spasticity that is unresponsive to conservative pharmacotherapy (Koman et al, 2004).

Orthopedic procedures such as contracture release, tendon lengthening, and tendon transfer can reduce spasticity symptoms that may result in improved access for hygiene and brace (orthotic) tolerability. These surgical procedures may also result in pain reduction, as well as reduction in bone deformity (osteotomy). However, many forms of surgery are best delayed until tendons and joints have grown to a reasonable proportion of their adult size since it is more difficult to predict the outcome of surgery in younger children. Surgical procedures have been reported as delayed due to the ability of botulinum toxin (type A) therapy to minimize fixed muscle shortening and soft tissue and skeletal deformation associated with spasticity (Molenaers et al, 2006).

Neurosurgical procedures include selective dorsal rhizotomy and selective peripheral neurotomy (Chambers, 1997; Steinbok, 2006). Selective dorsal rhizotomy is used to treat severe spasticity of the lower extremities that interferes with mobility or positioning. It is usually most effective in a small number of select diplegic or quadriplegic children with cerebral palsy who are expected to be independent ambulators. In children with underlying muscle weakness, rhizotomy can worsen rather than improve function.

1.3 BOTOX for Management of Pediatric Spasticity

Botulinum neurotoxin type A (BoNT-A) blocks neuromuscular conduction by binding to receptor sites on motor nerve terminals, entering the nerve terminals, and inhibiting the release of acetylcholine. When injected intramuscularly at therapeutic doses, BoNT-A produces localized chemical denervation of the muscle, resulting in focal muscle weakness. This muscle weakness is reversible through nerve ending recovery over a period of 3 or more months. Relaxation of rigid muscles by BoNT-A allows a child to participate in PT or OT, which encourages the use of both targeted and antagonistic muscles with goals of muscle stretching, strengthening, improved motor control, and acquisition of new skills. There has been considerable experience worldwide since the early 1990s with the use of BOTOX in the treatment of spasticity associated with cerebral palsy.

1.3.1 Use of BOTOX in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity

Allergan has completed 5 trials with BOTOX for the treatment of lower limb spasticity in children with cerebral palsy. These studies have demonstrated an acceptable safety profile of

BOTOX at the dose ranges evaluated for this patient population. Study OCUL-118-8051, a placebo-controlled study, included 145 patients (72 BOTOX; 73 placebo) with equinus foot deformity who were 2 to 14 years old. Patients received injections of BOTOX (4 to 8 U/kg; note that BOTOX doses throughout this protocol are expressed per body weight) or placebo. The proportion of responders based on gait pattern score was significantly greater ($p \leq 0.05$) in the BOTOX group compared to the placebo group at weeks 2, 8, and 12.

Study OCUL-119-8051, an open-label multiple-treatment extension of study OCUL-118-8051, included 207 patients who received injections of BOTOX at 4 U/kg. For the first 2 years of the study the proportion of responders ranged from 39% to 64%.

Study OCUL-120-8051, a placebo-controlled study, included 35 ambulatory patients with equinus foot deformity (17 BOTOX; 18 placebo) who were 2 to 14 years old. Patients randomized to BOTOX received 4 U/kg in the affected limb. The proportion of responders based on gait pattern score was significantly greater ($p \leq 0.05$) in the BOTOX group compared to the placebo group at weeks 8 and 12. Twenty-five of the 35 patients entered a 2-year, multiple-treatment open-label follow-up study (BTOX-121-8051).

The fifth study (191622-021), a placebo-controlled study, included 149 patients with equinus foot deformity (73 BOTOX; 76 placebo) who were 2 to 8 years old. Patients randomized to BOTOX received 4 U/kg in each affected limb (up to a maximum of 8 U/kg). No significant differences in the proportion of responders based on the gait pattern score were observed between the 2 treatment groups.

1.4 Dose Justification

The purpose of this study is to further investigate the safety and efficacy of BOTOX treatment in pediatric patients with cerebral palsy who have dynamic muscle contracture of the ankle plantar flexors associated with equinus foot position.

Three treatment groups were selected for the study: 4 U/kg (not to exceed 150 U) + PT, 8 U/kg (not to exceed 300 U) + PT, and placebo + PT. The BOTOX doses were selected based on Allergan clinical trial experience in pediatric lower limb spasticity, clinical expert advice, published literature including consensus guidelines for botulinum toxin type A treatment of pediatric spasticity, and nonclinical toxicology data.

The 5 completed Allergan-sponsored clinical trials in pediatric lower limb spasticity used doses ranging from 4 to 8 U/kg (Studies OCUL-118-8051, OCUL-119-8051,

OCUL-120-8051, BTOX-121-8051, 191622-021). In Studies OCUL-118-8051 and OCUL-120-8051, patients received 2 doses of 4 U/kg within a month, for a total of 8U/kg. All of these studies demonstrated an acceptable safety profile and all but Study 191622-021 documented statistically significant improvement in a variety of efficacy outcomes. In Study 191622-021, patients with a minimum severity of baseline spasticity of at least a Modified Ashworth Scale-Bohannon (MAS-B) score of 1+ received 4 to 8 U/kg in the affected limb(s). A post hoc analysis of a subgroup of patients with more severe baseline spasticity with a MAS-B score of at least 2 demonstrated a significant trend ($p = 0.085$) based on the MAS-B change over the 12-week posttreatment period in the BOTOX group compared to the placebo group.

The doses in the present study are further supported by the dose ranges described in the consensus guidelines and published literature. The European consensus paper ([Heinen et al, 2010](#)) recommended a dose range of 1 to 20 U/kg with a maximum total dose of 400 U, regardless of location of injection. The American Academy of Neurology also concluded that botulinum toxin doses ranging from 2 to 30 U/kg for treatment of the upper and lower limbs are effective and generally safe ([Delgado et al, 2010](#)). The 2010 International Consensus Paper for botulinum toxin treatment in lower limb spasticity for children with cerebral palsy recommends a dose range of 16 to 20 U/kg for patients with Gross Motor Function Classification System – Expanded and Revised (GMFCS-E&R) level I to IV without risk factors ([Love et al, 2010](#)). The higher dose ranges were based on studies allowing injections to multiple muscles (multi-level injections) across upper and lower extremities ([Heinen et al, 2006](#); [Molenaers et al, 2009](#)).

Doses recommended for individual muscles are 3 to 6 U/kg for gastrocnemius, 2 to 3 U/kg for soleus, and 1 to 2 U/kg for tibialis posterior ([Russman et al, 2008](#)). In published double-blind studies in pediatric patients with lower limb spasticity, a dose of 2 to 8 U/kg was most commonly used for the gastrocnemius muscles. The maximum dose ranged from 200 to 600 U for both legs. Doses used in the present study are consistent with the range of doses recommended by the consensus documents and reflect current clinical practice.

In addition, the safety of 8 U/kg in this study is also supported by the no-observable-adverse-effect levels (NOAELs) established in BOTOX toxicology studies. In single and repeated-dose studies in rats, 10 and 16 U/kg were defined as NOAELs, respectively. In monkeys, the single-dose intramuscular NOAEL was determined to be 16 U/kg when administered into the gastrocnemius muscle. In repeated-dose toxicity studies in monkeys involving multiple

injection sites that more closely mimic clinical use, the NOAEL was 8, 12, or 16 U/kg for 2, 4, or 6 injection sites, respectively.

2. Study Objectives and Clinical Hypotheses

2.1 Study Objectives

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of a single treatment of 2 doses (4 U/kg and 8 U/kg) of BOTOX with standardized PT in pediatric patients with lower limb spasticity.

2.2 Clinical Hypotheses

- 1) A single treatment of BOTOX at doses of 4 U/kg and 8 U/kg in the lower limb has an acceptable safety profile.
- 2) BOTOX with standardized PT is more effective than placebo with standardized PT as measured by a reduction in muscle tone on the MAS-B. For United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) only, the hypothesis is that BOTOX with standardized PT is more effective than placebo with standardized PT as measured by a reduction in muscle tone on the MAS-B and improvement on the Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change (CGI) by Physician.

3. Study Design

This is a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, 12-week study evaluating 2 doses of BOTOX, 4 and 8 U/kg, and placebo with standardized PT for the treatment of spasticity involving the ankle plantar flexors in monoplegic and hemiplegic children. Patients who successfully complete this study without major protocol deviations (eg, noncompliance to protocol-required procedures) will be given the option of enrolling in the open-label extension Study 191622-112 if they meet eligibility criteria.

The maximum study duration per patient will be approximately 16 weeks (including up to 4 weeks in the screening period). Patients will complete 8 study visits as follows: screening (week -4 to week -2), initiation of standardized PT (week -2), randomization and treatment visit (day 1), and post-injection follow-up visits at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12.

There will be 1 treatment cycle in the study. Only 1 affected lower limb (referred to as the study limb) will be treated. Patients will be randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to one of the

following treatment groups: 4 U/kg (not to exceed 150 U) + PT, 8 U/kg (not to exceed 300 U) + PT, or placebo + PT.

3.1 Safety Data Review Committee

The safety of the study participants will be monitored by a Safety Data Review Committee (SDRC) composed of at least 2 non-Allergan physicians and a study-independent statistician. Additional ad hoc participants (eg, physician specialists) may be invited to participate in review meetings depending on the safety findings and required scope of expertise.

The SDRC will conduct periodic review and assessments of the blinded adverse events data throughout the study to ensure the safety of study participants. The SDRC may elect to review additional safety parameters (eg, laboratory data), unblind the data, or change the frequency of their review meetings depending upon emerging safety findings or changes in patient enrollment rates.

For additional details regarding SDRC membership, standard operational procedures, frequency of review meetings, and other details, please refer to the SDRC charter.

4. Study Population and Entry Criteria

4.1 Number of Patients

Approximately 412 patients will be enrolled to ensure that at least 351 patients (117 per treatment group) will complete the study.

4.2 Study Population Characteristics

The study population is medically stable monoplegic or hemiplegic pediatric patients with cerebral palsy who have dynamic muscle contracture of the ankle plantar flexors associated with equinus foot position.

4.3 Inclusion Criteria

The following are requirements for entry into the study:

1. Male or female, 2 to 16 years and 11 months of age (prior to 17th birthday) at the day 1 visit

2. Minimum weight of 10 kg at the screening and day 1 visits
3. Monoplegic or hemiplegic patients with cerebral palsy who have dynamic muscle contracture (spasticity confirmed by Hypertonia Assessment Tool [HAT]) of the ankle plantar flexors. Equinovarus or equinovalgus deformities are acceptable.

4.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

For more information, contact the Office of the Vice President for Research and Economic Development at 319-335-1111 or research@uiowa.edu.

© 2019 Pearson Education, Inc.

A horizontal bar composed of four black rectangles. From left to right, the first two rectangles are of equal width, the third is twice as wide, and the fourth is the widest of all, extending across the entire width of the bar.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

A horizontal bar chart with four bars of increasing length from left to right, set against a dark background. The bars are thin and light-colored, with the longest bar on the far right.

14. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

4.4 Exclusion Criteria

The following are criteria for exclusion from participating in the study:

1. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2. Any medical condition that may put the patient at increased risk with exposure to Botulinum Toxin Type A Purified Neurotoxin Complex, including diagnosed muscular dystrophy (eg, Duchenne's muscular dystrophy), myasthenia gravis, Eaton-Lambert syndrome, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, mitochondrial disease, or any other significant disease that might interfere with neuromuscular function

3. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Term	Percentage
GMOs	~75%
Organic	~85%
Natural	~80%
Artificial	~60%
Organic	~85%
Natural	~80%
Artificial	~60%
Organic	~85%
Natural	~80%
Artificial	~60%
Organic	~85%
Natural	~80%
Artificial	~60%

13. Uncontrolled epilepsy defined as:

- a. more than 1 generalized seizure in any month within the 3 months prior to the day 1 visit, or
- b. history of any of the following within 9 months prior to the day 1 visit:
prolonged seizures or repetitive seizure activity requiring administration of a rescue benzodiazepine (oral, rectal, etc) more than once a month, seizures lasting more than 10 minutes, status epilepticus, or epilepsy with autonomic involvement

A horizontal bar chart illustrating the percentage of the population aged 65 and older in various US entities. The x-axis represents the percentage, ranging from 0% to 25% in 5% increments. The y-axis lists the entities: US, California, Florida, New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New Hampshire, Vermont, New Mexico, and District of Columbia. The bars are black and show the following approximate values:

Entity	Percentage (%)
US	13.3
California	16.0
Florida	17.0
New York	17.0
Massachusetts	17.0
Connecticut	17.0
Rhode Island	17.0
New Jersey	17.0
Pennsylvania	17.0
New Hampshire	17.0
Vermont	17.0
New Mexico	17.0
District of Columbia	17.0

19. Botulinum toxin therapy of any serotype for any condition within 6 months prior to the day 1 visit

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

23. History of surgical intervention of the lower leg (the knee and below of the study limb; except for tendon lengthening more than 12 months prior to the day 1 visit) or planned surgical intervention of any limb(s) during the study

25. Previous casting within 6 months prior to the day 1 visit or splinting with a dynamic splint (eg, Dynasplint® or UltraFlex®) within 3 months prior to the day 1 visit for spasticity of the study limb or the affected upper limb, or planned casting or splinting with a dynamic splint (eg, Dynasplint or UltraFlex) for spasticity of the study limb or affected upper limb during the study

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the bottom portion of the page content, starting below the table and ending at the bottom of the page. It is positioned to the right of the vertical redaction bar.

