Official Protocol Title:	A Phase III Randomized Double-blind Study of Pembrolizumab plus Best Supportive Care vs. Placebo plus Best Supportive Care as Second-Line Therapy in Asian Subjects with Previously Systemically Treated Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (KEYNOTE-394)
NCT number:	NCT03062358
Document Date:	19-April-2021

Product: MK-3475 Protocol/Amendment No.: 394-05

THIS PROTOCOL AMENDMENT AND ALL OF THE INFORMATION RELATING TO IT ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY PROPERTY OF MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., A SUBSIDIARY OF MERCK & CO., INC., WHITEHOUSE STATION, NJ, U.S.A.

SPONSOR:

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc. (hereafter referred to as the Sponsor or Merck)

One Merck Drive P.O. Box 100 Whitehouse Station, New Jersey, 08889-0100, U.S.A.

Protocol-specific Sponsor Contact information can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

TITLE:

A Phase III Randomized Double-blind Study of Pembrolizumab plus Best Supportive Care vs. Placebo plus Best Supportive Care as Second-Line Therapy in Asian Subjects with Previously Systemically Treated Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (KEYNOTE-394)

EudraCT NUMBER: Not Applicable

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCU	JME	NT HISTORY	11
SUMN	AR	Y OF CHANGES	12
1.0	TR	IAL SUMMARY	13
2.0	TR	IAL DESIGN	14
2.1	Т	rial Design	14
2.2	Т	rial Diagram	16
3.0	OB	JECTIVE(S) & HYPOTHESIS(ES)	17
3.1	Р	rimary Objective(s) & Hypothesis(es)	17
3.2	S	econdary Objective(s) & Hypothesis(es)	17
3.3	E	xploratory Objectives	17
4.0	BA	CKGROUND & RATIONALE	18
4.1	B	ackground	18
4.	1.1	Pharmaceutical and Therapeutic Background	19
4.	1.2	Pre-clinical and Clinical Trials	20
4.	1.3	Ongoing Clinical Trials	21
4.	1.4	Information on Other Trial-Related Therapy	21
4.2	R	ationale	22
4.	2.1	Rationale for the Trial and Selected Subject Population	22
4.	2.2	Rationale for Dose Selection/Regimen/Modification	22
	4.2.	2.1 Rationale for the Use of Comparator/Placebo	23
4.	2.3	Rationale for Endpoints	24
	4.2.	3.1 Efficacy Endpoints	24
	4	.2.3.1.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoints	24
	4	.2.3.1.2 Secondary Efficacy Endpoints	24
	4	.2.3.1.3 Exploratory Endpoints	24
	4.2.	3.2 Immune-related RECIST (irRECIST)	25
	4.2.	3.3 Patient Reported Outcomes	25
	4.2.	3.4 Medical Resource Utilization and Health Economics	26

4.2	.3.5 Safety Endpoints	26
4.2	.3.6 Biomarker Research	27
4.2	.3.7 Future Biomedical Research	28
4.3 I	Benefit/Risk	28
5.0 MI	ETHODOLOGY	29
5.1 I	Entry Criteria	29
5.1.1	Diagnosis/Condition for Entry into the Trial	29
5.1.2	Subject Inclusion Criteria	29
5.1.3	Subject Exclusion Criteria	31
5.2	Trial Treatment(s)	34
5.2.1	Dose Selection/Modification	34
5.2	.1.1 Dose Selection (Preparation)	34
5.2	.1.2 Dose Modification (Escalation/Titration/Other)	35
5	5.2.1.2.1 Immune-Related Events and Dose Modification (Withhold, Treat, Discontinue)	35
5.2	.1.3 Guidance for Diagnosis and Management of Hepatic Events of Clinical Interest	40
5.2.2	Timing of Dose Administration	45
5.2.3	Trial Blinding	46
5.3 I	Randomization	16
5.4 \$	Stratification	16
5.5 (Concomitant Medications/Vaccinations (Allowed & Prohibited)	16
5.5.1	Acceptable Concomitant Medications	47
5.5.2	Prohibited Concomitant Medications	47
5.6 I	Rescue Medications & Supportive Care	18
5.6.1	Supportive Care Guidelines	1 8
5.7	Diet/Activity/Other Considerations	19
5.7.1	Diet	19
5.7.2	Contraception	19
5.7.3	Pregnancy	50
5.7.4	Use in Nursing Women	51
5.8 5	Subject Withdrawal/Discontinuation Criteria	51
5.8.1	Discontinuation of Treatment	51

Confidential

5.8	8.2 Wit	thdrawal from the Trial	52
5.9	Subje	ct Replacement Strategy	52
5.10	Begin	ning and End of the Trial	52
5.11	Clinic	cal Criteria for Early Trial Termination	53
6.0	TRIAL	FLOW CHART	54
6.1	Initia	l Treatment with Study Drug	54
6.2	Secon	d Course Treatment	59
7.0	TRIAL	PROCEDURES	62
7.1	Trial	Procedures	62
7.1	.1 Adı	ministrative Procedures	62
	7.1.1.1	Informed Consent	62
	7.1.1.	1.1 General Informed Consent	62
	7.1.1.	1.2 Consent and Collection of Specimens for Future Biomedical Research	63
(7.1.1.2	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	63
	7.1.1.3	Subject Identification Card	63
	7.1.1.4	Medical History	63
ć	7.1.1.5	Prior and Concomitant Medications Review	63
	7.1.1.	5.1 Prior Medications	63
	7.1.	1.5.1.1 Prior Treatment Details for HCC	64
	7.1.1.	5.2 Concomitant Medications	64
(7.1.1.6	Subsequent Anti-Cancer Status	64
	7.1.1.7	Assignment of Screening Number	64
	7.1.1.8	Assignment of Treatment/Randomization Number	64
	7.1.1.9	Trial Compliance (Medication/Diet/Activity/Other)	64
7.1	.2 Clin	nical Procedures/Assessments	65
	7.1.2.1	Adverse Event Monitoring	65
	7.1.2.2	Physical Exam	65
	7.1.2.2	2.1 Full Physical Exam	65
	7.1.2.2	2.2 Directed Physical Exam	65
1	7.1.2.3	Height, Weight, and Vital Signs	65
	7.1.2.4	12-Lead Electrocardiogram	66

4

Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status	. 66
Child-Pugh Score	66
Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs)	66
boratory Procedures/Assessments	66
Laboratory Safety Evaluations (Hematology, Chemistry and Urinalysis)	66
.1.1 Pregnancy Tests	67
Central Laboratory Assessments	68
Tumor Tissue	68
Blood Collection for RNA Analysis, Plasma Biomarker Analysis and Serum Biomarker Analysis	. 68
Planned Genetic Analysis Sample Collection	68
Future Biomedical Research Sample Collection	. 69
ficacy Measurements	69
Tumor Imaging and Assessment of Disease	69
Initial Tumor Imaging	69
Tumor Imaging During the Trial	. 70
End of Treatment and Follow-up Tumor Imaging	. 70
Second Course (Retreatment) Tumor Imaging	. 70
RECIST 1.1 Assessment of Disease	. 71
irRECIST Assessment of Disease	. 71
her Procedures	. 76
Withdrawal/Discontinuation	. 76
.1.1 Withdrawal From Future Biomedical Research	. 76
.1.2 Lost to Follow-up	. 76
Blinding/Unblinding	. 77
Calibration of Critical Equipment	. 77
sit Requirements	. 78
Screening	. 78
Treatment Period Visit	. 78
Post-Treatment Visits	. 80
.3.1 Safety Follow-up Visits	. 80
.3.2 Follow-up Visits	. 80
.3.3 Survival Follow-up	80
	Child-Pugh Score

7.1.6.3.4 Survival Status	
7.2 Assessing and Recording	Adverse Events 81
	ose for This Protocol and Reporting of Overdose to 82
7.2.2 Reporting of Pregnancy	and Lactation to the Sponsor
7.2.3 Immediate Reporting of	Adverse Events to the Sponsor
7.2.3.1 Serious Adverse Ev	ents
7.2.3.2 Events of Clinical In	nterest
7.2.3.3 Protocol-Specific E:	xceptions to Serious Adverse Event Reporting
7.2.4 Evaluating Adverse Eve	nts
7.2.5 Sponsor Responsibility f	For Reporting Adverse Events
7.3 TRIAL GOVERNANCE	AND OVERSIGHT 88
7.3.1 Executive Oversight Con	mmittee
7.3.2 Data Monitoring Comm	ittee
8.0 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	S PLAN
8.1 Statistical Analysis Plan S	Summary
	es/In-House Blinding90
8.2 Responsibility for Analys	
8.2 Responsibility for Analys8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation	es/In-House Blinding90
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 	es/In-House Blinding90
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Population 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Population 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Populations 8.5.2 Safety Analysis Populations 	es/In-House Blinding 90 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 92 92 1000 92
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Populations 8.5.2 Safety Analysis Populations 8.6 Statistical Methods 8.6.1 Statistical Methods for E 	es/In-House Blinding
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Populations 8.5.2 Safety Analysis Populations 8.6 Statistical Methods 8.6.1 Statistical Methods for E 8.6.1.1 Overall Survival (O 	es/In-House Blinding 90 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 92 92 ations 92 ions 92 Gifticacy Analyses 93
 8.2 Responsibility for Analys 8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation 8.4 Analysis Endpoints 8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints 8.4.1.1 Primary 8.4.1.2 Secondary 8.4.2 Safety Endpoints 8.5 Analysis Populations 8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Populations 8.5.2 Safety Analysis Populations 8.6 Statistical Methods for E 8.6.1.1 Overall Survival (O 8.6.1.2 Progression-Free Survival (O) 	es/In-House Blinding 90 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 92 92 ations 92 ions 92 S) 93 S) 93

	8.	6.1.5 Time to Progression (TTP)
	8.	6.1.6 Duration of Response (DOR)
	8.6.2	2 Statistical Methods for Safety Analyses
	8.6.3	Summaries of Demographic and Baseline Characteristics
8.	.7	Interim Analyses 100
	8.7.1	Efficacy Interim Analyses
	8.7.2	2 Safety Interim Analyses 101
8.	.8	Multiplicity 101
8.	.9	Sample Size and Power Calculations 105
8.	.10	Subgroup Analyses and Effect of Baseline Factors 106
8.	.11	Compliance (Medication Adherence) 106
8.	.12	Extent of Exposure 106
9.0	L	ABELING, PACKAGING, STORAGE AND RETURN OF CLINICAL
	SI	UPPLIES 107
9.	.1	Investigational Product 107
9.	.2	Packaging and Labeling Information 107
9.	.3	Clinical Supplies Disclosure
9.	.4	Storage and Handling Requirements 108
9.	.5	Discard/Destruction/Returns and Reconciliation 108
9.	.6	Standard Policies 108
10.0	A	DMINISTRATIVE AND REGULATORY DETAILS 109
10	0.1	Confidentiality109
	10.1	.1 Confidentiality of Data
	10.1	2 Confidentiality of Subject Records
	10.1	.3 Confidentiality of Investigator Information
	10.1	.4 Confidentiality of IRB/IEC Information
10	0.2	Compliance with Financial Disclosure Requirements110
10	0.3	Compliance with Law, Audit and Debarment 110
10	0.4	Compliance with Trial Registration and Results Posting Requirements 112
10	0.5	Quality Management System 112
10	0.6	Data Management112
10	0.7	Publications 113

11.0	LIST OF REFERENCES 114
12.0	APPENDICES 119
12.1	Merck Code of Conduct for Clinical Trials119
12.2	Collection and Management of Specimens for Future Biomedical Research121
12.3	Understanding the Intent, Scope and Public Health Benefits of Exploratory Biomarker Research: A Guide for IRBs/IECs and Investigational Site Staff
12.4	Approximate Blood/Tissue Volumes Drawn/Collected by Trial Visit and by Sample Types
12.5	Hepatitis B Definitions and Treatment Considerations137
12.6	Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events 138
12.7	Child-Pugh Score
12.8	Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer Staging System141
12.9	List of Abbreviations142
13.0	SIGNATURES145
13.1	Sponsor's Representative145
13.2	Investigator145

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Adequate Organ Function Laboratory Values	31	
Table 2	Trial Treatment	34	
Table 3	Dose Modification and Toxicity Management Guidelines for Non-hepatic		
	Immune-related Adverse Events Associated with Pembrolizumab		
	Monotherapy, Coformulations or IO Combinations	36	
Table 4	Pembrolizumab Infusion Reaction Dose modification and Treatment		
	Guidelines	39	
Table 5	Laboratory Tests	57	
Table 6	Imaging and Treatment after First Radiologic Evidence of PD	74	
Table 7	Evaluating Adverse Events	36	
Table 8	Statistical Analysis Plan	39	
Table 9	Censoring rules for Primary and Sensitivity Analyses of PFS) 5	
Table 10	Censoring rules for TTP) 6	
Table 11	Censoring Rules for DOR) 7	
Table 12	Analysis Strategy for Key Efficacy Hypotheses		
Table 13	Analysis Strategy for Safety Parameters) 9	
Table 14	Summary of Interim and Final Analyses Strategy 10)1	
Table 15	Summary of Timing, Sample Size and Decision Guidance for the Interim		
	Analyses and Final Analysis of Overall Survival 103		
Table 16	Summary of Timing, Sample Size and Decision Guidance for the Interim		
	Analysis and Final Analysis of Progression Free Survival 10)4	
Table 17	Efficacy Boundaries for Testing the ORR Hypothesis 10)5	
Table 18	Product Descriptions		
Table 19	Hepatitis B Definitions and Treatment Considerations	37	

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Trial Diagram	16
	Imaging and Treatment for Clinically Stable Subjects after First Radiologic	
	Evidence of Progressive Disease Assessed by Site	75
Figure 3	Multiplicity Strategy 1	02
-	Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system 1	

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Document	Date of Issue	Overall Rationale	
3475-394-05	19-APR-2021	To update the dose modification and toxicity management guidelines for irAEs.	
3475-394-04	25-OCT-2018	OS HR assumption was changed to take into account the potential diluted OS effect due to increased trend of using more effective post-study anti-cancer therapies in the near future.	
3475-394-03	29-AUG-2018	To add an interim analysis for ORR for earlier data analysis for a potential early filing.	
3475-394-02	07-DEC-2017	Revised dose modification table to align with the most current label and safety information for pembrolizumab.	
3475-394-01	14-JUL-2017	Prohibited concomitant medication and exclusion criteria revised to be consistent with other MK-3475 China/AP studies.	
3475-394-00	30-SEP-2016	NA	

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

PRIMARY REASON(S) FOR THIS AMENDMENT:

Section Number (s)	Section Title(s)	Description of Change (s)	Rationale
5.2.1.2.1	Immune-Related Events and Dose Modification (Withhold, Treat, Discontinue)	The dose modification and toxicity management guidelines for irAEs and table were updated.	The dose modification and toxicity management guidelines for irAEs and table were updated as requested by the U.S. FDA in an effort to harmonize the presentation of safety information across all FDA-approved PD-1/L1 antibody prescribing information.

ADDITIONAL CHANGE(S) FOR THIS AMENDMENT:

No additional changes.

1.0 TRIAL SUMMARY

Abbreviated Title	A Phase III Randomized Double-blind Study of Pembrolizumab plus Best Supportive Care vs. Placebo plus Best Supportive Care as Second-Line Therapy in Asian Subjects with Previously Systemically Treated Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (KEYNOTE-394)			
Sponsor Product Identifiers	MK-3475 Pembrolizumab			
Trial Phase	Phase III			
Clinical Indication	Advanced Hepatocellular carcinoma			
Trial Type	Interventional			
Type of control	Placebo Plus Best Supportive Care (BSC)			
Route of administration	Intravenous (IV)			
Trial Blinding	Double-blind			
(Select Groups)	Pembrolizumab plus BSC or placebo plus BSC			
Number of trial subjects	Approximately 450 subjects will be enrolled.			
Estimated duration of trial	The Sponsor estimates that the trial will require approximately 37 months from the time the first subject signs the informed consent until the last subject's last study-related phone call or visit.			
Duration of Participation	Each subject will participate in the trial from the time the subject signs the informed consent form (ICF) through the final contact. After a screening phase of up to 28 days, each eligible subject will be randomized to receive pembrolizumab 200 mg intravenously or placebo beginning on Day 1 of each 3-week dosing cycle. Treatment will continue until progressive disease, unacceptable adverse events, intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment, investigator's decision to withdraw the subject, subject withdrawal of consent, pregnancy of the subject, noncompliance with trial treatment or procedure requirements, subject having received 35 treatments (approx. 2 years) of study drug, or administrative reasons requiring cessation of treatment. Subjects who stop study drug as a result of obtaining an investigator-determined confirmed complete response (CR) or those subjects who stop after receiving 35 trial treatments may be eligible, at the discretion of the investigator, for an additional 17 trial treatments (approx. 1 year) after progressive disease if they meet the criteria for re-treatment (Second Course Phase) and the study is ongoing. Subjects who discontinue for reasons other than progressive disease will have post-treatment follow-up visits for monitoring disease status as if they were still on treatment until progressive disease, initiating a non-study anti-cancer treatment; withdraw of consent from study participation, or becoming lost to follow-up. All subjects will be followed (by telephone or visit) for survival until death, withdrawal of consent from study participation, or the end of the study. After the end of study treatment, each subject will be followed for 30 days for adverse event monitoring. Serious adverse events will be collected for 90 days after the end of treatment or for 30 days after the end of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier.			

Randomization Ratio	Pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC at a 2:1			
	randomization ratio			

A list of abbreviations used in this document can be found in Section 12.9.

2.0 TRIAL DESIGN

2.1 Trial Design

This is a double-blind randomized phase III trial of pembrolizumab plus best supportive care (BSC) versus placebo plus BSC in Asian subjects with previously systemically treated advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). To be eligible, subjects must have documented objective radiographic progression of disease (PD) on or after stopping treatment with sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, or else be intolerant of sorafenib or oxaliplatinbased chemotherapy as defined in Section 5.1.2. They also must have disease not amenable to a curative treatment approach (e.g., transplant, surgery, or ablation). In order to be eligible, subjects must have at least one measurable lesion that is confirmed by the investigator per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 prior to randomization. Subjects will be enrolled regardless of tissue programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) biomarker status. Subjects will not be required to provide a tumor tissue sample for biomarker analysis, but are strongly urged to do so if tissue is available. Available samples will be evaluated at a central laboratory for expression status of PD-L1 by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Approximately 450 subjects will be randomized at a 2:1 ratio to receive pembrolizumab 200 mg intravenously (IV) every 3 weeks (Q3W) or placebo (IV administration of saline) Q3W. Subjects from both arms can receive BSC as per local standard of care.

The primary objective of this trial is to compare the overall survival (OS) in subjects who receive pembrolizumab plus BSC and those who receive placebo plus BSC. On-study imaging assessments will be performed Q6W calculated from the date of randomization and independent of treatment delays. RECIST 1.1 will be used by the site for treatment decisions until the first radiologic evidence of progressive disease (PD).

Following the first radiologic evidence of PD by RECIST 1.1, treatment decisions may be made by the adaption of RECIST 1.1 as described in Section 4.2.3.2 termed immune-related RECIST (irRECIST) to accommodate the tumor response patterns seen with pembrolizumab treatment (e.g., tumor flare). This was first described by Nishino, et al. 2013 [1], but is further modified for the pembrolizumab program. For a clinically stable subject with first radiologic evidence of PD, it is at the discretion of the site investigator to continue treating the subject with study drug until PD is confirmed at least 4 weeks after the date of the first tumor imaging suggesting PD per the site investigator and subsequently confirmed by the central imaging vendor. If radiologic PD is confirmed by the site investigator, the subject is achieving a clinically meaningful benefit; an exception for continued treatment may be considered following consultation with the Sponsor. PD will also be assessed by blinded independent central review (BICR).

Subjects should continue on study treatment until PD is confirmed by RECIST 1.1, unacceptable adverse events (AEs), intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of

treatment, the investigator decides to withdraw the subject, the subject withdraws consent, pregnancy of the subject, noncompliance with trial treatment or procedure requirements, administrative reasons requiring discontinuation, or the subject received 35 trial treatments (approx. 2 years). Subjects who discontinue trial treatment for a reason other than disease progression will move into the Follow-Up Phase and should be assessed Q6W (42 ± 7 days) by radiologic imaging to monitor disease status. Disease status will continue to be monitored until: the start of new anti-cancer treatment, disease progression, death, or the end of the study, whichever occurs first. All subjects will be followed Q12W for OS until death, withdrawal of consent from participation in the study, or the end of the study, whichever occurs first.

