KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE FOR MANAGEMENT OF DENTINE HYPERSENSITIVITY AMONG EGYPTIAN AND NIGERIAN DENTISTS: A CROSS-SECTIONAL COMPARATIVE STUDY

Protocol submitted to Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University for partial fulfilment of the requirements for Masters Degree in Restorative and Aesthetic Dentistry

By

Muhammad Adam Modu

BDS (University of Maiduguri, Borno State Nigeria 2012)

2024

CONS 1-3-5 Evaluate and compare different preventive approaches in managing dental non-carious lesions.

Supervisors Signature

Head of Department's Signature

1- Prof. Dr. Iman ElSayad

1- Proi. Di. Illiano Aman Esayad
2- Dr. Alaa Fathi Abdelsalam

Approved: 4th July, 2024 Submitted: 29th June, 2024

Protocol Checklist

Section & Topic	No.	Checklist item	Page	Comments	
	1	Title	1		
I.	2	Protocol Registration	1		
Administrative	3	Protocol Version	1		
Information	4	Funding	1		
	5	Roles and	1		
		Responsibilities			
II. Introduction	1 -		1 - 1		
	6a	Scientific Background	3		
	6b	Review of Literature	3		
	6c	Specific Objectives	4		
III. Methods					
A. Study Design	7	Study Design	5		
and Setting	8	Setting	5		
B. Participants	9a	Eligibility Criteria	5		
1	9b	Method of Selection of	NA		
		Case / Control			
		Ascertainment			
	9c	Methods of follow up for	NA		
		Cohort Studies and			
		Rationale for choosing			
		Cases and Controls for			
		Case Control Studies			
	10	Matched Cohort Study –	NA		
		Matching Criteria and			
		Allocation Ratio			
		(Exposed vs Non-			
		Exposed)			
		Matched Case Control			
		Study – Matching			
		Criteria and Allocation			
		Ratio (Case vs Control)			
C. Variables	11	Clearly define all	5		
~ , m1 1m0100	11	variables including			
		outcomes and exposures			
	1	_ cateomes and exposures			

	12	For each variable of	6	
	12		O	
		interest, give data		
	1.2	sources/measurement	(
	13	Address Potential sources of bias	6	
D. Study Size	14	Study Size	6	
E. Quantitative	15	Handling of quantitative	6	
Variables		variables in the analysis		
F. Statistical	16a	Statistical method used to	NA	
Methods		control for confounders		
	16b	Subgroup analysis	7	
	16c	Management of missing	NA	
	100	data	1 17 1	
	16d	Analytical methods for	NA	
	100	different sampling	1 17 1	
		strategies; if applicable.		
	16e	Sensitivity Analysis	NA	
	106	Sensitivity Analysis	IVA	
	17a	Research Ethics Approval	8	
	17b	Protocol Amendments	8	
IV.	17c	Informed Consent	8	
Ethics and	17d	Confidentiality	8	
Dissemination	17e	Declaration of Interest	8	
	17f	Access to Data	8	
	17g	Dissemination Policy	8	
	1 - 7 8	Bisserimment i energ	Ü	
	ı			
V. Budget	18	Estimated Research	9	
		Budget		
VI. Appendices	19	Informed Consent	10	
VII. References	20	References	11	
v 11. IXCICI CHUCS			11	
*NIA - NIGA A marka a kila a Tila Calal				
*NA = Not Applicable in The Study				

Scientific Committee Primary Reviewer Signature Date Secondary Reviewer Signature Date 1. Prof. Elham Fawzi 2. Prof. Asmaa Yasin

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

1. Title:

Knowledge, attitude and practice for management of dentine hypersensitivity among Egyptian and Nigerian dentist: a cross-sectional comparative study

2. Protocol Registration:

Yet to be registered

3. Protocol Version

Version 1

4. Funding

The research will be self-funded

5. Roles and Responsibilities:

A. Muhammad Adam Modu

Affiliations: BDS (University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. 2012)

Dental Officer, Borno State Dental Hospital, Maiduguri Borno

State. Nigeria

Role: Principal Researcher / Master's Degree Candidate

Responsibilities: - Propose potential research topics to supervisors

- Conduct Literature review

- Develop PICO for the study

- Develop Research Protocol

- Conduct research (Data collection)

- Present collected data to statistician for analysis

- Present completed work to supervisors

- Submit completed work to Faculty Scientific Committee

- Submit completed and approved work for publication in

academic journal

B. Prof. Dr. Iman ElSayad

Affiliations: Professor of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Main Supervisor

Responsibilities: - Guiding the candidate throughout the thesis

Mentoring

- Critiquing work

- Identifying best practices and options for the research

C. Dr. Alaa Fathi Abdelsalam

Affiliations: Lecturer of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Co Supervisor

Responsibilities: - Guiding the candidate throughout the thesis

Mentoring

- Critiquing work

- Identifying best practices and options for the research

D. Scientific Committee

Affiliations: Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Steering Committee

Responsibilities: - Ensures that the research idea follows the research plan of

the department.