A thick black horizontal bar with a thin white horizontal line running through its center.

4.5 Permissible and Prohibited Medications/Treatments

4.5.1 Permissible Medications/Treatments

Patients who are already on stable concomitant anti-spastic medications or muscle relaxants (eg, benzodiazepines, baclofen [oral], scopolamine [oral or patch], tizanidine, vigabatrin, or dantrolene) prior to the day 1 visit and otherwise eligible for the study will be permitted to continue taking their medications at the same dose and regimen during the study. Patients who are on oral concomitant anti-spastic medications, anti-epileptics, or muscle relaxants should be instructed to take their medications at approximately the same time each day during the study, especially on the days of office visits. Efforts should be made to keep the same interval from the time of the medication to the time of the spasticity assessments during the office visits throughout the study. Patients using scopolamine patches must not wear their patches at least 2 days prior to an office visit (except for the screening and week -2 visits).

Patients will be permitted to initiate the use of soft splints, including ankle-foot-orthosis (AFO), during the study at the investigator's discretion. However, patients should be asked not to wear the splint for at least 30 minutes before a spasticity measure at the office visits.

Therapy considered necessary for the patient's welfare may be given at the discretion of the investigator. If concurrent medications may have an effect on study outcomes, those medications should be administered in dosages that remain constant throughout the course of the trial. If the permissibility of a specific medication/treatment is in question, please contact Allergan.

4.5.1.1 Acceptable Contraceptive Methods and Definition of Females of Childbearing Potential

At the time of screening, if a female patient is approaching puberty but is not yet of childbearing potential, then the patient and/or her legally authorized representative must be advised that if she becomes of childbearing potential (defined as females post menarche) during the study, she and/or her legally authorized representative must notify the site of this change. A urine pregnancy test must be performed at the patient's next scheduled visit. Upon receiving this notification, the site personnel must advise the patient and/or her legally

authorized representative of the protocol requirement that any female of childbearing potential must use a reliable method of contraception, as described below.

For females of childbearing potential who may participate in the study, the following methods of contraception, if properly used, are generally considered reliable: oral contraceptives, patch contraceptives, injection contraceptives, implantable contraceptives, male condom with intravaginal spermicide, diaphragm or cervical cap with spermicide, vaginal contraceptive ring, intrauterine device, surgical sterilization (bilateral tubal ligation or removal of both ovaries), vasectomized partner, or sexual abstinence.

The investigator and each patient (and/or her legally authorized representative) will determine the appropriate method of contraception for the patient during the participation in the study. The method of contraception must be documented in the patient's medical record and electronic case report forms (eCRFs). At each study visit, the investigator must counsel female patients of childbearing potential and/or their legally authorized representative regarding the importance of maintaining their agreed-upon method of contraception.

If a female patient of childbearing potential becomes pregnant during the study, the investigator will notify Allergan immediately after the pregnancy is confirmed and the patient will be exited from the study after appropriate safety follow-up. The investigator will (1) notify the patient's physician that the patient was being treated with BOTOX or placebo and (2) follow the progress of the pregnancy. The investigator must document the outcome of the pregnancy and provide a copy of the documentation to Allergan.

4.5.2 Prohibited Medications/Treatments

Patients should not be permitted to initiate the following therapy during the study:

- Anti-spastic medications or muscle relaxants (eg, benzodiazepines, vigabatrin, baclofen [oral or pump], scopolamine [oral or patch], tizanidine, or dantrolene)
- Anti-epileptic medication

Patients who enter the study on any of the above concomitant medications should remain on a stable dose throughout the study.

In addition, the following treatments or therapy are not permitted during the study:

- Botulinum toxin therapy of any serotype (except for the study treatment)
- Phenol or alcohol injection to any limb(s)
- Baclofen pump therapy
- Planned surgery in any limb(s)
- General anesthesia (drug-induced depression of consciousness) or deep sedation/analgesia (drug-induced loss of consciousness) as defined in “Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologists” ([American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists, 2002](#)) is prohibited regardless of the medication used. Minimal sedation (anxiolysis) or moderate sedation/analgesia (conscious sedation) in addition to local anesthesia is allowed for injection of the study medication.
- Casts or dynamic splints (UltraFlex, DynaSplint) for spasticity in the study limb or the affected upper limb
- OT/PT modalities such as ultrasound, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, electrical stimulation, or acupuncture

Co-administration of aminoglycosides or other agents that could interfere with neuromuscular transmission (eg, curare-like agents) should only be used with caution as the effects of toxin theoretically could be potentiated.

The decision to administer a prohibited medication/treatment is done with the safety of the patient as the primary consideration. Patients may stay in the study even if a prohibited medication is administered. When possible, Allergan should be notified before the prohibited medication/treatment is administered.

4.5.3 Special Diet or Activities

Protocol-specific standardized PT will be provided to all enrolled patients. For the purposes of this protocol, PT is defined as “physical interventions performed by a licensed therapist (or equivalent per local regulations) to facilitate functional improvement in the lower extremity(ies).”

Patients will initiate their protocol-specified standardized PT approximately 2 weeks prior to the day 1 (randomization) visit. Patients who are on concurrent PT at the time of screening must modify their existing PT to comply with the protocol-specified standardized PT. After randomization, patients will be required to follow the same standardized PT protocol for the entire duration of the study. The standardized PT protocol will consist of weekly sessions of approximately 1 hour that will include the following modalities:

- Elongation (approximately 20 minutes)
- Strengthening (approximately 20 minutes)
- Gait-oriented and/or functional mobility (approximately 20 minutes)

For each of the 3 modalities, the specific duration and activity options will depend on the patient's age, affected muscles, level of ability, and individual treatment goals (refer to the Standardized PT Reference Manual for further details).

Standardized PT scheduled on the day of an office visit should not be performed prior to any efficacy assessments. The last PT session should be scheduled during week 11.

If applicable, patients who are undergoing or will undergo school-based therapy as per relevant local legislation will be allowed to receive school-based therapy during the study in addition to the protocol-specified standardized PT; however the school-based therapy should remain constant throughout the study, if possible. Patients undergoing OT for upper limb spasticity at study entry should continue the same regimen during the study.

5. Study Treatments

5.1 Study Treatments and Formulations

The study treatment will consist of BOTOX or placebo and standardized PT. To maintain the blinding of the study, an Independent Drug Reconstitutor (IDR) will be assigned at each site for study drug preparation. A Pharmacy Manual will be provided with detailed information.



5.2 Control Treatment

Each vial of BOTOX placebo [REDACTED] contains 0.9 mg of sodium chloride in a sterile, vacuum-dried form without preservative.

5.3 Methods for Masking/Blinding

Patients and all site personnel with the exception of the IDR will be blinded to the study medication and dose administered. The IDR must not be involved in any study procedures other than study drug preparation and accountability (eg, proper drug storage).

The **physician investigator** will be responsible for identifying the muscles and doses to be injected.

Following randomization, to ensure that the injector remains blinded to the treatment group assignment, the **IDR** will be responsible for preparing the study medication according to the specific dilution requirements depending on the randomization assignment. A dosing summary will be provided to the IDR by the investigator or other site personnel for preparation of the study medication and injection syringes for each eligible muscle to be injected.

The study drug will be diluted according to the schedule below (Table 2) and in accordance with the randomization assignment received from the interactive voice response system/interactive web response system (IVRS/IWRS).

Table 2 Dilution of Study Drug

Treatment Group	Placebo	BOTOX 4 U/kg ^a	BOTOX 8 U/kg ^a
Volume of preservative-free saline used for dilution	2 mL	4 mL	2 mL
BOTOX units	0 U/mL	25 U/mL	50 U/mL

^a Per 100 unit (U) vial

This dilution requirement will ensure that the same volume is injected into each of the muscles irrespective of the BOTOX dose group assignment. The randomization assignment documentation received from the IVRS/IWRS must be placed in the pharmacy file in a secure location with limited access to prevent inadvertent unblinding of other study personnel.

5.4 Treatment Allocation Ratio and Stratification

A centralized randomization scheme stratified by age and baseline MAS-B ankle score will be prepared by Allergan. Patients will be randomized to 1 of 3 treatment groups in a 1:1:1 ratio within each combination of strata. Randomization will be dispensed by the IVRS/IWRS. The stratification categories are as follows:

- Age (≤ 6 years and > 6 years)
- Baseline (day 1) MAS-B score of the ankle with knee extended (MAS-B = 2 and MAS-B > 2)

5.5 Method for Assignment to Treatment Groups/Randomization

At the screening visit, after the patient and/or legally authorized representative has signed the informed consent and minor assent (as applicable), the site will call the IVRS or log onto the IWRS to obtain the patient number that will serve as the patient identification number on all study documents. The automated IVRS/IWRS will be used to manage the randomization and treatment assignment based on the randomization scheme prepared by Allergan Biostatistics. At the study day 1 visit, the site will access the IVRS/IWRS to randomize the patient/assign treatment.

The system will monitor the distribution of baseline (randomization) MAS-B ankle score throughout enrollment of the study to ensure that approximately 30% of the patients enrolled have a baseline MAS-B in the ankle of > 2 . If necessary, enrollment of patients with baseline MAS-B of 2 will be closed and enrollment will continue for patients with baseline MAS-B of > 2 .

The study will also aim to enroll approximately 20% botulinum toxin-naïve patients in the subgroup ≤ 6 years of age and at least some botulinum toxin-naïve patients in the subgroup > 6 years of age. This will also be monitored by the IVRS/IWRS.

Study medication will be labeled with medication kit numbers. At the time of randomization, sites will call the IVRS or log onto the IWRS to obtain the specific study medication kit numbers for each randomized patient. Sites will dispense study medication according to the IVRS/IWRS instructions. Sites will receive an IVRS/IWRS confirmation notification for each transaction. All notifications must be maintained in the pharmacy file in a secure location to prevent inadvertent unblinding of other study personnel.

5.6 Treatment Regimen and Dosing

This study will include 1 treatment of the study limb.

The volume and corresponding dose for each identified muscle and the total dose will be calculated for each of the 3 potential treatment assignments to maintain blinding. A dosing summary will be provided to the IDR who will prepare the study medication according to the randomization assignment.

5.6.1 Treatment Regimen/Dosage Adjustments


For all patients enrolled, the muscles and dose for each muscle to be injected are listed in Table 3 according to the randomization assignment. All muscles listed must be injected. The dose for each muscle should be calculated using the patient's body weight in kilograms measured at the day 1 visit multiplied by the U/kg assigned to the muscle according to the randomization assignment. For purposes of dose calculation only, the patient's weight will be rounded to the nearest whole kilogram. If the calculated unit (U/kg x body weight) is higher than the "not to exceed" dose for that muscle, the dose must be reduced to the "not to exceed" dose. No other dose adjustment is allowed in this study except for reducing the calculated dose to the maximum per muscle ("not to exceed") dose level.

The dose for each muscle must be evenly distributed across the number of injection sites specified for each muscle. The total dose across all injections must not exceed the maximum doses of 4 U/kg (not to exceed 150 U) or 8 U/kg (not to exceed 300 U) for the BOTOX groups. The dose volume will be the same for all treatment groups, including the placebo group.

In addition to BOTOX or placebo, patients will receive standardized PT consisting of approximately 1-hour weekly sessions from week -2 through week 11.

