

1 **Phase 1 Trial of Bevacizumab Treatment for Severe Retinopathy of Prematurity**  
2 **(ROP1)**

3  
4 **Statistical Analysis Plan**  
5 **Version 3.0**

6  
7 Statistical Analysis Plan Version: 3.0, 16Mar2020  
8 Protocol Version: 3.1, 17Apr2013  
9

10  
**VERSION HISTORY**

The following table outlines changes for the analysis plan:

VERSION NUMBER	AUTHOR	APPROVER	EFFECTIVE DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION*
2.0 <sup>a</sup>	T. Dean	M. Melia	08Sep2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The primary analysis was updated to incorporate GEE to adjust for the correlation between eyes within a patient.</li><li>• Clarified which eyes would be used in analyses</li><li>• Added a secondary analysis that will repeat the primary analysis but look at the total dose received at baseline in lieu of the dose injected into the eye.</li><li>• Secondary, safety, and exploratory analyses will be performed stratified by the total dose received at baseline and the total dose received by week 4, where applicable, as well as the dose injected in the eye at baseline.</li></ul>
3.0 <sup>b</sup>	Trevano Dean I am the author of this document 2020-03-16 16:59-04:00	Michele Melia I am approving this document 2020-03-16 17:41-04:00	16Mar2020	After reviewing the data, it was clear that a dose response curve, the primary analysis, could not be constructed using the proposed methods. Section 8.0 was added to describe the newly proposed primary analysis.

11 <sup>a</sup> Changes made after patient listings for first 4 doses reviewed with the Data and Safety Monitoring Committee, but  
12 prior to data analysis.

13 <sup>b</sup> Changes made during the data analysis phase.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 **1.0 Study Design**

19 The goal of the study is to identify an effective dose of bevacizumab lower than the current  
20 standard (0.625 mg in 25  $\mu$ L) that can be used in future studies to test the efficacy of  
21 bevacizumab in treating retinopathy of prematurity (ROP).

22  
23 In brief, the study will begin by evaluating the efficacy of 0.25 mg of bevacizumab and if there is  
24 evidence of efficacy, the dose will be reduced by half. This process will continue until a dosage  
25 provides insufficient evidence of efficacy or until the ninth dose (0.001 mg) is deemed  
26 efficacious. For the purposes of this study, efficacy is defined as an 80% success rate (defined in  
27 section 3.1 of the protocol).

28  
29 **2.0 Primary Analysis**

30 A dose response curve will be used to identify the lowest effective dose of bevacizumab.  
31 Logistic regression will be used to obtain estimates of the predicted success rate and  
32 corresponding 95% confidence intervals for each dosage level. The estimates obtained from the  
33 regression will be used to construct a dose response curve (predicted probability of success vs.  
34 dose of bevacizumab administered). Doses corresponding to success rates with a lower 95%  
35 bound greater than 80% will be identified, and the smallest dose will be selected as the lowest  
36 effective dose.

37  
38 This analysis will make use of data from all study eyes. Eyes will be excluded if censoring  
39 occurred due to death but will be considered a failure if non-protocol treatment is received after  
40 enrollment but prior to the determination of success at the 4-week exam. The dosage level (in  
41 mg) will be included as a continuous covariate and may be transformed (using the  $\log_2$  scale for  
42 example) to facilitate interpretation.

43  
44 At least 3 doses will need to be tested in order for a dose response curve to be fit. If only 2 doses  
45 are tested, the data and safety monitoring committee will have decided that the second dose was  
46 not effective. In this case, the first dose evaluated will be considered the lowest effective dose.

47  
48  
49 **2.1 Model Specification**

50 A plot of the observed vs. fitted values will be compared to assess the appropriateness of the  
51 logistic regression model. If the logistic regression model is not appropriate, probit and  
52 cumulative log-log regressions will be explored to identify the model that best fits the data.

53  
54 **3.0 Secondary Analyses**

55 **3.1 Effect of Bevacizumab on Successful Treatment of Type I ROP**

56 The primary analysis will be repeated using data from study eyes and non-study eyes that were  
57 diagnosed with type I ROP at enrollment and received an injection of bevacizumab at the time  
58 the study eye was injected. Eyes receiving an injection of 0.625mg of bevacizumab will not be  
59 included because this dose is not of interest in this study.

