1	
2	
3	AMBLYOPIA TREATMENT STUDY
4	(ATS20)
5	
6	
7	Binocular Dig Rush Game Treatment for
8	Amblyopia
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	PROTOCOL
15	
16 17	
18	Version 4.0
19	30 April 2018
20	

21	PROTOCOL AMENDMENT #1
22	13 September 2017
23	
24	This amendment provides for the following protocol change:
25	
26	Protocol Change
27	
28	Current Protocol
29	The original sample size of 84 study participants for the older cohort assumed a standard deviation
30	of 5 letters after 4 weeks of treatment (see Section 5.2.2).
31	
32	Although we believed that our estimate of 5 letters in the older cohort was reasonable, a sample size
33	re-estimation was planned once approximately 50% of the pre-planned number of subjects have
34	completed their 4-week outcome visit (see Section 5.3). In summary, a pooled estimate of variance
35	without respect to treatment group will be calculated and used to re-estimate sample size. If the
30 27	observed standard deviation of change is larger than the pre-study estimate of 5 letters, the sample
37 38	size will be increased, up to a maximum limit corresponding to a standard deviation of change of a letters for the older cohort (206 subjects) with a 5% adjustment for loss to follow up
30	etters for the order conort (200 subjects) with a 5% augustitent for loss to follow-up.
40	Proposed Change
41	The pooled standard deviation for 42 subjects completing their 4-week outcome visit was observed
42	to be 6 letters (higher than the original estimate of 5 letters). The sample size for the older cohort
43	will be increased to 116 study participants (58 per group) based on the results of the planned sample
44	size re-estimation.
45	
46	Other Change:
47	A typographical error has been corrected in section 2.5.
10	

49	PROTOCOL AMENDMENT #2					
50	12 February 2018					
51						
52	This amendment provides for the following protocol change:					
53						
54	Protocol Change					
55						
56	Current Protocol		4 4 1			
57 58	The following criteria must be	met for a child to be enrolled if	i the study:			
50 50	• VA in the fellow eve 20	$\frac{1}{25}$ or better (ATS-HOTV) or	>78 letters (E-ETDRS)			
60	• VA in the renow eye 20		\sim 70 letters (E-ETDRS)			
61	Proposed Change					
62	Eligibility criteria with respect	to fellow-eye visual acuity has	been changed to depend upon the age			
63	of the subject. The following c	criteria must be met for a child	to be enrolled in the study:			
64						
65	Best-corrected fellow-e	ye VA meeting the following c	riteria:			
66 (7	• If age 4, $20/40$ or better by ATS-HOTV If age 5 an ($-20/22$ and better by ATS-HOTV					
0/ 68	0 If age 3 or 0, 20/32 or better by F_{T} ETDRS (>78 letters)					
69	0 II age / 01 01del	, 20/23 of better by E-ETDKS	$(\geq 78$ letters)			
70	The requirement for an interocular difference $\geq 3 \log MAR$ lines (ATS-HOTV) or (>15 letters (E-					
71	ETDRS) has not changed.					
72	, 5					
73	Rationale for Change					
74	Normal visual acuity values depend upon the age of the subject. The eligibility values for the study have					
15 76	been changed to match the norma	l values based upon age cited in th	ie following table:			
70 77	Table: Normal Visual Acuity V	values Based on Age				
, ,	Age range	Subnormal if worse than				
	36-47 months	20/50				
	48-59 months	20/40				
	60-83 months	20/32				

20/25 \geq 84 months Normal values for children aged 30-72 months based on a study by Pan et al.²⁹ Normal values for children aged \geq 72 months based on a study by Drover et al.³⁰ 78 79

80	PROTOCOL AMENDMENT #3
81	07 March 2018
82	
83	This amendment provides for the following protocol change:
84	
85	Protocol Change
86	
87	References added to the protocol to support normal values for children aged 30-72 months based on
88	a study by Pan et al and normal values for children aged >72 months based on a study by Drover et
89	al added.
90	

91 92	PROTOCOL AMENDMENT #4 30 April 2018
02	50 April 2010
93 94	This amendment provides for the following protocol changes:
95	
96	Protocol Change # 1
97	
98	Current Protocol
99	The original sample size of 116 study participants for the younger cohort assumed a standard
100	deviation (SD) of 1.2 logMAR lines after 4 weeks of treatment (see Section 5.2.1).
101	
102	Although we believed that our estimate of 1.2 logMAR lines in the younger cohort was reasonable,
103	as it was based on best available data, a sample size re-estimation was pre-specified once
104	approximately 50% of the pre-planned number of participants reached their 4-week outcome visit
105	(see Section 5.3): Once approximately 50% of the pre-planned number of subjects have completed
106	the 4-week outcome visit, a pooled estimate of variance without respect to treatment group will be
107	calculated and used to re-estimate sample size using a procedure that maintains masking and has a negligible effect on the Type Lerror rate. Within each age cohort if the observed standard deviation
100	of change is larger than the pre-study estimate, the sample size will be increased up to a maximum
110	limit corresponding to a standard deviation of change of 1.5 logMAR lines (182 subjects) for the
111	vounger cohort.
112	
113	Proposed Change
114	The pooled SD for 51 subjects completing their 4-week outcome visit was 1.6 logMAR lines (95%
115	confidence interval for $SD = 1.2$ to 2.0 logMAR). Since the observed SD is much higher than the
116	1.2 logMAR lines used to estimate sample size, the sample size for the younger cohort will be
117	increased to 182 study participants (91 per group) based on the results of the planned sample size
118	re-estimation.
119	Dente sel Charges # 2
120	Protocol Change # 2
121	Current Protocol
122	Due to the short duration of the primary outcome at 4 weeks and expected rapid recruitment no
123	interim monitoring will be conducted for either age cohort. This decision will be re-evaluated if the
125	sample size is increased.
126	1
127	Proposed Change
128	Interim monitoring for futility will be conducted when approximately 50% of the revised sample
129	size (n=91) has completed the 4-week primary outcome visit. Details of the interim analysis plan
130	will be developed in consultation with the DSMC and documented in the statistical analysis plan.
131	
132	
133	

134	
135	CONTACT INFORMATION
136	
137	
138	
139	
140	COORDINATING CENTER
141	Raymond T. Kraker, M.S.P.H. (Director)
142	Jaeb Center for Health Research
143	15310 Amberly Drive, Suite 350
144	Tampa, FL 33647
145	Phone (888) 79PEDIG or (813) 975-8690
146	Fax (888) 69PEDIG or (813) 975-8761
147	
148	
149	
150	
151	PROTOCOL CHAIRS
152	
153	
154	Jonathan M. Holmes, M.D.
155	Department of Ophthalmology
156	Mayo Clinic
157	Rochester, MN 55905
158	Phone: (507) 284-3760
159	Email: <u>holmes.jonathan@mayo.edu</u>
160	
161	Ruth E. Manny, O.D., Ph.D., FAAO
162	University of Houston College of Optometry
163	Houston, TX 77004
164	Phone 713-743-1944
165	Mobile 281-782-1689
166	Email: <u>RManny@central.uh.edu</u>
167	
168	
169	
170	

171		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
172	CHAPTER 1.1	RACKCROUND AND SUMMARY	1.1
174	11 Backa	round	1-1 1_1
175	1.1 Dackg	ts of PEDIG study of binocular treatment (ATS18)	1-2
176	1.3 Ration	hale for Proposed Study Design	
177	1.4 Study	Objective	
178	1.5 Synop	sis of Study Design	
179	CHAPTER 2: S	SUBJECT ENROLLMENT	
180	2.1 Eligib	ility Assessment and Informed Consent/Assent	
181	2.2 Eligib	ility and Exclusion Criteria	
182	2.2.1 E	ligibility Criteria	
183	2.2.2 E	xclusion Criteria	
184	2.3 Histor	ical Information	
185	2.4 Proceed	dures at the Enrollment Visit	
186	2.5 Rando	mization of Eligible Subjects	
187	CHAPTER 3: 7	TREATMENT AND FOLLOW-UP	
188	3.1 Binoci	ular Computer Game Treatment	
189	3.2 Contir	nued Spectacle Correction Group	
190	3.3 Comp	liance	
191	3.4 Phone	Call	
192	3.5 Follov	v-up Visit Schedule	
193	3.6 Resolu	ution of Amblyopia	
194	3.7 Option	nal Post 8-week Treatment	
195	3.8 Follow	v-up Visit Testing Procedures	
196	3.8.1 M	Aasked Examiner	
19/	3.9 16-We	eek visit	
198	3.10 Non	-Study Visits and Treatment	
199	CHAPTER 4: 1	MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS IN FOLLOW-UP	
200	4.1 Contac	t Withdrowala	
201	4.2 Subjec	compart of Defrective Error	
202	4.5 Mallag	gement of Strahismus	
203	4.5 Risks		4-4 1_1
204	4.5 KISKS.)evelonment of Manifest Ocular Deviation or Dinlonia	4-4 4_4
205	452 R	isks of Examination Procedures	4-5
207	453 D	elav in Use of Traditional Amblyonia Treatment	4-5
208	4.6 Repor	ting of Adverse Events	4-5
209	4.6.1 R	isk Assessment	
210	4.7 Discor	ntinuation of Study	
211	4.8 Travel	Reimbursement	
212	4.9 Study	Costs	
213	4.10 Gen	eral Considerations	
214	CHAPTER 5: S	SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	
215	5.1 Defini	ition of Subject Cohorts	
216	5.2 Sampl	le Size Estimation	
217	5.2.1 Y	Younger Cohort (Children 4 to <7 years of age)	
218	5.2.2 O	Older Cohort (Children 7 to <13 years of age)	
219	5.3 Interin	n Analysis and Sample Size Re-estimation	
220	5.4 Analy	ses	
221	5.4.1 P	rimary Analysis	
222	5.4.1.1	l Mean Amblyopic Eye VA at 4 Weeks	
223	5.4.2 S	econdary Analyses	
224	5.4.2.1	VA Improvement at 4 Weeks Defined as a Binary Outcome	
225	5.4.2.2	2 Stereoacuity	
226	5.4.2.3	3 Treatment Compliance with Binocular Therapy	
227	5.4.2.4	4 Fellow-eye Contrast with Binocular Therapy	
228	5.4.3 S	afety	
229	5.4.3.1	VA in Fellow Eye	
230	5.4.3.2	2 Ocular Alignment	