Subjects who attain an investigator-determined confirmed complete response (CR) and who have received at least 8 trial treatments (approximately 6 months of therapy) may discontinue treatment at the discretion of the investigator after receiving at least 2 treatments beyond the initial determination of a CR. Subjects who stop study drug after receiving 35 trial treatments (approximately 2 years) for reasons other than PD or intolerability or who stop after attaining a CR may be eligible for retreatment with up to an additional 17 treatments (second course of treatment, approximately 1 year) after they have experienced radiographic PD. The decision to retreat will be at the discretion of the investigator only if no other cancer treatment was administered since the last dose of study drug, the subject still meets the parameters listed in the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and the trial remains open.

Adverse events will be monitored throughout the trial and graded in severity according to the guidelines outlined in the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0 (see Section 12.6). After the end of treatment, each subject will be followed for 30 days for AE monitoring. Serious adverse events (SAEs) will be collected for 90 days after the end of treatment or 30 days after the end of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier.

Prior to verification of PD by blinded independent central review, switching to another treatment is discouraged. Following verification of PD, subjects may switch to another anti-cancer treatment.

This study will be conducted in conformance with Good Clinical Practices (GCP).

Specific procedures to be performed during the trial, as well as their prescribed times and associated visit windows, are outlined in the Trial Flow Chart - Section 6.0. Details of each procedure are provided in Section 7.0 - Trial Procedures.

Group allocation will be implemented via the interactive voice response system / integrated web response system (IVRS/IWRS).

This trial will use a group sequential design. There will be two efficacy interim analyses for ORR, PFS and OS (the first interim at approximately Month 17 after study start, when the first 163 randomized subjects have at least 24 weeks follow up; the second interim at approximately Month 31 after study start with approximately 276 OS events accumulated (See section 8.7). Results of the interim analyses will be reviewed by the external Data Monitoring Committee (eDMC), which will make recommendations to the Sponsor to continue, modify or end the trial according to the plan described briefly in Section 2.2 - Trial

OF COMET

Diagram and in detail in Section 8.0 - Statistical Analysis Plan. The role of the eDMC will be clearly elicited in the eDMC charter.

2.2 Trial Diagram

The trial design is depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Trial Diagram

Stratification Factors: Prior treatment (sorafenib vs. chemotherapy); Macrovascular invasion: (Yes vs. No); Etiology (HBV vs. others (HCV, non-infected))

3.0 OBJECTIVE(S) & HYPOTHESIS(ES)

Compare pembrolizumab to placebo in Asian subjects with previously systemically treated advance HCC:

3.1 **Primary Objective(s) & Hypothesis(es)**

Objective: To compare overall survival (OS) between two treatment arms (pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC)

Hypothesis: Pembrolizumab prolongs OS compared to placebo

3.2 Secondary Objective(s) & Hypothesis(es)

1) **Objective**: To compare progression-free survival (PFS) per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by blinded independent central review (BICR) between two treatment arms (pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC)

Hypothesis: Pembrolizumab prolongs PFS per RECIST 1.1, as assessed by BICR compared to placebo

2) **Objective**: To compare the objective response rate (ORR) per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by BICR between two treatment arms (pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC)

Hypothesis: Pembrolizumab increases ORR per RECIST 1.1, as assessed by BICR compared to placebo

- 3) **Objective**: To compare the duration of response (DOR), disease control rate (DCR) and time to progression (TTP), per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by BICR between two treatment arms (pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC)
- 4) **Objective**: To evaluate the safety and tolerability profile of pembrolizumab-treated subjects compared with placebo-treated subjects

3.3 Exploratory Objectives

- 1) **Objective:** To evaluate PFS, ORR, DOR, DCR, and TTP per irRECIST as assessed by BICR between two treatment arms (pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC).
- 2) **Objective**: To explore the relationship between PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) and response to study drug.
- 3) **Objective**: To evaluate score changes from baseline in health related quality of life using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire—Core (QLQ-C30).
- 4) **Objective**: To characterize utilities using EuroQol-5 Dimension (EuroQol EQ-5D).
- 5) **Objective**: To explore the relationship between genomic variation and response to the treatment administered. Variation across the human genome will be analyzed for association with clinical data collected in this study.

4.0 BACKGROUND & RATIONALE

4.1 Background

Refer to the Investigator's Brochure (IB)/approved labeling for detailed background information on MK-3475.

Liver cancer is the third leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide [2]. In 2012, there were approximately 782,000 new cases of HCC and 746,000 deaths worldwide. Around 83% HCC cases occur in less developed regions, with approximately 50% from China. In China, HCC is one of the most common malignancies, ranking third in incidence and second in mortality. Approximately 400,000 new cases (51% of the world) and 383,200 deaths (49% of the world) occurred in China in 2012 [3]. Most HCC arises in the setting of liver cirrhosis from varied causes, including viral hepatitis, excessive alcohol consumption, hemochromatosis, and metabolic syndrome [4]. Worldwide, chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection accounts for approximately 50% of the total cases of HCC, and it is the dominant risk factor in most areas of Asia that have a high incidence of HCC, particularly in China [5]. Around 60-80% of HCC cases in China are associated with HBV infection and 6-11% with HCV infection [6]. Aflatoxin B₁ is another major risk factor for HCC in China [7]. As a consequence of these different etiologies, HCC is a heterogeneous malignancy. Despite advances in early detection, liver transplantation and liver-directed therapies, about 70% of HCC patients present with advanced disease. As HCC is resistant to most traditional chemotherapy agents, the median survival for patients with advanced disease is typically 6-9 months without therapy.

The multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib is the current standard of care worldwide for the treatment of patients with advanced HCC and preserved liver function based on a large Phase III trial in a Western population. In this trial, TTP was 5.5 months, and OS was 10.7 months in the treatment arm, compared with 2.8 and 7.9 months in the control arm respectively [8]. A similar study conducted in the Asia-Pacific region showed an almost identical hazard ratio for sorafenib of 0.68 [95% CI 0.50-0.93], p=0.014, although overall survival was shorter in this trial (6.5months vs. 4.2 months) [9]. In parts of Asia, the FOLFOX regimen (folinic acid, 5-fluorouracil, and oxaliplatin) has also been approved, based on a randomized trial comparing FOLFOX with doxorubicin [10]. In this trial, there was a non-significant trend toward improved OS in the FOLFOX arm (6.4 months vs. 4.97 months, HR of 0.8 [95% CI 0.63-1.02]), but in a pre-specified Chinese substudy, the FOLFOX arm was superior to doxorubicin (5.7 months vs. 4.3 months, HR of 0.74 [95% CI (0.55-0.98]; p=0.03) [11]. Based on comparable survival data with sorafenib, the FOLFOX regimen is recommended for 1st line treatment of advanced HCC by China liver cancer guidelines [12]. In Thailand, oxaliplatin was also approved for advanced HCC in 2014. Oxaliplatin-based regimens are also included in the Korea Practice Guideline for the management of HCC [13]. Despite these advances, continued investigation of additional agents for advanced HCC patients remains crucial.

In the second-line setting, there is no approved agent for treatment of advanced HCC in China and other Asian regions. Several large randomized trials failed to show a significant survival advantage of various agents against placebo in the second line, including brivanib [14], everolimus [15] and ramucirumab [16]. Smaller studies have suggested the possible efficacy for MET inhibitors and regorafenib in advanced HCC [17][18]. Chemotherapy drugs including capecitabine and the gemcitabine/oxaliplatin (GEMOX) combination have also been used in the second line in small trials [19][20]. However, there are no agents or combinations yet approved for second-line HCC, underscoring the high unmet medical need for this disease. The standard of care for second-line treatment for advanced HCC is still best supportive care in Asian countries

4.1.1 Pharmaceutical and Therapeutic Background

Pembrolizumab is a potent and highly selective humanized monoclonal antibody (mAb) of the IgG4/kappa isotype designed to directly block the interaction between PD-1 and its ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2. KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab, MK-3475) has recently been approved in the United States for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma and disease progression following treatment with ipilimumab or a BRAF inhibitor, if BRAF V600 mutation-positive. Pembrolizumab was also recently approved for the treatment of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) whose tumors express PD-L1 as determined by an FDA-approved test, with disease progression on or after platinum-containing chemotherapy.

The importance of intact immune surveillance in controlling neoplastic growth has been known for decades [21]. Accumulating evidence shows a correlation between tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in cancer tissue and a favorable prognosis in various malignancies [22][23][24][25][26]. In particular, the presence of CD8+ T-cells and the ratio of CD8+ effector T-cells to FoxP3+ regulatory T-cells seem to correlate with improved prognosis and long-term survival in many solid tumors.

The PD-1 receptor-ligand interaction is a major pathway hijacked by tumors to suppress immune control. The normal function of PD-1, expressed on the cell surface of activated T-cells under healthy conditions, is to down-modulate unwanted or excessive immune responses, including autoimmune reactions. PD-1 (encoded by the gene *Pdcd1*) is an Ig superfamily member related to CD28 and CTLA-4 which has been shown to negatively regulate antigen receptor signaling upon engagement of its ligands (PD-L1 and/or PD-L2) [27][28].

The structure of murine PD-1 has been identified [29]. PD-1 and family members are type I transmembrane glycoproteins containing an Ig variable-type (V-type) domain responsible for ligand binding and a cytoplasmic tail which is responsible for the binding of signaling molecules. The cytoplasmic tail of PD-1 contains 2 tyrosine-based signaling motifs, an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motif (ITIM) and an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif (ITSM).

Following T-cell stimulation, PD-1 recruits the tyrosine phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2 to the ITSM motif within its cytoplasmic tail, leading to the dephosphorylation of effector molecules such as CD3 ζ , PKC θ , and ZAP70 which are involved in the CD3 T-cell signaling cascade [28][30][31][32]. The mechanism by which PD-1 down modulates T-cell responses is similar to, but distinct from, that of CTLA-4 as both molecules regulate an overlapping set of signaling proteins [33][34]. PD-1 was shown to be expressed on activated lymphocytes

including peripheral CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, B-cells, T-regulatory cells, and natural killer cells [35][36]. Expression has also been shown during thymic development on CD4-/CD8-(double negative) T-cells as well as subsets of macrophages and dendritic cells [37].

The ligands for PD-1 (PD-L1 and PD-L2) are constitutively expressed or can be induced in a variety of cell types, including non-hematopoietic tissues as well as in various tumors [38][39][40][34]. Both ligands are type I transmembrane receptors containing both IgV- and IgC-like domains in the extracellular region and contain short cytoplasmic regions with no known signaling motifs. Binding of either PD-1 ligand (PD-L1 or PD-L2) to the PD-1 receptor on T-cells inhibits T-cell activation. PD-L1 is expressed at low levels on various non-hematopoietic tissues, most notably on vascular endothelium, whereas PD-L2 protein is only detectably expressed on antigen-presenting cells found in lymphoid tissue or chronic inflammatory environments. PD-L2 is thought to control immune T-cell activation in lymphoid organs, whereas PD-L1 serves to attenuate excessive T-cell function in peripheral tissues [34]. Although healthy organs express little (if any) PD-L1, a variety of cancers were demonstrated to express abundant levels of this T-cell inhibitor. PD-1 has been suggested to regulate tumor-specific T-cell expansion in subjects with melanoma [41]

4.1.2 Pre-clinical and Clinical Trials

Therapeutic studies in mouse models have shown that the administration of antibodies blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction enhances infiltration of tumor-specific CD8+ T-cells and leads ultimately to tumor rejection, either as a monotherapy or in combination with other treatment modalities. Anti-mouse PD-1 or anti-mouse PD-L1 antibodies have demonstrated anti-tumor responses as a monotherapy in models of squamous cell carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, melanoma, and colorectal carcinoma. Blockade of the PD-1 pathway effectively promotes CD8+ T-cell infiltration into the tumor and the presence of IFN- γ , granzyme B and perforin, indicating that the mechanism of action involves local infiltration and activation of effector T-cell function in vivo[42][43][44][45][46][47]. Experiments have confirmed the in vivo efficacy of PD-1 blockade as a monotherapy as well as in combination with chemotherapy in syngeneic mouse tumor models (see the IB).

Clinical trials have demonstrated efficacy using pembrolizumab in subjects with advanced melanoma, NSCLC, head and neck cancer, bladder cancer, Hodgkin's lymphoma, triplenegative breast cancer, and gastric adenocarcinoma. In addition, recent data demonstrates emerging evidence of single-agent activity in additional tumor types such as mesothelioma, urothelial cancer, ovarian cancer, neuroendocrine carcinoma, and small cell lung cancer.

Pre-clinical data indicates PD-1 pathway is very important for HCC. In a mouse model of HCC, blockage of PD-1 and with immunostimulatory monoclonal antibodies extended survival [48].

Clinical data shows that Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients with higher expression of tumoral PD-L1 had a significantly poorer prognosis than patients with lower expression and tumor expression of PD-L1 has also been shown to be an independent predictor for postoperative recurrence in HCC patients [49]. High expression levels of PD-1 on T-cells, both in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were also correlated with poor prognosis in HCC patients after surgical resection

[50]. The relationship between PD-1 pathway and HCC prognosis is still under investigation.

Nivolumab is also a humanized monoclonal antibody (mAb) to block the interaction between PD-1 and its ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2. There is a phase I/II trial of nivolumab (CheckMate-040) in previously systemically treated patients with HCC regardless of PD-L1 IHC expression .The interim analysis demonstrated that the estimated survival rate in evaluable patients (n=47) was 62% at 12 months. Results also show the safety profile of nivolumab is generally consistent with that previously reported in other tumor types [51].

While the relationship between the PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and responses to anti-PD-1 treatment is still under investigation. The interim results of the dose-escalation phase of CheckMate-040 from 2016 ASCO shows that PD-L1 expression was observed in 8/41 patients (20%) and overall responses occurred regardless of PD-L1 status (2/8 in PD-L1positive and 5/33 in PD-L1-negative patients)[52]. The interim results from the doseexpansion phase of this study shows PD-L1 IHC was quantifiable in 128 patients and responses occurred regardless of PD-L1 status (ORR = 5/26 [19%] patients with PD-L1 \geq 1% and 20/102 [20%] patients with PD-L1 < 1%). For patients without evaluable PD-L1 expression data, the ORR was 10/86 (12%) [53].

Based on similar mechanisms of action with nivolumab, pembrolizumab is also being investigated for HCC. KEYNOTE-224 is a non-randomized, multicenter, open-label, phase 2 trial of pembrolizumab in patients with advanced HCC who have previously been treated with sorafenib. An objective response was recorded in 18(17%) of 104 participants (95% CI 11-26) who had received at least one dose of pembrolizumab, 46 (44%) patients had stable disease. The safety and toxicity profile of pembrolizumab in these patients was manageable and generally similar to that of pembrolizumab in other tumor types. The results of KEYNOTE-224 show clinical activity and manageable safety of pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. There is an on-going clinical trial, KEYNOTE-240, for previously systemically treated HCC patients. KEYNOTE-240 is double-blind randomized phase III trial of pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC in subjects from US, EU and some Asian countries with previously systemically treated advanced HCC. The primary objectives of this trial are to determine PFS and OS as dual-primary endpoints. Adverse events will be monitored throughout the trial. In this study, Merck defined hepatic events of clinical interest (hepatic ECI), and developed guidance for diagnosis and management of hepatic ECIs accordingly.

4.1.3 Ongoing Clinical Trials

Ongoing clinical trials of pembrolizumab are being conducted in advanced melanoma, nonsmall cell lung cancer, HCC, and a number of other advanced solid tumor indications and hematologic malignancies. For study details refer to the IB.

4.1.4 Information on Other Trial-Related Therapy

There is no standard second-line therapy for advanced HCC. Best supportive care will include pain management and management of other potential complications including ascites per local standards of care.

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

4.2 Rationale

4.2.1 Rationale for the Trial and Selected Subject Population

HCC often develops in the setting of inflammation of various types, including viral infections. In a gene-expression profiling study, non-tumoral tissue from patients with HCC with an inflammatory signature predicted a worse overall survival [54]. HCC patients with higher tumor expression of PD-L1 have a significantly poorer prognosis than patients with lower expression, and tumor expression of PD-L1 is an independent predictor for postoperative recurrence in HCC [49]. In addition, high expression levels of PD-1 on T-cells, both in TILs and PBMCs, also correlate with increased stage and higher recurrence rates in HCC patients after surgical resection [50].

Recently, immunotherapy has been shown to produce antitumor effects in HCC, a tumor which has shown resistance to traditional forms of chemotherapy. CTLA-4 inhibition with tremelimumab was evaluated in HCV-associated HCC patients[55]. Seventeen patients were evaluable for response, and 3 partial responses were seen lasting for 3.6, 9.2 and 15.8 months. Almost half of the stable disease patients were stable for over 6 months, and toxicity was manageable, despite early elevations in transaminases. The interim analysis of a Phase I/II trial of the anti–PD-1 antibody, nivolumab, in subjects with advanced HCC demonstrated an estimated survival rate in evaluable patients (n=47) of 62% at 12 months with several durable responses. Responses were seen both in viral-mediated cancers and those without an underlying viral etiology. Results also show the safety profile of nivolumab in HCC to be generally consistent with that previously reported in other tumor types [51].

Immunotherapy has been shown to produce promising antitumor effects in HCC. In addition, the safety profile of anti-PD-1 treatment has been shown to be favorable in HCC, consistent with that previously reported in other tumor types [51]. Thus, from the scientific and ethical point of view, a randomization of 2:1 is reasonable. Compared to the 1:1 randomization ratio, 2:1 randomization enables Asian subjects to have higher chance to receive treatment in this placebo-controlled study. Furthermore, this will also help to facilitate recruitment and mitigate the risk of patient withdrawal.

4.2.2 Rationale for Dose Selection/Regimen/Modification

The dose of pembrolizumab planned to be studied in this trial is 200 mg Q3W. The dose recently approved in the United States and several other countries for treatment of melanoma and NSCLC subjects is 2 mg/kg Q3W. Information on the rationale for selecting 200 mg Q3W is summarized below.

KEYNOTE-001 is an open-label Phase I study being conducted to evaluate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics, and anti-tumor activity of singleagent pembrolizumab. The dose escalation portion of this trial evaluated three dose levels, 1 mg/kg, 3 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, administered every 2 weeks (Q2W) and dose expansion cohorts evaluated 2 mg/kg Q3W and 10 mg/kg Q3W in subjects with advanced solid tumors. All dose levels were well tolerated and no dose-limiting toxicities were observed. This first-in-human study of pembrolizumab showed evidence of target engagement and objective evidence of tumor size reduction at all dose levels. No maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was identified. In addition, two randomized cohort evaluations of melanoma subjects receiving pembrolizumab at a dose of 2 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg Q3W have been completed, and one randomized cohort evaluating 10 mg/kg Q3W versus 10 mg/kg Q2W has also been completed. The clinical efficacy and safety data demonstrate lack of important differences in efficacy or safety profile across doses.

An integrated body of evidence suggests that 200 mg every 3 weeks (Q3W) is expected to provide similar response to that seen with 2 mg/kg Q3W, 10 mg/kg Q3W and 10 mg/kg Q2W. Previously, a flat pembrolizumab exposure-response relationship for efficacy and safety was seen in subjects with melanoma at doses ranging from 2 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg. Exposures for 200 mg Q3W are expected to lie within this range and will be close to those obtained with 2 mg/kg Q3W dose.

A population PK model, which characterized the influence of body weight and other patient covariates on exposure, has been developed. The PK profile of pembrolizumab is consistent with that of other humanized monoclonal antibodies, which typically have low clearance and a limited volume of distribution. The distribution of exposures from the 200 mg fixed dose are predicted to considerably overlap those obtained with the 2 mg/kg dose and importantly will maintain individual patient exposures within the exposure range established in melanoma and NSCLC as associated with maximal clinical response. The PK properties of pembrolizumab, and specifically the weight-dependency in clearance and volume of distribution are consistent with the absence of a meaningful advantage to weight-based dosing relative to fixed dosing.

In translating to other tumor indications, similarly flat exposure-response relationships for efficacy and safety as observed in subjects with melanoma can be expected, as the anti-tumor effect of pembrolizumab is driven through immune system activation rather than through a direct interaction with tumor cells, rendering it independent of the specific tumor type. In addition, available PK results in subjects with melanoma, NSCLC, and other tumor types support a lack of meaningful difference in pharmacokinetic exposures obtained at tested doses among tumor types. Thus the 200 mg Q3W fixed-dose regimen is considered an appropriate fixed dose for other tumor indications as well.

A fixed dose regimen will simplify the dosing regimen to be more convenient for physicians and to reduce potential for dosing errors. A fixed dosing scheme will also reduce complexity in the logistical chain at treatment facilities and reduce wastage. The existing data suggest 200 mg Q3W as the appropriate dose for pembrolizumab.