- Review and Approve PICO

- Review and Approve Protocol

- Review completed thesis

E. Medical Biostatistics Unit (MBU)

Affiliations: Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Steering Committee

Responsibilities: - Sample Size Calculation

F. Research Ethics Committee (REC)

Affiliations: Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Steering Committee

Responsibilities: - Ensures the study/trial meets ethical requirements prior start

Approves secondary protocol

G. Department Council Board

Affiliations: Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

Role: Steering Committee

Responsibilities: - Approves secondary protocol prior to start of study/trial

- Approves completed thesis after review by Scientific

Committee

II. INTRODUCTION

6a. Scientific Background

Dentine Hypersensitivity (DH) was described as an "enigma" in 1980 because it is readily observed yet quite puzzling to understand (Johnson, Zulqar-Nain et al. 1982). Pashley defined Dentine Hypersensitivity as "a sharp, transient, well-localized pain in response to tactile, thermal, evaporative, or osmotic stimuli, which does not occur spontaneously and does not persist after removal of the stimuli" (Pashley 1994). This definition was modified at a conference of The Canadian advisory on Dentine Hypersensitivity to "a distinctive short sharp pain arising from exposed dentine characteristically in response to an array of stimuli including thermal, tactile, evaporative, osmotic or chemical which cannot be attributed to any other form of dental defect or disease" (Gillam 2013). Diagnosis of DH is usually reached through exclusion of conditions that present with similar symptoms (Davari, Ataei et al. 2013).

6b. Review of Literature

Several recent studies report that dentists around the world are unsure about the etiology, diagnosis, and management of dentine hypersensitivity(DH)(Pereira, Gillam et al. 2018, Exarchou, Betsani et al. 2019). DH is associated with dentine exposure, as open dentinal tubules expose the pulp to external stimuli(Absi, Addy et al. 1987). The specifics of pathogenesis especially pain transduction has not been clearly elucidated. Although the hydrodynamic theory, which states that fluids within the exposed dentinal tubules move in response to stimuli to activate a baroreceptor, proposed by Branslohm(Brännström 1964) has been the most widely accepted, other theories include The Odontoblast Transduction Theory (Frank, 1968) and The Neural Theory (Frank & Steuer, 1988). These theories have recently been challenged by novel evidence suggesting the involvement of odontoblasts in mechanism of pain transduction(Sole-Magdalena, Martinez-Alonso et al. 2018).

The DH has a prevalence of 3 - 98% with a global distribution. It is mostly found among young people and female gender (Dam et al., 2022). However, Doubts have been reported in the actual prevalence of dentine hypersensitivity with method of investigation, sample selection, location and clinical environment observed as potential factors that have an influence. (Pereira, Gillam et al. 2018)

The management of DH is equally shrouded in complexities due to various Over-The-Counter (OTC) and In-Office products and procedures claiming effectiveness. Published guidelines suggests 3 major strategies for the management of DH, patient education and behaviour modification; non-invasive treatments for occluding dentin tubules and relieving pain associated with DH; and restorative or surgical treatments for the management of DH along with restoration of associated tooth structure and soft tissue loss (Liu et al., 2020)

Despite published guidelines for DH management, dentists around the world are reported to use varying methods, occasionally combining different methods in the management of DH (Gillam 2017). A Senegalese publication reported the use of desensitizing toothpaste, In-Office fluoride application and endodontic treatment (Benoist et al., 2014). In India, Pereira el al reported the use of desensitizing toothpaste, patient education and dental restorations (Pereira, Gillam et al. 2018) while in USA, desensitizing toothpaste, fluoride varnish application and patient education (Kopycka-Kedzierawski, Meyerowitz et al. 2017) and in Greece, dentists favoured patient education, desensitizing toothpaste, in-office fluoride application and dental restoration (Exarchou, Betsani et al. 2019)

6c. Specific Objectives

Aims of the Study:

The study aims to assess and compare the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of Egyptian and Nigerian dentists on etiology, diagnosis, and management of DH. Also to identify if the level of education, culture and environment have influences on the diagnosis and management of DH.