231	5.4.3.3	Diplopia	
232	5.4.3.4	Adverse Symptoms	
233	5.4.4 Outco	omes at 8 Weeks	
234	5.4.5 Explo	oratory Analyses	
235	5.4.5.1	Subgroup Analysis at 4 Weeks	
236	5.4.5.2	Effect of Binocular Treatment in Children Randomized to Continued Spectacles	
237	CHAPTER 6: REF	FERENCES	6-13
238			

239 **CHAPTER 1: BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY** 240 241 This study is being conducted by the Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group (PEDIG) and is 242 funded through a cooperative agreement from the National Eye Institute. 243 1.1 Background 244 Epidemiology & clinical characteristics Amblyopia is the most common cause of reduced monocular VA (VA) in children and young 245 adults, with estimates of prevalence ranging from 1% to 5%.^{1,2} The most common associated 246 amblyogenic risk factors are uncorrected anisometropia, strabismus, or a combination of 247 248 anisometropia and strabismus. 249 250 *Treatment –current methods and outcomes* 251 The current mainstay of amblyopia treatment is spectacle correction (when there is uncorrected 252 refractive error) followed by part-time patching or atropine penalization of the fellow eye.³⁻⁸ 253 Although current treatments using part-time occlusion and atropine drops are effective in many younger children (3 to <7 years) ³⁻⁸ residual amblyopia (20/32 or worse) is still present in 54% 254 of children at age 10 years⁹ and 40% at age 15 years.¹⁰ In older children, age 7 to 12 years, 255 current treatments are less effective.¹¹ The majority of older children still have residual 256 257 amblyopia after treatment; in 7- to 12-year-old children, 80% treated with atropine and 74% 258 treated with patching had residual amblyopia of 20/32 or worse.¹² 259 260 One possible reason for failure of part-time patching treatment in some younger children and many older children is poor compliance with the prescribed treatment regimens.^{13,14} 261 Nevertheless, data from studies using an occlusion dose monitor^{15,16} suggest that many children 262 263 successfully comply with prescribed part-time patching treatment and yet fail to respond to 264 treatment, supporting the assertion that part-time patching is ineffective for treating amblyopia in some children. 265 266 267 In addition, patching has negative psychosocial effects for many children, and children often 268 resist wearing a patch. Some children and their parents rate patching poorly from the standpoint of adverse effects of treatment, treatment compliance, and social stigma.^{17,18} 269 270 271 Based on the prevalence of residual amblyopia with current part-time patching treatment and the 272 challenges of compliance with patching, new treatments for amblyopia are needed, particularly 273 those that can be visually unobtrusive and that do not overtly interfere with the vision of the 274 fellow eye. 275 276 **<u>Binocul</u>ar treatment** In 2010, Hess et al¹⁹ reported a binocular approach to treating amblyopia, without patching, 277 278 atropine drops or blurring filters, consisting of dichoptic stimuli presented to each eye. In 279 laboratory-based sessions, dichoptic motion coherence thresholds were measured by adjusting 280 contrast levels in the fellow eye to optimize combination of visual information from both eyes 281 and overcome suppression of the amblyopic eye. In these adult subjects mean amblyopia eye VA and stereoacuity improved over several weeks.¹⁹ This method of binocular treatment was 282 then adapted to a "falling blocks" game, which was studied by Li et al,²⁰ reporting a mean 283 284 amblyopic eye improvement of approximately 2 logMAR lines when treating adults in a 285 supervised setting for 1 hour/day over 2 weeks. 286

- Recently, binocular treatment using a "falling blocks" game has been adapted to an iPad[®] 287
- 288 device, which uses red-green anaglyphic glasses. In children, non-randomized studies conducted
- 289 by Birch's research group found an improvement in amblyopic-eye VA of approximately 1
- logMAR line prescribing 4 hours/week of binocular treatment for 4 weeks in 4 to 12 year-290
- olds,^{21,22} and in 3- to 6-year-olds.²³ The studies²¹⁻²³ conducted by Birch's group in children 291
- 292 included 4 different binocular games, one of which was the falling blocks game, and allowed
- 293 concurrent patching at a different time of day at the eye care provider's discretion, although a 294 sub-analysis of those only treated with binocular games yielded a similar magnitude of effect.
- 295
- 296 Knox et al²⁴ also found a comparable improvement (approximately 1 logMAR line) in children 297 (mean age 8.5 years) treated with a similar game, using a head-mounted display in a supervised 298 setting for 1 hour/day for 5 sessions over one week.
- 299
- 300 Based on these pilot studies, PEDIG performed a randomized clinical trial to compare
- amblyopic-eye VA improvement over 16 weeks in children age 5 to <13 years, with 20/40 to 301
- 302 20/200 amblyopic-eye VA, comparing a binocular iPad game (prescribed 1 hour per day) with
- 303 patching of the fellow eye (prescribed 2 hours per day).

304 1.2 **Results of PEDIG study of binocular treatment (ATS18)**

- In a recently completed PEDIG RCT,²⁵ 385 subjects 5 to <13 years of age (mean 8.5 years) with 305 amblyopia (20/40 to 20/200, mean 20/63) resulting from strabismus, anisometropia, or both, 306 307 were randomly assigned to either 16 weeks of a binocular iPad game, prescribed for 1 hour a 308 day (n=190, binocular group), or patching of the fellow eye prescribed for 2 hours a day 309 (n=195, patching group).
- 310

311 At 16 weeks, the mean amblyopic-eye VA improved 1.05 lines (2-sided 95% confidence

- 312 interval (CI): 0.85 to 1.24 lines) in the binocular group and 1.35 lines (2-sided 95% CI: 1.17 to
- 313 1.54 lines) in the patching group, with an adjusted treatment group difference of 0.31 lines
- 314 favoring patching (upper limit of the 1-sided 95% CI 0.53 lines). This upper limit exceeded the
- 315 pre-specified non-inferiority limit of 0.5 lines. In a post hoc analysis, the two-sided 95% CI for
- 316 the adjusted treatment group difference was 0.04 to 0.58 lines, favoring the patching group.
- 317 Only 22% of subjects randomized to the binocular game performed >75% of the prescribed
- 318 treatment (median 46%, interquartile range 20% to 72%). In younger subjects 5 to <7 years of
- 319 age, without prior amblyopia treatment, amblyopic-eye VA improved 2.5 ± 1.5 lines in the
- 320 binocular group and 2.8 ± 0.8 in the patching group. Adverse effects (diplopia, reduction of
- 321 fellow-eye VA, new tropia) were uncommon and of similar frequency between groups.
- 322
- 323 We therefore concluded that in children 5 to <13 years of age, amblyopic-eye VA improved
- 324 with both binocular game play and patching, particularly in younger children age 5 to <7 years
- 325 without prior amblyopia treatment. However, based on a post hoc analysis, VA improvement
- 326 with this particular binocular iPad treatment was not as good as with 2 hours of prescribed daily
- 327 patching.

328 1.3 **Rationale for Proposed Study Design**

329 It is entirely possible that our failure to find non-inferiority of binocular treatment to part-time

- 330 patching in our recent RCT was due to poor compliance with the binocular treatment. Only 22%
- 331 of subjects randomized to the binocular game performed >75% of the prescribed treatment
- 332 (median 46%, interquartile range 20% to 72%).
- 333

- 334 Recently, a new binocular game has been developed for children, called "Dig Rush," which is
- much more interesting than the falling blocks game because it involves more interesting tasks
- such as digging for gold and earning rewards. It has 42 levels, and therefore the child remains
- and engaged for a much longer period of time than the falling blocks game.
- 338
- 339 The Birch group has studied the binocular Dig Rush game in children age 4 to <10 years and
- found that compliance with the game is excellent, with a mean amblyopic eye VA improvement of 1.5 lines at 2 weeks and 1.7 lines at 4 weeks.²⁶
- 342

355

362

363

364

365

367

381

- 343 Based on several promising pilot studies of binocular treatment in children and in adults, a full
- RCT is warranted, to investigate whether binocular treatment is an effective treatment for
- amblyopia, and, analogous to the PEDIG RCT which investigated the effectiveness of
- 346 patching,²⁷ the appropriate control group is continued spectacle treatment alone.