4.2.2.1 Rationale for the Use of Comparator/Placebo

This is a double-blinded trial .Subjects will be randomized to receive IV pembrolizumab or placebo. Both treatment arms are eligible to receive BSC based on the discretion of the investigator.

In the second-line setting, there is no clear standard of care for HCC-directed therapy. Several large randomized trials failed to show a significant survival advantage against placebo in the second line setting, including brivanib [14], everolimus [15], and ramucirumab [16]. Smaller studies have suggested the possible efficacy for MET inhibitors and regorafenib in advanced HCC, and larger randomized trials are underway to investigate these drugs [17][18]. Chemotherapy drugs including capecitabine and the gemcitabine/oxaliplatin combination have also been used in the second line, and retrospective reviews suggest some possible effects [19][20]. However, there are no agents or combinations yet approved for second-line HCC, underscoring the high unmet need for this disease, and the rationale for a placebo arm. Subjects randomized to pembrolizumab and to the placebo arm will be treated with BSC at the investigator's discretion.

4.2.3 Rationale for Endpoints

4.2.3.1 Efficacy Endpoints

4.2.3.1.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoints

The primary efficacy objective of this study is to evaluate the anti-tumor activity of pembrolizumab in Asian subjects with HCC. OS is the primary endpoint. The endpoint of OS is the gold standard for demonstrating superiority of antineoplastic therapy in clinical studies in the area of oncology.

4.2.3.1.2 Secondary Efficacy Endpoints

The secondary efficacy objectives of this study are to:

- 1) To compare PFS per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by BICR
- 2) To compare ORR per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by BICR
- 3) To evaluate DOR, DCR and TTP per RECIST 1.1 as assessed by BICR

Measurable disease will be confirmed by the investigator at enrollment, prior to subject randomization, to ensure that the assessment of measurable disease is accurate. These endpoints have been chosen as ancillary markers of efficacy in a population with few treatment options.

4.2.3.1.3 Exploratory Endpoints

Exploratory efficacy objectives of this study are to explore ORR, DOR, DCR, TTP and PFS per irRECIST assessed by the central imaging vendor to assess different evaluations in HCC population.

Since the association between PD-L1 IHC and responses in HCC is still not clear. This study will collect tumor tissue for PD-L1 IHC testing. It will explore the association between PD-L1 IHC and response in subjects with HCC treated with pembrolizumab plus BSC versus placebo plus BSC to determine whether PD-L1 expression may predict response to pembrolizumab.

Quality of life and health utilities will be examined between groups. EORTC QLQ-C30 will be used for evaluating changes in health related quality of life from baseline; and EuroQol EQ-5D will used to characterize utilities between two treatment arms.

24

4.2.3.2 Immune-related RECIST (irRECIST)

RECIST 1.1 will be adapted to account for the unique tumor response characteristics seen with treatment of pembrolizumab. Immunotherapeutic agents such as pembrolizumab may produce antitumor effects by potentiating endogenous cancer-specific immune responses. The response patterns seen with such an approach may extend beyond the typical time course of responses seen with cytotoxic agents, and can manifest a clinical response after an initial increase in tumor burden or even the appearance of new lesions. Standard RECIST 1.1 may, thus, not provide an accurate response assessment of immunotherapeutic agents such as pembrolizumab.

Based on an analysis of patients with melanoma enrolled in KEYNOTE-001, 7% of evaluable patients experienced delayed or early tumor pseudoprogression. Of note, patients who had progressive disease by RECIST 1.1 but not by immune-related response criteria had longer OS than patients with progressive disease by both criteria. Additionally, the data suggest that RECIST 1.1 may underestimate the benefit of pembrolizumab in approximately 15% of patients. These findings support the need to apply a modification to RECIST 1.1 that takes into account the unique patterns of atypical response in immunotherapy and enable treatment beyond initial radiographic progression as described above (Section 2.1).

Immune-related RECIST (irRECIST) is RECIST 1.1 adapted to account for the unique tumor response seen with immuno-therapeutics as described in Nishino et al., [1]. The assessment of unidimensional target lesions and response categories per irRECIST are identical to RECIST 1.1. However, Merck has implemented an adaptation related to new lesions, non-target lesions and tumor burden assessment in order to confirm radiographic progression. Therefore, irRECIST will be used by local site investigators to assess tumor response and progression, and make treatment decisions as well as by the central imaging vendor in support of all secondary and exploratory response endpoints. Confirmation of PD for irRECIST endpoints will be taken from the central imaging review retrospectively, according to the irRECIST definition.

For further information on irRECIST, see Section 7.1.4.7

4.2.3.3 Patient Reported Outcomes

As part of an exploratory analysis, subjects will provide information regarding their healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) via the following EORTC assessment tools: EORTC QLQ-C30 and EuroQol-5D3L (EQ5D-3L) questionnaires. These measures are not pure efficacy or safety endpoints because they are affected by both disease progression and treatment tolerability.

EORTC QLQ-C30

The EORTC QLQ-C30 is the most widely used cancer specific HRQoL instrument. It contains 30 items and measures 5 functioning dimensions (physical, role, cognitive, emotional, and social), 3 symptom items (fatigue, nausea/vomiting, pain), 6 single items (dyspnea, sleep disturbance, appetite loss, constipation, diarrhea, and financial impact), and a

global health and quality of life scale [56]. This instrument has been translated and validated into 81 languages and used in more than 3000 studies worldwide.

EuroQoL-5D

The EuroQol-5D-3L (EQ5D-3L) is a standardized instrument for use as a measure of health outcome. The EQ5D-3L will provide data for use in economic models and analyses including developing health utilities or quality adjusted life years (QALYs). The 5 health state dimensions in this instrument include the following: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension is rated on a three point scale from 1 (extreme problem) to 3 (no problem). The EQ5D-3L also includes a graded (0 to 100) vertical visual analog scale on which the subject rates his or her general state of health at the time of the assessment. The EQ5D-3L will always be completed by subjects first before completing the EORTC QLQ-C30 and is to be completed at various time points as specified in the Trial Flow Chart, beginning with Cycle 1 until 30 days post-treatment discontinuation.

See flow chart for Patient Reported Outcome (PRO) administration schedule (Section 6.1).

4.2.3.4 Medical Resource Utilization and Health Economics

All-cause hospitalizations and emergency room visits must be reported in the eCRF, from the time of treatment allocation/randomization through 90 days following cessation of study treatment, or 30 days following cessation of study treatment, if the participant initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier.

4.2.3.5 Safety Endpoints

The safety objective of this trial is to characterize the safety and tolerability of pembrolizumab in Asian subjects with previously systemically treated HCC. The primary safety analysis will be based on subjects who have toxicities as defined by NCI-CTCAE, v4.0 (see Section 12.6).

The attribution to drug, time-of-onset, duration of the event, its resolution, and any concomitant medications administered will be recorded. Safety parameters to be analyzed include, but are not limited to, AEs, SAEs, fatal AEs, and laboratory changes. Furthermore, specific events will be collected and designated as events of clinical interest (ECIs) as described in Section 7.2.3.

The mandatory Safety Follow-Up Visit should be conducted approximately 30 days after the last dose of trial treatment or before the initiation of a new anti-neoplastic treatment, whichever comes first. All AEs that occur prior to the Safety Follow-Up Visit should be recorded. Subjects with an AE of Grade >1 will be followed until the resolution of the AE to Grade 0-1 or until the beginning of a new anti-neoplastic therapy, whichever occurs first. All SAEs that occur within 90 days following cessation of treatment, or 30 days following cessation of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier, should be followed and recorded.

4.2.3.6 Biomarker Research





4.2.3.7 Future Biomedical Research



4.3 Benefit/Risk

Subjects in clinical trials generally cannot expect to receive direct benefit from treatment during participation, as clinical trials are designed to provide information about the safety and effectiveness of an investigational medicine. However, experience with this approved drug in other indications, as well as similar drugs in hepatocellular carcinoma suggests that study subjects may receive a clinical benefit (see Section 4.1.1).

The benefit-risk profile for pembrolizumab in HCC population is unknown since these subjects have not been previously reported. The safety and efficacy data generated to date provide a favorable risk-benefit assessment for the continued use of pembrolizumab as a treatment for advanced/metastatic melanoma and NSCLC, and as an investigational medicinal product in subjects with triple negative breast cancer, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, urothelial tract cancer, colorectal cancer, adenocarcinoma of the stomach/gastroesophageal junction, renal cell carcinoma, hematologic malignancies, multiple

29

myeloma, and other advanced solid tumors. Based on pembrolizumab data from other indications and data in HCC patients, as well as data from other agents in the class, a favorable benefits-risk profile is anticipated. No unexpected risks have been reported in HCC with other immune check point inhibitors other than transient elevations in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST).

Additional details regarding specific benefits and risks for subjects participating in this clinical trial may be found in the accompanying IB and Informed Consent documents.

5.0 METHODOLOGY

5.1 Entry Criteria

5.1.1 Diagnosis/Condition for Entry into the Trial

Male/Female Asian subjects with advanced HCC after progression on or intolerance to sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy with no curative option will be enrolled in this trial.

5.1.2 Subject Inclusion Criteria

In order to be eligible for participation in this trial, the subject must:

- 1. Be willing and able to provide written informed consent for the trial. The subject may also provide consent for Future Biomedical Research (FBR). However, the subject may participate in the main trial without participating in FBR.
- 2. Be ≥ 18 years of age on day of signing informed consent.
- 3. Have a HCC diagnosis confirmed by radiology, histology, or cytology (fibrolamellar, and mixed hepatocellular/cholangiocarcinoma subtypes are not eligible).

Note: Radiologic confirmation diagnosis is provided by the study site. Definition of radiological confirmation: Clinical findings consistent with the diagnosis of liver cirrhosis and a liver mass measuring at least 2 cm with characteristic vascularization (intense enhancement seen in the hepatic arterial-dominant phase and contrast washout in the late portal venous phase) seen in either triphasic computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

- 4. Have Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) Stage C diseases or BCLC Stage B disease not amenable to locoregional therapy or refractory to locoregional therapy and not amenable to a curative treatment approach (see Appendix 12.8).
- 5. Have a Child-Pugh A liver score within 7 days prior to first dose of study drug.
- 6. Have a predicted life expectancy of >3 months.
- 7. Have at least one measurable lesion based on RECIST 1.1 as determined by investigator. Target lesions situated in a previously irradiated or post locoregional treatment area are considered measurable if progression has been demonstrated in such lesions

Note: the same image acquisition and processing parameters should be used throughout the study for a given subject.

- 8. Have a performance status of 0 or 1 using the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Scale within 7 days of first dose of study drug.
- 9. Have documented objective radiographic progression during or after treatment with sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, or intolerance to sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.

Note: (1) Sorafenib intolerance definition: Any Grade ≥ 2 drug-related AE which, despite supportive therapy, recurred after a sorafenib treatment interruption of at least 7 days and dose reduction resulting in the subject requesting, or the physician recommending discontinuation of medication due to toxicity.

(2) Oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy intolerance definition: 1) having had at least one dose of chemotherapy and 2) having a Grade ≥ 2 drug-related AE which both a) persisted in spite of comprehensive supportive therapy according to institutional standards and b) persisted or recurred after dose reduction and resulted in the subject requesting or the physician recommending discontinuation of medication due to the toxicity

- 10. Female subjects of childbearing potential must have a negative urine or serum pregnancy test within 72 hours prior to receiving the first dose of study medication (Cycle 1, Day 1). If the urine test is positive or cannot be confirmed as negative, a serum pregnancy test will be required.
- 11. Female subjects of childbearing potential (Section 5.7.2) must be willing to use an adequate method of contraception as outlined in Section 5.7.2 – Contraception for the course of the study, starting with the first dose of study medication through at least 120 days or longer based on local regulation after the last dose of study medication.

Note: Abstinence is acceptable if this is the usual lifestyle and preferred contraception for the subject.

12. Male subject of childbearing potential (Section 5.7.2) must agree to use an adequate method of contraception as outlined in Section 5.7.2 - Contraception, starting with the first dose of study medication (Cycle 1, Day 1) through 120 days after the last dose of study medication

Note: Abstinence is acceptable if this is the usual lifestyle and preferred contraception for the subject.

13. Demonstrate adequate organ function as defined in Table 1. All screening laboratory tests should be performed within 7 days prior to first dose of study drug.

30

System	Laboratory Value		
Hematological			
Absolute neutrophil count (ANC)	≥1200/µL		
Platelets	≥60,000/µL		
Hemoglobin	≥ 8 g/dL without transfusion or EPO dependency within 7 days.		
Renal			
Creatinine <u>OR</u>	≤1.5×ULN <u>OR</u>		
Measured or calculated creatinine clearance	\geq 60 mL/min for subject with creatinine levels >1.5 × institutional ULN		
(GFR can also be used in place of creatinine	Note: Creatinine clearance should be calculated per		
or creatinine clearance)	institutional standard		
Hepatic			
Total bilirubin	\leq 2 mg/dL, or direct bilirubin \leq ULN for those with total bilirubin $>$ 2mg/dL		
AST (SGOT) and ALT (SGPT)	≤5×ULN		
Albumin	\geq 3.0 g/dL Note: No albumin supplement (or BCAA) allowed within the last 14 days.		
Coagulation			
INR or PT	$\leq 1.5 \times ULN$ unless subject is receiving anticoagulant therapy as long as PT is within therapeutic range of intended use of anticoagulants		
	minotransferase; EPO = erythropoietin; GFR = glomerular filtration thrombin time; SGOT = serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase;		
SGPT = serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; ULN =			

Table 1Adequate Organ Function Laboratory Values

5.1.3 Subject Exclusion Criteria

The subject must be excluded from participating in the trial if the subject:

- Is currently participating, or has participated in any study of an investigational agent and received its study therapy, or used an investigation device within 4 weeks prior to the first dose of our study treatment. Has received herbal/complementary oral or IV medicine used as systemic anti-cancer therapy within 2 weeks prior to the first dose of our study treatment. Subjects must also have recovered from associated therapy (i.e., to Grade ≤1 or baseline) and from adverse events due to any prior therapy.
- 2. Has received sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy within 14 days of first dose of study medication, or received more than one systemic anticancer drug in the advanced hepatocellular cancer setting.
- 3. Has had esophageal or gastric variceal bleeding within the last 6 months.
- 4. Has clinically apparent ascites on physical examination.

Note: ascites detectable on imaging studies only IS allowed.

5. Portal vein invasion at the main portal branch (Vp4), inferior vena cava, or cardiac involvement of HCC based on imaging.

- 6. Has had clinically diagnosed hepatic encephalopathy in the last 6 months. Subjects on rifaximin or lactulose to control their encephalopathy are not allowed.
- 7. Has had a solid organ or hematologic transplant.
- 8. Had prior systemic therapy for HCC in the advanced (incurable) setting other than sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, prior to start study drug.
- 9. Has a known severe hypersensitivity (≥ Grade 3) to pembrolizumab, its active substance and/or any of its excipients. (Refer to the respective Investigator's Brochure for a list of excipients.)
- 10. Has active autoimmune disease that has required systemic treatment in past 2 years (i.e., with use of disease-modifying agents, corticosteroids, or immunosuppressive drugs). Replacement therapy (e.g., thyroxine, insulin, or physiologic corticosteroid replacement therapy for adrenal or pituitary insufficiency, etc.) is not considered a form of systemic treatment.
- 11. Has a diagnosis of immunodeficiency or is receiving systemic steroid therapy or any other form of immunosuppressive therapy within 7 days prior to the first dose of trial treatment. The use of physiologic doses of corticosteroids may be approved after consultation with the Sponsor.

Physiologic dose of corticosteroid definition: ≤10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent

12. Has received locoregional therapy to liver (transcatheter chemoembolization [TACE], transcatheter embolization [TAE], hepatic arterial infusion [HAI], radiation, radioembolization, or ablation) within 4 weeks prior to the first dose of study drug.

Subject is not eligible if aforementioned treatments were administered between last dose of sorafenib or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy and first dose of study medication.

- 13. Has had major surgery to liver or other site within 4 weeks prior to the first dose of study drug.
- 14. Has had a minor surgery (e.g., simple excision, tooth extraction) ≤7 days prior to the first dose of study treatment (Cycle 1, Day 1).
- 15. Has not recovered adequately (i.e., Grade ≤ 1 or baseline) from the toxicity and/or complications from any intervention prior to starting therapy.
- 16. Has a diagnosed additional malignancy within 3 years prior to first dose of study treatment with the exception of curatively treated basal cell carcinoma of the skin, squamous cell carcinoma of the skin and/or curatively resected in situ cancers.
- 17. Has a known history of, or any evidence of, central nervous system (CNS) metastases and/or carcinomatous meningitis as assessed by local site investigator.
- 18. Has a history of (non-infectious) pneumonitis that required steroids or current pneumonitis.

Confidential

19. Has an active infection requiring systemic therapy.

- 20. Has a history or current evidence of any condition, therapy, or laboratory abnormality that might confound the results of the trial, interfere with the subject's participation for the full duration of the trial, or is not in the best interest of the subject to participate, in the opinion of the treating investigator, including dialysis.
- 21. Has known psychiatric or substance abuse disorders that would interfere with cooperation with the requirements of the trial.
- 22. Is pregnant or breastfeeding, or expecting to conceive or father children within the projected duration of the trial, starting with the first dose of study medication through 120 days or longer based on local regulation after the last dose of trial treatment.
- 23. Has received prior therapy with an anti-PD-1, anti-PD-L1, or anti PD L2 agent or with an agent directed to another stimulatory or co-inhibitory T-cell receptor (eg, CTLA-4, OX-40, CD137), or if the subject has previously participated in Merck pembrolizumab clinical trials.
- 24. Has a known history of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (HIV 1/2 antibodies). No HIV testing is required unless mandated by local health authority
- 25. Has untreated active Hepatitis B. (Please refer to Table 19)

Note: Controlled (treated) hepatitis B subjects will be allowed if they meet the following criteria:

Antiviral therapy for HBV must be given for at least 4 weeks prior to first dose of study drug, and HBV viral load must be maintained less than 2000 IU/mL (10^4 copies/ml) for at least 4 weeks prior to first dose of study drug. Those on active HBV therapy with viral loads under 2000 IU/mL (10^4 copies/ml) should stay on the same therapy throughout study treatment.

Those subjects who are anti-HBc (+) and negative for HBsAg, anti-HBs and HBV viral load do not require HBV prophylaxis, but need close monitoring for reactivation as described

26. Subjects with chronic HCV infection with less than 4 weeks between completion of HCV therapy and start of study drug.

Note: Subjects with chronic infection with HCV who are untreated or non-curatively treated HCV are allowed on study.

27. Has received a live vaccine within 30 days of planned start of study therapy (Cycle 1, Day 1).

Note: The killed virus vaccines used for seasonal influenza vaccines for injection are allowed; however intranasal influenza vaccines (e.g., FluMist[®]) are live attenuated vaccines and are not allowed.

28. Any subject who cannot receive MRI or triphasic, contrast-enhanced CT for evaluation of HCC.

5.2 Trial Treatment(s)

Study treatment to be used in this trial is outlined below in Table 2. BSC will vary with local treatment practices.

Drug	Dose/Potency	Dose Frequency	Route of Administration	Regimen	Use
Pembrolizumab (MK-3475)	200 mg	Q3W	IV infusion	IV infusion	Experimental
Placebo	0.90% w/v NaCI	Q3W	IV infusion	IV infusion	Control

Table 2Trial Treatment

Trial Treatment should begin within 3 days of randomization. However, every effort should be made to begin trial treatment on the day of randomization.

All supplies indicated in Table 2 above will be provided centrally by the Sponsor or locally by the trial site, subsidiary or designee, depending on local country operational or regulatory requirements.

For any commercially available product that is provided by the trial site, subsidiary or designee every attempt will be made to source these supplies from a single lot/batch number. The trial site is responsible for recording the lot number, manufacturer, and expiry date for any locally purchased product as per local guidelines unless otherwise instructed by the Sponsor.

The investigator shall take responsibility for and shall take all steps to maintain appropriate records and ensure appropriate supply, storage, handling, distribution and usage of trial treatments in accordance with the protocol and any applicable laws and regulations.

5.2.1 Dose Selection/Modification

5.2.1.1 Dose Selection (Preparation)

The rationale for selection of the dose of pembrolizumab to be used in this trial is provided in Section 4.0, Background and Rationale. Details on preparation and administration of study drug are provided in the Pharmacy Manual.

Administration of BSC should follow local treatment guidelines.