5.6.1.1 Dosing Paradigm

Eligible patients will receive injections to the study limb in the gastrocnemius (both medial and lateral heads), soleus, and tibialis posterior muscles. Doses listed in Table 3 must be followed according to the randomization assignment.



5.7 Storage of Study Medications/Treatments

The study medication must be stored in a secure area and administered only to patients entered into the clinical study, at no cost to the patients, in accordance with the conditions specified in this protocol. To prevent possible unblinding, access to study medication during the reconstitution process is prohibited for staff involved in the care and evaluation of study patients or the handling of study data throughout the study.

The lyophilized vials of study medication must be stored immediately upon receipt in a [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Reconstituted study medication must be stored in the vial [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. If not used [REDACTED], study medication may not be used. Refer to the Pharmacy Manual for additional instructions.

5.8 Preparation of Study Medications/Treatments

To ensure that the same volume is injected into each of the muscles irrespective of the treatment group assignment, BOTOX (100 U vial) and placebo will be reconstituted by the IDR according to Table 2.

5.9 Treatment Administration

Patients will be injected according to the protocol-required dosing regimen.

Muscles will be injected using muscle localization techniques such as e-stimulation, sonography, and/or electromyography (EMG). Muscle localization using palpation alone is not permitted. Motor endplate targeting injection techniques are recommended ([Van Campenhout and Molenaers, 2011](#)).

The study medication may be administered in conjunction with appropriate local anesthesia according to each investigator's standard practice and must be documented in the patient's medical record and appropriate eCRF. General anesthesia (drug-induced depression of consciousness) or deep sedation/analgesia (drug-induced loss of consciousness) as defined in "Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologists" ([American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists, 2002](#)) is prohibited regardless of the medication used. Minimal sedation (anxiolysis) or moderate sedation/analgesia (conscious sedation) in addition to local anesthesia is allowed.

6. Response Measures and Summary of Data Collection Methods

6.1 Efficacy Measures

6.1.1 Primary Efficacy Measures

- MAS-B ankle score with knee extended
- CGI by Physician (for US FDA only)

6.1.2 Secondary Efficacy Measures

- CGI by Physician (for non-US FDA analyses)
- Goal Attainment Scale (GAS) by Physician
- Modified Tardieu Scale (MTS) of the ankle with knee extended and knee flexed

[REDACTED]

6.1.4 Safety Measures

- Adverse events
- Physical examination
- Urine pregnancy tests (for all females of childbearing potential)

- Hematology and serum chemistry
- Vital signs (blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature)
- Body weight
- Immunogenicity testing (for patients who weigh at least 15 kg at the screening visit)
- C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1)

6.2 Examination Procedures, Tests, Equipment, and Techniques

The procedures are described in Attachment 12.1.

6.3 Other Study Supplies

The following study supplies will be provided by Allergan or a vendor contracted by Allergan:

- Laboratory kits for the collection and shipment of hematology, biochemistry, immunogenicity, and urine samples (including pregnancy kits) will be provided by a vendor (eg, central laboratory) contracted by Allergan.
- Calibrated temperature recorder for monitoring drug storage refrigerator temperature
- Syringe labels
- Goniometer
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Kilogram-only weight scale for selected sites (note that weight must be measured and collected in kilograms)

The study sites will be responsible for providing the following supplies/equipment:

- Needles and syringes for study drug reconstitution and injection

- Sterile saline (0.9% without preservative) for study drug reconstitution
- Surgical gloves for study drug reconstitution
- [REDACTED]
- Access to a computer with internet connection (high-speed connection for eCRF completion)
- Standard 12-lead ECG
- Weight scale with height measure (if not supplied by Allergan)
- Centrifuge for processing lab samples
- Ultrasound and/or e-stimulation or EMG device for muscle localization techniques

6.4 Summary of Methods of Data Collection

An IVRS/IWRS will be used to screen, randomize, and manage study medication inventory. Data will be collected using eCRFs via a validated electronic data capture system (EDC). Source documents will be used and stored at the sites, and may include a patient's medical records, hospital charts, clinical charts, patient chart, copy of the EDC file, as well as the results of diagnostic tests such as laboratory tests, ultrasounds, x-rays, and ECGs. The videotapes captured for the Edinburgh Visual Gait assessment will be shipped or electronically transferred to Allergan and stored into an Image Repository. For patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1, the C-SSRS will be collected as a clinical interview and the scores will be collected from the designated site staff using an electronic data collection method (eg, electronic tablet).

A central laboratory will be used for the analysis of all blood samples. Blood samples for immunogenicity tests will be shipped by the central laboratory, analyzed by a specialty laboratory using validated assays, and the data will be transferred to Allergan.

7. Statistical Procedures

The database will be locked when all patients have completed the study. A detailed analysis plan will be generated prior to the final database lock. All planned analyses will be performed after the database has been locked and randomization data released.

7.1 Analysis Populations

Safety data will be analyzed on the basis of all treated patients based on the treatment received, defined as the safety population. Efficacy data, demographics, and background information will be analyzed on the basis of the modified intent-to-treat (mITT) population, defined as all randomized patients with a valid baseline MAS-B ankle score with knee extended and at least one post-baseline measurement at weeks 2, 4, or 6 for the MAS-B ankle score with knee extended and the CGI by Physician.

7.2 Collection and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Assessments

At each scheduled office visit at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12, the patient's spasticity of the ankle will be evaluated using the MAS-B. MAS-B scores of 0, 1, 1+, 2, 3, or 4 will be coded as grades of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, respectively. The MAS-B grade change from baseline to each post-randomization office visit and the primary endpoint, average change at weeks 4 and 6 using observed cases without imputation of missing values, will be derived for each patient. Additionally, a responder status will be determined according to whether or not a patient has at least a 1 grade reduction from baseline on the MAS-B.

The secondary efficacy measure CGI by Physician (for US FDA, CGI by Physician is a co-primary measure) will be measured at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12. CGI is a 9-point scale from -4 (very marked worsening) to +4 (very marked improvement). Each assessment is evaluated relative to the patient's baseline status obtained at day 1. The average of scores at weeks 4 and 6 using observed cases without imputation of missing values will be derived for CGI by Physician. Additionally, a responder status will be determined according to whether or not a patient has at least +1 score of CGI by Physician.

The secondary efficacy measures of MTS and GAS will also be collected at scheduled office visits according to the Schedule of Visits and Procedures in Table 1. The difference between slow (R2) and fast (R1) range of motion (R2 - R1; see Attachment 12.1.11 for a detailed description of the MTS) and respective change from baseline to each posttreatment office visit on the MTS will be derived. Multiple imputation (MI) method is only intended for MAS-B ankle score and the CGI by Physician as a sensitivity analysis. Missing values for other variables will not be imputed.

7.2.1 Primary Efficacy Variables

The primary efficacy variable is the grade change of MAS-B of the ankle plantar flexors with knee extended. The primary efficacy endpoint will be the average grade change from baseline in MAS-B at weeks 4 and 6. For US FDA only, the co-primary efficacy variables are the average grade change of MAS-B of the ankle plantar flexors with knee extended and the CGI by Physician. The co-primary efficacy endpoints will be the average grade change from baseline in MAS-B and the average CGI by Physician at weeks 4 and 6.

7.2.2 Secondary Efficacy Variables

CGI by Physician (for non-US FDA analyses) will be evaluated at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12. In addition to each timepoint, the average of the weeks 4 and 6 CGI by Physician will be analyzed. Functional goals, active and passive, using GAS by Physician will be evaluated separately relative to baseline at weeks 8 and 12. Secondary efficacy variables of MTS include the difference between slow (R2) and fast (R1) range of motion (R2 - R1) as well as respective change from baseline on the MTS for the ankle plantar flexors (with knee extended and knee flexed) at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12. Additionally changes from baseline for each R1 and R2 at each assessment point will be evaluated.



7.3 Hypothesis and Methods of Analysis

7.3.1 Primary Efficacy Analyses

The primary efficacy analyses will be based on the mITT population. The primary analysis will be conducted for the average change from baseline in MAS-B score at weeks 4 and 6. The statistical null hypothesis is that both higher dose (8 U/kg) and lower dose (4 U/kg) have the same mean as placebo. The alternative hypothesis is that at least one study dose has a different mean than placebo. The hypothesis will be tested based on the Least Square (LS) mean differences from an analysis of Mixed Model Repeated Measures (MMRM) at

significance level of 0.05. The primary variable will be summarized by treatment group. The difference of LS means of each dose group and the placebo group from MMRM model will be used to test the null hypothesis. The analysis will include observed data at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12. The model will include baseline MAS-B as a covariate and factors of age group, treatment group, visit, treatment-by-visit interaction, study center, and previous botulinum toxin exposure. Age group is represented by stratification categories (≤ 6 years and > 6 years for age group). Baseline MAS-B grade is a continuous variable included as a covariate. Unstructured covariance structure will be used. Two tests will be performed based on the pairwise comparisons: 8 U/kg versus placebo and 4 U/kg versus placebo, each at a 0.05 significance level.

To control the type I error rate for the endpoint of the average change from baseline in MAS-B score at weeks 4 and 6, a gatekeeping approach will be used for this variable (Dmitrienko et al, 2005). Specifically, for average change from baseline in MAS-B, the LS mean difference of 8 U/kg versus placebo from the MMRM will be tested first. If the test is not statistically significant at the level of 0.05, the test of the LS mean of difference of 4 U/kg versus placebo will not be considered statistically significant regardless of its p-value. Otherwise, if the test for 8 U/kg is statistically significant, then the test for 4 U/kg versus placebo will be evaluated based on its p-value.

For sensitivity analysis purposes to supplement the primary analyses, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model using MI for missing values will be conducted. A summary of the percentage of missing MAS-B scores by visit will also be provided. The ANCOVA will include baseline MAS-B score as a covariate and factors of age group, treatment group, study center and previous botulinum toxin exposure. Additional sensitivity analyses for the MAS-B changes from baseline at each assessment timepoint will also be performed using ANCOVA with the same model as specified above with observed data, and linear contrasts will be used to estimate the treatment differences between each study dose and placebo. In addition, the frequency distribution of the grade changes from baseline in the MAS-B, as well as the percentage of responders (with at least a 1 grade reduction in MAS-B), will be summarized by treatment group at each posttreatment office visit. A logistic regression analysis will also be performed on the responder status at each posttreatment visit with a covariate of baseline MAS-B and factors of age group, treatment group, study center, and previous botulinum toxin exposure, if applicable. The additional analyses will be conducted using observed cases unless stated otherwise.

For US FDA only, CGI by Physician will be a co-primary variable (see Section 7.7 for description of analyses).

7.3.2 Secondary Efficacy Analyses

The observed cases will be used for secondary efficacy analyses unless stated otherwise. CGI by Physician will be rated at study weeks 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12. CGI by Physician will be summarized and analyzed by MMRM as the average of values at weeks 4 and 6 as well as ANCOVA at each assessment timepoint using observed cases. The frequency distribution of the CGI scores, as well as the percentage of responders (CGI score of at least +1), will be summarized by treatment group at each posttreatment timepoint. A logistic regression analysis will also be performed on the responder status at each posttreatment timepoint with factors age group, treatment group, study center, and previous botulinum toxin exposure, if applicable. For GAS by Physician, scores for each of the 2 goals at weeks 8 and 12 will be summarized by treatment group and analyzed by ANCOVA as specified in Section 7.3.1 to assess the treatment differences. For MTS of the ankle of the study lower limb with knee extended and knee flexed, the difference between slow and fast motion (R2 - R1) and the individual R2 and R1 values in degree, as well as the respective changes from baseline, will be summarized by treatment group and analyzed by ANCOVA.





7.3.4 Safety Analyses

Safety variables, including the incidence of adverse events, the incidence of suicide-related events using the C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1), laboratory test values and changes from baseline, as well as vital signs and their change from baseline, will be summarized by treatment group using the safety population. The Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) nomenclature will be used to code adverse events and medical history. The incidence of adverse events (both pretreatment events and treatment-emergent events) will be tabulated by primary system organ class and preferred term for each treatment group. Possible distant spread of toxin adverse events will be assessed using a standardized methodology.

Descriptive statistics will be presented for vital signs and numeric laboratory tests and the respective changes from baseline. All laboratory values will be presented by descriptive statistics. In addition, laboratory values outside the normal reference ranges for each patient at each applicable visit will be identified and evaluated against baseline via shift table analysis. Potentially clinically significant abnormal values may be identified or summarized descriptively.