347 **1.4 Study Objective**

- To compare the efficacy of 1 hour/day of binocular game play 5 days per week plus spectacle correction with spectacle correction only, for treatment of amblyopia in children 4 to <13 years of age.
- 351 1.5 Synopsis of Study Design
- 352 <u>Major eligibility criteria</u>: (*see section 2.2* for a complete listing)
- Age 4 to <13 years
 - Amblyopia associated with anisometropia, strabismus (<5∆ at near measured by SPCT), or both
- No amblyopia treatment (atropine, patching, Bangerter, vision therapy, binocular therapy) in the past 2 weeks
- Spectacle correction (if required) worn for at least 16 weeks, or until stability of VA is demonstrated (<0.1 logMAR change by the same testing method measured on 2 exams at least 8 weeks apart)
- VA in the amblyopic eye 20/40 to 20/200 (ATS-HOTV) or 33 to 72 letters (E-ETDRS)
 - Best-corrected fellow-eye VA meeting the following criteria:
 - If age 4, 20/40 or better by ATS-HOTV
 - If age 5 or 6, 20/32 or better by ATS-HOTV
 - If age 7 or older, 20/25 or better by E-ETDRS (\geq 78 letters)
- Interocular difference \geq 3 logMAR lines (ATS-HOTV) or (\geq 15 letters (E-ETDRS)
 - No myopia greater than -6.00D spherical equivalent in either eye
- Demonstrate in-office ability to play the Dig Rush game under binocular conditions
 (with red-green glasses) on at least level 3, including ability to see red "diggers" and
 blue "gold carts" at 20% contrast in the non-amblyopic eye
- 371
- 372 <u>Treatment Groups</u>
- 373 Subjects will be randomly assigned with equal probability to either:
- Binocular treatment group: binocular computer game play prescribed 1 hour per day 5
 days a week (treatment time can be split into shorter sessions totaling 1 hour each day)
 with spectacles, if needed (*see section 3.1*)
- Continued spectacle correction, if needed (*see section 3.2*)
- 378379 Sample Size see details in Chapter 5
- Results will be analyzed separately in 2 age cohorts.
 - \circ 182 children aged 4 to < 7 years (younger cohort)

- 382 \circ 116 children aged 7 to <13 years (older cohort) based on results of the sample 383 size re-estimation i. A maximum of 20% of enrolled subjects in each age cohort may have had 384 385 previous binocular therapy. 386 Visit Schedule 387 388 • Enrollment exam and randomization 389 1-week phone call (7 to 13 days from randomization) to inquire about issues with the • 390 binocular game (if applicable) and to encourage compliance with treatment for all 391 groups (to be completed by site personnel) 392 • 4 weeks ± 1 week (primary outcome) 393 • 8 weeks ± 1 week (secondary outcome) 394 Binocular group final visit 395 Spectacle group switched to binocular treatment and followed for 8 weeks • 396 • 9-week phone call (Spectacle group only: 7 to 13 days from 8-week exam) to inquire 397 about issues with the binocular game (if applicable) and to encourage compliance with 398 treatment for all groups (to be completed by site personnel) 16 weeks \pm 1 week (final visit for group originally randomized to continued spectacle 399 • 400 treatment who were switched to binocular treatment) 401 402 Testing Procedures At each follow-up visit, distance VA will be measured in each eye using ATS-HOTV for 403 404 children <7 years at enrollment and the E-ETDRS for children ≥7 years at enrollment (VA 405 method used at enrollment will be used over the course of the trial). We will also assess near 406 stereoacuity using the Randot Butterfly Stereoacuity test and Randot Preschool Stereoacuity 407 test, history of diplopia, symptoms, and ocular alignment (distance and near) by cover test, 408 simultaneous prism cover test (SPCT) (if manifest deviation present), and prism and alternate 409 cover test (PACT) (for all subjects).
 - 410
 - 411 Analysis
 - 412 The primary analysis will compare mean change in amblyopic-eye VA from enrollment to 4
 - 413 weeks in the binocular computer treatment group with the continued spectacle treatment group.
 - 414

415 Study Summary Flow Chart



417 418	CHAPTER 2: SUBJECT ENROLLMENT
419	2.1 Eligibility Assessment and Informed Consent/Assent
420 421 422 423 424	The study plans to enroll a minimum of 182 subjects aged 4 to < 7 years and 116 subjects aged 7 to <13 years (based on results of the sample size re-estimation). Up to 20% of enrolled subjects in each age cohort can have had previous binocular therapy. As the enrollment goal approaches, sites will be notified of the end date for recruitment. Subjects who have signed an informed consent form can be randomized until the end date, which means the expected recruitment might be exceeded.
423 426 427 428 429 430 431 432	A child is considered for the study after undergoing a routine eye examination (by a study investigator as part of standard of care) that identifies amblyopia appearing to meet the eligibility criteria. The study will be discussed with the child's parent(s) or guardian(s) (referred to subsequently as parent(s)). Parent(s) who express an interest in the study will be given a copy of the informed consent form to read. Written informed consent / assent must be obtained from a parent and child (depending on age and local IRB requirements) prior to performing any study-specific procedures that are not part of the child's routine care.
433	2.2 Eligibility and Exclusion Criteria
434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450	 2.2.1 Eligibility Criteria The following criteria must be met for a child to be enrolled in the study: Age 4 to <13 years Amblyopia associated with strabismus, anisometropia, or both (previously treated or untreated) Criteria for strabismic amblyopia: At least one of the following must be met: Presence of a heterotropia on examination at distance or near fixation (with or without optical correction, must be no more than 4pd by SPCT at near fixation (see #6 below) Documented history of strabismus which is no longer present (which in the judgment of the investigator could have caused amblyopia) Criteria for anisometropia: At least one of the following meridians in the two eyes Criteria for combined-mechanism amblyopia: Both of the following criteria must be met: Criteria for strabismus are met (see above) ≥1.00 D difference between eyes in spherical equivalent OR ≥1.50 D difference in astigmatism between corresponding meridians in the two eyes
451 452 453	 No amblyopia treatment other than optical correction in the past 2 weeks (patching, atropine, Bangerter, vision therapy, binocular treatment) <u>Requirements for required refractive error correction (<i>based on a cycloplegic refraction</i>)</u>
454 455 456 457 458 459	 completed within the last 7 months): Hypermetropia of 2.50 D or more by spherical equivalent (SE) Myopia of amblyopic eye of 0.50D or more SE Astigmatism of 1.00D or more Anisometropia of more than 0.50D SE
460 461 462 463	NOTE: Subjects with cycloplegic refractive errors that do not fall within the requirements above for spectacle correction may be given spectacles at investigator discretion but must follow the study-specified prescribing guidelines, as detailed below.

464	a.	Spectacle prescribing instructions referenced to the cycloplegic refraction completed within
465		the last 7 months:
466		• SE must be within 0.50D of fully correcting the anisometropia.
467		• SE must not be under corrected by more than 1.50D SE, and reduction in plus sphere
468		must be symmetric in the two eyes.
469		• Cylinder power in both eyes must be within 0.50D of fully correcting the
470		astigmatism.
471		• Axis must be within ± 10 degrees if cylinder power is ≤ 1.00 D, and within ± 5
472		degrees if cylinder power is $>1.00D$.
473		• Myopia must not be undercorrected by more than 0.25D or over corrected by more
474		than 0.50D SE, and any change must be symmetrical in the two eyes.
475		
476	b.	Spectacle correction meeting the above criteria must be worn:
477		• For at least 16 weeks OR until VA stability is documented (defined as <0.1 logMAR
478		change by the same testing method measured on 2 consecutive exams at least 8
479		weeks apart).
480		• For determining VA stability (non-improvement):
481		• The first of two measurements may be made 1) in current spectacles, or
482		2) in trial frames with or without cycloplegia or 3) without correction (if
483		new correction is prescribed).
484		• The second measurement must be made without cycloplegia in the correct
485		spectacles that have been worn for at least 8 weeks.
486		\circ Note: since this determination is a pre-study procedure, the method of
487		measuring VA is not mandated.
488	5.	VA, measured in each eye without cycloplegia in current spectacle correction (if applicable)
489		within 7 days prior to randomization using the ATS-HOTV VA protocol for children < 7
490		years and the E-ETDRS VA protocol for children \geq 7 years on a study-approved device
491		displaying single surrounded optotypes, as follows:
492		a. VA in the amblyopic eye 20/40 to 20/200 inclusive (ATS-HOTV) or 33 to 72 letters (E-
493		ETDRS)
494		b. Best-corrected fellow-eye VA meeting the following criteria:
495		• If age 4, 20/40 or better by ATS-HOTV
496		• If age 5 or 6, 20/32 or better by ATS-HOTV
497		• If age 7 or older, 20/25 or better by E-ETDRS (\geq 78 letters)
498		c. Interocular difference \geq 3 logMAR lines (ATS-HOTV) or \geq 15 letters (E-ETDRS)
499	6.	Heterotropia with a near deviation of $\leq 5\Delta$ (measured by SPCT) in habitual correction
500		(Angles of ocular deviation >4 Δ are not allowed because large magnitudes of the deviation
501		would compromise successful playing of the game.)
502	7.	Subject is able to play the Dig Rush game (at least level 3) on the study iPad under binocular
503		conditions (with red-green glasses). Subject must be able to see both the red "diggers" and
504		blue "gold carts" when contrast for the non-amblyopic eye is at 20%.
505	8.	Investigator is willing to prescribe computer game play, or continued spectacle wear per
506		protocol.
507	9.	Parent understands the protocol and is willing to accept randomization.
508	10	. Parent has phone (or access to phone) and is willing to be contacted by Jaeb Center staff or
509		other study staff.
510	11.	. Relocation outside of area of an active PEDIG site for this study within the next 8 weeks is
511		not anticipated.