5.2.1.2 Dose Modification (Escalation/Titration/Other)

5.2.1.2.1 Immune-Related Events and Dose Modification (Withhold, Treat, Discontinue)

Dose Modification and Toxicity Management for Non-hepatic Immune-related AEs Associated with Pembrolizumab

AEs associated with pembrolizumab exposure may represent an immune-related response. These irAEs may occur shortly after the first dose or several months after the last dose of pembrolizumab treatment and may affect more than one body system simultaneously. Therefore, early recognition and initiation of treatment is critical to reduce complications. Based on existing clinical study data, most irAEs were reversible and could be managed with interruptions of pembrolizumab, administration of corticosteroids and/or other supportive care. For suspected irAEs, ensure adequate evaluation to confirm etiology or exclude other causes. Additional procedures or tests such as bronchoscopy, endoscopy, skin biopsy may be included as part of the evaluation. Based on the severity of irAEs, withhold or permanently discontinue pembrolizumab and administer corticosteroids.

Dose Modification and Toxicity Management Guidelines for Non-hepatic irAEs associated with pembrolizumab monotherapy, coformulations, or IO combinations are provided in Table 3.
Table 3Dose Modification and Toxicity Management Guidelines for Non-hepatic Immune-related Adverse Events Associated withPembrolizumab Monotherapy, Coformulations or IO Combinations

General instructions:

- 1. Severe and life-threatening irAEs should be treated with IV corticosteroids followed by oral steroids. Other immunosuppressive treatment should begin if the irAEs are not controlled by corticosteroids.
- 2. Pembrolizumab monotherapy, coformulations or IO combinations must be permanently discontinued if the irAE does not resolve or the corticosteroid dose is not ≤10 mg/day within 12 weeks of the last treatment.
- 3. The corticosteroid taper should begin when the irAE is \leq Grade 1 and continue at least 4 weeks.
- 4. If pembrolizumab monotherapy, coformulations or IO combinations have been withheld, treatment may resume after the irAE decreased to ≤ Grade 1 after corticosteroid taper.

irAEs	Toxicity Grade (CTCAEv4.0)	Action With Pembrolizumab Monotherapy, Coformulations or IO Combinations	Corticosteroid and/or Other Therapies	Monitoring and Follow-up
Pneumonitis	Grade 2	Withhold	• Administer corticosteroids (initial dose of 1-2 mg/kg prednisone or equivalent)	 Monitor participants for signs and symptoms of pneumonitis Evaluate participants with suspected pneumonitis
	Recurrent Grade 2 or Grade 3 or 4	Permanently discontinue	followed by taper	with radiographic imaging and initiate corticosteroid treatment
				Add prophylactic antibiotics for opportunistic infections
Diarrhea / Colitis	Grade 2 or 3	Withhold	• Administer corticosteroids (initial dose of 1-2 mg/kg prednisone or equivalent) followed by taper	• Monitor participants for signs and symptoms of enterocolitis (ie, diarrhea, abdominal pain, blood or mucus in stool with or without fever) and of bowel perforation (ie, peritoneal signs and ileus)
	Recurrent Grade 3	Permanently	-	• Participants with ≥Grade 2 diarrhea suspecting colitis should consider GI consultation and performing endoscopy to rule out colitis
	or Grade 4	discontinue		• Participants with diarrhea/colitis should be advised to drink liberal quantities of clear fluids. If sufficient oral fluid intake is not feasible, fluid and electrolytes should be substituted via IV infusion.

irAEs	Toxicity Grade (CTCAEv4.0)	Action With Pembrolizumab Monotherapy, Coformulations or IO Combinations	Corticosteroid and/or Other Therapies	Monitoring and Follow-up
T1DM or Hyperglycemia	New onset T1DM or Grade 3 or 4 hyperglycemia associated with evidence of β-cell failure	Withhold ^a	 Initiate insulin replacement therapy for participants with T1DM Administer anti- hyperglycemic in participants with hyperglycemia 	• Monitor participants for hyperglycemia or other signs and symptoms of diabetes
Hypophysitis	Grade 2 Grade 3 or 4	Withhold Withhold or permanently	• Administer corticosteroids and initiate hormonal replacements as clinically indicated	• Monitor for signs and symptoms of hypophysitis (including hypopituitarism and adrenal insufficiency)
		discontinue ^a		
Hyperthyroidism	Grade 2	Continue	• Treat with non-selective beta-blockers (eg, propranolol) or thionamides	Monitor for signs and symptoms of thyroid disorders
	Grade 3 or 4	Withhold or Permanently discontinue ^a	as appropriate	

irAEs	Toxicity Grade (CTCAEv4.0)	Action With Pembrolizumab Monotherapy, Coformulations or IO Combinations	Corticosteroid and/or Other Therapies	Monitoring and Follow-up
Hypothyroidism	Grade 2-4	Continue	• Initiate thyroid replacement hormones (eg, levothyroxine or liothyronine) per standard of care	• Monitor for signs and symptoms of thyroid disorders
Nephritis and renal dysfunction	Grade 2	Withhold	• Administer corticosteroids (prednisone 1-2 mg/kg or	Monitor changes of renal function
Tenar dystunction	Grade 3 or 4	Permanently discontinue	equivalent) followed by taper	
Myocarditis	Grade 1	Withhold	Based on severity of AE administer corticosteroids	• Ensure adequate evaluation to confirm etiology and/or exclude other causes
	Grade 2, 3 or 4	Permanently discontinue		
All Other irAEs	Persistent Grade 2	Withhold	• Based on severity of AE administer corticosteroids	• Ensure adequate evaluation to confirm etiology or exclude other causes
	Grade 3	Withhold or discontinue ^b		
	Recurrent Grade 3 or Grade 4	Permanently discontinue		

AE(s)=adverse event(s); CTCAE=Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; DRESS=Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptom; GI=gastrointestinal; IO=immuno-oncology; ir=immune related; IV=intravenous; SJS=Stevens-Johnson Syndrome; T1DM=type 1 diabetes mellitus; TEN=Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; ULN=upper limit of normal.

Note: Non-irAE will be managed as appropriate, following clinical practice recommendations.

^a The decision to withhold or permanently discontinue pembrolizumab monotherapy, coformulations or IO combinations is at the discretion of the investigator or treating physician. If control achieved or ≤ Grade 2, pembrolizumab monotherapy, coformulations or IO combinations may be resumed.

^b Events that require discontinuation include, but are not limited to: Guillain-Barre Syndrome, encephalitis, myelitis, DRESS, SJS, TEN and other clinically important irAEs (eg, vasculitis and sclerosing cholangitis).

<u>Dose modification and toxicity management of infusion-reactions related to</u> <u>pembrolizumab</u>

Pembrolizumab may cause severe or life threatening infusion-reactions including severe hypersensitivity or anaphylaxis. Signs and symptoms usually develop during or shortly after drug infusion and generally resolve completely within 24 hours of completion of infusion. Dose modification and toxicity management guidelines on pembrolizumab associated infusion reaction are provided in Table 4.

NCI CTCAE Grade	Treatment	Premedication at Subsequent Dosing
Grade 1	Increase monitoring of vital signs as medically	None
Mild reaction; infusion	indicated until the subject is deemed medically	
interruption not	stable in the opinion of the investigator.	
indicated; intervention not indicated		
Grade 2	Stop Infusion.	Subject may be premedicated
Requires therapy or	Additional appropriate medical therapy may	$1.5h (\pm 30 \text{ minutes})$ prior to
infusion interruption but	include but is not limited to:	infusion of study treatment
responds promptly to	IV fluids	with:
symptomatic treatment	Antihistamines	Diphenhydramine 50 mg po
(e.g., antihistamines,	NSAIDs	(or equivalent dose of
NSAIDs, narcotics, IV	Acetaminophen	antihistamine).
fluids); prophylactic	Narcotics	Acetaminophen 500-1000 mg
medications indicated	Increase monitoring of vital signs as medically	po (or equivalent dose of
for ≤24 hrs	indicated until the subject is deemed medically	analgesic).
	stable in the opinion of the investigator.	
	If symptoms resolve within 1 hour of stopping	
	drug infusion, the infusion may be restarted at 50% of the original infusion rate (e.g. from 100	
	mL/hr to 50 mL/hr). Otherwise dosing will be	
	held until symptoms resolve and the subject	
	should be premedicated for the next scheduled	
	dose.	
	Subjects who develop Grade 2 toxicity despite	
	adequate premedication should be permanently	
	discontinued from further study drug treatment	

 Table 4
 Pembrolizumab Infusion Reaction Dose modification and Treatment Guidelines

NCI CTCAE Grade	Treatment	Premedication at Subsequent Dosing
Grades 3 or 4	Stop Infusion.	No subsequent dosing
Grade 3:	Additional appropriate medical therapy may	1 0
Prolonged (i.e., not	include but is not limited to:	
rapidly responsive to	Epinephrine**	
symptomatic medication	IV fluids	
and/or brief interruption	Antihistamines	
of infusion); recurrence	NSAIDs	
of symptoms following	Acetaminophen	
initial improvement;	Narcotics	
hospitalization indicated	Oxygen	
for other clinical	Pressors	
sequelae (e.g., renal	Corticosteroids	
impairment, pulmonary	Increase monitoring of vital signs as medically	
infiltrates)	indicated until the subject is deemed medically	
Grade 4:	stable in the opinion of the investigator.	
Life-threatening;	Hospitalization may be indicated.	
pressor or ventilatory	**In cases of anaphylaxis, epinephrine should be	
support indicated	used immediately.	
	Subject is permanently discontinued from	
	further study drug treatment.	
	equipment should be available at the bedside and a pl	hysician readily available during
the period of drug adminis	stration.	
For further information, p	lease refer to the Common Terminology Criteria for A	dverse Events v4.0 (CTCAE) at

http://ctep.cancer.gov

Other allowed dose interruption for pembrolizumab

Pembrolizumab may be interrupted for situations other than treatment-related AEs such as medical / surgical events or logistical reasons not related to study therapy. Subjects should be placed back on study therapy within 3 weeks of the scheduled interruption, unless otherwise discussed with the Sponsor. The reason for interruption should be documented in the patient's study record.

5.2.1.3 Guidance for Diagnosis and Management of Hepatic Events of Clinical Interest

In addition to overdose, hepatic ECIs will include any of the following events. All of these events will require holding study treatment, notification of the Sponsor within 24 hours, and a hepatologist consultation (if necessary). All cases of retreatment and permanent discontinuation must be reported to the Sponsor and recorded in the database. Refer to Section 7.2.3.2 for reporting guidelines and the definition of hepatic ECIs.

a. ALT:

- i. Among subjects with baseline ALT $<2\times$ ULN: ALT $\geq 5\times$ ULN
- ii. Among subjects with baseline ALT ≥2×ULN: ALT >3× the baseline level
- iii. ALT >500 U/L regardless of baseline level

- b. AST:
 - i. Among subjects with baseline AST $<2\times$ ULN: AST $\geq 5\times$ ULN
 - ii. Among subjects with baseline AST ≥2×ULN: AST >3× the baseline level
 - iii. AST >500 U/L regardless of baseline level
- c. Total Bilirubin:
 - i. Among subjects with baseline levels <1.5 mg/dL: a value of >2.0 mg/dL
 - ii. Among subjects with baseline levels that are $\geq 1.5 \text{ mg/dL}$: a value $\geq 2 \times$ the baseline level
 - iii. Total bilirubin >3.0 mg/dL regardless of baseline level
- d. Regardless of laboratory values, hepatic decompensation diagnosed <u>clinically</u>, including:
 - i. New onset clinically detectable ascites
 - ii. Gastrointestinal bleeding suggestive of portal hypertension (e.g., esophageal or gastric varices)
 - iii. Encephalopathy

Immediate assessment

All subjects

- All subjects should be evaluated according to directions below within 72 hours of alert for non-overdose hepatic ECI
- Procedures:
 - Obtain a consultation with a hepatologist (if necessary)
 - Obtain a work-up for hepatitis if there is no underlying hepatitis, including hepatitis A, B,C, D, E, Epstein-Barr virus, and cytomegalovirus
 - o Assess for ingestion of drugs/supplements with hepatotoxic potential
 - Assess for alcohol ingestion
 - Assess for potential bacterial infection, biliary obstruction, or occult gastrointestinal bleeding
 - Repeat ALT, AST, T-bil, D-bil, ALP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, INR, and complete blood count (CBC) with differential
 - o Other laboratories or imaging studies as clinically indicated
 - o Consider liver biopsy if indicated by hepatologist

weeks [SVR24]

• In addition to the above, measure HCV RNA viral load

Hepatitis B-infected Subjects

- In addition to the above, measure HBV DNA, HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBc, anti-HBe antibody, and anti-HBs antibody
- Subjects should be questioned about compliance with the use of anti-viral agents.

Permanent Discontinuation Criteria for Subjects with Non-overdose Hepatic ECI

Therapy should also be permanently discontinued for:

- ALT >20×ULN
- Child-Pugh (CP) score of ≥ 9 points
- Gastrointestinal bleeding suggestive of portal hypertension (e.g., esophageal or gastric varices)
- New onset clinically detectable ascites
- Hepatic encephalopathy or
- Recurrence of a severe or life-threatening event, or of any of the laboratory abnormalities listed above, that are presumed to be immune-related.

Diagnosis and Management of Non-Overdose Hepatic ECIs

HCC subjects are at risk for a range of complications that can cause hepatic laboratory abnormalities with or without clinical decompensation. Those with a history of chronic HCV or HBV infection also have the potential to experience virologic flares. Immune-related hepatitis has been observed in ~1% of subjects who received pembrolizumab. The following section provides further guidance on the diagnosis and management of potential hepatic complications among HCC subjects.

a. <u>Hepatitis B Flare</u>

Hepatitis B flares are characterized by rapid elevations of ALT and AST to $>5\times$ ULN and/or $>3\times$ baseline. ALT elevation to $\ge 10\times$ ULN is common. In the absence of hepatic decompensation, ALT/AST elevations are typically isolated (i.e., limited/no elevations of bilirubin/ALP). Subjects who are compliant with anti-viral therapy should have continued suppression of HBV DNA at the time of flare; thus, detection of HBV DNA should prompt questioning of subjects for compliance. Laboratory abnormalities secondary to flare are typically observed for 3-5 weeks.

Among subjects with HBV, a flare should be considered if this pattern is observed <u>and</u> there is no evidence of an alternative etiology. Guidelines for subjects with a diagnosis of HBV flare are as follows:

- Care should be instituted in consultation with a hepatologist (if necessary).
- For subjects who have detectable HBV DNA, re-institute anti-viral therapy.
- If the subject is clinically stable, pembrolizumab dosing <u>may be interrupted</u> for up to 12 weeks. Subjects should undergo weekly laboratory tests including: AST, ALT, ALP, T-bil, D-bil, INR, HBsAg, HBV DNA (if detected at the onset of the flare). Obtain anti-HBe antibody, anti-HBs antibody, and HBV DNA levels (if not detected at the onset of the flare) every 2-3 weeks.
- If ALT returns to normal or Grade 1 (if normal at baseline), or to baseline grade (if Grade 2 at baseline) within 12 weeks, and subjects are clinically stable, subjects may restart pembrolizumab treatment. If these conditions are not met, then pembrolizumab treatment should be permanently discontinued.
- b. <u>Hepatitis C Recurrence or Flare</u>

Subjects who achieved SVR 12 or SVR 24 and subjects with ongoing HCV infection are eligible for enrollment. In rare circumstances, HCV subjects who achieve SVR 12 or SVR 24 may relapse at later time points. Relapse is characterized by detection of HCV RNA, often accompanied by ALT elevations to $>5\times$ ULN. In the absence of hepatic decompensation, ALT/AST elevations are typically isolated (i.e., limited/no elevations of bilirubin/ALP).

Among subjects with uncontrolled hepatitis C, <u>virologic flares</u> are possible. Hepatitis C flares are characterized by rapid elevations of ALT and AST to $>5\times$ ULN and/or $>3\times$ baseline along with a rise in HCV RNA. ALT elevation to $\ge10\times$ ULN and a 1 log elevation in HCV RNA level are common. In the absence of hepatic decompensation, ALT/AST elevations are typically isolated (i.e., limited/no elevations of bilirubin/ALP). Laboratory abnormalities secondary to flare or recurrence are typically observed for 3-5 weeks.

Guidelines for subjects with recurrent HCV infection or an HCV flare are described below:

i. <u>Recurrent HCV infection</u>:

If the subject entered the study with an HCV RNA test of "Target not Detected" and has confirmed detectable HCV RNA (2 specimens, 1 week apart), then the subject has experienced a late HCV relapse or a recurrent infection.

- Question the subject about use of injection or inhalation drugs
- At the time of first detection of HCV RNA, send a specimen for HCV genotyping
- Measure AST, ALT, ALP, T-bil, D-bil, and INR weekly

- Measure HCV RNA levels every 2 weeks
- Therapy with HCV anti-viral treatments should be strongly considered.

i. HCV Flare:

- At the time of first detection of HCV RNA, send a specimen for HCV genotyping
- Measure AST, ALT, ALP, T-bil, D-bil, INR weekly
- Measure HCV RNA levels every 2 weeks
- Therapy with HCV anti-viral treatments should be strongly considered.
- ii. For both recurrent infection and HCV flare: if ALT returns to normal or Grade 1 (if normal at baseline), or to baseline grade (if Grade 2 at baseline) within 12 weeks, and the subjects are clinically stable, subjects may restart pembrolizumab treatment. If these conditions are not met, then pembrolizumab treatment should be permanently discontinued.
- c. Immune-related hepatitis
 - i. <u>Description</u>: Immune-related hepatitis due to pembrolizumab should be suspected if:
 - AST or ALT baseline values are less than $2 \times ULN$, and AST or ALT laboratory values increase to $\geq 5 \times ULN$
 - Among subjects with baseline ALT or AST ≥2×ULN, levels increase to >3× the baseline level
 - AST/ALT >500 U/L regardless of baseline level
 - Among subjects with baseline T-bil levels <1.5 mg/dL: a value of >2.0 mg/dL
 - Among subjects with baseline T-bil levels that are ≥1.5 mg/dL: a value of ≥2× the baseline level, OR
 - Total bilirubin >3.0 mg/dL regardless of baseline level.

Immune-related hepatitis is a diagnosis made after excluding other possible etiologies for the change. Viral flare (if applicable), biliary or vascular obstruction, infection, medications, and alcohol use must be ruled out (see below).

- ii. Management
 - <u>Interrupt</u> pembrolizumab treatment and alert the sponsor as per ECI criteria above for ALT, AST, bilirubin, and hepatic decompensation.
 - For Grade 2 AST / ALT elevation or increased bilirubin, administer corticosteroids (initial dose of 0.5-1mg/kg prednisone or equivalent) followed by taper.

- For Grade 3-4 AST / ALT elevation or increased bilirubin, administer corticosteroids (initial dose of 1-2mg/kg prednisone or equivalent) followed by taper.
- Monitor with liver function tests (consider weekly or more frequently until liver enzyme value returned to baseline or is stable).
- If symptoms and laboratory tests resolve to Grade ≤1 or baseline (if abnormal at baseline), taper steroids over 28 days. Study treatment may be restarted after steroid treatment has been tapered to prednisone ≤10 mg/day (or equivalent dose of another agent). Treatment and laboratory results must be reported on a case report form (CRF).
- If laboratory abnormalities do not resolve within 3 weeks, or steroids cannot be lowered to ≤10 mg/day (or prednisone equivalent) within 12 weeks, or subjects show evidence of decompensation to Child-Pugh score C (CP C) status or have esophageal or variceal bleeding at any point, treatment must be permanently discontinued. This must be reported on a CRF.
- d. Other Hepatic Events of Clinical Interest
 - Infection needs to be ruled out with cultures of blood, urine, and ascites (if possible), as well as chest x-ray and abdominal imaging if relevant. If an infection is found, antibiotics should be started.
 - If T-bili is elevated above baseline, imaging assessment should be obtained to rule out vascular compromise, biliary obstruction, and/or tumor progression. If biliary obstruction is present, consultation with a gastroenterologist and/or an interventional radiologist should be obtained to see if the obstruction may be relieved.
 - A careful review of drugs, including herbal and alternative medications, should be obtained, and alcohol use should be ruled out. See Section 5.5.2 for drugs which may interfere with hepatic function.
 - For all of these cases, subjects may resume pembrolizumab treatment if they are clinically stable after appropriate therapy or discontinue the causative agent, as long as laboratory values have returned to Grade 1 or baseline (if normal or Grade 1 at start) or to baseline grade within 3 weeks.
 - Treatment must be permanently discontinued if the subject is off study treatment therapy for infection, obstruction, or drug/alcohol-related toxicity for more than 3 weeks, or if they have esophageal bleeding, or become CP C at any point.