Immunogenicity results for each patient will be reported as positive, negative, or inconclusive. Correlations between immunogenicity results and other variables may be performed if warranted.

Approval Date: 25-Jul-2016

7.4 Subgroup Analyses

Among the efficacy variables, the primary and selected secondary efficacy variables will be summarized by baseline MAS-B score (2 and > 2), age (\leq 6 years and $>$ 6 years) and previous exposure to botulinum toxin.

7.5 Sample Size Calculation

Approximately 412 patients will be enrolled to ensure that at least 351 patients (117 per treatment group) will complete the study.

Using a 2-sample t-test, a significance level of 0.05, and the assumptions in Table 4, the power for MAS-B change from baseline is 94% (PASS, Hintze, 2008). The calculation is based on assumptions for 8 U/kg group and placebo group. Since there are 2 co-primary endpoints for the US FDA analysis, for 8 U/kg group the power for CGI by Physician is calculated to be 97%, and the overall power for the US FDA co-primary endpoints will be at least 91%. The assumptions for MAS-B were based on results of completed Studies BTX108509 and BTX108512; the estimates for CGI were based on results of completed Study 191622-021.

Table 4 **Sample Size Assumptions and Power Calculations**

Endpoint	Average of Week 4 and 6 for 8 U/kg group	Average of Week 4 and 6 for Placebo group	Standard Deviation	Power
MAS-B Change from Baseline	-0.8	-0.45	0.75	94%
CGI by Physician (Co-primary for US FDA Analysis)	1.2	0.6	1.2	97%
Overall Endpoints				91%

CGI = Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change; MAS-B = Modified Ashworth Scale – Bohannon; US FDA = United States Food and Drug Administration

7.6 Interim Analyses

There is no planned interim analysis.

7.7 Additional Analysis/Inference for US FDA

Analyses are as described in all preceding sections except for the items described below.

7.7.1 Primary Efficacy Analyses

There are 2 co-primary endpoints: the average grade change from baseline in the MAS-B ankle score at weeks 4 and 6 and the CGI by Physician at weeks 4 and 6. For both the co-primary endpoints, the statistical null hypothesis is that both the higher dose (8 U/kg) and the lower dose (4 U/kg) have the same mean as placebo. The alternative hypothesis is that at least one study dose has a different mean than placebo.

In addition to the primary statistical analyses cited in Section 7.3.1 for the average of the observed grade change from baseline in MAS-B, the average observed CGI by Physician at weeks 4 and 6 will also be analyzed using the same statistical method (with the same MMRM model).

The Hochberg procedure ([Hochberg and Tamhane, 1987](#)) will be used to control the family-wise type I error rate. With 2 doses and 2 co-primary endpoints in each dose, the maximum p value for the 2 co-primary endpoints in each dose will be used in the Hochberg procedure. We first define the following values:

- p11: p value for Botox 8 U/kg vs placebo comparing MAS-B
- p12: p value for Botox 4 U/kg vs placebo comparing MAS-B
- p21: p value for Botox 8 U/kg vs placebo comparing CGI
- p22: p value for Botox 4 U/kg vs placebo comparing CGI
- p1 = max(p11, p21), p2 = max(p12, p22).

p1 and p2 will be sorted in an increasing order to get $p(1) \leq p(2)$. The following decision rule will then be applied:

Step 1: If $p(2) \leq 0.05$, both doses are considered efficacious; otherwise go to step 2.

Step 2: If $p(1) \leq 0.025$, its corresponding dose is considered efficacious; otherwise go to step 3.

Step 3: Neither dose is considered efficacious.

For sensitivity analysis purposes to supplement the co-primary analyses, ANCOVA using MI for missing values will be conducted for the co-primary endpoints of MAS-B and CGI. The model will include baseline MAS-B as a covariate and factors of age group, treatment group, study center, and previous botulinum toxin exposure. A summary of the percentages of missing MAS-B and CGI scores by visit will also be provided. Additional sensitivity analyses for the MAS-B changes from baseline and CGI scores at each assessment time point will also be performed using ANCOVA with observed data, and linear contrasts will be used to estimate the treatment differences between each study dose and placebo. The secondary efficacy analyses will be the same as the statistical analyses cited in Section 7.3.2 except for the analyses for CGI by Physician which is described above.

8. Study Visit Schedule and Procedures

Please see Table 1 for a schematic of the schedule of visits and procedures.

8.1 Patient Entry Procedures

8.1.1 Overview of Entry Procedures

Prospective patients as defined by the criteria in Sections [4.3](#) and [4.4](#) (inclusion/exclusion criteria) will be considered for entry into this study.

8.1.2 Informed Consent and Patient Privacy

The study will be discussed with the patient/legally authorized representative and a patient/wishing to participate or his/her legally authorized representative must give informed consent and, when applicable, minor assent prior to any study-related procedures or change in treatment. The patient/legally authorized representative must also give authorization (US only), data protection consent (Europe only), and other written documentation in accordance with the relevant country and local privacy requirements (where applicable) prior to any study-related procedures or change in treatment.

Further information is provided in Section [10.1](#).

Each patient who provides informed consent and/or assent will be assigned a patient number that will be used on patient documentation throughout the study.

8.2 Procedures for Final Study Entry

At visit 3 (randomization) patients must meet all of the inclusion criteria and must not meet any of the exclusion criteria. Also, all females of childbearing potential must have a negative urine pregnancy test.

The patient is considered to have entered this study at the time of randomization to treatment on day 1. See Section 5.5 for the method for assignment to treatment groups/randomization.

8.3 Visits and Associated Procedures

Ideally, the CGI by Physician should be performed prior to administering the MAS-B and MTS to reduce the likelihood of the CGI being influenced by the spasticity evaluations. An alternative is to have different evaluators rate the CGI and perform the spasticity assessments (MAS-B and MTS). Whenever possible each procedure should be performed by the same evaluator for an individual patient throughout the trial. Study personnel should avoid

reviewing or discussing the MAS-B, MTS, or CGI scores from previous visits when they are completing these assessments.

In addition to the procedures outlined below, patients are to complete approximately 1-hour weekly standardized PT sessions (see Section 4.5.3) from week -2 through week 11.

8.3.1 Visit 1: 2 to 4 Weeks Before Day 1 (Screening)

- Obtain written informed consent and minor assent and data protection from the patient and/or legally authorized representative prior to performing any study-related procedures.
- Obtain patient identification number from the IVRS/IWRS.
- Obtain demographic information, including date of birth. Ensure that the patient will be \geq 2 years and \leq 16 years and 11 months (prior to 17th birthday) on projected day 1, randomization visit.
- Obtain medical and surgical history, ensuring that the patient is monoplegic or hemiplegic with dynamic muscle contracture of the ankle plantar flexors. Include details on histories of dysphagia, drooling, aspiration pneumonia, seizure, and epilepsy.
- Obtain past (in the last 3 months) and current concomitant medication use (including prescription, non-prescription, and herbal medications) and procedures. Previous use of botulinum toxin, phenol, and alcohol injections should be included.
- Perform C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age on day 1).
- Perform physical examination, including measurement of height and weight.
- Obtain vital signs (blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature).
- Perform ECG.
- Collect blood sample for hematology, serum chemistry, and HbA1c analysis after informed consent is signed. Fasting blood samples should be collected unless the patient is not able to fast for approximately 8 hours. Patients who are willing to fast

and did not come to the visit in a fasting state may return prior to the day 1 visit for blood sample collection as long as the laboratory results can be received and reviewed at or before the day 1 visit.

- For patients who weigh at least 15 kg, collect blood sample for immunogenicity testing.
- Perform urine pregnancy test for all females of childbearing potential.
- Discuss choice of contraceptive method with female patients of childbearing potential and/or their caregivers and document method of contraception in the source documentation and the eCRF. Counsel such female patients/caregivers regarding the importance of maintaining their agreed upon method of contraception throughout the study.
- Ascertain spasticity only in the study lower limb using the HAT.
- Assess dystonia only in the study lower limb using the BAD scale.
- Assess gross motor function using the GMFCS-E&R.
- Assess spasticity in the ankle (with knee extended and knee flexed) and the knee of the study limb using the MAS-B.
- Perform MTS in the ankle of the study limb with knee extended and knee flexed. Note that the angle of slow stretch (R2) of MTS in the ankle with knee extended may be used to ensure the patient meets the inclusion criterion for acceptable minimal range of dorsiflexion.
- Evaluate inclusion and exclusion criteria.
 - For patients who are not eligible for the study (screen failures), depending on the reason(s) for screen failure, collect detailed information regarding spasticity, contracture, respiratory condition or functions associated with increased risk of aspiration, seizure, and epilepsy.
- Query patient for any adverse event that has occurred and any related medication used or procedure performed since signing the informed consent.

- Instruct patient/caregiver to initiate approximately 1-hour weekly standardized PT session with the therapist at week -2 and schedule through the last session at week 11.
- Schedule the next visit, if applicable.

8.3.2 Visit 2: Week -2 (PT Start) – Visit with the Physical Therapist

- Establish with each patient/caregiver 2 goals for the GAS (1 functional active and 1 functional passive) and define each level of patient-specific goal achievement for each of the 2 goals using the GAS by Physician.
- With the patient/caregiver, review protocol-specified standardized weekly PT and develop a patient-tailored PT plan.
- If patient reports adverse events to the therapist, the therapist should inform the Study Coordinator for further follow-up regarding adverse events and related medication used or procedures performed.
- Schedule the next weekly PT session.

8.3.3 Visit 3: Day 1 (Randomization/Treatment)

- **The following procedures must be performed prior to the study treatment:**
 - [REDACTED]
 - Note any update to medical and surgical history, if needed. Query patient/caregiver for any adverse events prior to study treatment.
 - Perform C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1).
 - For patients who are not eligible for the study (screen failures), depending on the reason(s) for screen failure, collect detailed information regarding spasticity, contracture, respiratory condition or functions associated with increased risk of aspiration, seizure, and epilepsy.
 - Obtain any update to concomitant medication use.
 - Obtain any information on new medical procedures or tests since the last visit.

- Obtain vital signs (blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature).
- Obtain weight in kilograms.
- Review the results of the screening safety laboratory tests.
- Perform urine pregnancy test for all females of childbearing potential.
- Assess spasticity in the ankle (with knee extended and knee flexed) and the knee of the study limb using the MAS-B.
- Perform MTS for the ankle of the study limb with knee extended and knee flexed. Note that the angle of slow stretch (R2) of MTS in the ankle with knee extended may be used to ensure the patient meets the inclusion criterion for acceptable minimal range of dorsiflexion.
- Confirm the 2 goals selected and the definitions of patient-specific goal achievement using the GAS by Physician.
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- If applicable, counsel female patients and/or caregivers of childbearing potential regarding the importance of maintaining their agreed upon method of contraception throughout the study.
- Confirm patient continues to meet study inclusion and exclusion criteria.
- Calculate the dose for study treatment using the dose calculation worksheet and dose verification eCRF.
- Access the IVRS/IWRS to randomize the patient and obtain a study medication kit number.
- Inform IDR that patient is qualified for the study treatment and send blinded dosing summary to the IDR for study drug preparation.
- Inject assigned study treatment as per protocol (see Section 5.9).

- Query patient/caregiver for any adverse events and related medication used or procedure performed.
- Remind patient/caregiver of the importance of the weekly PT session.
- Schedule the next visit.

8.3.4 Visits 4, 5, 6, and 7: Weeks 2, 4, 6, and 8 (Follow-up Visits)

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

- Query patient/caregiver for any adverse events.
- Perform C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1).
- Obtain any update to concomitant medication use.
- Obtain any information on new medical procedures or tests since the last visit.
- Rate the CGI by Physician before performing the spasticity assessments (MAS-B and MTS).
- Assess spasticity in the ankle (with knee extended and knee flexed) of the study limb using the MAS-B.
- Perform MTS for the ankle of the study limb with knee extended and knee flexed.
- Only at visit 7/week 8, evaluate the patient's performance for each of the 2 goals using the GAS by Physician.
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Remind patient/caregiver of the importance of the weekly PT session.

- Schedule the next visit.