60  
61 Logistic regression using generalized estimating equations to account for correlation between  
62 eyes within an individual will be used to obtain estimates of the predicted success rate and  
63 corresponding 95% confidence intervals for each dosage level. Estimates obtained from the

64 regression will be used to construct a dose response curve (predicted probability of success  
65 versus dose of bevacizumab administered) which will be compared with the curve created in the  
66 primary analysis.

67  
68 As specified in the primary analysis, eyes will be excluded if censored due to death but will be  
69 considered a failure if non-protocol treatment was received after enrollment but prior to the  
70 determination of success at the 4-week exam. The dosage level (in mg) will be included as a  
71 continuous covariate and may be transformed to facilitate interpretation.

### 72 73 **3.2 Effect of Total Bevacizumab Received on Successful Treatment of Type I ROP**

74 Even though injections are localized, bevacizumab is expected to diffuse into the circulatory  
75 system, giving rise to the possibility of the study eye receiving an additional benefit if the non-  
76 study eye was injected.

77  
78 In order to evaluate the effect of the total dose of bevacizumab administered on the successful  
79 treatment of type I ROP in study eyes, the primary analysis will be repeated, controlling for the  
80 dose of bevacizumab received in the fellow eye. The dose response curve constructed from the  
81 model controlling for the dose of bevacizumab injected into the fellow eye will be compared  
82 with the curve created for the primary analysis. If clinicians are unable to determine if a  
83 significant shift has occurred, a likelihood ratio test will be used to determine if the inclusion of  
84 the dose of bevacizumab injected into the fellow eye significantly improves the model fit.

### 85 86 **3.3 Potential Confounders**

87 Depending upon sample size and the number of dosage levels studied, a secondary analysis may  
88 explore if the following factors confound the relationship between dosage level and success:

- 89 • Location of disease (Zone I vs. Zone II)
- 90 • Severity of disease (Zone I with plus disease vs. Zone I stage 3 without plus disease vs.  
91 Zone II stage 2 or 3 ROP with plus disease)

92  
93 The success rate for each dosage studied will be tabulated, stratified by each of the factors listed  
94 above. In addition, the primary analysis will be rerun adjusting for each of the factors above  
95 (models will adjust for one factor at a time as the factors are co-linear). The dose response curve  
96 derived from the model including the confounder will be compared with the curve constructed  
97 for the primary analysis. If clinicians are unable to determine if the difference between the curves  
98 is clinically significant, a likelihood ratio test will be used to determine if the addition of the  
99 confounder has a significant improvement on the model fit.

100  
101

### 102 **4.0 Exploratory Analyses**

103 Depending upon sample size and the number of dosage levels studied, interaction terms for each  
104 potential confounder (section 3.2) and dosage will be added to the multiple logistic regression to  
105 evaluate whether the relationship between dosage and success is modified by the factor. In  
106 accordance with NIH guidelines, an analysis of treatment effect according to gender, as well as  
107 race/ethnicity, will be conducted. We recognize that these analyses are exploratory and may not  
108 have sufficient power to detect an interaction.

109

110 **5.0 Safety**

111 **5.1 Adverse Events**

112 Adverse events reported at any time during the study will be coded using the MedRA system and  
113 tabulated including all enrolled infants. The number and proportion of infants will be calculated  
114 for each of the following, stratified by the total dose of bevacizumab received prior to the time  
115 the event was reported:

116

- 117 • Infants for whom at least one event was reported
- 118 • Infants with an adverse event thought by the investigator to be related to study drug
- 119 • Infants for whom at least one serious adverse event was reported
- 120 • Infant deaths

121

122 Additionally, adverse events will be categorized as systemic or ocular. Ocular adverse events  
123 will be further subdivided into those related to the study eye injected at baseline, non-study eye  
124 injected after baseline, or non-study eye receiving no injection.