512 2.2.2 Exclusion Criteria

- 513 A subject is excluded for any of the following reasons:
- Prism in the spectacle correction at time of enrollment (eligible only if prism is discontinued
 weeks prior to enrollment).
- 516 2. Myopia greater than -6.00D spherical equivalent in either eye.
- 517 3. Previous intraocular or refractive surgery.
- 4. Any treatment for amblyopia (patching, atropine, Bangerter filter, vision therapy or previous binocular treatment) during the past 2 weeks. Previous amblyopia therapy is allowed
 regardless of type, but must be discontinued at least 2 weeks prior to enrollment.
- 521 5. Ocular co-morbidity that may reduce VA determined by an ocular examination performed 522 within the past 7 months (*Note: nystagmus per se does not exclude the subject if the above* 523 *VA criteria are met*).
- 524 6. No Down syndrome or cerebral palsy
- 525
 526
 526
 526
 527
 527
 7. No severe developmental delay that would interfere with treatment or evaluation (in the opinion of the investigator). Subjects with mild speech delay or reading and/or learning disabilities are not excluded.
- 528
 528
 Subject has demonstrated previous low compliance with binocular treatment and/or
 529
 spectacle treatment (as assessed informally by the investigator)

530 2.3 Historical Information

- Historical information to be elicited will include the following: date of birth, sex, race, ethnicity,and history of prior eye-related treatment (including length of spectacle correction).
- 533 **2.4 Procedures at the Enrollment Visit**
- All examination procedures must be tested within 7 days prior to the date of enrollment, except the cycloplegic refraction and ocular examination, which may be performed within 7 months prior to enrollment.
- 537

538 All examination procedures at enrollment are performed in the subject's current spectacle 539 correction, if required (testing in trial frames is not permitted), and without cycloplegia:

540 541

542

543

544

545

546 547

548

549 550

551

- 1. ATS Diplopia Questionnaire
 - The child and parent(s) will be specifically questioned regarding the presence and frequency of any diplopia within the last 2 weeks using a standardized diplopia assessment (*see ATS Miscellaneous Testing Procedures Manual*). The diplopia assessment must be performed prior to any other testing during the exam.
- 2. <u>Symptom Survey</u>
 - The child and parent(s) will complete a 5-item symptom survey regarding the presence of various ocular symptoms within the past 2 weeks (*see ATS Miscellaneous Testing Procedures Manual*). The symptom survey must be performed prior to any other testing during the exam.
- 5533. Distance VA Testing:
refractive correction (if required) in each eye by a certified examiner using the electronic554ATS-HOTV VA protocol for children <7 years and the E-ETDRS VA protocol for children</td>555 \geq 7 years on a study-certified acuity tester displaying single surrounded optotypes as557described in the ATS Testing Procedures Manual.
- The same VA protocol used at enrollment will be used throughout the study regardless of age at follow-up.

560			
561	4.	Stereoacuity Testing:	
562		• Stereoacuity will be tested at near in current spectacle correction using the Randot	
563		Butterfly and Randot Preschool stereoacuity tests.	
564			
565	5.	Ocular Alignment Testing:	
566		• Ocular alignment will be assessed in current spectacle correction by the cover test,	
567		simultaneous prism and cover test (SPCT) (in cases of strabismus detected by cover	
568		test), and prism and alternate cover test (PACT) in primary gaze at distance (3 meters)	
569		and at near (1/3 meter) as outlined in the ATS Procedures Manual.	
570		• See section 2.2.1 for eligibility criteria related to ocular alignment.	
571			
572	6.	Additional Clinical Testing:	
573		• Ocular examination as per investigator's clinical routine (if not performed within 7	
574		months)	
575	_		
576	7.	Demonstration of Game Understanding	
577		• The subject must be able to see both the red "diggers" and blue "gold carts" when	
578		contrast is at 20% for the non-amblyopic eye. Subjects must demonstrate that they	
579		understand the game by playing the game in the office on at least level 3. Subjects	
580		unable to play the game are not eligible for the study.	
581	2.5	Randomization of Eligible Subjects	
582	For each	ch age cohort, the Jaeb Center will construct a Master Randomization List using a permutated	
583	block of	design stratified by visual acuity in the amblyopic eye as moderate 20/40 to 20/80 (53 to 67	
584	letters) versus severe 20/100 to 20/400 (18 to 52 letters), which will specify the order of treatment		
585	group assignments.		
586			
587	All eli	gible subjects enrolled in the study will be followed for 8 weeks. Subjects will be randomly	
588	assigne	ed in a 1:1 allocation to one of the following treatment groups for 8 weeks:	
589			
590	1.	Binocular game play 1 hour per day, 5 days per week with spectacle correction (see section	
591	-	3.1), if needed	
592	2.	Continued spectacle correction (see section 3.2), if needed	
593	0		
594 595	Once a	child is assigned to treatment, he/she will be included in the analysis regardless of whether	
393	or not	the assigned treatment is received. Thus, the investigator must not randomly assign a subject	

596 to treatment unless convinced that the parent will accept either of the treatments.

CHAPTER 3: TREATMENT AND FOLLOW-UP

599 **3.1 Binocular Computer Game Treatment**

600 Subjects assigned to the binocular treatment group will be prescribed the Dig Rush game to play for 601 1 hour per day, 5 days a week for 8 weeks. Parents of subjects will be instructed that the 1 hour of 602 daily treatment should be completed in a single 60-minute session, but if this is not possible for 603 whatever reason, the treatment may be divided into shorter sessions totaling 1 hour per day.

604

All subjects in the study will play the Dig Rush game presented on an iPad while wearing red/green (anaglyph) glasses (over current spectacle correction, if applicable) with the green filter placed over the amblyopic eye. The subject should be instructed to hold the iPad at his/her usual reading distance. Some game elements are only visible to the fellow eye viewing through the red lens, while other game elements are only visible to the amblyopic eye viewing through the green lens. Image contrast varies depending on depth of amblyopia to ensure stimulation of the amblyopic eye and binocular game play.

612

613 Contrast of the game elements in the amblyopic eye will be at 100% throughout the study. Contrast

of game elements seen by the fellow eye will begin at 20% at the start of the study and will increase

or decrease automatically in increments from the last contrast level (e.g., 20% to 22%) based on the

616 subject's performance and duration of game play.

617 **3.2** Continued Spectacle Correction Group

618 Subjects assigned to the continued spectacle wear group will continue wearing their appropriate

619 spectacle correction (if required) for all waking hours, 7 days per week for 8 weeks. Subjects

620 assigned to the continued spectacle wear group will be offered binocular treatment for 8 weeks after

621 the initial 8 weeks of the study has been completed. Subjects in the continued spectacle correction

- 622 group that choose to continue with binocular treatment after 8 weeks will return for a follow-up
- 623 visit at 16 weeks.

624 **3.3** Compliance

Parents will be asked to complete a compliance calendar by manually recording the number of minutes that the child played the game each day, and/or how long the child has worn the spectacle correction. The investigator will review the calendars at each follow-up visit. The amount of time the game is played will also be recorded automatically during game play by the iPad. These data will be downloaded at the Jaeb Center when the iPad is returned after the study.

630 **3.4** Phone Call

631 Site personnel will call all subjects at 1 week (7 to 13 days) to encourage compliance with treatment

and to confirm that there are no technical problems playing the binocular game for those assigned to

633 binocular treatment. Site personnel will call subjects in the continued spectacle treatment group

634 who switch to binocular treatment at 9 weeks (7 to 13 days after the 8-week visit), again to

635 encourage compliance with treatment and to confirm that there are no technical problems playing

636 the binocular game for those assigned to binocular treatment.

637 **3.5 Follow-up Visit Schedule**

638 The follow-up schedule is timed from randomization as follows:

- 639
 1-week phone call (7 to 13 days from randomization) to inquire about issues with the
 640
 641
 641
 641
 641
- 641 be completed by site personnel)
- 4 weeks ± 1 week (primary outcome)

- 643 8 weeks ± 1 week (secondary outcome)
- Binocular final visit
 - Spectacle group switched to binocular treatment and followed for 8 weeks
- 9-week phone call (Spectacle group only: 7 to 13 days from 8-week exam) to inquire about
 issues with the binocular game (if applicable) and to encourage compliance with treatment
 for all groups (to be completed by site personnel)
- 649
 16 weeks ± 1 week (final visit for group originally randomized to continued spectacle treatment who were switched to binocular treatment)
- 651

652 Additional non-study visits can be performed at the discretion of the investigator.

653 **3.6 Resolution of Amblyopia**

Subjects achieving amblyopic-eye VA equal to or better (0 lines or more lines better) than the better
of the fellow-eye VA at baseline or 4-week visit, will be considered to have resolved and may
discontinue binocular treatment, although these subjects will still return for all remaining follow-up
exams.