8.3.5 Visit 8: Week 12 or Early Termination (Exit Visit)

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Query patient/caregiver for any adverse events.
- Perform C-SSRS (for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1).
- Obtain any update to concomitant medication use.
- Obtain any information on new medical procedures or tests since the last visit.
- Perform physical examination, including measurement of weight.
- Obtain vital signs (blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature).
- Collect blood sample for hematology, serum chemistry, and hemoglobin A1c analysis for all patients. Fasting blood samples should be collected unless the patient is not able to fast for approximately 8 hours.
- For patients who weighed at least 15 kg at the screening visit, collect blood sample for immunogenicity testing.
- Perform urine pregnancy test for all females of childbearing potential.
- Rate the CGI by Physician before performing the spasticity assessments (MAS-B and MTS).
- Assess spasticity in the ankle (with knee extended and knee flexed) of the study limb using the MAS-B.
- Perform MTS for the ankle of the study limb with knee extended and knee flexed.
- Evaluate the patient's performance for each of the 2 goals using the GAS by Physician.

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Any adverse event that is marked “ongoing” at the exit visit must be followed-up as appropriate.
- Enter patient exit status in the IVRS/IWRS.
- If the patient/legally authorized representative agrees to participate the follow on Study 191622-112 have the patient/legally authorized representative sign the consent/assent/privacy form and proceed with the day 1 visit for that study.

8.4 Instructions for the Patients

Patients/caregivers will be instructed to strictly follow the study visit schedule and report any changes in condition to the investigative site.

Patients/caregivers will be instructed to complete the approximately 1-hour weekly standardized PT sessions (see Section 4.5.3) from week -2 through week 11.

8.5 Unscheduled Visits

Unscheduled visits can be performed if safety concerns arise and at the discretion of the investigator. Additional examinations may be performed as necessary to ensure the safety and well being of patients during the study. eCRFs will be completed for each unscheduled visit.

8.6 Compliance With Protocol

At each post-baseline visit, patients/caregivers will be questioned on concomitant medication use and procedures or tests since the last visit to ensure protocol compliance.

8.7 Early Discontinuation of Patients

Patients may voluntarily withdraw from the study at any time or may be withdrawn at the discretion of the investigator and Allergan due to clinically significant findings including, but not limited to, adverse events and clinical laboratory abnormalities. Allergan will be notified of early patient discontinuation from the study and the reason for discontinuation will be clearly documented on the appropriate eCRF.

The last visit for the patient will be considered the study exit visit. Procedures for week 12 will be performed if the study exit visit occurs earlier than week 12.

8.8 Withdrawal Criteria

Patients have the right to withdraw from the study at any time and for any reason without prejudice to his or her future medical care by the physician or the institution. The investigator and Allergan also have the right to withdraw a patient from the study at any time for any reason. Patients who withdraw from the study will not be replaced.

8.9 Study Termination

The study may be stopped at his/her study site at any time by the site investigator. Allergan may stop the study (and/or the study site) for any reason with appropriate notification.

9. Adverse Events

Adverse events occurring during the study will be recorded on an adverse event eCRF. If adverse events occur, the first concern will be the safety of the study participants.

9.1 Definitions

9.1.1 Adverse Event

An adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or clinical investigation subject administered a pharmaceutical product and that does not necessarily have a causal relationship with this treatment. An adverse event can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medicinal (investigational) product, whether or not related to the medicinal (investigational) product. In addition, during the screening period, adverse events will be assessed regardless of the administration of a pharmaceutical product.

Adverse events will be assessed and documented, as appropriate, throughout the study (ie, after informed consent has been obtained). At each visit, the investigator will begin by querying for adverse events by asking each patient/caregiver a general, non-directed question such as "How have you been feeling since the last visit?" Directed questioning and examination will then be done as appropriate. All reported adverse events will be documented on the appropriate eCRF.

9.1.2 Serious Adverse Event

A serious adverse event is any adverse event occurring at any dose that results in any of the following outcomes: death, a life-threatening adverse event, inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, a persistent or significant disability/incapacity, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect. Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgment, they may jeopardize the patient or subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition. (See Section 9.3 for procedures for reporting a serious adverse event.)

Note: Allergan considers all cancer adverse events as serious adverse events. In addition, Allergan considers any abortion (spontaneous or nonspontaneous) as a serious adverse event.

9.1.3 Severity

A clinical determination will be made of the intensity of an adverse event. The severity assessment for a clinical adverse event must be completed using the following definitions as guidelines:

Mild	Awareness of sign or symptom, but easily tolerated
Moderate	Discomfort enough to cause interference with usual activity
Severe	Incapacitating with inability to work or do usual activity
Not applicable	In some cases, an adverse event may be an 'all or nothing' finding which cannot be graded

9.1.4 Relationship to Study Drug or Study Procedure

A determination will be made of the relationship (if any) between an adverse event and the study drug or study procedure, as applicable. A causal relationship is present if a determination is made that there is a reasonable possibility that the adverse event may have been caused by the drug or study procedure.

9.2 Procedures for Reporting Adverse Events

Any adverse event must be recorded on the appropriate eCRF.

All adverse events that are drug-related and unexpected (not listed as treatment-related in the current Investigator's Brochure) must be reported to the governing IRB/IEC as required by the IRB/IEC, local regulations, and the governing health authorities. Any adverse event that is marked "ongoing" at the exit visit must be followed-up as appropriate.

9.3 Procedures for Reporting a Serious Adverse Event

Any serious adverse event occurring during the study period (beginning with informed consent) and for at least 28 days after the last dose of study drug must be immediately reported no later than 24 hours after learning of a serious adverse event. Serious adverse events must be reported to Allergan or its designee as listed on the Allergan Study Contacts Page and recorded on the serious adverse event form. All patients with a serious adverse event must be followed and the outcomes reported. The investigator must supply Allergan and the IRB/IEC with any additional requested information (eg, autopsy reports and terminal medical reports).

In the event of a serious adverse event, the investigator must:

1. Notify Allergan immediately by fax or email using the serious adverse event form (contact details can be found on page 1 of the serious adverse event form); phone numbers and relevant Allergan personnel contacts are also on the front page of protocol.
2. Obtain and maintain in his/her files all pertinent medical records, information, and medical judgments from colleagues who assisted in the treatment and follow-up of the patient.
3. Provide Allergan with a complete, written case history (adverse event report form) which includes a statement as to whether the event was or was not related to the use of the investigational drug.
4. Promptly inform the governing IRB/IEC of the serious adverse event as required by the IRB/IEC, local regulations, and the governing health authorities.

9.4 Procedures for Unmasking of Study Medication

When necessary for the safety and proper treatment of the patient, the investigator can unmask the patient's treatment assignment to determine which treatment has been assigned and institute appropriate follow-up care. When possible, the sponsor (Allergan Medical

Safety Physician) should be notified prior to unmasking study medication. The investigator must inform the sponsor (Allergan Medical Safety Physician) of the unmasking if there is no notification prior to the unmasking.

The treatment assignment for the patient can be determined by designated site personnel calling into the IVRS/IWRS system via password protected access. The reason for breaking the code must be recorded in the patient's source documents.

10. Administrative Items

This protocol is to be conducted in accordance with the applicable Good Clinical Practice (GCP) regulations and guidelines, eg, the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Guideline on GCP.

10.1 Protection of Human Subjects

10.1.1 Compliance with Informed Consent Regulations (US 21 CFR Part 50) and Relevant Country Regulations

Written informed consent is to be obtained from each patient prior to enrollment into the study, and/or from the patient's legally authorized representative. If the patient is under the legal age of consent, the consent form must be signed by the legally authorized representative in accordance with the relevant country and local regulatory requirements.

Written parental/legally authorized representative informed consent in addition to a separate written minor consent and/or assent (in accordance with any applicable state and local laws/regulations) are required for each minor study patient prior to study enrollment or any study-related procedures in the study.

10.1.2 Compliance With IRB or IEC Regulations

This study is to be conducted in accordance with IRB regulations (US 21 CFR Part 56.103) or applicable IEC regulations. The investigator must obtain approval from a properly constituted IRB/IEC prior to initiating the study and re-approval or review at least annually. Allergan is to be notified immediately if the responsible IRB/IEC has been disqualified or if proceedings leading to disqualification have begun. Copies of all IRB/IEC correspondence with the investigator should be provided to Allergan.

10.1.3 Compliance With Good Clinical Practice

This protocol is to be conducted in accordance with the applicable GCP regulations and guidelines.

10.1.4 Compliance With Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures Regulations (21 CFR Part 11)

This study is to be conducted in compliance with the regulations on electronic records and electronic signature.

10.2 Changes to the Protocol

The investigator must not implement any deviation from or changes of the protocol without approval by Allergan and prior review and documented approval/favorable opinion from the IRB/IEC of a protocol amendment, except where necessary to eliminate immediate hazards to study patients, or when the changes involve only logistical or administrative aspects of the study (eg, change in monitors, change of telephone numbers).

10.3 Patient Confidentiality

A report of the results of this study may be published or sent to the appropriate health authorities in any country in which the study drug may ultimately be marketed, but the patient's name will not be disclosed in these documents. The patient's name may be disclosed to the Sponsor of the study, Allergan, or the governing health authorities or the FDA if they inspect the study records. Appropriate precautions will be taken to maintain confidentiality of medical records and personal information.

10.3.1 Patient Privacy

Written authorization (US sites only), data protection consent (European sites only), and other documentation in accordance with the relevant country and local privacy requirements (where applicable) is to be obtained from each patient prior to enrollment into the study, and/or from the patient's legally authorized representative in accordance with the applicable privacy requirements (eg, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information ("HIPAA"), European Union Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC ["EU Directive"]).

In accordance with HIPAA requirements, additional purposes of this study include the following:

- to publish anonymous patient data from the study, and
- to create and maintain a data repository

10.4 Documentation

10.4.1 Source Documents

Source documents may include a patient's medical records, hospital charts, clinic charts, the investigator's patient study files, as well as the results of diagnostic tests such as X-rays, laboratory tests, and electrocardiograms. The investigator's copy of the case report forms serves as part of the investigator's record of a patient's study-related data.

The following information should be entered into the patient's medical record:

- Patient's name
- Patient's contact information
- The date that the patient entered the study, patient number, and medication kit number
- The study title and/or the protocol number of the study and the name of Allergan
- A statement that informed consent and/or assent, if applicable was obtained (including the date). A statement that written authorization (US sites only), data protection consent (EU sites only), or other country and local patient privacy required documentation for this study has been obtained (including the date).
- A statement that patient meets all the inclusion criteria and does not meet any of the exclusion criteria. If a patient does not qualify for the study, a screen failure reason must be noted.
- Dates of all patient visits
- Medical and surgical history
- Documentation of results of all procedures conducted during the course of the trial, including the dose determination process. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- The results of laboratory tests performed by the site (eg, results of hematology, serum chemistry, HbA1c, urine pregnancy, and immunogenicity tests).
- All concurrent medications (list all prescription, non-prescription and herbal medications being taken 3 months prior to or at the time of enrollment). At each subsequent visit, changes to the list of medications and concurrent procedures should be recorded.
- Occurrence and status of any adverse events
- The date the patient exited the study, and a notation as to whether the patient completed the study or reason for discontinuation

10.4.2 Case Report Form Completion

The investigator is responsible for ensuring that data are properly recorded in each patient's eCRF and related documents. An investigator who has signed the protocol signature page should personally sign for the eCRFs (as indicated in the eCRFs) to ensure that the observations and findings are recorded in the eCRFs correctly and completely. The eCRFs are to be completed in a timely manner.

10.4.3 Study Summary

An investigator's summary will be provided to Allergan within a short time after the completion of the study, or as designated by Allergan. A summary is also to be provided to the responsible IRB/IEC.

10.4.4 Retention of Documentation

All study-related correspondence, patient records, consent forms, patient privacy documentation, records of the distribution and use of all investigational products, and electronic copies of eCRFs must be maintained on file.

For countries falling within the scope of the ICH guidelines, the Allergan-specific essential documents should be retained until at least 2 years after the last approval of a marketing application in an ICH region and until there are no pending or contemplated marketing applications in an ICH region or at least 2 years have elapsed since the formal discontinuation of clinical development of the investigational product. These documents

should be retained for a longer period, however, if required by the applicable regulatory requirement(s) or if needed by Allergan.

In addition, for countries not falling within the scope of the ICH guidelines, local regulatory requirements should be followed regarding the retention of clinical study documentation.

Allergan requires that it be notified in writing if the investigator wishes to relinquish ownership of the data so that mutually agreed-upon arrangements can be made for transfer of ownership to a suitably qualified, responsible person.