125

126 The Clopper-Pearson interval will be used to obtain 95% confidence intervals for the  
127 proportions.

128

129 The tabulations above will be repeated 1) limited to events reported through the 4-week post-  
130 injection examination to evaluate the safety of the initial study eye injection (treatment was at  
131 investigator discretion after the 4-week post-injection examination), and 2) limited to events  
132 reported after the 4-week post-injection examination but prior to the adjusted 12-month corrected  
133 age examination.

134

135 **5.2 Physical exam data at each visit**

136 The distribution (median, quartiles, and range) of head circumference (in centimeters) and  
137 weight (in grams) will be tabulated at pre-injection and at each follow-up visit. The mean change  
138 in head circumference and mean change in weight from pre-injection to each examination will  
139 also be calculated, and a 95% confidence interval will be calculated for each.

140

141 This analysis will be stratified by the total dose of bevacizumab received prior to the visit. If this  
142 data is not available, the analysis will be stratified by the total dose of bevacizumab received at  
143 enrollment.

144

145 **6.0 Plasma Concentrations of VEGF and Bevacizumab**

146 A separate analysis plan will be written to define analyses involving the relationship between  
147 VEGF and bevacizumab serum concentrations, as well as change in bevacizumab and VEGF  
148 concentration over time.

149

150 **7.0 Additional Analyses and Tabulations**

151

152 **7.1.1 Description of cohort at Baseline**

153 Subject-level and eye-level characteristics will be summarized for the entire cohort and stratified  
154 by dose level and total dose received at time of study eye injection. The following will be  
155 tabulated: gender, race, gestational age, birth weight, gestational age at time of study-eye

156 injection, and examination findings in the study eye and non-study eye at the time of study eye  
157 injection.  
158

159

### 160 **7.1.2 Description of Cohort at 6-Month Corrected Age**

161 The following data will be tabulated to describe the cohort at the 6-month corrected age data  
162 collection:

- 163 • Infants requiring additional treatment for ROP in either eye since the 4-week post-  
164 injection examination
- 165 • Infants developing stage 4 or 5 ROP or vitreous hemorrhage in either eye since the 4-  
166 week post-injection examination
- 167 • Infants having retinal surgery since the 4-week post-injection examination

168

169 Marginal proportions will be reported, but data will also be tabulated and stratified by 1) dose of  
170 bevacizumab injected in the eye at baseline, 2) total dose of bevacizumab injected in eye by 4  
171 weeks, and 3) total dose of bevacizumab received (in both eyes) by week 4.

172

### 173 **7.1.3 Description at 12-month Corrected Age**

174 Descriptive statistics will be calculated to describe the cohort at 12-month corrected age. The  
175 statistics below will be computed for the entire cohort but will also be stratified by 1) total dose  
176 of bevacizumab received at baseline and 2) total dose of bevacizumab received by 4 weeks.

177

178 The median, quartiles, and range will be calculated for the following data which are not expected  
179 to be normally distributed:

- 180 • Time since initial hospital discharge
- 181 • Number of times re-hospitalized since initial study eye injection
- 182 • Magnitude of alignment at near (horizontal and vertical)
- 183 • Magnitude of alignment at distance (horizontal and vertical)

184

185 The mean and standard deviation will be computed for the following data which are expected to  
186 be approximately normally distributed:

- 187 • Spherical equivalent refractive error in the study eye
- 188 • Spherical equivalent refractive error in the non-study eye

189

190 The distribution of the following will be tabulated:

- 191 • Number and proportion of infants requiring supplemental oxygen (current or past, current  
192 only)
- 193 • Number and proportion of infants with periventricular leukomalacia
- 194 • Number and proportion of infants with hydrocephalus (with shunt placement)
- 195 • Number and proportion of infants requiring additional treatment for ROP in the study eye  
196 (and the number of retreatments) if treated
- 197 • Number and proportion of infants requiring treatment for ROP in the fellow eye (and the  
198 number of treatments) if treated
- 199 • Distribution of most severe abnormality in study eye:
  - 200 ○ Essentially normal
  - 201 ○ Abnormal angle of temporal vessels