5.2.2 Timing of Dose Administration

Cycle 1, Day 1 treatment with study drug should begin on the day of randomization, but no later than 3 days from the date the subject is randomized to study treatment. However, every effort should be made to begin trial treatment on the day of randomization.

For all additional cycles of study treatment, treatment may be administered up to 3 days before or 3 days after the scheduled Day 1 of each cycle due to administrative reasons per the investigator's judgment.

All study treatments will begin on Day 1 of each cycle after all pre-dose study procedures and assessments have been completed as detailed on the Trial Flow Chart –Section 6.0.

Study treatment will be administered as a 30 minute IV infusion every 3 weeks. Sites should make every effort to target infusion timing to be as close to 30 minutes as possible. However, given the variability of infusion pumps from site to site, a window of -5 min and +10 min is permitted (i.e., infusion time is 30 minutes: -5 min/+10 min).

The Pharmacy Manual contains specific instructions for the preparation of the study treatment infusion fluid and administration of infusion solution.

5.2.3 Trial Blinding

A double-blinding technique with in-house blinding will be used. Pembrolizumab and placebo will be packaged identically so that blind is maintained. The subject, the investigator and Sponsor personnel or delegate(s) who are involved in the treatment or clinical evaluation of the subjects are unaware of the group assignments.

See Section 7.1.5.2, Blinding/Unblinding, for a description of the method of unblinding a subject during the trial, should such action be warranted.

5.3 Randomization

Treatment allocation/randomization will occur centrally using an interactive voice response system / integrated web response system (IVRS/IWRS). There are 2 treatment arms. Subjects will be assigned randomly in a 2:1 ratio to pembrolizumab and placebo, respectively.

5.4 Stratification

Treatment allocation/randomization will be stratified according to the following factors:

- 1) Prior treatment (sorafenib vs. chemotherapy)
- 2) Macrovascular invasion: (Yes vs. No)
- 3) Etiology (HBV vs. others (HCV, non-infected))

5.5 Concomitant Medications/Vaccinations (Allowed & Prohibited)

Medications or vaccinations specifically prohibited in the exclusion criteria are not allowed during the ongoing trial. If there is a clinical indication for any medication or vaccination specifically prohibited during the trial, discontinuation from trial therapy or vaccination may be required. The investigator should discuss any questions regarding this with the Sponsor Clinical Director. The final decision on any supportive therapy or vaccination rests with the investigator and/or the subject's primary physician. However, the decision to continue the

subject on trial therapy or vaccination schedule requires the mutual agreement of the investigator, the Sponsor and the subject.

5.5.1 Acceptable Concomitant Medications

All treatments that the investigator considers necessary for a subject's welfare may be administered at the discretion of the investigator in keeping with the community standards of medical care. All concomitant medication will be recorded on the case report form (CRF) including all prescription, over-the-counter (OTC), and IV medications and fluids. If changes occur during the trial period, documentation of drug dosage, frequency, route, and date may also be included on the CRF.

All medications received within 28 days before the first dose of trial treatment and within 30 days after the last dose of trial treatment should be recorded. Medications administered more than 30 days after the last dose of trial treatment should be recorded for SAEs and events of clinical interest (ECIs) as defined in Section 7.2.3.

5.5.2 Prohibited Concomitant Medications

Subjects are prohibited from receiving the following therapies during the Treatment Phase (including retreatment for post-CR relapse) of this trial:

- Antineoplastic systemic chemotherapy or biological therapy
- Immunotherapy not specified in this protocol
- Chemotherapy not specified in this protocol
- Investigational agents other than pembrolizumab
- Radiation therapy.
 - Note: Radiation therapy to a symptomatic solitary lesion or to the brain may be allowed with sponsor approval as long as it is not a target lesion.
- Live vaccines within 30 days prior to the first dose of trial treatment and while participating in the trial. Examples of live vaccines include, but are not limited to, the following: measles, mumps, rubella, chickenpox, yellow fever, rabies, β-human chorionic gonadotropin, and typhoid (oral) vaccine. The killed virus vaccines used for seasonal influenza vaccines for injection are allowed; however live attenuated intranasal influenza vaccines (e.g., FluMist®) are not allowed.
- Glucocorticoids (inhaled steroids as part of a stable regimen for the treatment of asthma/chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are permitted) for any purpose other than to modulate symptoms from an adverse event. The use of physiologic doses of corticosteroids may be approved after consultation with the Sponsor.
 - Note: Use of prophylactic corticosteroids to avoid allergic reactions (e.g., IV contrast dye) is permitted.

Subjects who, in the assessment by the investigator, require the use of any of the aforementioned treatments for clinical management should be discontinued from study treatment. Subjects may receive other medications that the investigator deems to be medically necessary.

It is important for investigators to review each medication (prescription and non-prescription) the subject is taking before starting the study and at each study visit.

- At each visit, subjects should be questioned about any new drug they are taking.
- To minimize the risk of adverse drug interactions, every effort should be made to limit the number of concomitant drugs to those that are truly essential.
- Drugs known to be hepatotoxic (i.e., drugs with a warning of hepatotoxicity in the package insert) should be avoided during the dosing period. Investigators are encouraged to review each medication for potential hepatotoxicity by searching the www.livertox.nih.gov website.

Listed below are specific restrictions for concomitant therapy during the course of the trial.

The following medications/therapies should be avoided during the dosing period and for 14 days thereafter:

Known hepatotoxic drugs, including but not limited to:

- Etifoxine
- Isoniazid
- Nitrofurantoin
- Ketoconazole
- Amiodarone
- Phenytoin

The exclusion criteria describe other medications that are prohibited in this trial.

There are no prohibited therapies during the post-treatment follow-up phase.

5.6 Rescue Medications & Supportive Care

No rescue or supportive medications are specified to be used in this trial.

5.6.1 Supportive Care Guidelines

Subjects should receive appropriate supportive care measures as deemed necessary by the treating investigator. Suggested supportive care measures for the management of adverse events with potential immunologic etiology are outlined along with the dose modification guidelines in Section 5.2.1.2, [Table 3]. Where appropriate, these guidelines include the use of oral or intravenous treatment with corticosteroids as well as additional anti-inflammatory agents if symptoms do not improve with administration of corticosteroids. Note that several courses of steroid tapering may be necessary as symptoms may worsen when the steroid dose

is decreased. For each disorder, attempts should be made to rule out other causes such as metastatic disease or bacterial or viral infection, which might require additional supportive care. The treatment guidelines are intended to be applied when the investigator determines the events to be related to study treatment.

Note: if after the evaluation the event is determined not to be related, the investigator does not need to follow the treatment guidance (as outlined below). Refer to Table 3 in Section 5.2.1.2 for dose modification and supportive care.

It may be necessary to perform conditional procedures such as bronchoscopy, endoscopy, or skin photography as part of evaluation of the event.

5.7 Diet/Activity/Other Considerations

5.7.1 Diet

Subjects should maintain a normal diet unless modifications are required to manage an AE such as diarrhea, nausea, or vomiting.

5.7.2 Contraception

Pembrolizumab may have adverse effects on a fetus in utero. Furthermore, it is not known if pembrolizumab has transient adverse effects on the composition of sperm.

For this trial, male subjects will be considered to be of non-reproductive potential if they have azoospermia (whether due to having had a vasectomy or due to an underlying medical condition).

Female subjects will be considered of non-reproductive potential if they meet 1 of the following criteria:

- She is postmenopausal, defined as at least 12 months with no menses without an alternative medical cause; in women <45 years of age who are not using hormonal contraception or hormonal replacement therapy, a high follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) level in the postmenopausal range may be used to confirm a post-menopausal state. In the absence of 12 months of amenorrhea, a single FSH measurement is insufficient.
- She had a hysterectomy and/or bilateral oophorectomy, bilateral salpingectomy, or bilateral tubal ligation/occlusion at least 6 weeks prior to screening;
- She has a congenital or acquired condition that prevents childbearing.

Female and male subjects of reproductive potential must agree to avoid becoming pregnant or impregnating a partner, respectively, while receiving study drug and for 120 days after the last dose of study drug by complying with one of the following:

• Practice abstinence from heterosexual activity.

Abstinence (relative to heterosexual activity) can be used as the sole method of contraception if it is consistently employed as the subject's preferred and usual lifestyle and if considered acceptable by local regulatory agencies and European Research Councils (ERCs)/Institutional Review Boards (IRBs). Periodic abstinence (eg, calendar, ovulation, symptothermal, post-ovulation methods, etc.) and withdrawal are not acceptable methods of contraception.

• Use (or have their partner use) acceptable contraception during heterosexual activity.

Acceptable methods of contraception are[‡]:

- Single method (one of the following is acceptable):
 - Intrauterine device (IUD)
 - Vasectomy of a female subject's male partner
 - Contraceptive rod implanted into the skin

Combination method (requires use of **two** of the following):

- Diaphragm with spermicide (cannot be used in conjunction with cervical cap/spermicide)
- Cervical cap with spermicide (nulliparous women only)
- Contraceptive sponge (nulliparous women only)
- Male condom or female condom (cannot be used together)
- Hormonal contraceptive: oral contraceptive pill (estrogen/progestin pill or progestinonly pill), contraceptive skin patch, vaginal contraceptive ring, or subcutaneous contraceptive injection

‡If a contraceptive method listed above is restricted by local regulations/guidelines, then it does not qualify as an acceptable method of contraception for subjects participating at sites in this country/region.

Subjects should be informed that taking the study medication may involve unknown risks to the fetus (unborn baby) if pregnancy were to occur during the study. In order to participate in the study subjects of childbearing potential must adhere to the contraception requirement (described above) from the day of study medication initiation (or 14 days prior to the initiation of study medication for oral contraception) throughout the study period up to 120 days after the last dose of trial medication. If there is any question that a subject of childbearing potential will not reliably comply with the requirements for contraception, that subject should not be entered into the study.

5.7.3 Pregnancy

If a subject inadvertently becomes pregnant while on treatment with pembrolizumab, the subject will be immediately discontinued from trial treatment. The site will contact the subject at least monthly and document the subject's status until the pregnancy has been completed or terminated. The outcome of the pregnancy will be reported to the Sponsor without delay and within 24 hours if the outcome is a serious adverse experience (e.g., death, abortion, congenital anomaly, or other disabling or life-threatening complication to the mother or newborn). The study investigator will make every effort to obtain permission to follow the outcome of the pregnancy and report the condition of the fetus or newborn to the Sponsor. If a male subject impregnates his female partner, the study personnel at the site must be informed immediately and the pregnancy must be reported to the Sponsor and

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

50

followed as described in Section 7.2.2 (Reporting of Pregnancy and Lactation to the Sponsor).

5.7.4 Use in Nursing Women

It is unknown whether pembrolizumab is excreted in human milk. Since many drugs are excreted in human milk, and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in the nursing infant, subjects who are breast-feeding are not eligible for enrollment.

5.8 Subject Withdrawal/Discontinuation Criteria

5.8.1 Discontinuation of Treatment

Discontinuation of treatment does not represent withdrawal from the trial.

As certain data on clinical events beyond treatment discontinuation may be important to the study, they must be collected through the subject's last scheduled follow-up, even if the subject has discontinued treatment. Therefore, all subjects who discontinue trial treatment prior to completion of the treatment period will still continue to participate in the trial as specified in Section 6.0 - Trial Flow Chart and Section 7.1.6.3 –Post-Treatment Visits.

Subjects may discontinue treatment at any time for any reason or be dropped from treatment at the discretion of the investigator should any untoward effect occur. In addition, a subject may be discontinued from treatment by the investigator or the Sponsor if treatment is inappropriate, the trial plan is violated, or for administrative and/or other safety reasons. Specific details regarding procedures to be performed at treatment discontinuation are provided in Section 7.1.5 – Other Procedures.

A subject must be discontinued from treatment but continue to be monitored in the trial for any of the following reasons:

 $\circ\,$ The subject or subject's legally acceptable representative requests to discontinue treatment.

- The subject is lost to follow-up.
- Confirmed radiographic disease progression per the terms outlined in Section 7.1.4
- Unacceptable adverse events as described in Section 7.2.
- Any progression or recurrence of any malignancy, or any occurrence of another malignancy that requires active treatment
- Intercurrent illness that prevents further administration of treatment
- Recurrent Grade 2 pneumonitis
- Investigator's decision to withdraw the subject
- The subject has a confirmed positive serum pregnancy test.
- o Noncompliance with trial treatment or procedure requirements
- Administrative reasons

- The subject has a medical condition or personal circumstance which, in the opinion of the investigator and/or Sponsor, placed the subject at unnecessary risk from continued administration of study drug.
- Completed 35 treatments with study drug

Note: 35 treatments (approx. 2 years) are calculated from the first dose. Subjects who stop study treatment after receiving 35 treatments may be eligible for retreatment if they progress after stopping study treatment provided they meet the requirements detailed in Section 7.1.6. Subjects may be retreated in the Second Course Phase with up to 17 (approx. 1 year) additional trial treatments.

For subjects who are discontinued from treatment but continue to be monitored in the trial, all visits and procedures, as outlined in the trial flowchart, should be completed.

Subjects may be allowed to begin treatment again if deemed medically appropriate, unless the subjects/s treatment assignment has been unblinded by the investigator/delegate and/or non-study treating physician.

5.8.2 Withdrawal from the Trial

A subject must be withdrawn from the trial if the subject or subject's legally acceptable representative withdraws consent from the trial.

If a subject withdraws from the trial, they will no longer receive treatment or be followed at scheduled protocol visits.

Specific details regarding procedures to be performed at the time of withdrawal from the trial including the procedures to be performed should a subject repeatedly fail to return for scheduled visits and/or if the study site is unable to contact the subject, as well as specific details regarding withdrawal from Future Biomedical Research are outlined in Section 7.1.5 – Other Procedures.

5.9 Subject Replacement Strategy

A subject who discontinues from the trial will not be replaced.

5.10 Beginning and End of the Trial

The overall trial begins when the first subject signs the informed consent form. The overall trial ends when the last subject completes the last study-related phone-call or visit, discontinues from the trial or is lost to follow-up (i.e. the subject is unable to be contacted by the investigator).

5.11 Clinical Criteria for Early Trial Termination

Early trial termination will be the result of the criteria specified below:

- 1. Quality or quantity of data recording is inaccurate or incomplete
- 2. Poor adherence to protocol and regulatory requirements
- 3. Incidence or severity of adverse drug reaction in this or other studies indicates a potential health hazard to subjects
- 4. Plans to modify or discontinue the development of the study drug.

In the event of Sponsor decision to no longer supply study drug, ample notification will be provided so that appropriate adjustments to subject treatment can be made.

6.0 TRIAL FLOW CHART

6.1 Initial Treatment with Study Drug

Trial Period:	Screening Phase			Treatme	nt Cycle	s (3-Wee	k Cycles	5)		End of Treatment]	Post-treatment		
Treatment Cycle/Title:	Screening	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥8	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow-Up Visits	Survival Follow- Up ^a	
										At time of discon	30 days post last dose	Every 6 weeks post-discon	Every 12 weeks	
Scheduling Window (Days) ^b	-28 to -1		±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±7	±7	±7	
Administrative Procedures	-		•	<u>.</u>		•	L	•	<u>-</u>	-	_			
Informed consent	Х													
Informed consent for future biomedical research (optional)	Х													
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Х													
Subject identification card	Х													
Demographics and medical history	Х													
Prior and concomitant medication review	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
Post-study anticancer therapy status											Х	Х	Х	
Survival status ^a		<										>	Х	
Clinical Procedures/Assessments														
Review adverse events ^c	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Full physical examination	Х									Х				
Directed physical examination		Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х		Х			
Child-Pugh Score ^d	Х													

Trial Period:	Screening Phase		,	Freatme	nt Cycle	s (3-Wee	k Cycles	\$)		End of Treatment	J	Post-treatment	t
Treatment Cycle/Title:	Screening	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥8	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow-Up Visits	Survival Follow- Up ^a
										At time of discon	30 days post last dose	Every 6 weeks post-discon	Every 12 weeks
Scheduling Window (Days) ^b	-28 to -1		±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±7	±7	±7
Height ^q , weight, and vital signs (T, P, RR, BP)	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
12-Lead electrocardiogram	Х												
ECOG performance status ^e	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х			
EQ-5D, EORTC QLQ-C30 ^f		Х	X	Х	Х	X		Х	X	Х	Х		
Pembrolizumab/placebo administration		Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X				
LOCAL Laboratory Assessments ⁿ													
Pregnancy test ^g	Х												
PT/INR ^h	Xe		X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
CBC with differential ^h	Xe		X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Chemistry panel and liver panel ^h	Xe		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Urinalysis ⁱ	Х		X		X		Х		X	Х			
T3, FT4, and TSH ^{i,J}	Х		X		X		Х		X	Х	Х		
AFP ^{jk}	Xe		X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Anti-HCV antibody ¹	Х												
If Anti-HCV positive													
HCV viral load ¹	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
HBsAg, HBsAb, Anti-HBc,				<u>,</u>						1			
HBV e antigen, Anti-HBe, HBV viral load	Х												

060000618CT

19-Apr-2021

Trial Period:	Screening Phase		,	Freatme	nt Cycle	s (3-Wee	k Cycles	5)		End of Treatment]	Post-treatment	t
Treatment Cycle/Title:	Screening	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥8	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow-Up Visits	Survival Follow- Up ^a
										At time of discon	30 days post last dose	Every 6 weeks post-discon	Every 12 weeks
Scheduling Window (Days) ^b	-28 to -1		±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±7	±7	±7
If (1) HBsAg+ or (2) anti-HBc+ and HBsAg- and HBV viral load <2000IU/ml (10 ⁴ copies/ml)													
• HBsAg ^m HBV viral load ^m			X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		
• Anti-HBc ^m , HBeAb ^m , HBV e antigen ^m HBsAb ^m						Х					Х		
CENTRAL Laboratory Assessments	•		1					1		•			
(del		CCI		CCI						CCI			
Efficacy Measurements													
Tumor imaging ^p	Х	←			2	X			\rightarrow	Х		Х	

56

Trial Period:	Screening Phase		7	Freatmei	nt Cycles	s (3-Wee	k Cycles	End of Treatment	1	Post-treatment			
Treatment Cycle/Title:	Screening	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥8	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow-Up Visits	Survival Follow- Up ^a
										At time of discon	30 days post last dose	Every 6 weeks post-discon	Every 12 weeks
Scheduling Window (Days) ^b	-28 to -1		±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±7	±7	±7

AFP = alpha fetoprotein; BP = blood pressure; CBC = complete blood count; Discon = discontinuation; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FBR = future biomedical research; FT4 = free thyroxine; HBsAb = Hepatitis B Surface antibody; HBsAg = hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV = hepatitis B virus; HCV = hepatitis C virus; INR = international normalized ratio; P = pulse; Q3W = every 3 weeks; PRO = patient-reported outcomes; RR = respiratory rate; T = temperature; PT = prothrombin time; T3 = triiodothyronine; TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone.

a. In subjects who experience PD or starts a new anti-cancer therapy contact should be made (example; by telephone) approximately Q12W to assess for survival status. Updated survival status may be requested by the Sponsor at any time during the course of the study. Upon Sponsor notification, all participants who do not/will not have a scheduled study visit or study contact during the Sponsor defined time period will be contacted for their survival status (excluding participants that have a death event previously recorded).

- b. Cycle 1 treatment must be given within 3 days of randomization. The window for each visit is ±3 days unless otherwise noted. If dosing is delayed due to administrative reasons, the subsequent dosing visit should be re-calculated to account for the every 3 week dosing visits.
- c. SAEs will be followed through 90 days following cessation of treatment, or 30 days following cessation of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier. All protocol specific hepatic ECIs will be collected throughout the study as listed in Section 7.2.3.2. If any of the hepatic ECI criteria are met, collect the Child-Pugh score until the hepatic ECIs resolve.
- d. If any of the hepatic ECI criteria are met, document the Child Pugh score with each visit until the hepatic ECIs resolve.
- e. ECOG Performance Status, Child-Pugh Score, and laboratory tests for screening and determining eligibility are to be performed within 7 days prior to the first dose of trial treatment except hepatitis and thyroid tests, which may be performed within 28 days.
- f. See Section 4.2.3.3 for details regarding administration of Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs). All PROs are to be performed at Cycle 1, Cycle 2, Cycle 3, Cycle 4, Cycle 5 and Cycle 7. After Cycle 7 (Week 18), PROs are to be performed every 9 weeks (e.g., Week 27, Week 36, Week 45). PROs are to be performed up to a year or End of Treatment, whichever comes first, at treatment discontinuation, and at the 30-day post-treatment discontinuation follow-up visit. A visit window of ±7 days will apply to PRO visit assessment. See Section 7.1.2.7 for timing and order of PROs at each visit.
- g. For women of reproductive potential, a urine or serum pregnancy test should be performed within 72 hours prior to receiving the first dose of study medication (Cycle 1 Day 1). A serum test can be done if urine is not appropriate. Additionally, if urine test is positive or is not evaluable, a serum test is required. Subjects must be excluded/discontinued in the event of a positive test result. Pregnancy tests (serum and/or urine tests) should be repeated if required by local guidelines.
- h. After Cycle 1, laboratory samples can be collected up to 72 hours prior to the scheduled time point. PT/INR, CBC with differential, chemistry panel, and liver panel to be performed every cycle. Details for collection can be found in Section 7.1.3 Laboratory Procedure/Assessments. Liver panel to include albumin, ALT, AST, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, direct bilirubin, and total protein.
- i. While on treatment, UA and thyroid function tests will be performed every other cycle.
- j. After Cycle 1, subject will be dosed even if AFP and thyroid evaluations are not available prior to dosing; however, the results must be available and reviewed before the next scheduled visit.
- k. AFP will be measured every 3 weeks (21±3 days) calculated from the date of randomization, or earlier if clinically indicated.