10.5 Labeling, Packaging, and Return or Disposal of Study Medications/Treatments

10.5.1 Labeling/Packaging

The investigational materials will be packaged and labeled in identically appearing vials. The study treatment will be identified as an investigational compound. The study number and kit number will be identified on the unit label.

10.5.2 Clinical Supply Inventory

The investigator must keep an accurate accounting of the number of investigational units received from Allergan, dispensed to the patients, and the number of units returned to Allergan or Allergan designee during and at the completion of the study. A detailed inventory must be completed for the study medication. The study medication must be reconstituted and administered only by appropriately qualified persons to patients in the study. The medication is to be used in accordance with the protocol under the direct supervision of an investigator.

10.5.3 Return or Disposal of Study Medications/Treatments and/or Supplies

All clinical study medications/treatments and/or supplies will be returned to Allergan or Allergan designee for destruction.

10.6 Monitoring by the Sponsor

A representative of Allergan will monitor the study on a periodic basis. The determination of the extent and nature of monitoring will be based on considerations such as the objective, purpose, design, complexity, blinding, size, and endpoints of the study.

Authorized representatives of Allergan or regulatory authority representatives will conduct on-site visits to review, audit and copy study-related documents. These representatives will meet with the investigator(s) and appropriate staff at mutually convenient times to discuss study-related data and questions.

10.7 Handling of Biological Specimens

Laboratory specimens for blood chemistry panel, hematology, and HbA1c will be sent to a centralized clinical laboratory with certification from a recognized accreditation agency (eg, College of American Pathology [CAP] or Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments [CLIA] certification) to be assayed using validated methods. Samples for immunogenicity will be sent to the central laboratory for temporary storage and will then be sent to a specialty laboratory for analysis. Allergan shall have full ownership rights to any biological specimens/samples derived from the study.

Approximately 14 mL of blood is estimated to be collected at a given visit for children 15 kg and above (7 mL for hematology and chemistry and 7 mL for immunogenicity testing).

Approximately 7 mL of blood will be collected at a given visit for children under 15 kg in weight. Please refer to the Laboratory Manual for details regarding specimen sample collection, processing, storage, and shipping procedures.

10.8 Publications

Allergan as the sponsor, has proprietary interest in this study. Authorship and manuscript composition will reflect joint cooperation between multiple investigators and sites and Allergan personnel. Authorship will be established prior to the writing of the manuscript. As this study involves multiple centers, no individual publications will be allowed prior to completion of the final report of the multicenter study except as agreed with Allergan.

10.9 Coordinating Investigator

A signatory Coordinating Investigator will be designated prior to the writing of the Clinical Study Report.

11. References

American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologists. *Anesthesiology*. 2002;96:1004-1017.

Barry MJ, Van Swearingen JM, Albright AL. Reliability and responsiveness of the Barry-Albright Dystonia scale. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1999;41:404-411.

Beckung E, Hagberg G. Neuroimpairment, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2002;44:309-316.

Bohannon RW, Smith MB. Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity. *Phys Ther*. 1987;67:206-207.

Butler C, Darrah J. Effects of neurodevelopmental treatment (NDT) for cerebral palsy: an AAPDPM evidence report. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2001;43:778-790.

Chambers HG. The surgical treatment of spasticity. *Muscle Nerve Suppl*. 1997;6:S121-128.

Cobeljic G, Bumbasirevic M, Lesic A, Bajin Z. The management of spastic equinus in cerebral palsy. *Orthop Trauma*. 2009;23:201-209.

Delgado MR, Hirtz D, Aisen M, Ashwal S, Fehlings DL, McLaughlin J, et al. Practice parameter: pharmacologic treatment of spasticity in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy (an evidence-based review). *Neurology*. 2010;74:336-343.

Detrembleur C, Lejeune TM, Renders A, Van Den Bergh PY. Botulinum toxin and short-term electrical stimulation in the treatment of equinus in cerebral palsy. *Mov Disord*. 2002;17:162-169.

Dmitrienko A, Molenberghs G, Chuang-Stein C, Offen W. Analysis of clinical trials using SAS: a practical guide. Cary N.C.: SAS Institute Inc.; 2005:104-120.

Dumas HM, O'Neil ME, Fragala MA. Expert consensus on physical therapist intervention after botulinum toxin A injection for children with cerebral palsy. *Pediatr Phys Ther*. 2001;13:122-132.

Dunne JW, Heye N, Dunne SL. Treatment of chronic limb spasticity with botulinum toxin A. *J Neurol Neuros Psy*. 1995;58:232-235.

Gracies JM, Elovic E, McGuire J, Simpson D. Traditional pharmacological treatments for spasticity. Part 1: Local treatments. *Muscle Nerve Suppl.* 1997;7:S61-S91.

Hawamdeh ZM, Ibrahim AI, Al-Qudah AA. Long-term effect of botulinum toxin (A) in the management of calf spasticity in children with diplegic cerebral palsy. *Eura Medicophys.* 2007;43:311-318.

Heinen F, Desloovere K, Schroeder AS, Berweck S, Borggraefe I, van Campenhout A, et al. The updated European Consensus 2009 on the use of botulinum toxin for children with cerebral palsy. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* 2010;14:45-66.

Heinen F, Schroeder AS, Fietzek U, Berweck S. When it comes to botulinum toxin, children and adults are not the same: multimuscle option for children with cerebral palsy. *Mov Disord.* 2006;21:2029-2030.

Hintze J. PASS (Power Analysis and Sample Size) 2008. Kaysville, Utah: NCSS, LLC; 2008. Available at: www.ncss.com.

Hochberg Y, Tamhane A. Multiple Comparison Procedures. New York: John Wiley; 1987.

Jethwa A, Jonathan M, Macarthur C, Knights S, Fehlings T, Fehlings D. Development of the Hypertonia Assessment Tool (HAT): a discriminative tool for hypertonia in children. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2010;52:e83-e87.

Koman IA, Smith BP, Shilt JS. Cerebral palsy. *Lancet.* 2004;363:1619-1631.

Lance JW. Symposium synopsis. In: Feldmann RG, Young RR, Koella WP, eds. Spasticity disordered motor control. Chicago, Illinois: Year Book Medical Publishers; 1980:485-494.

Lannin N, Scheinberg A, Clark K. AACPDM systematic review of the effectiveness of therapy for children with cerebral palsy after botulinum toxin A injections. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2006;48:533-539.

Love SC, Novak I, Kentish M, Desloovere K, Heinen F, Molenaers G, et al. Botulinum toxin assessment, intervention, and after-care for lower limb spasticity in children with cerebral palsy: international consensus statement. *Eur J Neurol.* 2010;17(Suppl 2):9-37.

Love SC, Valentine JP, Blair EM, Price CJ, Cole JH, Chauvel PJ. The effect of botulinum toxin type A on the functional ability of the child with spastic hemiplegia: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Neurol.* 2001;8(Suppl 5):50-58.

Molenaers G, Desloovere K, Fabry G, de Cock P. The effects of quantitative gait assessment and botulinum toxin A on musculoskeletal surgery in children with cerebral palsy. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2006;88:161-170.

Molenaers G, Schörkhuber V, Fagard K, Van Campenhout A, De Cat J, Pauwels P, et al. Long-term use of botulinum toxin type A in children with cerebral palsy: treatment consistency. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* 2009;13:421-429.

Odding E, Roebroeck ME, Stam HJ. The epidemiology of cerebral palsy: incidence, impairments and risk factors. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2006;28:183-191.

O'Flaherty S, Waugh MC. Pharmacologic management of the spastic and dystonic upper limb in children with cerebral palsy. *Hand Clin.* 2003;19:585-589.

Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Bartlett D, Livingston M. GMFCS – E&R: Gross motor function classification system expanded and revised. 2007. Available from: <http://motorgrowth.canchild.ca/en/GMFCS/resources/GMFCS-ER.pdf>.

Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, Brent DA, Yershova KV, Oquendo MA, et al. The Columbia- Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS): Initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multi-site studies with adolescents and adults. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2011;168:1266-1277.

Read HS, Hazlewood ME, Hillman SJ, Prescott RJ, Robb JE. Edinburgh Visual Gait Score for use in cerebral palsy. *J Pediatr Orthopaed.* 2003;23:296-301.

Rodda J, Graham HK. Classification of gait patterns in spastic hemiplegia and spastic diplegia: a basis for a management algorithm. *Eur J Neurol.* 2001;8 (Suppl 5):98-108.

Russman BS, Tilton AH, Gormley Jr ME. Cerebral palsy: a rational approach to a treatment protocol and the role of botulinum toxin in treatment. In: Brashears A, Mayer NH, eds. Spasticity and other forms of muscle overactivity in the upper motor neuron syndrome. Etiology, evaluation, management and the role of botulinum toxin. New York, New York: WeMove; 2008: Chapter 12.

Scheinberg A, Hall K, Lam LT, O'Flaherty S. Oral baclofen in children with cerebral palsy: A double-blind cross-over pilot study. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2006;42:715-720.

Stanley F, Blair E, Alberman E. Cerebral Palsy: epidemiology and causal pathways. *Clinics in Developmental Medicine*, No 151. London, England: Mac Keith Press; 2000:4-21.

Steinbok P. Selection of treatment modalities in children with spastic cerebral palsy. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2006;21:1-8.

Van Campenhout A, Molenaers G. Localization of the motor endplate zone in human skeletal muscles of the lower limb: anatomical guidelines for injection with botulinum toxin. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2011;53:108-119.

von Baeyer CL, Spagrud LJ. Systematic review of observational (behavioral) measures of pain for children and adolescents aged 3 to 18 years. *Pain*. 2007;127:140-150.

Wallen M, Waugh M-C, O'Flaherty S. Functional outcomes of intramuscular botulinum toxin type A and occupational therapy in the upper limbs of children with cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2007;88:1-10.

12. Attachments

12.1 Examination Procedures, Tests, Equipment, and Techniques

Further details are provided in the Study Procedure Manual.

12.1.1 Physical Examination

The investigator physician will examine the patient for any detectable abnormalities of the following body systems: general appearance, head/eyes/ears/nose/throat, lungs, heart/cardiovascular, abdomen, neurologic, extremities, back (including spinal deformity), musculoskeletal, lymphatic, skin, and other. Weight in kilograms and height (height measured at screening visit only) should be measured consistently throughout the study using a ruler and calibrated scale; patients should wear similar clothing and remove items such as splints, orthotics, braces, shoes, heavy clothes, and wet diapers for each weight measurement.

12.1.2 Vital Signs

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, and respiratory rate will be taken after patients have been at rest (seated) for at least 2 minutes. Blood pressure will be recorded in mm Hg. Pulse rate and respiratory rate will be measured in beats or breaths per minutes, respectively, by counting over 30 seconds and multiplying by 2.

Oral, axillary, temporal, rectal, or tympanic body temperature may be taken. The same route should be used throughout the study. If oral temperature is taken, the patient should not have any oral intake for at least 5 minutes prior to the measurement.

12.1.3 Electrocardiogram

A standard 12-lead ECG will be performed at screening to ensure that a patient does not have a clinically significant condition that may indicate an unacceptable safety risk for study participation.

12.1.4 Hematology and Serum Chemistry

During screening, patients will need to have a blood sample for laboratory evaluations collected after informed consent is signed. Another blood sample will be collected at the study exit visit. Blood specimens will be collected using standard laboratory instructions and procedures provided by the central laboratory. Fasting blood samples should be collected

BOTOX® (Botulinum Toxin Type A) Purified Neurotoxin Complex

unless the patient is not able to fast for approximately 8 hours. Patients who are willing to fast and did not come to the screening visit in a fasting state may return prior to the day 1 visit for blood sample collection as long as the laboratory results can be received and reviewed at or before the day 1 visit. The blood specimens will be analyzed for the following:

Hematology	hemoglobin	hematocrit	red blood cells
	white blood cells	neutrophils	bands
	lymphocytes	monocytes	basophils
	eosinophils	platelets	
Electrolytes	sodium	potassium	bicarbonate
	chloride	phosphorus	calcium
Chemistry	glucose	creatinine	urea nitrogen
	total bilirubin	alkaline phosphatase (total plus bone and liver fractions)	aspartate aminotransferase (serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase)
	alanine aminotransferase (serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase)	albumin	
Other	HbA1c	25 hydroxyl vitamin D	Parathyroid hormone if alkaline phosphatase bone fraction is abnormal

Reference ranges for alkaline phosphatase and glucose are shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Reference Ranges for Alkaline Phosphatase and Glucose

Parameter	Age (Years)	Range
Alkaline phosphatase		
Female	1 to 3	125 to 320 U/L
	4 to 6	150 to 370 U/L
	7 to 9	150 to 440 U/L
	10 to 11	150 to 530 U/L
	12 to 13	110 to 525 U/L
	14 to 15	55 to 305 U/L
	16 to 19	40 to 120 U/L
Male	1 to 3	125 to 320 U/L
	4 to 6	150 to 370 U/L
	7 to 9	150 to 440 U/L
	10 to 11	150 to 470 U/L
	12 to 13	160 to 500 U/L
	14 to 15	130 to 530 U/L
	16 to 19	60 to 270 U/L
Bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (ostase)		
Female	1 to 6	0 to 189 U/L
	7 to 9	0 to 246 U/L
	10 to 13	0 to 340 U/L
	14 to 15	0 to 91 U/L
	≥ 16	0 to 55 U/L
Male	1 to 6	0 to 208 U/L
	7 to 9	0 to 264 U/L
	10 to 15	0 to 340 U/L
	16 to 19	0 to 165 U/L
Glucose	All ages	70 to 100 mg/dL, 3.9 to 5.6 mmol/L

Note: Reference ranges were received from Covance Laboratory as of 16 January 2012.