658 3.7 Optional Post 8-week Treatment

659 For children originally randomized to binocular treatment, the study ends at 8 weeks.

660

661 Children who were originally assigned to continued spectacle treatment will be offered binocular

treatment for 8 weeks following the 8-week visit. The study will end at the 8-week exam for

663 children who choose not to receive binocular treatment. Children receiving binocular treatment at

the 8 week exam will return at 16 weeks for a follow-up visit. The study will end for these children

at the 16-week visit.

666 **3.8 Follow-up Visit Testing Procedures**

667 Subjects will be seen at follow-up visits as outlined in *section 3.5*. A Masked Examiner must

668 complete distance VA and stereoacuity testing at these visits (*section 3.8.1*). All procedures will be

669 performed with the subject's current spectacle correction. If a subject currently wears spectacles

but is not wearing them at the follow-up examination for whatever reason, testing must be

- 671 performed in trial frames.
- 672

673 Prior to the Masked Examiner entering the room, subjects and parents should be instructed not to 674 discuss their treatment with the Masked Examiner.

675

676 The following study procedures are performed at each visit:

677 678

- 1. ATS Diplopia Questionnaire
- The child and parent(s) will be specifically questioned regarding the presence and frequency of any diplopia within the last 2 weeks using a standardized diplopia assessment (*see ATS Miscellaneous Testing Procedures Manual*). The diplopia assessment must be performed prior to any other testing during the exam.

684		
685	2.	Symptom Survey
686		• The child and parent(s) will complete a 5-item symptom survey regarding the
687		presence of various ocular symptoms within the past 2 weeks (see ATS
688		Miscellaneous Testing Procedures Manual). The symptom survey must be
689		performed prior to any other testing during the exam.
690		
691	3.	Distance VA Testing (masked):
692		• Monocular distance VA testing will be performed in the current spectacle correction in
693		each eye using the same VA testing method that was used at enrollment, as described in
694		the ATS Testing Procedures Manual.
695		• The ATS HOTV testing protocol will always be used to test VA in the younger
696		age cohort (4 to <7 years at enrollment) whereas the E-ETDRS protocol will
697		always be used to test VA in the older cohort (7 to <13 years at enrollment).
698		 Testing must be completed without cycloplegia.
699		
700	4.	Stereoacuity Testing (masked):
701		• Near stereoacuity will be tested in habitual current refractive correction using the Randot
702		Butterfly test and Randot Preschool Stereoacuity test at near (1/3 meter).
703	_	
704	5.	Ocular Alignment Testing:
705		• Ocular alignment will be assessed in the current spectacle correction by the cover test,
706		simultaneous prism and cover test (SPCT) (if strabismus is present on cover testing), and
707		prism and alternate cover test (PACT) in primary gaze at distance (3 meters) and at near
/08		(1/3 meter) as outlined in the ATS Procedures Manual.
709	3.	8.1 Masked Examiner
710	The M	asked Examiner must be certified to test VA and stereoacuity. Because the Masked
711	Exami	ner must be masked to the subject's treatment group, he/she must be someone other than the
712	manag	ing clinician (in many cases the managing clinician will be the investigator but this is not
713	require	ed).
714	3.9	16-Week visit
715	This v	isit is only for children randomized to continued spectacle treatment who opt to receive
716	binocu	lar treatment at the 8-week visit. At the 16-week visit, children will have the same testing as

described in *section 3.8*; however, testing does not need to be completed by a masked examiner.

718 Following this visit, the study will end for these children.

719 **3.10** Non-Study Visits and Treatment

- 720 Investigators may schedule additional visits at their own discretion. Subjects will continue to
- follow the study-specified follow-up schedule regardless of any non-study visits. No data will be
- 722 collected at non-study visits for the purpose of the study.
- 723

Investigators must not start any additional treatment (other than that outlined in *section 3.1*) prior tothe 8-week outcome visit.

727 CHAPTER 4: MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS IN FOLLOW-UP

728 4.1 Contacts by the Jaeb Center for Health Research and Sites

729 The Jaeb Center serves as the PEDIG Coordinating Center. The Jaeb Center will be provided with

the parent's contact information. The Jaeb Center may contact the parents of the subjects.

- Permission for such contacts will be included in the Informed Consent Form. The principal purpose
- of the contacts will be to develop and maintain rapport with the subject and/or family and to help
- coordinate scheduling of the outcome examinations.
- 734

735 The site investigator or coordinator will contact the parents of each subject after the first week of

- the study to encourage compliance with treatment (spectacle or binocular) and to confirm that there
- are no technical problems playing the binocular game for those assigned to binocular treatment.

738 4.2 Subject Withdrawals

739 Parents may withdraw their child from the study at any time. This is expected to be a very

- 740 infrequent occurrence in view of the study design's similarity to routine clinical practice and short
- duration. If the parents indicate that they want to withdraw their child from the study, the
- investigator personally should attempt to speak with them to determine the reason. If their interest
- is in transferring the child's care to another eye care provider, every effort should be made to
- comply with this and at the same time try to keep the child in the study under the new provider's
- 745 care.

746 4.3 Management of Refractive Error

747 Because of the short duration of the study and the requirement to have a cycloplegic refraction

- 748 within 7 months prior to enrollment, no cycloplegic refraction is mandated during the study.
- 749 Nevertheless, whenever the investigator suspects that refractive error may not be corrected
- according to study guidelines, a cycloplegic refraction should be performed. Change in spectacle
- correction is at investigator discretion, but must be prescribed according to the guidelines described
- in *section 2.2.1*. and spectacles will be paid for by the study.
- 753
- 754 Contact lenses are not allowed during the study.

755 4.4 Management of Strabismus

Because of the short duration of the study and the age group being studied, strabismus surgery is not
allowed prior to the end of the study. If surgery is performed, the date and type of surgery will be
recorded in the comment section of the Follow-up Examination Form.

759 **4.5 Risks**

760 **4.5.1 Development of Manifest Ocular Deviation or Diplopia**

- 761 Diplopia is expected to be rare based on our experience during the previous ATS18 study
- 762 comparing binocular treatment with patching. ²⁵
- 763
- 764 Data on frequency of diplopia will be collected from the child and parent(s) at each study visit.
- 765766 If treatment precipitates the development of a manifest ocular deviation (e.g., esotropia) and/or
- 767 diplopia, the parent will be advised to have the subject see the investigator as soon as possible. If a
- new manifest deviation is confirmed on examination, the decision as to whether to continue or
- 769 discontinue therapy will be left to the investigator's and parent's decision. If the investigator
- determines that binocular diplopia is present, continuation of treatment is also at the discretion of
- the investigator and parent(s). If amblyopia treatment is to be discontinued during the study, a

- 772 Protocol Chair should be called to discuss the case. Subjects discontinuing treatment during the
- 773 study will continue to be seen for the remaining regularly scheduled study visits.

774 4.5.2 Risks of Examination Procedures

- 775 The procedures in this study are part of daily eye care practice in the United States and pose no 776 known risks. As part of a routine usual-care exam, the subject may receive cycloplegic/dilating eye
- 777 drops.

778 4.5.3 Delay in Use of Traditional Amblyopia Treatment

- 779 The subjects in the either treatment group will not be able to perform any patching, atropine,
- 780 Bangerter filter, or additional vision therapy treatment during the study.

781 4.6 **Reporting of Adverse Events**

782 No surgical procedures are part of the protocol. There are no expected long-term adverse events 783 associated with playing the computer game on the iPad. Investigators will abide by local IRB 784 reporting requirements.

785 4.6.1 Risk Assessment

786 It is the investigators' opinion that the protocol's level of risk falls under DHHS 46.404 which is 787 research not involving greater than minimal risk.

788 4.7 **Discontinuation of Study**

789 The study may be discontinued by the Steering Committee (with approval of the Data and Safety 790 Monitoring Committee) prior to the preplanned completion of enrollment and follow-up for all 791 subjects.

792 4.8 **Travel Reimbursement**

- 793 Parents of each subject will be compensated \$40 per visit (by check or money-card) for completion
- 794 of each protocol-specified visit, for a maximum of \$160. If there are extenuating circumstances,
- 795 and the subject is unable to complete study visits without additional funds for travel costs,
- 796 additional funds may be provided.

797 4.9 **Study Costs**

- 798 The subject or his/her insurance provider will be responsible for the costs that are considered 799 standard care.
- 800

801 Because the treatment used in the study is not standard of care, the enrollment, 4-, 8, and 16-week

- 802 follow-up visits will be paid for by the study. The cost of the binocular game treatment related
- 803 equipment will also be paid for by the study; however the iPad will need to be returned upon study completion.
- 804
- 805
- 806 Changes in spectacle correction if done (see section 4.3) will be paid for by the study.
- 807

808 **General Considerations** 4.10

- 809 The study is being conducted in compliance with the policies described in the study policies
- 810 document, with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki, with the 811 protocol described herein, and with the standards of Good Clinical Practice.
- 812
- 813 Data will be directly collected in electronic CRFs, which will be considered the source data.
- 814
- 815 A risk-based monitoring approach will be followed, consistent with the FDA "Guidance for

Industry Oversight of Clinical Investigations — A Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring" (August 816

817 2013).