	Trial Period:	Screening Phase	Treatment Cycles (3-Week Cycles) End of Treatment Post-treat										Post-treatmen	ment	
ſ	Treatment Cycle/Title:	Screening	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥8	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow-Up Visits	Survival Follow- Up ^a	
											At time of discon	30 days post last dose	Every 6 weeks post-discon	Every 12 weeks	
	Scheduling Window (Days) ^b	-28 to -1		±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±7	±7	±7	
m. n. o.	randomization, or earlier if clin HBsAg, HBV viral load to be p results within 28 days of first HBsAb to be performed every Section 5.2.1.3. Details for collection can be for	berformed at dose, at each 12 weeks (8	baseline n subseq 84±7 day	uent visi ys) durin	t, the val g treatm	lues mus ent, or ea	t be chec arlier if c	ked by t linically	the next	visit (3 v	weeks later).	Anti-HBc, H	BeAb, HBV e	antigen, and	
p. q.	Screening tumor imaging will the image acquisition manual. continue to be performed Q6W Height will be measured at Vis	The first on (42±7 days)	-study in	maging t	ime poin	t will be	perform	ed at 6	weeks (4	2 ± 7 day	s) calculated	from the date			

6.2 Second Course Treatment

Trial Period:				Treatn	ient Cy	cles			End of Treatment		Post-treatmen	t
Treatment Cycle/Title:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 and Beyond	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow Up Visits	Survival Follow-Up ^a
									At time of Discon	30 Days Post- discon	Every 6 Weeks Post- discon	Every 12 Weeks
Scheduling Window (Days) ^b		± 3	± 3	± 3	± 3	± 3	± 3	± 3	± 3	± 7	± 7	± 7
Administrative Procedures	<u>.</u>	•	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	•	<u>.</u>	•	<u>-</u>	-	-		<u>.</u>
Eligibility criteria	Х											
Concomitant medication review	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Clinical Procedures/Assessments												
Review adverse events	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Xc	
Full physical examination	Х								Х			
Directed physical examination		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х				
Weight, and vital signs (T, P, RR, BP)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
ECOG performance status ^d	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
Post-study anticancer therapy status										Х	Х	Х
Survival status ^a	<										>	Х
Trial Treatment Administration												
Pembrolizumab/placebo administration	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	Х				
LOCAL Laboratory Assessments												
Pregnancy test ^e	Х											
PT/INR	X ^d	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
CBC with differential ^f	X ^d	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Chemistry and liver panels ^f	Xď	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		

Trial Period:				Treatn	nent Cy	cles			End of Treatment		Post-treatmen	t
Treatment Cycle/Title:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 and Beyond	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow Up Visits	Survival Follow-Up ^a
									At time of Discon	30 Days Post- discon	Every 6 Weeks Post- discon	Every 12 Weeks
Urinalysis ^g	X ^d		Х		Х		Х	Х	Х			
T3, FT4, and TSH ^g	X ^d		Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х		
AFP ^d	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		
If Anti-HCV positive:												
HCV viral load ⁱ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		
HBsAg , HBsAb, Anti-HBc,	Х											
HBV e antigen, Anti-HBe, HBV viral load												
If (1) HBsAg+ or (2) anti-HBc+ and HBV viral load <2000IU/ml(10 ⁴ copies/ml):												
HBsAg and HBV viral load ^j		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		
• Anti-HBc ^j , HBeAb ^j , HBe antigen ^j , HBsAb ^j					Х					Х		
Efficacy Measurements								I		I		•
Tumor imaging ^h	X ^h	←			X ^h			\rightarrow	X ^h		Х	

	Trial Period:		Treatment Cycles								Post-treatment		
	Treatment Cycle/Title:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 and Beyond	Discon	Safety Follow-up	Follow Up Visits	Survival Follow-Up ^a
										At time of Discon	30 Days Post- discon	Every 6 Weeks Post- discon	Every 12 Weeks
	P = alpha-fetoprotein; BP = blood pressure R = international normalized ratio; P = pulse In subjects who experience PD or starts a survival status may be requested by the S study visit or study contact during the S recorded).	e; RR = new an ponsor	respira ti-canc at any t	tory rat er thera time du	e; T = t py cont ring the	empera act sho course	ture; PT uld be r of the :	` = prot nade (b study. I	hrombin tin y telephone Jpon Spons	ne; T3 = triiodot or visit) approx or notification,	hyronine; TSH = kimately Q12W to all participants w	thyroid-stimulati assess for survi ho do not/will no	ng hormone. val status. Updated t have a scheduled
b.	In general, the window for each visit is ± 3	davs u	nless of	therwise	e noted								
c.	SAEs will be followed through 90 days following cessation of treatment, or 30 days following cessation of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy whichever is earlier. All protocol specified hepatic ECIs will be collected throughout the study as listed in Section 7.2.3.2. If any of the hepatic ECI criteria are met, collect the Child Pugh score with each visit until the hepatic ECIs resolve.												
d.	Laboratory and ECOG tests for determin weeks (21±7 days) calculated from the da	ing elig te of rai	gibility ndomiz	are to l ation.	pe perfo	ormed v	vithin 7	days p	prior to the	first retreatment	t dose of study d	rug. AFP will be	measured every 3
e.	For women of reproductive potential, a un 1). A serum test can be done if urine excluded/discontinued in the event of a po	e is not	t appro	priate.	Addit	ionally,	if urin	e test	is positive	or is not evalu	uable, a serum to	est is required.	
f.	After Cycle 1, laboratory samples can be panel to include albumin, ALT, AST, tota	collect l bilirut	ed up t oin, alka	to 72 hc aline ph	ours pri osphata	or to th ase, dire	e sched	uled tir	ne point. C	CBC, chemistry,			every cycle. Live
g.	Urinalysis and thyroid function tests to be												
h.	Tumor imaging should be performed with the first dose of retreatment, or more frequ		• •		•	r restar	ting stud	ly treat	ment and co	ontinue to be pe	rformed every 6 v	weeks (42±7 day	ys) calculated from
i.	If anti-HCV was negative prior to first do positive anti-HCV antibody, HCV viral lo	ose of r	etreatm	ent, ant	i-HCV								. For subjects with
j.	HBsAg, HBV viral load are to be perform Anti-HBc, HBeAb, HBV e antigen, and H	ed with	in 28da	ays prio	r to firs	t dose o	of retrea	tment a	and every 3	weeks (21±7 da	ys) during treatm	ent, or earlier if c	

060000618 T

19-Apr-2021

7.0 TRIAL PROCEDURES

7.1 Trial Procedures

The Trial Flow Chart - Section 6.0 summarizes the trial procedures to be performed at each visit. Individual trial procedures are described in detail below. It may be necessary to perform these procedures at unscheduled time points if deemed clinically necessary by the investigator.

Furthermore, additional evaluations/testing may be deemed necessary by the investigator and or the Sponsor for reasons related to subject safety. In some cases, such evaluation/testing may be potentially sensitive in nature (e.g., HIV, Hepatitis C, etc.), and thus local regulations may require that additional informed consent be obtained from the subject. In these cases, such evaluations/testing will be performed in accordance with those regulations.

7.1.1 Administrative Procedures

7.1.1.1 Informed Consent

The investigator or qualified designee must obtain documented consent from each potential subject or each subject's legally acceptable representative prior to participating in a clinical trial or Future Biomedical Research. If there are changes to the subject's status during the trial (e.g., health or age of majority requirements), the investigator or qualified designee must ensure the appropriate consent is in place.

7.1.1.1.1 General Informed Consent

Consent must be documented by the subject's dated signature or by the subject's legally acceptable representative's dated signature on a consent form along with the dated signature of the person conducting the consent discussion.

A copy of the signed and dated consent form should be given to the subject before participation in the trial.

The initial informed consent form, any subsequent revised written informed consent form and any written information provided to the subject must receive the IRB/ERC's approval/favorable opinion in advance of use. The subject or his/her legally acceptable representative should be informed in a timely manner if new information becomes available that may be relevant to the subject's willingness to continue participation in the trial. The communication of this information will be provided and documented via a revised consent form or addendum to the original consent form that captures the subject's dated signature or by the subject's legally acceptable representative's dated signature.

Specifics about a trial and the trial population will be added to the consent form template at the protocol level.

The informed consent will adhere to IRB/ERC requirements, applicable laws and regulations and Sponsor requirements.

7.1.1.1.2 Consent and Collection of Specimens for Future Biomedical Research

The investigator or qualified designee will explain the Future Biomedical Research consent to the subject, answer all of his/her questions, and obtain written informed consent before performing any procedure related to the Future Biomedical Research sub-trial. A copy of the informed consent will be given to the subject.

7.1.1.2 Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

All inclusion and exclusion criteria will be reviewed by the investigator or qualified designee to ensure that the subject qualifies for the trial.

7.1.1.3 Subject Identification Card

All subjects will be given a Subject Identification Card identifying them as participants in a research trial. The card will contain trial site contact information (including direct telephone numbers) to be utilized in the event of an emergency. The investigator or qualified designee will provide the subject with a Subject Identification Card immediately after the subject provides written informed consent. At the time of treatment allocation/randomization, site personnel will add the treatment/randomization number to the Subject Identification Card.

The subject identification card also contains contact information for the emergency unblinding call center so that a health care provider can obtain information about trial medication/vaccination in emergency situations where the investigator is not available.

7.1.1.4 Medical History

A medical history will be obtained by the investigator or qualified designee. Medical history will include all active conditions, and any condition diagnosed within the prior 10 years that is considered to be clinical significant by the investigator. Disease details regarding the subject's HCC will be recorded separately and not listed as medical history.

If the subject has lost at least 6.8 kg over the 3 months prior to screening, "weight loss" should be entered as an active condition on the medical history. Any autoimmune disorders, regardless of onset date, should be recorded.

The investigator or qualified designee will obtain prior and current details regarding the subject's HCC.

7.1.1.5 Prior and Concomitant Medications Review

7.1.1.5.1 Prior Medications

The investigator or qualified designee will review prior medication use, including any protocol-specified washout requirement, and record prior medication taken by the subject within 30 days of the first dose of trial medication, including alternative/complementary medications. Prior anti-cancer treatment for HCC will be recorded separately and not listed as a prior medication.

7.1.1.5.1.1 Prior Treatment Details for HCC

The investigator or qualified designee will review all prior anti-cancer treatments including systemic treatments, radiation, local therapy, and surgeries.

7.1.1.5.2 Concomitant Medications

The investigator or qualified designee will record medication, if any, taken by the subject during the trial from the time of signing the informed consent form until the Safety Follow-up Visit. In addition, new medications started during the Second Course Phase through the Second Course Safety Follow-up Visit should be recorded.

All medications related to reportable SAEs and ECIs should be recorded as defined in Section 7.2.

7.1.1.6 Subsequent Anti-Cancer Status

The investigator or qualified designee will review all new anti-cancer therapy initiated after the last dose of trial treatment. If a subject initiates a new anti-cancer therapy within 30 days after the last dose of trial treatment, the 30 day Safety Follow-up visit must occur before the first dose of the new therapy.

Once new anti-cancer therapy has been initiated the subject will move into survival followup. Details regarding survival status follow-up are outlined in 7.1.6.3.3 – Survival Follow Up.

7.1.1.7 Assignment of Screening Number

All consented subjects will be given a unique screening number that will be used to identify the subject for all procedures that occur prior to randomization or treatment allocation. Each subject will be assigned only one screening number. Screening numbers must not be re-used for different subjects.

Specific details on the screening visit requirements are provided in Section 7.1.6.1.

7.1.1.8 Assignment of Treatment/Randomization Number

All eligible subjects will be randomly allocated and will receive a treatment/randomization number. The treatment/randomization number identifies the subject for all procedures occurring after treatment allocation/randomization. Once a treatment/randomization number is assigned to a subject, it can never be re-assigned to another subject.

A single subject cannot be assigned more than 1 treatment/randomization number.

7.1.1.9 Trial Compliance (Medication/Diet/Activity/Other)

Interruptions from the protocol specified treatment plan for greater than 12 weeks between doses for non-drug-related or administrative reasons require consultation between the investigator and the Sponsor and written documentation of the collaborative decision on subject management.

65

The total volume of study treatment infused will be compared to the total volume prepared to determine compliance with each dose administered. The instructions for preparing and administering study treatment are provided in the Pharmacy Manual.

Administration of trial medication will be witnessed by the investigator and/or trial staff or qualified designee per institutional guidelines and procedures.

7.1.2 Clinical Procedures/Assessments

7.1.2.1 Adverse Event Monitoring

The investigator or qualified designee will assess each subject to evaluate for potential new or worsening AEs as specified in the Trial Flow Chart and more frequently if clinically indicated. Adverse events will be graded and recorded throughout the trial and during the follow-up period according to NCI CTCAE Version 4.0 (see Section 12.6). Toxicities will be characterized in terms regarding seriousness, causality, toxicity grading, and action taken with regard to trial treatment.

All AEs of unknown etiology associated with study treatment exposure should be evaluated to determine if it is possibly an event of a potentially immunologic etiology; see Section 5.6.1.

Please refer to Section 7.2 for detailed information regarding the assessment and recording of AEs.

7.1.2.2 Physical Exam

7.1.2.2.1 Full Physical Exam

The investigator or clinical designee will perform a complete physical exam during the screening period. Clinically significant abnormal findings should be recorded as medical history. Additional full physical exams should be performed as specified in the Trial Flow Chart - Section 6.0 Assessment for possible ascites and hepatic encephalopathy should be noted on every examination. After the first dose of trial treatment new clinically significant abnormal findings should be recorded as AEs.

7.1.2.2.2 Directed Physical Exam

For cycles that do not require a full physical exam per the Trial Flow Chart - Section 6.0, the investigator or qualified designee will perform a directed physical exam as clinically indicated prior to dosing on Day 1 of each treatment cycle. Assessment for possible ascites and hepatic encephalopathy should be noted on every examination. New clinically significant abnormal findings should be recorded as AEs.

7.1.2.3 Height, Weight, and Vital Signs

The investigator or qualified designee will take vital signs at screening, prior to the administration of each dose of trial treatment, and at treatment discontinuation as specified in the Trial Flow Chart - Section 6.0 - Height will be measured at Visit 1 only.

Vital signs should include temperature, pulse, respiratory rate, blood pressure, height, and weight.

7.1.2.4 12-Lead Electrocardiogram

A standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) will be performed one time during screening using local standard procedures. Clinically significant abnormal findings should be recorded as medical history. Additional time points may be performed as clinically necessary.

7.1.2.5 Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status

The investigator or qualified designee will assess ECOG Performance Status (see Section 12.5) at Screening, prior to dosing on Day 1 of each treatment cycle, and at discontinuation of trial treatment as specified in the Trial Flow Chart – Section 6.0.

7.1.2.6 Child-Pugh Score

Originally developed in 1973, the Child-Pugh score was used to estimate the risk of operative mortality in patients with bleeding esophageal varices. It has since been modified, refined, and become a widely used tool to assess prognosis in patients with chronic liver disease and cirrhosis. The score considers 5 factors, 3 of which assess the synthetic function of the liver (i.e., total bilirubin level, serum albumin, and coagulation parameters [INR or PT]) and 2 of which are based on clinical assessment (i.e., degree of ascites and degree of hepatic encephalopathy).

7.1.2.7 Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs)

It is strongly recommended that Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs) are administered prior to drug administration, adverse event evaluation, and disease status notification starting with the EQ-5D-3L, followed by EORTC QLQ-C30; an exception to this recommendation may occur at the treatment discontinuation visit where patients may have already been notified of their disease status or an AE evaluation is known prior to them arriving to the clinic. All PROs are to be performed as specified in the Trial Flow Chart – Section 6. If the subject does not complete the PROs, the MISS_MODE form must be completed to capture the reason the assessment was not performed. A visit window of ± 7 days will apply to PRO visit assessments.

7.1.3 Laboratory Procedures/Assessments

Details regarding specific laboratory procedures/assessments to be performed in this trial are provided below. The total amount of blood/tissue to be drawn/collected over the course of the trial (from pre-trial to post-trial visits), including approximate blood/tissue volumes drawn/collected by visit and by sample type per subject can be found in in the Procedures Manual. Refer to the Trial Flow Chart - Section 6 for the schedule of laboratory assessments.

7.1.3.1 Laboratory Safety Evaluations (Hematology, Chemistry and Urinalysis)

Laboratory tests for hematology, chemistry and urinalysis are specified in Table 5.

Hematology	Chemistry	Urinalysis	Other
Hematocrit	Albumin	Blood	Pregnancy test (serum or urine) ^a
Hemoglobin	Alkaline phosphatase	Glucose	AFP
Platelet count	Alanine aminotransferase	Protein	PT/INR
WBC (total and differential)	Aspartate aminotransferase	Specific gravity	Total triiodothyronine (T3) or free T3, FT4, and TSH ^{b,d}
RBC	Bicarbonate ^c	Microscopic exam, if abnormal results are noted	Anti-HCV antibody ^d
	Calcium		HCV viral load ^d
	Chloride		HBsAg ^d
	Creatinine		HBV viral load ^d
	Glucose		Anti-HBc antibody ^d
	Phosphorus		HBV e antigen ^d
	Potassium		HBeAb ^d
	Sodium		HBsAb ^d
	Total bilirubin		
	Direct bilirubin		
	Total protein		
	Blood urea nitrogen or Urea		

Table 5Laboratory Tests

 $AFP = \alpha$ -fetoprotein;; FT4 = free thyroxine; HBc = hepatitis core antigen ; HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen; HBeAb = anti-HBe antibody; HBV = hepatitis B virus; HBsAb = hepatitis B surface antibody; HBsAg = hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV = hepatitis C virus; INR = international normalized ratio; PT = prothrombin time; RBC = red blood cell; T3 = triiodothyronine; TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone; WBC = white blood cell.

- a Perform on women of childbearing potential 72 hours prior to Day 1 of Cycle 1.
- b. T3 is preferred; if not available free T3 may be tested.
- c. If this test is not done as part of local standard of care, this test does not need to be performed.
- d. If the local laboratory is unable to perform these tests, the site should submit the sample to the central laboratory for testing; details are provided in the Procedure Manual.

Laboratory tests for screening should be performed within 7 days prior to the first dose of study treatment. An exception is hepatitis, AFP, and thyroid serologies, which may be performed within 28 days prior to first dose. Subjects eligible for study retreatment should have laboratory tests performed within 7 days prior to the first dose of study treatment in the Second Course Phase. After Cycle 1, in both the Initial Treatment Phase and the Second Course Phase, pre-dose laboratory safety tests can be conducted up to 72 hours prior to dosing unless otherwise noted on the flow charts.

Laboratory test results must be reviewed by the investigator or qualified designee and found to be acceptable prior to administration of each dose of trial treatment, unless otherwise specified in the flow chart. Unresolved abnormal laboratory values that are drug-related AEs should be followed until resolution. Laboratory tests do not need to be repeated after the end of treatment if laboratory results are within normal range.

7.1.3.1.1 Pregnancy Tests

All women who are being considered for participation in the trial, and who are not surgically sterilized or postmenopausal, must be tested for pregnancy within 72 hours prior to Day 1 of

Cycle 1. If a urine test is positive or not evaluable a serum test will be required. Subjects must be excluded/discontinued from the study in the event of a positive or borderline-positive test result.

7.1.3.2 Central Laboratory Assessments

Sample collection timing, storage and shipment instructions for the central laboratory assessments will be provided in the Laboratory Manual.