12.1.5 Immunogenicity Testing

Blood samples (approximately 7 mL per visit) for immunogenicity testing will be collected at the screening visit and study exit from patients who weigh at least 15 kg at the screening visit. A 2-stage assay approach will be used for the detection of binding antibodies against BoNT-A and neutralizing antibodies against BOTOX in patients' serum. In stage 1, serum samples will be screened for reactivity using a validated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The reactive serum samples will be subsequently titered to assess the extent of antibodies present and immunodepleted to confirm that the reactivities are specific to BoNT-A. In stage 2, only samples that are confirmed positive in the ELISA will be tested for neutralizing antibodies to BOTOX using a validated assay.

Additional information on handling samples for immunogenicity testing is provided in the Laboratory Manual.

12.1.6 Pregnancy Test

Females of childbearing potential will have urine pregnancy tests performed at screening, day 1 (randomization) prior to injection, and the exit visit. Pregnancy test kits will be provided by Allergan or an Allergan contracted central laboratory and will be administered according to the instructions provided with the tests. A female of childbearing potential is defined as a female who is post menarche.

12.1.7 Barry-Albright Dystonia (BAD) Scale

The BAD scale ([Barry et al, 1999](#)) is used to assess the level of dystonia, which is a comorbid condition in patients with cerebral palsy. This scale contains a 5-point, criterion-based, ordinal scale assessing dystonia in 8 body regions: eyes, mouth, neck, trunk, and the 4 extremities.

In this study, the investigator will only assess the patient's dystonia level in the study limb identified for this study using the BAD scale at the screening visit. Patients with dystonia defined as level 3 or greater by the BAD for the study limb will not be eligible from the study.

Below is the specific scoring description for the lower extremities:

Signs of dystonia of the lower extremities include sustained muscle contractions causing abnormal posturing of the lower extremities. The scale for lower extremities is:

0 – Absence of lower extremity dystonia

1 – Slight. Dystonia less than 10% of the time and does not interfere with normal positioning and/or functional activities

2 – Mild. Dystonia less than 50% of the time and does not interfere with normal positioning and/or functional activities

3 – Moderate. Dystonia more than 50% of the time and/or dystonia that interferes with normal positioning and/or lower extremity weight bearing or function


4 – Severe. Dystonia more than 50% of the time and/or dystonia that prevents normal positioning and/or lower extremity weight bearing and/or function (eg, cannot maintain standing due to severe dystonia at ankles)

* – Unable to assess lower extremity movements

12.1.8 Hypertonia Assessment Tool (HAT)

The HAT is a clinical assessment tool developed to differentiate subtypes of hypertonia in the pediatric population. This scale contains 7 items and each item can be scored as 0 (negative) or 1 (positive). The results determine if a patient has spasticity, dystonia, rigidity, or mixed tone ([Jethwa et al, 2010](#)).

For this study, HAT will be performed on the study lower limb to ensure the presence of spasticity.



12.1.9 Gross Motor Function Classification System – Expanded and Revised (GMFCS–E&R)

The Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS-E&R) for cerebral palsy is based on self-initiated movement ([Palisano et al, 2007](#)). The emphasis of this scale is on sitting, transfers, and mobility, and focuses on determining which level best represents the child's present ability and limitations in gross motor function. Instead of reflecting what the child can do best (capability), this scale focuses on his or her usual performance in home, school, and community settings. Five levels are included in this scale:

- Level I – Walks without limitations
- Level II – Walks with limitations
- Level III – Walks using a hand-held mobility device
- Level IV – Self-mobility with limitations; may use powered mobility
- Level V – Transported in a manual wheelchair

Detailed descriptions are provided for each level of classification by age groups (before 2nd birthdays, between 2nd and 4th birthdays, between 4th and 6th birthdays, between 6th and 12th birthdays, and between 12th and 18th birthdays). The investigator will assess the patient's motor function using GMFCS-E&R at the screening visit. Patients with GMFCS-E&R levels I to IV will be eligible for the study.

12.1.10 Modified Ashworth Scale-Bohannon (MAS-B)

The MAS-B will be used to evaluate spasticity based on grading the resistance encountered in a specific muscle group by means of passively moving a limb through its range of motion at a study-specified velocity. The resistance encountered to passive stretch is graded from 0 = no increase in muscle tone to 4 = affected part(s) rigid in flexion or extension ([Bohannon and Smith, 1987](#)). The same investigator should perform this measure at each visit, if possible.

- 0 No increase in muscle tone
- 1 Slight increase in muscle tone, manifested by a catch and release, or by minimal resistance at the end of the range of motion when the affected part(s) is moved in flexion or extension

- 1+ Slight increase in muscle tone, manifested by a catch, followed by minimal resistance throughout the remainder (less than half) of the range of motion
- 2 More marked increase in muscle tone through most of the range of motion, but affected part(s) easily moved. There can be a catch, but movement should be stiff through most of range.
- 3 Considerable increase in muscle tone, passive movement difficult
- 4 Affected part(s) rigid in flexion or extension

Please refer to the Study Procedure Manual for detailed instructions on performing the MAS-B.

12.1.11 Modified Tardieu Scale (MTS)

The MTS will be used to determine the passive range of movement for the ankle of the study limb at different movement velocities, V1 (as slow as possible) and V3 (as fast as possible), with the relative difference between a slow and a fast velocity passive stretch determining the dynamic component of the muscle contracture (Love et al, 2001).

At each visit, the same investigator (when possible) will measure 2 joint angles by goniometer: the R1 angle, which is the “angle of catch” after a fast velocity (V3) stretch, and the R2 angle, which is defined as the passive joint range of movement following a slow velocity (V1) stretch. The R2 – R1 value indicates the level of dynamic contracture in the joint. Measurements of R1 and R2 should be done at approximately the same time of day at each visit using a constant position for the study limb being evaluated. The R1 angle should be measured prior to the R2 angle.

Please refer to the Study Procedure Manual for detailed instructions on performing the MTS.





12.1.14 Goal Attainment Scale (GAS) by Physician

The GAS by Physician will be used to measure functional goal attainment relative to the effect of the study intervention. Goals should be specific, measurable, achievable and realistic, resource sensitive, and timed (SMART). At week -2, two goals will be selected by the patient and family in consultation with the physician investigator and/or treating therapist relative to the lower limb impairment due to spasticity. These goals will be confirmed at the day 1 visit. Two functional goals will be established for each patient: a functional active goal and a functional passive goal. After the functional goals (active and passive) are set, they should remain the same throughout the study. It is crucial to define all the levels of the goal. Goal achievement will be assessed by the physician investigator taking into consideration input from the treating therapist, caregiver, and/or patient.

The following scale will be used to evaluate the level of achievement of the 2 goals:

- 3 Worse than start
- 2 Equal to start: the patient's initial condition; no change
- 1 Less than expected: slight improvement, but below the defined therapeutic goal
- 0 Expected goal: attains the defined therapeutic goal
- +1 Somewhat more than expected: improvement slightly exceeds the defined therapeutic goal
- +2 Much more than expected: improvements clearly exceed the defined therapeutic goal

12.1.15 Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change (CGI) by Physician

Ideally, the CGI by Physician should be performed prior to administering the MAS-B and MTS to reduce the likelihood of the CGI being influenced by the spasticity evaluations. An alternative is to have different evaluators rate the CGI and perform the spasticity assessments (MAS-B and MTS). Whenever possible each procedure should be performed by the same evaluator for an individual patient throughout the trial. Study personnel should avoid reviewing or discussing the MAS-B, MTS, or CGI scores from previous visits when they are completing these assessments.

Each assessment should be evaluated relative to the patient's condition prior to entering the study. The physician investigator should make an assessment of the change (improvement or worsening) from baseline (day 1) taking into account the patient's clinical condition and severity of side effects. The investigator should choose a score that indicates his/her assessment about any therapeutic effects from the study intervention. Several factors can be considered when assigning a score including but not limited to assessment of any specific benefit/detriment to the patient, adverse events, risk/benefit of the study intervention, any effects on the patient's social and/or psychological status.

“How is the subject doing in his/her life since he/she received the study medication?”:

- 4 Very marked worsening
- 3 Marked worsening
- 2 Moderate worsening
- 1 Slight worsening
- 0 Unchanged
- +1 Slight improvement
- +2 Moderate improvement
- +3 Marked improvement
- +4 Very marked improvement



For more information, contact the Office of the Vice President for Research and Economic Development at 319-335-1131 or research@uiowa.edu.

10.1002/anie.201907002

1. **What is the primary purpose of the study?** (Please check one box)

11. **What is the primary purpose of the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*?**

12.1.18 Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS)

The C-SSRS (Posner et al, 2011) is a semistructured interview designed to assess the severity and intensity of suicidal ideation, suicidal behavior, and non-suicidal self-injurious behavior over a specified time period (eg, prior year, since last visit). The measurement of suicidal ideation is based on 5 “yes” or “no” questions (plus a description if answered “yes”) arranged in order of increasing severity. If the patient answers “yes” to either question 1 or 2, the intensity of ideation will then be assessed in 5 additional questions relating to the frequency, duration, controllability, deterrents, and reasons for the most severe ideation. Suicidal behavior is then assessed by asking further questions to categorize the behaviors into actual, aborted, and interrupted attempts; preparatory behavior; and non-suicidal self-injurious behavior. The interview is used in full only if the initial questions are positive; this assessment is completed at all scheduled clinic visits at which other clinical assessments are to be carried out.

If any item(s) on the C-SSRS are answered with “yes”, a physician investigator must review patient’s responses in order to:

- a) at screening and baseline determine patient's study eligibility and potential need for referral to a mental health professional, and
- b) during the study evaluate patient's need for appropriate medical management such as referral to a mental health professional.

A significant risk of suicide is defined as a “yes” in answer to: a) questions 4 or 5 on the suicidal ideation section; or b) any questions on any item in the suicidal behavior section. This must be reported as a serious adverse event and followed up accordingly (see Sections 9.1.2 and 9.3 of the study protocol). Additionally, if a patient responds “yes” to any of the suicidal ideation questions 1 to 3, the investigator should apply clinical judgment to determine the need for reporting as an adverse event or serious adverse event and the need for any referral.

The C-SSRS is available in several versions designed for use in clinical trials. Appropriate versions of the scale will be used in this trial.

12.2 Package Insert/Summary of Product Characteristics

The appropriate package insert or Summary of Product Characteristics will be supplied to investigators in countries where the product is marketed.