Rationale

Diagnosis of dentin hypersensitivity is a challenge faced by dentists with different educational levels and the condition might be overlooked and not properly managed leading to persistent pain and poor quality of life for patients. Till now, the literature lacks rigorous guidelines for systematic diagnosis, prevention, categorization of severity and management of dentin hypersensitivity. This study intends to spot the light on the current approaches adopted by dentists in Egypt and Nigeria towards diagnosis and management of Dentine Hypersensitivity. It also intends to compare the findings and identify the influence of geographic location, different educational levels, and degree of dental education in the management of Dentine Hypersensitivity.

III. METHODS

A. Study Design and Setting

7. Study Design:

Comparative Cross Sectional study

8. Setting:

An electronic Self-administered questionnaire using Google forms to be administered over a period of 3 months with the 2024/2025 academic year.

B. Participants

9. Eligibility Criteria and Selection Method

Dentists (at various levels of education and years of practice) practicing in Egypt and Nigeria who will choose to participate in the study.

10. Matching Criteria and Allocation Ratio:

Not applicable in Cross Sectional Study

C. Variables

11. Details about variables:

POTS

- **Population (P):** Dentists (at various levels of education and years of practice) practicing in Egypt and Nigeria
- Outcomes (O):
 - Primary Outcome: Awareness of appropriate diagnosis and management of DH among practicing dentists in Egypt and Nigeria
 - Secondary Outcome: Comparison of Knowledge Attitudes and Practices of dentists in Egypt and Nigeria in the management of DH
 - Tertiary Outcome: In Each geographic location, comparison of Knowledge, Attitude and Practices among dentists of different educational levels.

Prioritization of Outcome	Outcome	Measurement Method
Primary	Awareness of appropriate diagnosis	Validated Questionnaire used in the
Outcome	and management of DH among practicing dentists in Egypt and Nigeria	study by (Gillam et al., 2019.)
Secondary Outcome	Comparison of Knowledge Attitudes and Practices of dentists in Egypt and Nigeria in the management of DH	Statistical analysis using Chi Square or McNamara Test
Tertiary Outcome	Comparison of Knowledge, Attitude and Practices among dentists of different educational levels	

12. Data Source and Management:

Data would be sourced from willing participants via and electronic self-administered questionnaire utilizing Google forms platform. This service is equipped with cloud storage and data security as only authorized persons have access to complied data.

13. Address Potential Source of Bias:

1. Selection Bias:

Participants would be approached via specific platforms for practicing dentists in Egypt and Nigeria.

2. Information Bias:

The questionnaire utilises easily understood language and avoids the use or jargons or other complicated terms.

D. Study Size

14. Study Size

To be determined after Primary Protocol Review

E. Quantitative Variables

15. Handling of Quantitative Variables in The Analysis:

	1.	Continuous '	Variables would be ex	xpressed in Mean	and Standard D	eviation ((SD
--	----	--------------	-----------------------	------------------	----------------	------------	-----

2. Categorical Variables would be expressed in Percentages (%)

F. Statistical Methods

16. Statistical analysis of data would be carried out by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) IBM. Categorical data would be compared between groups and subgroups using ChiSquare or McNemara tests.

IV. ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

17a. Research Ethics Approval:

Protocol would be reviewed by the Research Ethics Committee of the department for approval prior to start of study.

17b. Protocol Amendments:

All modifications to the protocol in part or whole, which may have an impact on the conduct of the study, study design, sample sizes, study procedures, or significant administrative aspects will be agreed upon by the scientific committee prior to starting the study.

17c. Informed Consent:

Informed consent would be sought in the first page of the electronic questionnaire. Willing participants would proceed to the questionnaire while those who choose not to participate would exit the questionnaire.

17d. Confidentiality:

Information would be sought anonymously. However, email or IP address would be limited to one access to the questionnaire to limit multiple data entry.

17e. Declaration of Interest:

There is no conflict of interest in this study.

17f. Access to Data:

Only principal researcher, supervisors and statistician would have access to collected data unless otherwise advised or requested by Steering Committees.

17g. Dissemination Policy:

- 1. Results of the study will be available in the department database
- 2. Copies of the thesis will be available at the department library and at the official site of The Egyptian Universities Libraries Consortium.
- 3. Final report of the trial will be published in international journals.

V BUDGET	
V. BUDGET	
18. Estimated Research Budget:	
This research is estimated to cost EGP 10,000 –	- 15,000.

VI. APPENDICES		
19. Informed Consent:		
	10	

KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE FOR MANAGEMENT OF DENTINE HYPERSENSITIVITY AMONG EGYPTIAN AND NIGERIAN DENTIST: A CROSS-SECTIONAL COMPARATIVE STUDY

Study Number: Consent Form

* Indicates required question

1. Sir/Ma

You are requested to participate in the above named study conducted between Conservative Dentistry Department of Cairo University Egypt and Borno State Dental Hospital Nigeria.