- 818 **CHAPTER 5: SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
- 819

The approach to sample size estimation and the statistical analyses are summarized below. A

820 821 detailed Statistical Analysis Plan document will be written and finalized prior to any tabulation or

822 analysis of study outcome data.

823 5.1 **Definition of Subject Cohorts**

- 824 The study will enroll two cohorts of subjects with identical eligibility criteria, apart from age at time of randomization: 825
 - Younger cohort: Children aged 4 to <7 years
 - Older cohort: Children aged 7 to <13 years •
- 827 828

826

829 Compliance may differ by age; therefore, we believe that there could be a differential treatment 830 effect between age groups. If the binocular game is not as appealing to younger children with some

831 not being able to play the game very well, it is possible that the treatment response with binocular

832 therapy may be reduced in this age group due to poorer compliance with game play compared with

833 the older cohort. The opposite may be true as well. Therefore, the current study will be powered

834 for two separate age cohorts according to the criteria listed above.

835 5.2 **Sample Size Estimation**

836 Sample size estimates for each age cohort were based on data from previous PEDIG studies (ATS3²⁸, ATS5²⁷, and ATS18²⁵), and data from a preliminary pilot study for subjects treated with 837 838 the Dig Rush game on an iPad device (E. Birch Study²⁶) limited to subjects meeting the eligibility 839 criteria for the current protocol.

840 5.2.1 Younger Cohort (Children 4 to <7 years of age)

841 Control Group – Continued Spectacle Correction Alone

842 To estimate the treatment effect in our study for those randomized to continued spectacles alone 843 after stability, data were reviewed from subjects aged 3 to <7 years who were randomly assigned to 844 continue spectacle correction alone in a previous PEDIG study (ATS5, see Table 1). After

845 adjusting for baseline VA, the mean change in VA at 5 weeks was 0.55 logMAR lines (95%

846 confidence interval (CI): 0.19 to 0.90 logMAR lines) with standard deviation 1.28 logMAR lines

- 847 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.07 to 1.58 logMAR lines).
- 848

849 Given the more stringent criteria for assessing VA stability with spectacles and shorter outcome

850 time in the current study as compared to the previous study, we anticipate that the magnitude and

851 standard deviation of VA improvement after 4 weeks in the current study will be smaller than those

- 852 in Table 1.
- 853
- 854 Binocular Treatment Group (Dig Rush Game):
- 855 Data from 2 studies were reviewed: (1) preliminary pilot data for subjects randomly assigned to 4
- 856 weeks of binocular treatment with the Dig Rush game on an iPad device (E. Birch Study) and (2)

857 data from a previous PEDIG trial (ATS18) for subjects randomly assigned to binocular treatment

858 with the Hess falling blocks game on an iPad device (Table 1). Based on the more conservative

- 859 estimates from ATS18, a mean VA change at 4 weeks of 1.09 lines (95% CI: 0.63 to 1.55 lines),
- with standard deviation 1.32 logMAR lines (95% CI: 1.06 to 1.74 logMAR lines) after adjusting for 860
- 861 baseline VA might be expected. However, we anticipate that the magnitude of VA improvement
- 862 after 4 weeks in the current study will be somewhat larger than that in ATS18, due to better
- 863 compliance.
- 864 865

	J	Change in Amblyopic Eye VA			
		at the 4 or 5 Week Visit			
		(logMAR Lines) [‡]			
Cohort	Ν	Mean Change (95% CI)	SD Change (95% CI)		
Spectacle Correction Alone:					
ATS5: Age 3 to <7 years [†]	53	0.55 (0.19 to 0.90)	1.28 (1.07 to 1.58)		
No prior amblyopia treatment	50	0.54 (0.17 to 0.91)	1.32 (1.10 to 1.64)		
Prior amblyopia treatment	3	0.67 (-2.21 to 3.54)	0.39 (0.20 to 2.45)		
Binocular Treatment:					
E. Birch Study (Age 4 to <7 years) ^	9	1.67 (0.73 to 2.61)	1.19 (0.80 to 2.28)		
ATS18 (Age 5 to <7 years) §	34	1.09 (0.63 to 1.55)	1.32 (1.06 to 1.74)		
No prior amblyopia treatment	19	1.47 (0.73 to 2.22)	1.54 (1.16 to 2.28)		
Prior amblyopia treatment	15	0.60 (0.15 to 1.05)	0.81 (0.59 to 1.28)		

866 Table 1: Previous Study Data from Subjects Aged 3 to <7 Years of Age

[‡] Positive values indicate improvement. Mean and SD adjusted for baseline visual acuity.

[†] ATS5 data were limited to randomized subjects with an amblyopic-eye VA of 20/40 to 20/200 inclusive with \ge 3 lines of interocular difference and a fellow-eye VA of 20/25 or better who had stabilized with spectacle correction prior to randomization. The baseline magnitude of tropia at near (as measured by SPCT) was limited to <5pd. The outcome data reported were based on the 5-week primary masked outcome visit.

1 ^ E. Birch study enrolled children aged 4 to <7 years of age. Change in VA after 4 weeks of binocular therapy was computed for subjects randomly assigned to receive binocular treatment using the Dig Rush game on an iPad device for 4 weeks (prescribed 1 hour per day, 5 days per week without patching). Subjects with >4pd magnitude of strabismus (measured by PACT) were excluded from the study.

ATS18 study subjects with 2 qu magnitude of statistical (measured by 1 AC1) were excluded non-interstudy.
 ATS18 study subjects were prescribed binocular treatment for 1 hour per day, 7 days per week. The binocular game, Hess falling blocks, was played on an iPad device. The outcome data reported are from the 4-week masked outcome visit.
 876

877 <u>Summary:</u>

878 Based on data from previous studies (Table 1), the treatment group difference for the current study

for the mean change in VA at 4 weeks was estimated to be 0.75 logMAR lines with a pooled

standard deviation of 1.2 logMAR lines. Although the expected group difference in the current

study is larger than 0.75 logMAR lines (Table 1), there is limited data available on the Dig Rush

binocular game and this estimate is consistent with the results of the previous ATS5 study

comparing spectacle correction alone versus patching (treatment group difference of 0.7 line) after 5

- 884 weeks of treatment.
- 885

886 <u>Sample Size Estimation:</u>

Assuming a true difference in mean VA change between the two groups of 0.75 logMAR line after

4 weeks of treatment and a pooled standard deviation of 1.2 logMAR lines, a total sample size of

110 subjects (55 per group) has 90% power with a type I error rate of 5% to detect a treatment

group difference between binocular treatment and spectacle correction alone (Table 2). Adjusting

for 5% loss to follow-up, a total sample size of 182 (91 per group) is needed.

892

893 Table 2. Total Sample Size Estimates for a 2-Arm Study *

SD of Change	Treatment Group Difference in Mean VA Change from Baseline at 4 weeks (LogMAR lines)					
(LogMAR lines)	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	
1.0	172	78	46	30	22	
1.1	206	94	54	36	26	
1.2	246	110	64	42	30	
1.3	288	130	74	48	34	
1.4	332	150	86	56	40	
1.5	382	172	98	64	46	

894 895 896 * Number in cells represents the total number of subjects required to detect a treatment group difference in amblyopic-eye VA change from baseline to 4 weeks using a t-test with a 2-sided alpha=0.05 and power 90% for a range of pooled SD of change in VA (logMAR lines).

897 5.2.2 Older Cohort (Children 7 to <13 years of age)

898 <u>Control Group – Continued Spectacle Correction Alone</u>

- 899 To estimate the treatment effect in our study for those randomized to continued spectacles alone
- after stability, data were reviewed from subjects aged 7 to <13 years who were randomly assigned
- to continued spectacle correction alone in a previous PEDIG study (ATS3, see Table 3). Similar to
- ATS18, the proportion of subjects with prior treatment in the current study is expected to be higher
- 903 than the proportion observed in ATS3. Therefore, the proportions with and without prior treatment
- from ATS18 were used to weight ATS3 outcome data. After adjusting for baseline acuity, the
- 905 weighted mean change in VA at 6 weeks was 1.3 letters (95% CI: 0.01 to 2.6 letters) with standard 906 deviation of 5.3 letters (95% confidence interval (CI): 4.5 to 6.4 letters).
- 900

908 Given the more stringent criteria for assessing VA stability with spectacles and shorter outcome

- 909 time than the previous study, we anticipate that the magnitude of VA change after 4 weeks will be
- 910 close to zero, with standard deviation somewhat smaller than in Table 3.
- 911
- 912 <u>Binocular Treatment Group (Dig Rush Game):</u>
- 913 Data from 2 studies were reviewed: (1) preliminary pilot data²⁶ for subjects randomly assigned to 4
- 914 weeks of binocular treatment using the Dig Rush game on an iPad device and (2) data from a
- 915 previous PEDIG trial²⁵ of subjects who were randomly assigned to binocular treatment using the
- 916 Hess falling blocks game on an iPad device (Table 3).
- 917

A larger treatment effect at 4 weeks in the current study than in ATS18 is expected due to better
compliance. Therefore, we used the 16-week data from ATS18²⁵ to estimate the expected mean and
standard deviation of change in VA (4.1 letters, 95% CI: 3.0 to 5.1 letters) and (6.0 letters, 95% CI:
5.3 to 6.9 letters), respectively, adjusted for baseline acuity.