12.3 Glossary of Abbreviations

Term/Abbreviation	Definition
AFO	ankle-foot-orthosis
ANCOVA	analysis of covariance
BAD	Barry Albright Dystonia scale
BoNT-A	botulinum neurotoxin type A
BOTOX®	botulinum toxin type A purified neurotoxin complex (US Adopted Name, onabotulinumtoxinA)
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations (US)
CGI	Clinical Global Impression of Overall Change
CNS	central nervous system
C-SSRS	Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale
ECG	electrocardiogram
eCRF	electronic case report form
EDC	electronic data capture
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EMG	electromyography
EU	European Union
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GAS	Global Attainment Scale
GCP	Good Clinical Practices
GMFCS-E&R	Gross Motor Function Classification System Expanded and Revised
HAT	Hypertonia Assessment Tool
HbA1c	glycosylated hemoglobin
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation
IDR	Independent Drug Reconstitutor
IEC	Independent Ethics Committee
IRB	Institutional Review Board
IVRS	interactive voice response system
IWRS	interactive web response system
LS	least square

MAS-B	Modified Ashworth Scale - Bohannon
MI	multiple imputation
MMRM	Mixed Model Repeated Measures
mITT	modified intent-to-treat
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
MTS	Modified Tardieu Scale
NA	not applicable
No.	number
NOAEL	no-observable-adverse-effect level
OT	occupational therapy
PASS	power analysis and sample size
PT	physical therapy
R1	fast range of motion (R1 angle is the “angle of catch” after a fast velocity [V3] stretch)
R2	slow range of motion (R2 angle is the passive joint range of movement following a slow velocity [V1] stretch)
Random	randomization
SDRC	Safety Data Review Committee
U	unit, corresponding to the median lethal dose (LD ₅₀) in mice
US	United States
V1	slow velocity
V3	fast velocity

12.4 Protocol Amendment 1 Summary

Title: BOTOX® Treatment in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity: Double-blind Study

Protocol 191622-111, Amendment 1

Date of Amendment: 30 March 2012

Amendment Summary

This summary includes changes made to Protocol 191622-111 (02 December 2011) to provide clarifications, updated information, and corrections.

Following is a summary of content-oriented changes that were made to each section of the protocol, and a brief rationale for these changes. Minor editorial and document formatting revisions have not been summarized.

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
Title page	Added EudraCT number and changed Allergan Medical Safety Physician.	Updated information.
7.3.1, Primary Efficacy Analyses	Added wording to specify that if a pairwise comparison in MAS-B is not statistically significant, the corresponding pairwise comparison in CGI will not be considered statistically significant regardless of the actual p-value.	Additional details added for clarification.
7.7, Additional Analysis/Inference for US FDA	Section 7.7 added to the protocol to clarify when dose effectiveness will be concluded.	Clarification added to address comments by US FDA during Special Protocol Assessment review.
Table 1 (schedule), Footnote “i”; 8.3, Visits and Associated Procedures; and 12.1.16, CGI by Physician	Revised to clarify the ideal order of CGI and spasticity assessments.	Emphasis on these points was increased to reduce potential bias in CGI and spasticity assessments.

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
10.7, Handling of Biological Specimens	Revised approximate volume of blood collection for hematology and chemistry regardless of weight from 5 to 7 mL and total for children 15 kg and above from 12 to 14 mL.	Based on the revised central laboratory (████████) requirements.
12.1.4, Hematology and Serum Chemistry	Revised reference ranges for alkaline phosphatase in Table 4.	Corrected values based on revised reference ranges provided by central laboratory (████).
12.1.5, Immunogenicity Testing	Deleted reference to mouse protection assay (MPA).	Modified the language to allow either the MPA or other appropriate and validated assays to be used.
12.1.17, Patient-reported Onset of Spasticity Symptom Relief	Revised the question from "have you noticed any treatment effect..." to "have you noticed any effect..." Corrected reference to limb from arm to leg.	Revised based on central IRB requirement to minimize potential bias in response and corrected limb.

12.5 Protocol Amendment 2 Summary

Title: BOTOX® Treatment in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity: Double-blind Study

Protocol 191622-111, Amendment 2

Date of Amendment: January 2014

Amendment Summary

This summary includes changes made to Protocol 191622-111 Amendment 1 (e-signature date 02 April 2012). This protocol was amended primarily to add assessment of suicidal ideation and behavior using the C-SSRS as a standard safety measure required by the US FDA's Division of Neurology Products for all ongoing or planned clinical studies, and to incorporate changes in statistical procedures.

Following is a summary of content-oriented changes that were made to each section of the protocol, and a brief rationale for these changes. Minor editorial and document formatting revisions have not been summarized.

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
Title page	Referred to the Study Contacts Page for emergency telephone numbers on pages 1 and 2	Per new Allergan protocol template
Protocol Summary; 2.2	Added distinction between US FDA and non-US FDA clinical hypotheses and analyses	Clarification
Protocol Summary; Table 1; 6.1.3; 7.2.3; 7.3.3; 8.3.4; 8.3.5; 12.1.17	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Protocol Summary; Table 1; 6.1.4; 6.4; 7.3.4; 8.3.1; 8.3.3; 8.3.4; 8.3.5; 11; 12.1.18; 12.3	Specified that the C-SSRS is to be performed as a safety measure for patients \geq 6 years of age at day 1, and provided description of scale, data handling, and reference information	As requested by US FDA's Division of Neurology Products
Table 1	Added "Review safety lab results" to visit 3 Added "Access IVRS/IWRS" and clarified in new footnote (k) how and when IVRS/IWRS is used	In agreement with Section 8.3.3 Clarification

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
Protocol Summary; 4.3; 8.3.1	Revised Inclusion Criterion 3 and screening procedure to remove requirement of true equinus foot deformity referenced in Rodda and Graham	Clarification that gait pattern analysis is not required to confirm equinus foot deformity
3.1	Changed “member” to “participant” and removed “or investigator” from the examples given of ad hoc SDRC participants	Clarification
Protocol Summary; 4.4	Revised Exclusion Criterion 3 to remove the definition of significant knee spasticity	Clarification
	Modified Exclusion Criterion 13a regarding seizure frequency for exclusion	Clarification
	Added Exclusion Criterion 31 to exclude patients with significant suicidality from treatment	To avoid confounding the safety data
4.5.2	Added “or pump” to forms of baclofen that are prohibited Added that patients may stay in the study even if a prohibited medication is administered.	Clarification
4.5.3	Moved and amended sentence regarding school-based therapy	Clarification
5.3	Amended first paragraph regarding IDR	To clarify responsibilities of the IDR
5.5	Inserted sentence in first paragraph regarding IVRS/IWRS	To clarify that the site will access the system on day 1
5.6.1	Amended the first paragraph regarding patient’s weight and dose calculation	To clarify that patient’s weight in kilograms will be rounded to the nearest kilogram for dose calculation only
6.1.1; 6.1.2; 7.2; 7.2.1; 7.2.2; 7.3.1	Made the distinction between US FDA measures and non-US FDA measures	Clarification
6.3	Corrected second bullet by removing “freezer” from the equipment needing temperature monitoring Added bullet that Allergan will supply a kilogram-only weight scale for selected sites, and that the site will supply it only if it is not already supplied by Allergan	Correction To ensure that patient’s weight is collected in kilograms only

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
	Removed: “Non-frost-free -20°C freezer for storage of serum neutralizing antibody samples”	Correction
	Corrected last bullet by adding “or EMG to the devices for muscle localization techniques	Correction
Table 1; 7.2.2; 7.3.2; 8.3.1; 8.3.3; 8.3.4; 8.3.5	Added “with knee extended and knee flexed” to the description of the MTS scale	Clarification
7.3.1	The multiple testing procedure was changed to a gatekeeping procedure Pairwise comparison for higher dose versus lower dose was removed Overall test for among group comparison was removed	To control type I error rate To incorporate US FDA recommendation Gatekeeping procedure for the 2 pairwise comparisons is sufficient to control type I error rate
	Principal muscle group by stratification categories was removed from ANOVA model explanation	Correction
	CGI by Physician was removed for non-US FDA analyses	Clarification
7.3.1 and 11	Removed Saville citation and reference	No longer applicable due to the multiple testing procedure change
7.3.2	CGI by Physician was added for non-US FDA analyses	Clarification
7.4	Removed subgroup efficacy analyses by type of anesthesia	The distribution and number of patients in each type of anesthesia will not produce meaningful efficacy results.
Protocol summary; 7.5	Revised sample size calculation to base the calculation on 2 sample t test	Since the overall test for among group comparison was removed
7.7	Added separate sections (7.7.1 and 7.7.2) to specify the primary and secondary efficacy analyses for FDA and added a table for efficacy claim	Clarification and to incorporate US FDA recommendation
Table 1; 8.3.1; 8.3.3	Removed passive range of motion bullet to indicate that assessment of passive range of motion can be performed as part of the MTS using the angle of slow stretch (R2) Added “in kilograms” to weight measurement	Clarification Clarification

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
8.3.1; 12.1.4	Specified that patients may return to the clinic for fasting blood sample collection as long as the laboratory results can be received and reviewed at or before the day 1 visit	Clarification
8.3.3	Removed the requirement that the urine pregnancy test must be performed prior to study treatment [REDACTED]	Clarification [REDACTED]
	Added bullets for review of screening laboratory results and accessing IVRS/IWRS to randomize patients, and notification/scheduling follow-up visits	Clarification of day 1 procedures
8.3.5	Added bullet regarding IVRS/IWRS	Clarification of visit 8 procedures
9.3	Updated serious adverse event reporting procedures	Per new Allergan protocol template
10.7, 12.1.5	Amended Study Procedure Manual to Laboratory Manual	Correction
12.1.1	Amended second sentence regarding weight measurements	Clarification on requirements for weight measurements and that weight must be measured in kilograms
12.1.2	Added “temporal, rectal” to body temperature	As per common practices
12.1.10	Deleted “physician”	To clarify that other qualified site personnel can perform the MAS-B
	Revised MAS-B description to say “study-specified” instead of “non-study-specified” velocity	To clarify that we do instruct the sites on a specific velocity
	Added referral to Study Procedure Manual for detailed instructions on performing the MAS-B	Clarification
12.1.11	Merged sections 12.1.11 and 12.1.12 to indicate that assessment of passive range of motion can be performed as part of the MTS using slow stretch (V1)	Clarification
12.1.14; 12.1.15	Amended “investigator” to “physician investigator”	Clarification

12.6 Protocol Amendment 3 Summary

Title: BOTOX® Treatment in Pediatric Lower Limb Spasticity: Double-blind Study

Protocol 191622-111, Amendment 3

Date of Amendment: July 2016

Amendment Summary

This summary includes changes made to Protocol 191622-111 Amendment 2 (January 2014). This protocol was amended primarily to modify the statistical methods (introduction of the Hochberg procedure, changes in imputation methods and sensitivity analyses) to reflect the simultaneous changes being made to Protocol 191622-101.

Following is a summary of content-oriented changes that were made to each section of the protocol, and a brief rationale for these changes. Minor editorial and document formatting revisions have not been summarized.

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
Title page	Updated Allergan Medical Safety Physician and Allergan signatory information	Change in staff
Protocol Summary (General Statistical Methods and Types of Analyses); 7.1 (Analysis Populations); 7.3 (Hypothesis and Methods of Analysis)	The intent-to-treat (ITT) population was replaced with the mITT population, which is defined as all randomized patients with a valid MAS-B baseline score of the principle muscle group and at least one post-baseline measurement at weeks 2, 4, or 6 for the MAS-B of the principle muscle group and the CGI by Physician.	Revised based on FDA recommendation
Protocol Summary (General Statistical Methods and Types of Analyses)	Text describing sensitivity analyses was edited to spell out the covariate and factors in the MMRM model	For clarification
7.2 (Collection and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Assessments)	A responder status based on +1 score of CGI by Physician was added	To further characterize treatment response

Section(s)	Revision	Rationale
7.2 (Collection and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Assessments)	The sensitivity analyses of MAS-B and CGI were changed to use the multiple imputation method for missing values instead of observed cases; sensitivity analyses using last observation carried forward (LOCF) were removed.	Based on FDA feedback on handling missing data for the primary analysis
7.3 (Hypothesis and Methods of Analysis)	The primary MAS-B analysis and FDA co-primary MAS-B and CGI analyses were changed to use MMRM with observed data; ANCOVA with MI and observed data was used as sensitivity analyses; analyses of co-primary endpoints for US FDA were moved to Section 7.7	To obtain more consistent results and increase model power per FDA feedback
7.4 (Subgroup Analyses)	Subgroup analyses of AEs were deleted	Subgroup analyses of AEs will not be conducted in this study as deemed unnecessary
7.7 (Additional Analysis/Inference for US FDA)	Multiple testing procedure (gatekeeping procedure) was changed to Hochberg procedure for the co-primary analysis for US FDA	To control type I error rate
7.7 (Additional Analysis/Inference for US FDA)	Sensitivity analyses using MMRM and ANCOVA to support the co-primary analysis for US FDA are now described in this section	For clarification