7.1.3.6 Future Biomedical Research Sample Collection

7.1.4 Efficacy Measurements

7.1.4.1 Tumor Imaging and Assessment of Disease

The process for image collection and transmission to the blinded central imaging vendor can be found in the Site Imaging Manual (SIM). Tumor imaging should be acquired by computed tomography (CT). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is also acceptable as a primary imaging modality for objective evaluation of and measurement of tumor lesions if it's the local standard of care.. The same imaging technique regarding modality and use of contrast should be used in a subject throughout the trial to optimize the visualization of existing and new tumor burden.

Measurable disease by the investigator per RECIST 1.1 is required prior to subject randomization. Although RECIST 1.1 references a maximum of 5 target lesions in total and 2 per organ, Merck allows a maximum of 10 target lesions in total and 5 per organ.

All scheduled images for all study subjects from the sites will be submitted to the central imaging vendor. In addition, additional imaging (including other modalities) that are obtained at an unscheduled time point to determine disease progression, as well as imaging obtained for other reasons but captures radiologic progression, should be submitted to the central imaging vendor as well.

After the local site investigator-assessed first radiologic evidence of PD, the central imaging vendor will verify PD during the study. Expedited verification of radiologic PD by the central imaging vendor will be communicated to the study site and sponsor.

7.1.4.2 Initial Tumor Imaging

Initial tumor imaging at screening must be performed within 21 days prior to the date of randomization. The site study team must review screening images to confirm the subject has measurable disease per RECIST 1.1.

Scans performed as part of routine clinical management are acceptable for use as screening tumor imaging if they are of diagnostic quality, were performed within 21 days prior to the date of randomization, and can be assessed by the site study team.

7.1.4.3 Tumor Imaging During the Trial

The first on-study imaging assessment should be performed at 6 weeks $(42\pm7 \text{ days})$ from the date of allocation. Subsequent tumor imaging should be performed Q6W $(42\pm7 \text{ days})$ or more frequently if clinically indicated. Imaging timing should follow calendar days and should not be adjusted for delays in cycle starts. Imaging should continue to be performed until disease progression is verified by BICR (unless site PI elects to continue treatment and follow irRECIST, the start of new anti-cancer treatment, withdrawal of consent, death, or notification by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first). All supplemental imaging must be submitted to the central imaging vendor.

Per RECIST 1.1 partial response (PR) and complete response (CR) should be confirmed by a repeat tumor imaging assessment \geq 4 weeks from the date the response was first documented. The tumor imaging for confirmation of response may be performed at the earliest 4 weeks after the first indication of response, or at the next scheduled scan (i.e., 6 weeks later), whichever is clinically indicated. Subjects will then return to regular scheduled imaging every 6 weeks, starting with the next scheduled imaging time point. Subjects who obtain a confirmation scan do not need to undergo the next scheduled tumor imaging if it is <4 weeks later; tumor imaging may resume at the subsequent scheduled imaging time point.

Per irRECIST (Section 7.1.4.7), disease progression should be confirmed by the site at least 4 weeks after central verification of site-assessed first radiologic evidence of PD in clinically stable subjects. Subjects who have unconfirmed disease progression may continue on treatment at the discretion of the site investigator until progression is confirmed by the site provided they have met the conditions detailed in Section 7.1.4.7. Subjects who have confirmed disease progression as assessed by the site will discontinue the treatment. Exceptions are detailed in Section 7.1.4.7.

7.1.4.4 End of Treatment and Follow-up Tumor Imaging

In subjects who discontinue trial treatment, tumor imaging should be performed at the time of treatment discontinuation (± 4 week window). If a previous scan was obtained within 4 weeks prior to the date of discontinuation, then a scan at treatment discontinuation is not mandatory. In subjects who discontinue trial treatment due to documented disease progression, this is the final required tumor imaging.

In subjects who discontinue trial treatment without documented disease progression, every effort should be made to continue monitoring their disease status by tumor imaging using the same imaging schedule used while on treatment (every 6 weeks) to monitor disease status until the start of new anticancer treatment, disease progression, death, or the end of the study, whichever occurs first.

7.1.4.5 Second Course (Retreatment) Tumor Imaging

A scan must be performed within 21 days prior to restarting treatment with pembrolizumab. Local reading (investigator assessment with site radiology reading) will be used to determine eligibility. Imaging should be submitted to the blinded central imaging vendor for retrospective review. Per irRECIST (Section 7.1.4.7), if tumor imaging shows initial PD per RECIST 1.1, tumor assessment should be repeated \geq 4 weeks later in order to confirm PD with the option of continuing treatment while awaiting radiologic confirmation of progression. Subjects who obtained a confirmation scan do not need to undergo scheduled tumor imaging if it is <4 weeks later and may wait until the next scheduled imaging time point in clinically stable.

Imaging should continue to be performed until disease progression, the start of new anticancer treatment, withdrawal of consent, death, or notification by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first. Disease progression may be confirmed at least 4 weeks after the first tumor imaging indicating PD in clinically stable subjects. Additional irRECIST detail is described in Section 7.1.4.7.

In subjects who discontinue trial treatment, tumor imaging should be performed at the time of treatment discontinuation (± 4 week window). If a previous scan was obtained within 4 weeks prior to the date of discontinuation, then a scan at treatment discontinuation is not mandatory. In subjects who discontinue trial treatment due to documented disease progression, this is the final required tumor imaging.

In subjects who discontinue trial treatment without documented disease progression, every effort should be made to continue monitoring their disease status by radiologic imaging every 6 weeks (42 days \pm 7 days) until the start of new anticancer treatment, disease progression, death, or the end of the study, whichever occurs first.

7.1.4.6 RECIST 1.1 Assessment of Disease

RECIST 1.1 will be applied by the blinded central imaging vendor as the primary measure for assessment of tumor response, date of disease progression, and as a basis for all protocol guidelines related to disease status (e.g., discontinuation of study therapy). Initial tumor imaging showing site-assessed PD should be submitted to the central imaging vendor immediately. The site will be notified if the central imaging vendor verifies PD using RECIST 1.1. Figure 2 illustrates the imaging flow involving verification of PD for clinically stable subjects.

7.1.4.7 irRECIST Assessment of Disease

irRECIST is RECIST 1.1 adapted as described below to account for the unique tumor response seen with immunotherapeutic drugs. irRECIST will be used by site investigator/local radiology review to assess tumor response and progression, and make treatment decisions. This data will be collected in the clinical database. Treatment efficacy based on irRECIST as assessed by BICR will be evaluated retrospectively.

When feasible, subjects should not be discontinued until progression is confirmed by the local site investigator/radiology assessment. This allowance to continue treatment despite initial radiologic progressive disease (PD) takes into account the observation that some subjects can have a transient tumor flare in the first few months after the start of immunotherapy, and then experience subsequent disease response. Subjects that are deemed
clinically unstable are not required to have repeat tumor imaging for confirmation of PD. Tumor flare includes any of the following scenarios:

- Worsening of existing target lesion(s)
- Worsening of existing non-target lesion(s)
- Development of new lesion(s)

In subjects who have shown initial evidence of radiological PD by RECIST 1.1 as verified by the central imaging vendor, it is at the discretion of the PI whether to continue a subject on study treatment until repeat imaging is obtained (using irRECIST for subject management, see Table 6 and Figure 2). This clinical judgment decision by the site investigator should be based on the subject's overall clinical condition, including performance status, clinical symptoms, and laboratory data. Subjects may receive study treatment and tumor assessment should be repeated \geq 4 weeks later to confirm PD by irRECIST per site assessment. Clinical stability is defined as the following:

- 1) Absence of symptoms and signs indicating clinically significant progression of disease, including worsening of laboratory values,
- 2) No decline in ECOG performance status,
- 3) Absence of rapid progression of disease, and
- 4) Absence of progressive tumor at critical anatomical sites (e.g., cord compression) requiring urgent alternative medical intervention.

Any subject deemed clinically unstable should be discontinued from trial treatment at central verification of site-assessed first radiologic evidence of PD and is not required to have repeat imaging for PD confirmation.

In determining whether or not the tumor burden has increased or decreased per irRECIST, the local site investigator should consider all target and non-target lesions as well as any incremental new lesion(s).

Scenarios where PD is not confirmed at repeat imaging if ALL of the following occur by irRECIST:

- Target lesion sum of diameters is <20% or <5 mm absolute increase compared to nadir,
- Non-target disease resulting in initial PD is qualitatively stable or improved,
- New lesion resulting in initial PD is qualitatively stable or improved,
- No incremental new lesion(s) since last evaluation, and
- No incremental new non-target lesion progression since last evaluation.

If repeat imaging does not confirm PD by irRECIST as assessed by the local site investigator and the subject continues to be clinically stable, treatment may continue and follow the regular imaging schedule. Scenarios where PD is confirmed at repeat imaging if ANY of the following occur by irRECIST:

- Target lesion sum of diameters remains ≥20% and at least 5 mm absolute increase compared to nadir,
- Non-target disease resulting in initial PD is qualitatively worse,
- New lesion resulting in initial PD is qualitatively worse,
- Additional new lesion(s) since last evaluation,
- Additional new non-target lesion progression since last evaluation.

NOTE: If a subject has confirmed radiographic progression (i.e., 2 scans at least 4 weeks apart demonstrating progressive disease) per irRECIST, but the subject is achieving a clinically meaningful benefit and there is no further increase in the tumor burden at the confirmatory tumor imaging, an exception to continue treatment may be considered following consultation with the Sponsor. In this case, if treatment is continued, tumor imaging should continue to be performed following the intervals as outlined in Section 6.0 – Trial Flow Chart and be submitted to the central imaging vendor.

Additional details about irRECIST are referenced in Merck Tip Sheet for RECIST 1.1 and irRECIST.

	Clinically Stable		Clinical	Clinically Unstable	
	Imaging	Treatment	Imaging	Treatment	
First radiologic evidence of PD by RECIST 1.1 which has been verified by the BICR	Repeat imaging at \geq 4 weeks at site to confirm PD	May continue study treatment at the local site Investigator's discretion while awaiting confirmatory tumor imaging by site by irRECIST.	Repeat imaging at <u>>4</u> weeks to confirm PD per physician discretion only	Discontinue treatment	
Repeat tumor imaging confirms PD by irRECIST at the local site	No additional imaging required	Discontinue treatment (exception is possible upon consultation with sponsor)	No additional imaging required	N/A	
Repeat tumor imaging shows SD, PR or CR by irRECIST by the local site	Continue regularly scheduled imaging assessments	Continue study treatment at the local site Investigator's discretion	Continue regularly scheduled imaging assessments	May restart study treatment if condition has improved and/or clinically stable per Investigator's discretion. Next tumor image should occur according to the regular imaging schedule outlined in the protocol	

Table 6	Imaging and T	reatment after	First Radiolog	tic Evidence of PD
				,

CR = complete response; irRECIST = immune-related Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; N/A = not applicable; PD = progressive disease; PR= partial response; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD = stable disease.



Figure 2 Imaging and Treatment for Clinically Stable Subjects after First Radiologic Evidence of Progressive Disease Assessed by Site

BICR = blinded independent central review; irRECIST = immune-related Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors; PD = progressive disease; PI = principal investigator; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors; SFU = survival follow-up.

7.1.5 Other Procedures

7.1.5.1 Withdrawal/Discontinuation

Subjects who discontinue/withdraw from treatment prior to completion of the treatment should be encouraged to continue to be followed for all remaining study visits.

When a subject discontinues/withdraws from participation in the trial, all applicable activities scheduled for the end of treatment visit should be performed at the time of discontinuation. Any adverse events which are present at the time of discontinuation/withdrawal should be followed in accordance with the safety requirements outlined in Section 7.2 - Assessing and Recording Adverse Events Subjects who attain a CR or complete 35 trial treatments (approximately 2 years) may discontinue treatment with the option of restarting treatment if they meet the criteria specified in Section 7.1.6.2. After discontinuing treatment following assessment of a CR or the 35 trial treatments, subjects should return to the site for a Safety Follow-up visit (Section 7.1.6.3.1) and then proceed to the Follow-up Period of the study (Section 7.1.6.3.2)..

7.1.5.1.1 Withdrawal From Future Biomedical Research

Subjects may withdraw their consent for Future Biomedical Research and have their specimens and all derivatives destroyed. Subjects may withdraw consent at any time by contacting the principal investigator for the main trial. If medical records for the main trial are still available, the investigator will contact the Sponsor using the designated mailbox (clinical.specimen.management@merck.com), and a form will be provided by the Sponsor to obtain appropriate information to complete specimen withdrawal. Subsequently, the subject's specimens will be removed from the biorepository and be destroyed. A letter will be sent from the Sponsor to the investigator confirming the destruction. It is the responsibility of the investigator to inform the subject of completion of destruction. Any analyses in progress at the time of request for destruction or already performed prior to the request being received by the Sponsor will continue to be used as part of the overall research trial data and results. No new analyses would be generated after the request is received.

In the event that the medical records for the main trial are no longer available (e.g., if the investigator is no longer required by regulatory authorities to retain the main trial records) or the specimens have been completely anonymized, there will no longer be a link between the subject's personal information and their specimens. In this situation, the request for specimen destruction cannot be processed.

7.1.5.1.2 Lost to Follow-up

If a subject fails to return to the clinic for a required study visit and/or if the site is unable to contact the subject, the following procedures are to be performed:

• The site must attempt to contact the subject and reschedule the missed visit.. If the subject is contacted, the subject should be counseled on the importance of maintaining the protocol-specified visit schedule.

- The investigator or designee must make every effort to regain contact with the subject at each missed visit (e.g. phone calls and/or a certified letter to the subject's last known mailing address or locally equivalent methods). These contact attempts should be documented in the subject's medical record.
- Note: A subject is not considered lost to follow up until the last scheduled visit for the individual subject. The amount of missing data for the subject will be managed via the pre-specified data handling and analysis guidelines

7.1.5.2 Blinding/Unblinding

STUDY TREATMENT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION IS TO BE UNMASKED ONLY IF NECESSARY FOR THE WELFARE OF THE SUBJECT. EVERY EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE NOT TO UNBLIND THE SUBJECT UNLESS NECESSARY.

For emergency situations where the investigator or delegate needs to identify the drug used by a subject and/or the dosage administered, he/she will contact the emergency unblinding call center by telephone and make a request for emergency unblinding. As requested by the investigator or delegate the emergency unblinding call center will provide the information to him/her promptly and report unblinding to the Sponsor. Prior to contacting the emergency unblinding call center to request unblinding of a subject's treatment assignment, the investigator or delegate must enter the intensity/toxicity grade of the AEs observed, the relation to study drug, the reason thereof, etc., in the medical chart etc.

Section 5.8 outlines the criteria for allowing subjects who are discontinued from treatment to continue to be monitored in the trial.

Additionally, the investigator must go into the IVRS system and perform the unblind in the IVRS system to update drug disposition. In the event that the emergency unblinding call center is not available for a given site in this trial, IVRS/IWRS should be used for emergency unblinding in the event that this is required for subject safety.

IVRS/IWRS should be used for emergency unblinding in the event that this is required for subject safety. Subjects whose treatment assignment has been unblinded must be discontinued from study drug.

Study treatment identification information is to be unmasked ONLY if necessary for the welfare of the subject. Every effort should be made not to unblind the subject unless necessary.

In the event that unblinding has occurred, the circumstances around the unblinding (eg, date, reason and person performing the unblinding) must be documented promptly, and the Sponsor Clinical Director notified as soon as possible. Once an emergency unblinding has taken place, the principal investigator, site personnel, and Sponsor personnel may be unblinded so that the appropriate follow-up medical care can be provided to the participant.

At the end of the trial, unblinding logs are to be returned to the Sponsor or designee

7.1.5.3 Calibration of Critical Equipment

The investigator or qualified designee has the responsibility to ensure that any critical device or instrument used for a clinical evaluation/test during a clinical trial that provides important

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

information about inclusion/exclusion criteria and/or safety or efficacy parameters shall be suitably calibrated and maintained to ensure that the data obtained is reliable and/or reproducible. Documentation of equipment calibration must be retained as source documentation at the trial site.

Critical Equipment for this trial includes:

- Laboratory equipment as required for inclusion labs and trial assessments
- Imaging equipment as required for study objectives

See protocol-specified guidance in the Trial Administrative Binder, Procedures Manual, and Site Imaging Manual.

7.1.6 Visit Requirements

Visit requirements are outlined in Section 6.0 - Trial Flow Chart. Specific procedure-related details are provided above in Section 7.1 - Trial Procedures.

7.1.6.1 Screening

Approximately 28 days prior to treatment, potential subjects will be evaluated to determine that they fulfill the entry requirements as set forth in Section 5.1. Screening procedures may be repeated.

Subjects may be rescreened after initially failing to meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Subjects who are rescreened will retain their original screening number. Results of a test performed prior to the subject signing consent as part of routine clinical management are acceptable in lieu of a screening test if performed within the specified time frame.

Screening procedures are to be completed within 28 days prior to the first dose of trial treatment except for the following:

- Laboratory tests and ECOG PS are to be performed within 7 days prior to the first dose of trial treatment.
- For women of reproductive potential, a urine or serum pregnancy test will be performed within 72 hours prior to the first dose of trial treatment.

7.1.6.2 Treatment Period Visit

Visit requirements are outlined in the Trial Flow Chart (Section 6.0). Specific procedurerelated details are provided above in the Trial Procedures (Section 7.0).

Subjects who stop study drug with SD or better may be eligible for up to 17 additional trial treatments (approximately 1 year) if they progress after stopping study treatments. Retreatment with study drug is termed the Second Course Phase and is only available if the trial remains open and the subject meets the following conditions:

• Either

• Stopped initial treatment with study treatment after attaining an investigatordetermined CR according to RECIST 1.1

- Was treated with at least 8 trial treatments (approximately 6 months) with study treatment before discontinuing therapy
- Received at least two study treatments beyond the date when the initial CR was declared

OR

- Had SD, PR or CR and stopped study treatment after 35 treatments (approximately 2 years) for reasons other than disease progression or intolerability
- AND
 - Experienced an investigator-determined confirmed radiographic disease progression after stopping their initial study treatment
 - Did not receive any anti-cancer treatment since the last dose of study treatment
 - Has a performance status of 0 or 1 on the ECOG Performance Scale
 - Demonstrates adequate organ function as detailed in Section 5.1.2
 - Female subject of childbearing potential should have a negative serum or urine pregnancy test within 72 hours prior to receiving retreatment with study medication (Cycle 1, Day 1).
 - Female subjects of childbearing potential must be willing to use an adequate method of contraception as outlined in Section 5.7.2 – Contraception, starting with the dose of study medication through at least 120 days or longer based on local regulation.
 - Note: Abstinence is acceptable if this is the usual lifestyle and preferred contraception for the subject.
 - Male subjects of child bearing potential must agree to use an adequate method of contraception as outlined in Section 5.7.2 – Contraception and not to donate sperm starting with the first dose of study therapy through at least 120 days or longer based on local regulation after the last dose of study therapy.
 - Note: Abstinence is acceptable if this is the usual lifestyle and preferred contraception for the subject.
 - Does not have a history or current evidence of any condition, therapy, or laboratory abnormality that might interfere with the subject's participation for the full duration of the trial or is not in the best interest of the subject to participate, in the opinion of the treating investigator.

Subjects who enter the Second Course Phase will be retreated with the same treatment as when they last received study treatment. Study treatment will be administered for up to an additional 17 trial treatments (approximately 1 year).

Visit requirements for the second course phase are outlined in the Second Course Phase Trial Flow Chart (Section 6.2).

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

7.1.6.3 Post-Treatment Visits

7.1.6.3.1 Safety Follow-up Visits

The mandatory Safety Follow-up Visit should be conducted approximately 30 days after the last dose of trial treatment or before the initiation of a new anti-cancer treatment, whichever comes first.

All AEs that occur prior to the Safety Follow-Up Visit should be recorded. Subjects with an AE of Grade >1 will be followed until the resolution of the AE to Grade 0-1 or until the beginning of a new anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. SAEs that occur within 90 days of the end of or within 30 days of the end of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier should also be followed and recorded.

Subjects who are eligible for retreatment may have up to two safety follow-up visits, one after the Initial Treatment Period and one after the Second Course Treatment Phase.

7.1.6.3.2 Follow-up Visits

Subjects who discontinue trial treatment for reasons other than disease progression will move into the Follow-up Phase and should be assessed Q6W by radiologic imaging to monitor disease status. Every effort should be made to collect information regarding disease status until the start of new anti-cancer therapy, disease progression, death, end of trial [or if the subject begins retreatment with pembrolizumab as detailed in [Section 7.1.4.5]. Information regarding post-trial anticancer treatment will be collected if new treatment is initiated.