922

923 Table 3: Previous Study Data from Subjects Aged 7 to <13 Years of Age

		% of Enrolled Subgroup *		Change in Amblyopic Eye VA at the 4 or 6 Week Visit (Letters) [‡]	
				Mean Change	SD Change
Cohort	Ν	Actual	Expected	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Spectacle Correction Alone:					
ATS3 (Age 7 to <13 years) [†]	67			1.3 (0.004 to 2.6)	5.3 (4.5 to 6.4)
No prior amblyopia treatment	27	40%	17%	3.4 (-0.1 to 6.8)	5.7 (4.5 to 7.8)
Prior amblyopia treatment	40	60%	83%	0.9 (-0.4 to 2.1)	4.6 (3.8 to 5.9)
Weighted Estimate**				1.3 (0.01 to 2.6)	5.3 (4.5 to 6.4)
Binocular Treatment:					
E. Birch Study ²⁶ (Age 7 to <10 years)^	5			9.0 (5.5 to 12.6)	2.5 (1.5 to 7.2)
ATS18 (Age 7 to <13 years) ^{†§}	118			1.8 (0.9 to 2.8)	5.1 (4.5 to 5.8)
No prior amblyopia treatment	22			2.3 (-0.4 to 5.1)	6.2 (4.8 to 8.9)
Prior amblyopia treatment	96			1.7 (0.7 to 2.7)	4.8 (4.2 to 5.6)

^{*} For the percentage of enrolled subjects within each subgroup of prior amblyopia treatment status, the actual percentage is based on the ATS3 enrollment characteristics while the expected percentage projects the characteristics of the cohort for the current study, which was based on ATS18 (younger cohort trial).

[‡] Positive values indicate improvement. Mean and SD adjusted for baseline visual acuity.

[†] ATS3 data were limited to randomized subjects aged 7 to <13 years with an amblyopic-eye VA of 20/40 to 20/200 inclusive (33 to 72 letters if E-ETDRS), \geq 3 lines of interocular difference (\geq 15 letters) and a fellow-eye VA of 20/25 or better (\geq 78 letters if E-ETDRS). The baseline magnitude of tropia at near (as measured by SPCT) was limited to <5pd. The outcome data reported were based on the 6-week visit.

- ** A weight was calculated for each subject in the ATS3 study based on prior amblyopia treatment status (no prior amblyopia treatment, prior
- amblyopia treatment) by computing the ratio of the expected to the actual percentage (Weight = Expected % / Actual %).
- ^ E. Birch study enrolled children aged 7 to <10 years of age and all of these study subjects had prior amblyopia treatment at enrollment. Change in
- VA after 4 weeks of binocular therapy was computed as logMAR lines for subjects randomly assigned to receive binocular treatment using the Dig Rush game on an iPad device for 4 weeks (prescribed 1 hour per day, 5 days per week without patching). Subjects with >4pd magnitude of

931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 strabismus (measured by PACT) were excluded from the study.

- [§] ATS18 study subjects were prescribed binocular treatment for 1 hour per day, 7 days per week. The binocular game, Hess falling blocks, was
- played on an iPad device. The outcome data reported are from the 4-week masked outcome visit.
- 940 Summary:
- 941 Due to the limited data available on the Dig Rush binocular game, ATS18 data were used to
- 942 estimate a treatment group difference in mean change in VA at 4 weeks of 3.75 letters (0.75
- 943 logMAR line) with a pooled standard deviation of 5 letters (1.0 logMAR line) for the current study.
- 944
- 945 Sample Size Estimation:
- 946 Assuming a standard deviation of 5 letters, a total sample size of 78 subjects (39 per group) has
- 947 90% power with a type I error rate of 5% to detect a treatment group difference between binocular
- 948 treatment and spectacle correction alone assuming the true difference in mean VA change between
- the two groups is 3.75 letters (0.75 logMAR line) after 4 weeks of treatment (Table 4). Adjusting 949
- 950 for 5% loss to follow-up, a total sample size of 84 (42 per group) is needed.
- 951

952 Table 4. Total Sample Size Estimates for a 2-Arm Study *

SD of Change	Treatment Group Difference in Mean VA Change from Baseline at 4 weeks (Letters)						
(Letters)	2.50	3.75	5.00	6.25	7.50		
5	172	78	46	30	22		
6	246	110	64	42	30		
7	332	150	86	56	40		
8	434	194	110	72	50		

953 954 * Number in cells represents the total number of subjects required to detect a treatment group difference in amblyopic-eye VA change from baseline to 4 weeks using a t-test with a 2-sided alpha=0.05 and power 90% for a range of pooled SD of change in VA (letters).

955 5.3 **Interim Analysis and Sample Size Re-estimation**

956 The sample size estimates for both the younger and older age cohorts are based on previous studies 957 of spectacle correction and binocular treatment. Although we believe that our estimates of variation 958 are reasonable for both cohorts, a sample size re-estimation will be performed once approximately 959 50% of the pre-planned number of subjects have completed the 4-week outcome visit. A pooled 960 estimate of variance without respect to treatment group will be calculated and used to re-estimate 961 sample size using a procedure that maintains masking and has a negligible effect on the Type I error 962 rate.²⁸ Within each age cohort, if the observed standard deviation of change is larger than the pre-963 study estimate, the sample size will be increased, up to a maximum limit corresponding to a 964 standard deviation of change of 1.5 logMAR lines (182 subjects) for the younger cohort and 8 965 letters for the older cohort (206 subjects) with a 5% adjustment for loss to follow-up. 966

967 Due to the short duration of the primary outcome at 4 weeks and expected rapid recruitment, no 968 interim monitoring will be conducted for either age cohort. This decision will be re-evaluated if the 969 sample size is increased.

971 **5.4 Analyses**

- All analyses described below will be conducted separately for both of the age cohorts.
- 973
 5.4.1
 Primary Analysis

 974
 5.4.1.1
 Mean Ambly

5.4.1.1 Mean Amblyopic Eye VA at 4 Weeks

For both age cohorts, the primary objective is to compare the efficacy of 4 weeks of treatment with
1 hour/day of binocular game play 5 days per week plus spectacle correction to treatment with
spectacle correction alone (subsequently referred to as "control" treatment).

978

An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) will be performed to compute the 4-week mean change in
amblyopic-eye VA for the binocular and control treatments, adjusted for baseline acuity, and a 95%
confidence interval will be constructed on the treatment group difference.

982

The primary analysis will follow a modified intent-to-treat principle. Data will be included only from subjects who complete the 4-week exam within the pre-defined analysis window. There will be no imputation of data for subjects who are lost to follow-up or withdraw from the study prior to the 4-week exam. Multiple imputation for missing data will be performed as a secondary approach, and results of the analysis with imputation of missing data assessed for consistency with the primary analysis.

988 989

993

994

996

990 Additional approaches to the primary analysis include the following:

- Limit the analysis to subjects whose 4-week outcome exams were performed within the protocol window (3 to 5 weeks post-randomization)
 - Include subjects who completed the 4-week exam outside of the pre-defined analysis window
- 995 5.4.2 Secondary Analyses

5.4.2.1 VA Improvement at 4 Weeks Defined as a Binary Outcome

997 A secondary analysis will estimate the proportion of subjects with amblyopic-eye VA improvement 998 of $\geq 2 \log$ MAR lines (≥ 10 letters if E-ETDRS) at 4 weeks after baseline.

999

1000 The proportion of subjects who achieve this outcome will be tabulated by treatment group and an

1001 exact 95% confidence interval will be computed on the group proportion. A p-value for the

1002 treatment group comparison will be computed using binomial regression with adjustment for

1003 baseline VA. If the binomial regression model does not converge, Poisson regression with robust

1004 variance estimation or an exact method (without baseline adjustment) will be used to derive a p-1005 value for the treatment group comparison.

1006 5.4.2.2 Stereoacuity

1007 Stereoacuity will be tabulated at baseline and 4 weeks according to treatment group with

1008 computation of descriptive statistics. The change in stereoacuity from baseline to 4 weeks will be 1009 tabulated for each group and compared between treatment groups using the exact Wilcoxon rank-

1010 sum test.

5.4.2.3 Treatment Compliance with Binocular Therapy

1012 Data from the automated iPad log files will be used to provide an objective measure of compliance 1013 with binocular treatment. The total amount of game play will be computed for the initial 4 weeks of 1014 treatment for the binocular treatment group. Secondary analyses will evaluate the relationship

1015 between the total amount of game play with (1) change in VA and (2) change in stereoacuity after

- 1016 the first 4 weeks of binocular treatment.
- 1017

1018 **5.4.2.4** Fellow-eye Contrast with Binocular Therapy

1019 Data from the automated iPad log files will be used to assess game performance as measured by the 1020 fellow-eye contrast. The level and change in fellow-eye contrast will be computed for the initial 4 1021 weeks of treatment for the binocular treatment group. Secondary analyses will evaluate the 1022 relationship between the change in fellow-eye contrast with (1) change in VA and (2) change in 1023 stereoacuity after the first 4 weeks of binocular treatment.

1024 5.4.3 Safety 1025

5.4.3.1 VA in Fellow Eye

1026 The mean change in fellow-eye VA from baseline to 4 weeks will be calculated and compared 1027 between treatment groups using ANCOVA with adjustment for baseline VA. The proportion of

1028 subjects with loss of 2 or more logMAR lines (10 or more letters) of VA in the fellow eye from

1029 baseline to the 4-week exam will be reported for each treatment group and compared using Barnard's exact test.