This study aims to assess and compare the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of Egyptian and Nigerian dentists on etiology, diagnosis, and management of DH. Also to identify if level of education, culture and environment have influence in the diagnosis and management of Dentine Hypersensitivity.

Your participation is voluntary and you reserve the right not to complete this survey without giving any reason. be assured that your responses would be kept anonymous.

if you have any queries please contact Dr. Muhammad Adam Modu +201145743143/+2349024296371

Mark only one oval.

Agree to participate
Disagree to participat

VII. REFERENCES

Absi, E., M. Addy and D. Adams (1987). "Dentine hypersensitivity: a study of the patency of dentinal tubules in sensitive and non-sensitive cervical dentine." *J of clin perio* **14**(5): 280-284.

Benoist, F. L., F. G. Ndiaye, B. Faye, K. Bane, P. I. Ngom and P. M. K. Ndong (2014). "Knowledge of and management attitude regarding dentin hypersensitivity among dentists from a West African country." *J of Cont Dent Pract* **15**(1): 86.

Brännström, M. (1964). "[Dentin sensitivity]." Arsb Goteb Tandlak Sallsk: 15-35.

Dam, V. V., Trinh, H. A., Dung, D. T., & Hai, T. D. (2022). Advances in the management of dentin hypersensitivity: An updated review. *The Open Dentistry Journal*, 16(1).

Davari, A., E. Ataei and H. Assarzadeh (2013). "Dentin hypersensitivity: etiology, diagnosis and treatment; a literature review." *J of Dent* **14**(3): 136.

Exarchou, C., I. Betsani, D. Sakellari, D. Chatzopoulou and D. Gillam (2019). "A Survey of Dentists in the Management of Dentine Hypersensitivity: A Questionnaire-based Study." *Eur J Dent* **13**(3): 383-390.

Frank, R. M. (1968). Attachment sites between the odontoblast process and the intradentinal nerve fibre. *Archives of oral biology*, *13*(7), 833-IN39.

Frank, R. M., & Steuer, P. (1988). Transmission electron microscopy of the human odontoblast process in peripheral root dentine. *Archives of oral biology*, 33(2), 91-98.

Gillam, D. G. (2013). "Current diagnosis of dentin hypersensitivity in the dental office: an overview." *Clin Oral Investig* **17** (Suppl 1): S21-29

Gillam, D. G. (2017). "A new perspective on dentine hypersensitivity—guidelines for general dental practice." *Dent update* **44**(1): 33-42.

Gillam DG, N. Dashti and Chatzopoulou D. (2019) "A Survey of the Professional Opinions of Kuwaiti Dentists for the Treatment and Management of Dentine Hypersensitivity: A Questionnaire-based Study". *Jacobs J Dentistry Res* 5(2): 046.

Johnson, R., B. Zulqar-Nain and J. Koval (1982). "The effectiveness of an electroionizing toothbrush in the control of dentinal hypersensitivity." *J of perio* **53**(6): 353-359.

Kopycka-Kedzierawski, D. T., C. Meyerowitz, M. S. Litaker, S. Chonowski, M. W. Heft, V. V. Gordan, R. L. Yardic, T. E. Madden, S. C. Reyes, G. H. Gilbert and P. C. G. National Dental (2017). "Management of Dentin Hypersensitivity by National Dental Practice-Based Research Network practitioners: results from a questionnaire administered prior to initiation of a clinical study on this topic." *BMC Oral Health* **17**(1): 41.

Liu, X. X., Tenenbaum, H. C., Wilder, R. S., Quock, R., Hewlett, E. R., & Ren, Y. F. (2020). Pathogenesis, diagnosis and management of dentin hypersensitivity: an evidence-based overview for dental practitioners. *BMC oral health*, *20*, 1-10.

Pashley, D. H. (1994). "Theory of dentin sensitivity." *The Journal of clinical dentistry* **5**: 65-67.

Pereira, R., D. Gillam, S. Bapatla and P. Satyamurthy (2018). "Awareness of Dentine Hypersensitivity among General Dental Practitioners in Mumbai, India." *Journal of Odontology*

Sole-Magdalena, A., M. Martinez-Alonso, C. A. Coronado, L. M. Junquera, J. Cobo and J. A. Vega (2018). "Molecular basis of dental sensitivity: The odontoblasts are multisensory cells and express multifunctional ion channels." *Ann Anat* **215**: 20-29.