

**Extended-infusion Piperacillin–tazobactam  
versus Intermittent-Infusion Dosing Strategy  
in Critically Ill Patients with suspected or  
confirmed bacterial Infections.**

**Date: 24/7/2018**

**Extended-infusion Piperacillin–tazobactam versus Intermittent-  
Infusion Dosing Strategy in Critically Ill Patients with suspected or  
confirmed bacterial Infections.**

**Presented By**

**Christina Medhat Naiim**

**Teaching Assistant in Clinical Pharmacy Department**

**Faculty of Pharmacy**

**British University in Egypt (BUE)**

**Supervised By**

**Dr. Nagwaa Sabry**

**Head of Clinical Pharmacy Department**

**Ain Shams University**

**Prof.Dr. Mohey Elmazar**

**Head of Clinical Toxicology & Pharmacology Department**

**Dean of Faculty of Pharmacy**

**The British University in Egypt (BUE)**

**Dr.Naglaa Bazan**

**Fellow (Lecturer) and Head of Clinical Pharmacy**

**Critical Care Medicine Department**

**Cairo University Hospitals**

## Introduction

Treating gram-negative infections is becoming increasingly difficult due to the emergence of resistant pathogens. Studies have demonstrated worse outcomes for patients with resistant pathogens based on the premise of inappropriate antimicrobial therapy. The use of currently available antimicrobial agents can be optimized through pharmacodynamic parameters to improve treatment efficacy and patient outcomes **(Winstead et al., 2016)**.

Piperacillin–tazobactam, is a beta-lactam beta-lactamase inhibitor combination antibiotic widely used in the treatment of complicated and hospital-acquired infections. It's a time-dependent antibiotic, that is widely used in the treatment of serious gram-negative healthcare-associated infections **(Winstead et al., 2016)**.

The authorized mode of administration described in the product insert is by 30-min intermittent infusions. Nonetheless, some authors recommended continuous infusion to optimize treatment of infections due to multiresistant bacteria and those for which the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotic is high (effectiveness has direct relationship with the percentage of time that the antibiotic levels are above the MIC). MIC is the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial that will inhibit the visible growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation **(Luque et al., 2015)**

Therefore, Continuous infusions of beta-lactam antibiotics have been employed in an attempt to maximize the time that free drug concentrations exceed the bacterial MIC. Several studies have shown beneficial outcomes associated with continuous infusion piperacillin–tazobactam, including higher antibiotic concentrations, improvement in clinical cure rates, and quicker time to defervescence **(Grant et al., 2002)**.

One retrospective cohort study comparing extended versus intermittent infusions of piperacillin–tazobactam in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections found the 14-day mortality rate to be significantly lower among patients who received extended-infusion therapy (4-h infusion of piperacillin–tazobactam 3.375 g administered IV every 8 h) compared with patients who received

intermittent-infusions (infused over 30 min), but this reduction in 14-day mortality was only observed in patients with Acute Physiological and Chronic Health Evaluation-II (APACHE-II) scores of 17 or more (**Lodise et al., 2007**).

A separate retrospective, two-site trial was conducted in an attempt to replicate these results using extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam in gram-negative infections and found no difference in 30-day mortality or length of stay (**Patel et al., 2009**).

A multicenter, retrospective trial was conducted in 14 hospitals to compare 4-h extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam to intermittent infusions of comparator antibiotics in gram-negative infections (**Patel et al., 2009**). In-hospital mortality was significantly reduced in the extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam arm compared with intermittent-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam (**Yost et al., 2011**). Additional retrospective studies have been conducted using 4-h infusions of piperacillin–tazobactam 3.375 g administered IV every 8 h and have demonstrated reductions in both mortality and length of stay (**Lee et al., 2012**).

Continuous infusion of other beta-lactam drugs has been successfully used (clinical cure was achieved) in several clinical situations, such as neutropenia, cystic fibrosis, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in which intermittent administration failed. (**Dulhunty et al., 2013**). There are, however, few randomized studies comparing the efficacy of intermittent versus continuous infusion in this group of antibiotics (**Ashima Lal et al., 2016**).

Findings from meta-analyses and reviews have not provided conclusive data on the comparative effectiveness of the two modes of administration intermittent versus continuous. (**Roberts et al., 2007**) although one prospective, open-label controlled study reported a significantly shorter time to defervescence, higher clinical cure rate, and lower cost with continuous administration. The lower dose continuous regimen has been shown to provide serum concentrations above the levels reached by intermittent infusion in the steady-state (**Grant et al., 2002**).

## **Aim of the study**

Evaluating the effectiveness and safety of extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam dosing strategy versus intermittent-infusion dosing in critically ill patients with suspected or proved bacterial infections.

## **Patients and Methods**

### **Study Design**

A prospective randomized comparative study will be conducted at Critical Care Medicine Department - Cairo University Hospitals

### **Patients:**

A total of 52 patients will be recruited from ICU- Cairo University Hospitals. All adult critically ill patients admitted to Critical Care Medicine Department with suspected or confirmed bacterial infections on admission or during their ICU stay will be assessed for inclusion into the study.

### **Inclusion criteria**

- Adults aged 18-74 years
- Expected ICU stay more than 24 hours

### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Allergy or potential allergy to the study medications
- Pregnancy
- Patients with CrCl< 20 ml/min or on dialysis
- Cancer patients

**Recruited patients will be randomly assigned to either of two groups as follows:**

Group I (n=26) will receive piperacillin/tazobactam as intermittent infusion (over 30min) every 8 hours. (Kim et al., 2002)

Group II (n=26) will receive piperacillin/tazobactam as extended infusion (over 4 hours) every 8 hours. (Kim et al., 2007) .