1030 1031

5.4.3.2 Ocular Alignment

1032 The proportion of subjects with development of new strabismus (no heterotropia at baseline and the

1033 presence of near and/or distance heterotropia at 4 weeks) or an increase from baseline $\geq 10\Delta$ in a

1034 pre-existing strabismus at 4 weeks will be reported by treatment group and compared using

1035 Barnard's exact test. 1036

5.4.3.3 Diplopia

1037 The proportion of subjects with each level of diplopia frequency will be reported by treatment group 1038 at 4 weeks. Data will also be tabulated based on the maximum frequency of diplopia reported by 1039 treatment group. The change in diplopia frequency level from baseline to 4 weeks will be compared 1040 between treatment groups using the exact Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

5.4.3.4 Adverse Symptoms 1041

1042 The child and parent(s) will complete a 5-item symptom survey regarding the presence of various 1043 ocular symptoms within the past 2 weeks at enrollment and at each visit. The distribution of scores 1044 on each symptom survey item will be described for the enrollment exam and the 4-week exam for

each treatment group. The distribution of change in scores on each symptom survey item will also 1045 1046 be described for each treatment group.

1047 5.4.4 Outcomes at 8 Weeks

1048 As secondary analyses, all analyses described above will be repeated using data obtained from the 1049 8-week visit.

1050 5.4.5 Exploratory Analyses 1051

5.4.5.1 Subgroup Analysis at 4 Weeks

The treatment effect after 4 weeks in subgroups based on baseline factors will be assessed in 1052 exploratory analyses and used to suggest hypotheses for further investigation in future studies. The 1053 1054 following baseline factors are of interest: amblyopic-eye VA, stereoacuity, the presence of a tropia 1055 at near, and prior amblyopia treatment (other than spectacle correction). In accordance with NIH 1056 guidelines, subgroup analyses of treatment effect according to gender and race/ethnicity will be 1057 conducted. However, based on results from previous studies, a differential treatment effect by these 1058 variables is not expected.

1059

1060 The general approach for these exploratory analyses will be to conduct an analysis of covariance 1061 similar to the primary analysis adding an interaction for treatment and the subgroup covariate of 1062 interest.

1063

1064 The subgroup definitions for the planned subgroup analyses are as follows: 1065

- 1. Amblyopic-eye VA at baseline (20/40, 20/50, 20/63, 20/80 or worse)
- 1066 2. Age group (specified for each age cohort separately in the Statistical Analysis Plan)

- 1067 3. Stereoacuity (nil versus better than nil)
- 1068 4. Presence of a near heterotropia at baseline (yes/no)
- 1069 5. Prior amblyopia treatment (yes/no)
- 1070 1071

5.4.5.2 Effect of Binocular Treatment in Children Randomized to Continued Spectacles

The following exploratory analyses will evaluate the effect of binocular treatment in children
 randomized to continued spectacles alone who are prescribed binocular treatment at the 8-week
 visit.

- A point estimate and 95% confidence interval will be calculated for the mean change in amblyopic eye VA between the 8-week and 16-week visits while on binocular treatment.
- The total amount of game play will be computed for the duration of binocular treatment as an estimate of compliance.
- The distribution of scores on each symptom survey item will be described for the 8-week
 exam prior to starting binocular treatment and the 16-week exam after a period of binocular
 treatment. The distribution of change in scores between 8 and 16 weeks on each symptom
 survey item will also be described

1085		CHAPTER 6: REFERENCES
1086	1.	Holmes JM, Clarke MP. Amblyopia. Lancet. 2006;367(9519):1343-1351.
1087	2.	Webber AL, Wood J. Amblyopia: Prevalence, natural history, functional effects and
1088		treatment. Clinical & Experimental Optometry. 2005;88(6):365-375.
1089	3.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of atropine vs patching for
1090		treatment of moderate amblyopia in children. Arch Ophthalmol. 2002;120(3):268-278.
1091	4.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Two-year follow-up of a 6-month randomized
1092		trial of atropine vs patching for treatment of moderate amblyopia in children. Arch
1093		Ophthalmol. 2005;123(2):149-157.
1094	5.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A comparison of atropine and patching treatments
1095		for moderate amblyopia by patient age, cause of amblyopia, depth of amblyopia, and other
1096		factors. Ophthalmology. 2003;110(8):1632-1638.
1097	6.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of patching regimens for
1098		treatment of moderate amblyopia in children. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003;121(5):603-611.
1099	7.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of prescribed patching
1100		regimens for treatment of severe amblyopia in children. <i>Ophthalmology</i> .
1101		2003;110(11):2075-2087.
1102	8.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of atropine regimens for
1103		treatment of moderate amblyopia in children. <i>Ophthalmology</i> . 2004;111(11):2076-2085.
1104	9.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of atropine vs patching for
1105		treatment of moderate amblyopia: Follow-up at age 10 years Arch Ophthalmol.
1106		2008;126(8):1039-1044.
1107	10.	Repka MX, Kraker RT, Holmes JM, et al. Atropine vs patching for treatment of moderate
1108		amblyopia: Follow-up at 15 years of age of a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Ophthalmol.
1109		2014:132(7):799-805.
1110	11.	Holmes JM, Lazar EL, Melia BM, et al. Effect of age on response to amblyopia treatment in
1111		children. Arch Ophthalmol. 2011;129(11):1451-1457.
1112	12.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Patching vs atropine to treat amblyopia in
1113		children aged 7 to 12 years: A randomized trial. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008;126(12):1634-1642.
1114	13.	Oliver M, Neumann R, Chaimovitch Y, Gotesman N, Shimshoni M. Compliance and results
1115		of treatment for amblyopia in children more than 8 years old. Am J Ophthalmol.
1116		1986;102(3):340-345.
1117	14.	Pritchard C. Why won't you treat my 10 year old's lazy eye? Am Orthopt J. 1990;40:15-18.
1118	15.	Loudon SE, Polling JR, Simonsz HJ. Electronically measured compliance with occlusion
1119		therapy for amblyopia is related to visual acuity increase. Graefes Arch Clin Exp
1120		<i>Ophthalmol.</i> 2003;241(3):176-180.
1121	16.	Stewart CE, Moseley MJ, Stephens DA, Fielder AR, MOTAS Cooperative. Treatment dose-
1122		response in amblyopia therapy: The monitored occlusion treatment of amblyopia study
1123		(motas). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004;45(9):3048-3054.
1124	17.	Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Impact of patching and atropine treatment on the
1125		child and family in the amblyopia treatment study. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003;121(11):1625-
1126		1632.
1127	18.	Felius J, Chandler DL, Holmes JM, et al. Evaluating the burden of amblyopia treatment
1128		from the parent and child's perspective. JAAPOS. 2010;14(5):389-395.
1129	19.	Hess RF, Mansouri B, Thompson B. A new binocular approach to the treatment of
1130		amblyopia in adults well beyond the critical period of visual development. Restor Neurol
1131		Neurosci. 2010;28(6):793-802.
1132	20.	Li J, Thompson B, Deng D, Chan LY, Yu M, Hess RF. Dichoptic training enables the adult
1133		amblyopic brain to learn. Curr Biol. 2013;23(8):R308-309.

- 1134 21. Li SL, Jost RM, Morale SE, et al. A binocular ipad treatment for amblyopic children. *Eye* (*Lond*). 2014;28(10):1246-1253.
- Li SL, Jost RM, Morale SE, et al. Binocular ipad treatment of amblyopia for lasting
 improvement of visual acuity. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2015;133(4):479-480.
- 113823.Birch EE, Li SL, Jost RM, et al. Binocular ipad treatment for amblyopia in preschool1139children. J AAPOS. 2015;19(1):6-11.
- 1140 24. Knox PJ, Simmers AJ, Gray LS, Cleary M. An exploratory study: Prolonged periods of
 1141 binocular stimulation can provide an effective treatment for childhood amblyopia. *Invest*1142 *Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2012;53(2):817-824.
- Holmes JM, Manh VM, Lazar EL, et al. Effect of a binocular ipad game vs part-time
 patching in children aged 5 to 12 years with amblyopia: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2016;134(12):1391-1400.
- 1146 26. Kelly KR, Jost RM, Dao L, Beauchamp CL, Leffler JN, Birch EE. A randomized trial of
 1147 binocular ipad game vs patching for treatment of amblyopia in children. *JAMA Ophthalmol.*1148 2016 in press.
- Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial to evaluate 2 hours of daily
 patching for strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia in children. *Ophthalmology*.
 2006;113(6):904-912.
- 115228.Gould AL, Shih WJ. Sample size re-estimation without unblinding for normally distributed1153outcomes with unknown variance. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods.11541992;21(10):2833-2853.
- 115529.Pan Y, Tarczy-Hornoch K, Cotter SA, et al. Visual acuity norms in pre-school children: The
multi-ethnic pediatric eye disease study. Optom Vis Sci 2009;86(6):607-12.
- 30. Drover JR, Felius J, Cheng CS, et al. Normative pediatric visual acuity using single
 surrounded hotv optotypes on the electronic visual acuity tester following the amblyopia
 treatment study protocol. J AAPOS 2008;12(2):145-9.