- 202 ○ Macular ectopia
- 203 ○ Stage 4A retinal detachment
- 204 ○ Stage 4B retinal detachment
- 205 ○ View of macula blocked
- 206 ○ Total retinal detachment
- 207 ○ All view of posterior pole and near periphery is blocked due to anterior segment
- 208 opacity
- 209 ○ Enucleation due to ROP
- 210 ○ Enucleation due to causes other than ROP
- 211 ○ Unable to determine
- 212 ● Distribution of most severe abnormality in fellow eye:
  - 213 ○ Essentially normal
  - 214 ○ Abnormal angle of temporal vessels
  - 215 ○ Macular ectopia
  - 216 ○ Stage 4A retinal detachment
  - 217 ○ Stage 4B retinal detachment
  - 218 ○ View of macula blocked
  - 219 ○ Total retinal detachment
  - 220 ○ All view of posterior pole and near periphery is blocked due to anterior segment
  - 221 opacity
  - 222 ○ Enucleation due to ROP
  - 223 ○ Enucleation due to causes other than ROP
  - 224 ○ Unable to determine
- 225 ● Number and proportion of infants with assessment of study eye
  - 226 ○ Cornea normal/abnormal
  - 227 ○ Anterior segment normal/abnormal
  - 228 ○ Lens normal/abnormal
  - 229 ○ Macular Ectopia absent, questionable, present, view obscured
  - 230 ○ Optic Nerve Atrophy absent, questionable, present, view obscured
  - 231 ○ Retinal Fold absent, present, view obscured
  - 232 ○ Retinal detachment absent, present, view obscured
- 233 ● Number and proportion of infants with assessment of fellow eye
  - 234 ○ Cornea normal/abnormal
  - 235 ○ Anterior segment normal/abnormal
  - 236 ○ Lens normal/abnormal
  - 237 ○ Macular Ectopia absent, questionable, present, view obscured
  - 238 ○ Optic Nerve Atrophy absent, questionable, present, view obscured
  - 239 ○ Retinal Fold absent, present, view obscured
  - 240 ○ Retinal detachment absent, present, view obscured
- 241 ● Number and proportion of infants with visual fixation in study eye of central, steady, or
- 242 maintained
- 243 ● Number and proportion of infants with visual fixation in fellow eye of central, steady, or
- 244 maintained
- 245 ● Number and proportion of infants with amblyopia present in study eye
- 246 ● Number and proportion of infants with amblyopia present in fellow eye
- 247 ● Number of infants on treatment for amblyopia and types of treatment

- 248 • Number and proportion of infants with alignment at distance of orthophoria, phoria,  
249 intermittent tropia, or constant tropia
- 250 • Number and proportion of infants with alignment at near of orthophoria, phoria,  
251 intermittent tropia, or constant tropia
- 252 • Number and proportion of infants with nystagmus

253

## 254 **8.0 Post-hoc Analyses**

### 255 **8.1 Primary Outcome**

256 After data were collected and reviewed, it was clear that the data did not lend itself to analysis  
257 via dose response curve (see section 2.0). Similar success rates were observed for the 7 highest  
258 doses, 5 of which resulted in the same observed success rate. Of the 8 doses evaluated, the 6  
259 highest doses appeared to fall within the plateau phase of the dose response curve, the region in  
260 which the maximum effect has been achieved. Given that the success rate for only 2 doses did  
261 not fall within the plateau phase, there was insufficient data to construct a curve.

262

263 Nevertheless, logistic, probit, and cumulative log-log regressions were performed to comply with  
264 the analysis plan, but goodness of fit statistics indicated that these models were a poor fit to the  
265 data.

266

267 Instead of reporting results based on these regressions, the number and proportion of study eyes  
268 that met success criteria were tabulated for each dose. For each dose, the number and proportion  
269 of study eyes that met success criteria was also tabulated by severity of disease (Zone I with plus  
270 disease vs. Zone I stage 3 without plus disease vs. Zone II stage 2 or 3 ROP with plus disease).  
271 These tabulations were also performed for fellow eyes.

272

273 In addition to tabulations, Bayesian approaches were explored to identify the dose of  
274 bevacizumab that will be used in a future randomized trial designed to compare the efficacy of  
275 bevacizumab with that of laser photocoagulation for the treatment of type I ROP.

276

277

278

279