**Calculation of sample size:**

To achieve a power of 80% and a significant level of 5% based on an effect size conventions of 0.8 and the expected comparison of the difference between two independent means using G power program (3.010). The number of patients per arm was found to be 26.

Recruited patients' demographics, comorbidities, current medications and laboratory parameters will be recorded from patients' files. APACHE II scores will be determined during the first 24 hours of inclusion into the study and on day of stopping antibiotic, discharge and/or death of the patient. Microbiological cultures from suspected site of infection and blood cultures will be withdrawn from all patients before starting antibiotic therapy.

All patients will be subjected to the following:

**A. Patient's full history:**

- 1) Age
- 2) Sex
- 3) Medical history
- 4) Concurrent diseases
- 5) Concurrent medications

**B. Patient evaluation and assessment:**

The following will be evaluated at baseline and periodically thereafter until day of stopping antibiotic, discharge and/or death :

- 1) Kidney functions (Serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen)
- 2) Liver functions (ALT, AST, Bil D, Bil T, Albumin)
- 3) Complete blood count

- 4) Microbiological Evaluation: Cultures and sensitivity tests from suspected site of infection will be withdrawn from all patients at baseline (before starting antibiotic) and at end of therapy
- 5) Clinical signs and symptoms of infection documented by the attending physician on patient's medical record

**6) APACHE II Variables:**

- Partial pressure of O<sub>2</sub> in arterial blood (PaO<sub>2</sub>)
- Mean arterial pressure (MAP)
- Temperature
- Heart rate
- Respiratory rate
- Arterial pH
- Sodium plasma levels
- Potassium plasma levels.
- Serum Creatinine
- Hematocrit
- White blood count
- Glasgow coma score (The GCS is the summation of scores for eye, verbal, and motor responses. The minimum score is a 3 which indicates deep coma or a brain-dead state. The maximum is 15 which indicates a fully awake patient (the original maximum was 14, but the score has since been modified)
- Lactate, serum blood glucose, AST, ALT, BUN will be detected

**Outcomes**

**Effectiveness**

- Clinical cure: defined as complete resolution of the clinical signs and symptoms of infection (Physical assessment, white blood cells with differential, temperature)
- Composite endpoint of 30 day mortality or readmission within 30 days of discharge.
- Length of stay at the ICU

➤ **Safety:**

Any adverse events occurring during study period will be recorded

➤ **Pharmacoeconomic Analysis**

A cost-effectiveness analysis will be performed for level-1 and level-2 costs from the health care provider perspective, comparing treatment with continuous infusion *versus* intermittent infusion. The level-1 analysis will be limited to acquisition prices of drugs, whereas the level-2 analysis will encompass all costs directly related to antibiotic use: supplies, preparation, administration, daily hospital stay cost, treatment of adverse events, concomitant antibiotics, and expenditures for treating failures.

A decision tree categorizing each case as a treatment success or failure will be employed to compare the cost-effectiveness of intermittent versus continuous infusion with regard to average level-1 and level-2 costs. A sensitivity analysis will be performed to determine which study conditions or variables, if changed, will alter the economic outcome. One-way sensitivity analyses will be performed by varying each treatment arm's probability of success from 50–95%.



## References:

**Winstead EM, Ratliff PD, Hickson RP, Mueller JE, Judd WR.** Evaluation of an alternative extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam dosing strategy for the treatment of gram-negative infections. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*. 2016; 38(5): 1087-1093.

**Cotrina-Luque J, Gil-Navarro MV, Acosta-García H, Alfaro-Lara ER, Luque-Márquez R, Beltrán-García M, Bautista-Paloma FJ.** Continuous versus intermittent piperacillin/tazobactam infusion in infection due to or suspected pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2016; 38(1):70-9.

**Lodise TP Jr, Lomaestro B, Drusano GL.** piperacillin–tazobactam for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection: clinical implications of an extended-infusion dosing strategy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007; 44:357–63.

**Patel GW, Patel N, Lat A.** Outcomes of extended infusion piperacillin/tazobactam for documented gram-negative infections. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2009; 64:236–40.

**Yost RJ, Cappelletty DM.** The retrospective cohort of extended infusion piperacillin–tazobactam (RECEIPT) study: a multicenter study. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2011; 31:767–75.

**Lee GC, Liou H, Yee R, Quan CF, Neldner K.** Outcomes of extended-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam: a retrospective analysis of critically ill patients. *Clin Ther*. 2012; 34:2297–300.

**Dulhunty JM, Roberts JA, Davis JS.** Continuous infusion of beta-lactam antibiotics in severe sepsis: a multicenter doubleblind, randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 56:236–44.

**Nicolau DP, McNabb J, Lacy MK, Quintiliani R, Nightingale CH.** Continuous versus intermittent administration of ceftazidime in intensive care unit patients with nosocomial pneumonia. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2001; 17:497–504.

**Roberts JA, Webb S, Paterson D, Ho KM, Lipman J.** Continuous infusion of B-lactam antibiotics in severe infections: a review of its role. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2007; 30:11–8.

**Grant EM, Kuti JL, Nicolau DP, Nightingale C, Quintiliani R.** Clinical efficacy and pharmacoeconomics of a continuous-infusion piperacillin–tazobactam program in a large community teaching hospital. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2002; 22:471–83.

**Kim M-K, Capitano B, Mattoes HM, et al,** “Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Evaluation of Two Dosing Regimens for Piperacillin-Tazobactam,” *Pharmacother*, 2002, 22(5):569-77.

**Kim A, Sutherland CA, Kuti JL, et al,** “Optimal Dosing of Piperacillin-Tazobactam for the Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections: Prolonged or Continuous infusion,” *Pharmacother*, 2007, 27(11):1490-7