Subjects who are eligible to receive retreatment with pembrolizumab according to the criteria in Section 7.1.4.5 will move from the Follow-up Phase to the Second Course Phase when they experience disease progression. Details are provided in the Trial Flow Chart (Section 6) for retreatment with pembrolizumab.

7.1.6.3.3 Survival Follow-up

Subjects who experience confirmed disease progression or start a new anti-cancer therapy, will move into the Survival Follow-Up Phase and should be contacted by telephone approximately every 12 weeks to assess for survival status until death, withdrawal of consent, or the end of the trial, whichever occurs first.

- For patients who discontinue Treatment due to PD
 - Date of the first survival follow-up contact should be 12 weeks from the date of the 30 day safety FU Visit
 - Note: if a subject does not have a 30-day safety follow up visit the date is calculated from the discontinuation visit.

- For subjects who discontinue treatment for reasons other than PD
 - Undergo a 30-day safety follow-up visit and continue with imaging follow-up
 - The date of the first survival follow-up contact should be based either on the date that the subject has first radiologic evidence of progressive disease, or on the date the subject starts a new anti-cancer therapy.

7.1.6.3.4 Survival Status

To ensure current and complete survival data is available at the time of database locks, updated survival status may be requested during the course of the study by the Sponsor. For example, updated survival status may be requested prior to but not limited to an external Data Monitoring Committee (eDMC) review, interim and/or final analysis. Upon Sponsor notification, all participants who do not/will not have a scheduled study visit or study contact during the Sponsor defined time period, will be contacted for their survival status (excluding participants that have a previously recorded death event in the collection tool).

7.2 Assessing and Recording Adverse Events

An adverse event is defined as any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or clinical investigation subject administered a pharmaceutical product and which does not necessarily have to have a causal relationship with this treatment. An adverse event can therefore be any unfavourable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding, for example), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medicinal product or protocol-specified procedure, whether or not considered related to the medicinal product or protocol-specified procedure. Any worsening (i.e., any clinically significant adverse change in frequency and/or intensity) of a preexisting condition that is temporally associated with the use of the Sponsor's product, is also an adverse event.

Changes resulting from normal growth and development that do not vary significantly in frequency or severity from expected levels are not to be considered adverse events. Examples of this may include, but are not limited to, teething, typical crying in infants and children and onset of menses or menopause occurring at a physiologically appropriate time.

Sponsor's product includes any pharmaceutical product, biological product, device, diagnostic agent or protocol-specified procedure, whether investigational (including placebo or active comparator medication) or marketed, manufactured by, licensed by, provided by or distributed by the Sponsor for human use.

Adverse events may occur during clinical trials, or as prescribed in clinical practice, from overdose (whether accidental or intentional), from abuse and from withdrawal.

Progression of the cancer under study is not considered an adverse event.

All adverse events that occur after the consent form is signed but before treatment allocation/randomization must be reported by the investigator if they cause the subject to be excluded from the trial, or are the result of a protocol-specified intervention, including but not limited to washout or discontinuation of usual therapy, diet, placebo treatment or a procedure. From the time of treatment allocation/randomization through 30 days following cessation of treatment, all adverse events must be reported by the investigator. Such events will be recorded at each examination on the Adverse Event case report forms/worksheets.

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

The reporting timeframe for adverse events meeting any serious criteria is described in section 7.2.3.1. The investigator will make every attempt to follow all subjects with non-serious adverse events for outcome.

Electronic reporting procedures can be found in the Electronic Data Capture (EDC) data entry guidelines. Paper reporting procedures can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

7.2.1 Definition of an Overdose for This Protocol and Reporting of Overdose to the Sponsor

In this trial, an overdose is any dose higher than $\geq 1000 \text{ mg}$ (5 times the protocol defined dose) of pembrolizumab. No specific information is available on the treatment of over dose of pembrolizumab. In the event of overdose, study treatment should be discontinued and the subject should be observed closely for signs of toxicity. Appropriate supportive treatment should be provided if clinically indicated.

If an adverse event(s) is associated with ("results from") the overdose of Sponsor's product or vaccine, the adverse event(s) is reported as a serious adverse event, even if no other seriousness criteria are met.

If a dose of Sponsor's product or vaccine meeting the protocol definition of overdose is taken without any associated clinical symptoms or abnormal laboratory results, the overdose is reported as a non-serious Event of Clinical Interest (ECI), using the terminology "accidental or intentional overdose without adverse effect."

All reports of overdose with and without an adverse event must be reported by the investigator within 24 hours to the Sponsor either by electronic media or paper. Electronic reporting procedures can be found in the EDC data entry guidelines. Paper reporting procedures can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

7.2.2 Reporting of Pregnancy and Lactation to the Sponsor

Although pregnancy and lactation are not considered adverse events, it is the responsibility of investigators or their designees to report any pregnancy or lactation in a subject (spontaneously reported to them) that occurs during the trial.

Pregnancies and lactations that occur after the consent form is signed but before treatment allocation/randomization must be reported by the investigator if they cause the subject to be excluded from the trial, or are the result of a protocol-specified intervention, including but not limited to washout or discontinuation of usual therapy, diet, placebo treatment or a procedure. Pregnancies and lactations that occur from the time of treatment allocation/randomization through 120 days following cessation of Sponsor's product, or 30 days following cessation of treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier, must be reported by the investigator. All reported pregnancies must be followed to the completion/termination of the pregnancy. Pregnancy outcomes of spontaneous abortion, missed abortion, benign hydatidiform mole, blighted ovum, fetal death, intrauterine death, miscarriage and stillbirth must be reported as serious events (Important Medical Events). If the pregnancy continues to term, the outcome (health of infant) must also be reported.

Such events must be reported within 24 hours to the Sponsor either by electronic media or paper. Electronic reporting procedures can be found in the EDC data entry guidelines. Paper reporting procedures can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

7.2.3 Immediate Reporting of Adverse Events to the Sponsor

7.2.3.1 Serious Adverse Events

An serious adverse event is any adverse event occurring at any dose or during any use of Sponsor's product that:

- Results in death;
- Is life threatening;
- Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity;
- Results in or prolongs an existing inpatient hospitalization;
- Is a congenital anomaly/birth defect;
- Is an other important medical event.

Note: In addition to the above criteria, adverse events meeting either of the below criteria, although not serious per ICH definition, are reportable to the Sponsor in the same timeframe as SAEs to meet certain local requirements. Therefore, these events are considered serious by the Sponsor for collection purposes.

- Is a new cancer (that is not a condition of the study);
- Is associated with an overdose.

Refer to Table 7 for additional details regarding each of the above criteria.

For the time period beginning when the consent form is signed until treatment allocation/randomization, any serious adverse event, or follow up to a serious adverse event, including death due to any cause other than progression of the cancer under study (refer to [Section 7.2.3.3] for additional details), that occurs to any subject must be reported within 24 hours to the Sponsor if it causes the subject to be excluded from the trial, or is the result of a protocol-specified intervention, including but not limited to washout or discontinuation of usual therapy, diet, placebo treatment or a procedure.

For the time period beginning at treatment randomization through 90 days following cessation of trial treatment, or 30 days following cessation of trial treatment if the subject initiates new anticancer therapy, whichever is earlier, any serious adverse event, or follow up to a serious adverse event, including death due to any cause, other than progression of the cancer under study (reference Section 7.2.3.3 for additional details) whether or not related to the Sponsor's product, must be reported within 24 hours to the Sponsor either by electronic media or paper. Electronic reporting procedures can be found in the EDC data entry guidelines. Paper reporting procedures can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

Additionally, any serious adverse event, considered by an investigator who is a qualified physician to be related to the Sponsor's product that is brought to the attention of the

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

investigator at any time following consent through the end of the specified safety follow-up period specified in the paragraph above, or at any time outside of the time period specified in the previous paragraph also must be reported immediately to the Sponsor.

All subjects with serious adverse events must be followed up for outcome.

7.2.3.2 Events of Clinical Interest

Selected non-serious and serious adverse events are also known as Events of Clinical Interest (ECI) and must be reported to the Sponsor.

For the time period beginning when the consent form is signed until treatment allocation/randomization, any ECI, or follow up to an ECI, that occurs to any subject must be reported within 24 hours to the Sponsor if it causes the subject to be excluded from the trial, or is the result of a protocol-specified intervention, including but not limited to washout or discontinuation of usual therapy, diet, placebo treatment or a procedure.

For the time period beginning at treatment allocation/randomization through 14 days following cessation of treatment, any ECI, or follow up to an ECI, whether or not related to the Sponsor's product, must be reported within 24 hours to the Sponsor, either by electronic media or paper. Electronic reporting procedures can be found in the EDC data entry guidelines. Paper reporting procedures can be found in the Investigator Trial File Binder (or equivalent).

Events of clinical interest for this trial include:

- 1) An overdose of Sponsor's product, as defined in Section 7.2.1 Definition of an Overdose for This Protocol and Reporting of Overdose to the Sponsor, that is not associated with clinical symptoms or abnormal laboratory results.
- 2) Hepatic ECIs include any of the following events. All of these events will require holding study treatment, notification of the event(s) to the Sponsor within 24 hours via electronic media or paper, and a hepatology consultation if necessary. For dose interval modification, refer to Section 5.2.1.2. For guidance related to the diagnosis and management of hepatic ECIs, refer to Section 5.2.1.3.
 - a. ALT:
 - i. Among subjects with baseline ALT $<2\times$ ULN: ALT $\geq 5\times$ ULN
 - ii. Among subjects with baseline ALT $\ge 2 \times ULN$: ALT $> 3 \times$ the baseline level
 - iii. ALT >500 U/L regardless of baseline level
 - b. AST:
 - i. Among subjects with baseline AST $<2\times$ ULN: AST $\geq 5\times$ ULN
 - ii. Among subjects with baseline AST ≥2×ULN: AST >3× the baseline level
 - iii. AST >500 U/L regardless of baseline level

- c. Total Bilirubin:
 - i. Among subjects with baseline levels <1.5 mg/dL: a value of >2.0 mg/dL
 - ii. Among subjects with baseline levels that are $\geq 1.5 \text{ mg/dL}$: a value $\geq 2 \times$ the baseline level
 - iii. Total bilirubin >3.0 mg/dL regardless of baseline level
- d. Regardless of laboratory values, hepatic decompensation diagnosed <u>clinically</u>, including:
 - i. New onset clinically detectable ascites
 - ii. Gastrointestinal bleeding suggestive of portal hypertension (e.g., esophageal or gastric varices)
 - iii. Hepatic Encephalopathy

7.2.3.3 Protocol-Specific Exceptions to Serious Adverse Event Reporting

Efficacy endpoints as outlined in this section will not be reported to the Sponsor as described in Section 7.2.3.

Specifically, the suspected/actual events covered in this exception include any event that is disease progression of the cancer under study.

The Sponsor will monitor unblinded aggregated efficacy endpoint events and safety data to ensure the safety of the subjects in the trial. Any suspected endpoint which upon review is not progression of the cancer under study will be forwarded to global safety as a SAE within 24 hours of determination that the event is not progression of the cancer under study.

7.2.4 Evaluating Adverse Events

An investigator who is a qualified physician will evaluate all adverse events according to the NCI Common Terminology for Adverse Events (CTCAE), version 4.0. Any adverse event which changes CTCAE grade over the course of a given episode will have each change of grade recorded on the adverse event case report forms/worksheets.

All adverse events regardless of CTCAE grade must also be evaluated for seriousness.

Table 7Evaluating Adverse Events

An investigator who is a qualified physician, will evaluate all adverse events as to:

V4.0 CTCAE Grading	Grade 1	Mild; asymptomatic or mid symptoms; clinical or diagnostic observations only; intervention not indicated.	
	Grade 2	Moderate; minimal, local or noninvasive intervention indicated; limiting age-appropriate instrumental ADL.	
	Grade 3	Severe or medically significant but not immediately life-threatening; hospitalization or prolongation or hospitalization indicated; disabling; limiting self-care ADL.	
	Grade 4	Life threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated.	
	Grade 5	Death related to AE	
Seriousness	A serious adverse event is any adverse event occurring at any dose or during any use of Sponsor's product that:		
	†Results in dea	th; or	
		ning; or places the subject, in the view of the investigator, at immediate risk of death from the event as it occurred (Note: This does not include an nat, had it occurred in a more severe form, might have caused death.); or	
	†Results in a p	ersistent or significant disability/incapacity (substantial disruption of one's ability to conduct normal life functions); or	
	hospitalization worsened is not	prolongs an existing inpatient hospitalization (hospitalization is defined as an inpatient admission, regardless of length of stay, even if the is a precautionary measure for continued observation. (Note: Hospitalization for an elective procedure to treat a pre-existing condition that has not a serious adverse event. A pre-existing condition is a clinical condition that is diagnosed prior to the use of a Merck product and is documented in dical history.); or	
	†Is a congenita	I anomaly/birth defect (in offspring of subject taking the product regardless of time to diagnosis);or	
	Is a new cance requirements); o	r (that is not a condition of the study) (although not serious per ICH definition, is reportable to the Sponsor within 24 hours to meet certain local or	
	Is an overdose (whether accidental or intentional). Any adverse event associated with an overdose is considered a serious adverse event for collection purpose overdose that is not associated with an adverse event is considered a non-serious event of clinical interest and must be reported within 24 hours.		
	based upon app	nt medical events that may not result in death, not be life threatening, or not require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse event when, ropriate medical judgment, the event may jeopardize the subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes <i>y</i> (designated above by a †).	
Duration		and stop dates of the adverse event. If less than 1 day, indicate the appropriate length of time and units	
Action taken		event cause the Sponsor's product to be discontinued?	
Relationship to Sponsor's Product	investigator wh form, ensures the criteria below a	r's product cause the adverse event? The determination of the likelihood that the Sponsor's product caused the adverse event will be provided by an o is a qualified physician. The investigator's signed/dated initials on the source document or worksheet that supports the causality noted on the AE hat a medically qualified assessment of causality was done. This initialed document must be retained for the required regulatory time frame. The re intended as reference guidelines to assist the investigator in assessing the likelihood of a relationship between the test drug and the adverse event available information.	
	The following components are to be used to assess the relationship between the Sponsor's product and the AE; the greater the correlation with the component and their respective elements (in number and/or intensity), the more likely the Sponsor's product caused the adverse event (AE):		
	Exposure	Is there evidence that the subject was actually exposed to the Sponsor's product such as: reliable history, acceptable compliance assessment (pill count, diary, etc.), expected pharmacologic effect, or measurement of drug/metabolite in bodily specimen?	
	Time Course	Did the AE follow in a reasonable temporal sequence from administration of the Sponsor's product? Is the time of onset of the AE compatible with a drug-induced effect (applies to trials with investigational medicinal product)?	
	Likely Cause	Is the AE not reasonably explained by another etiology such as underlying disease, other drug(s)/vaccine(s), or other host or environmental factors	

Product: MK-3475 Protocol/Amendment No.: 394-05

Relationship	The following o	llowing components are to be used to assess the relationship between the test drug and the AE: (continued)		
to Sponsor's	Dechallenge	Was the Sponsor's product discontinued or dose/exposure/frequency reduced?		
Product	_	If yes, did the AE resolve or improve?		
(continued)		If yes, this is a positive dechallenge. If no, this is a negative dechallenge.		
. ,	(Note: This criterion is not applicable if: (1) the AE resulted in death or permanent disability; (2) the AE resolved/improved dest the Sponsor's product; or (3) the trial is a single-dose drug trial); or (4) Sponsor's product(s) is/are only used one time.)			
	Rechallenge	Was the subject re-exposed to the Sponsor's product in this study?		
	8-	If yes, did the AE recur or worsen?		
		If yes, this is a positive rechallenge. If no, this is a negative rechallenge.		
		(Note: This criterion is not applicable if: (1) the initial AE resulted in death or permanent disability, or (2) the trial is a single-dose drug trial); or		
		(3) Sponsor's product(s) is/are used only one time).		
		NOTE: IF A RECHALLENGE IS PLANNED FOR AN ADVERSE EVENT WHICH WAS SERIOUS AND WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN		
		CAUSED BY THE SPONSOR'S PRODUCT, OR IF REEXPOSURE TO THE SPONSOR'S PRODUCT POSES ADDITIONAL POTENTIAL		
		SIGNIFICANT RISK TO THE SUBJECT, THEN THE RECHALLENGE MUST BE APPROVED IN ADVANCE BY THE SPONSOR		
		CLINICAL DIRECTOR AS PER DOSE MODIFICATION GUIDELINES IN THE PROTOCOL.		
	Consistency	Is the clinical/pathological presentation of the AE consistent with previous knowledge regarding the Sponsor's product or drug class pharmacology		
	with Trial	or toxicology?		
	Treatment			
	Profile			
	f relationship will he above elements	be reported on the case report forms /worksheets by an investigator who is a qualified physician according to his/her best clinical judgment, including		
Record one of th	e following	Use the following scale of criteria as guidance (not all criteria must be present to be indicative of a Sponsor's product relationship).		
Yes, there is a re possibility of Spo relationship.		There is evidence of exposure to the Sponsor's product. The temporal sequence of the AE onset relative to the administration of the Sponsor's product is reasonable. The AE is more likely explained by the Sponsor's product than by another cause.		
No, there is not a possibility of Spo relationship		Subject did not receive the Sponsor's product OR temporal sequence of the AE onset relative to administration of the Sponsor's product is not reasonable OR the AE is more likely explained by another cause than the Sponsor's product. (Also entered for a subject with overdose without an associated AE.)		

7.2.5 Sponsor Responsibility for Reporting Adverse Events

All Adverse Events will be reported to regulatory authorities, IRB/IECs and investigators in accordance with all applicable global laws and regulations, i.e., per ICH Topic E6 (R1) Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice.

7.3 TRIAL GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT

7.3.1 Executive Oversight Committee

The Executive Oversight Committee (EOC) comprises members of Sponsor Senior Management. The EOC will receive and decide upon any recommendations made by the external data monitoring committee (eDMC) regarding the trial.

7.3.2 Data Monitoring Committee

To supplement the routine trial monitoring outlined in this protocol, an eDMC (external Data Monitoring Committee) will monitor the interim data from this trial. The voting members of the committee are external to the Sponsor. The voting members of the eDMC must not be involved with the trial in any other way (e.g., they cannot be trial investigators) and must have no competing interests that could affect their roles with respect to the trial.

The eDMC will make recommendations to the EOC regarding steps to ensure both subject safety and the continued ethical integrity of the trial. Also, the eDMC will review interim trial results, consider the overall risk and benefit to trial participants (see Section 8.7 - Interim Analyses) and recommend to the EOC if the trial should continue in accordance with the protocol.

Specific details regarding composition, responsibilities, and governance, including the roles and responsibilities of the various members and the sponsor protocol team; meeting facilitation; the trial governance structure; and requirements for and proper documentation of eDMC reports, minutes, and recommendations will be described in a separate charter that is reviewed and approved by the eDMC. The eDMC will monitor the trial at an appropriate frequency, as described in the detailed eDMC charter. The eDMC will also make recommendations to the sponsor protocol team regarding steps to ensure both subject safety and the continued ethical integrity of the trial.

8.0 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN

This section outlines the statistical analysis strategy and procedures for the study. If, after the study has begun, changes are made to primary and/or key secondary hypotheses, or the statistical methods related to those hypotheses, then the protocol will be amended (consistent with ICH Guideline E-9). Changes to exploratory or other non-confirmatory analyses made after the protocol has been finalized, but prior to the conduct of any analysis, will be documented in a supplemental statistical analysis plan (sSAP) and referenced in the Clinical Study Report (CSR) for the study. There will be a separate biomarker analysis plan. Post hoc exploratory analyses will be clearly identified in the CSR. The PRO analysis plan will be included in the sSAP.

Unless specified otherwise, the type I error in Section 8 indicates one-sided type I error.

8.1 Statistical Analysis Plan Summary

Key elements of the statistical analysis plan are summarized in Table 8 below; the comprehensive plan is provided in Sections 8.2 through 8.12.

Study Design Overview	A Randomized Double Blind Phase III Study of Single Agent Pembrolizumab	
Study Design Overview	plus BSC vs. placebo plus BSC as Second-Line Therapy in Asian Subjects with	
	Previously Systemically Treated Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma after	
	Progression on Sorafenib or Oxaliplatin-based Chemotherapy	
Treatment Assignment	Subjects will be randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive blinded treatment with	
i reatment Assignment	pembrolizumab plus BSC or placebo plus BSC (Control Arm). Stratificat	
	factors are in Section 5.4. This is a double-blinded study.	
Analysis Populations	Efficacy: Intention to Treat (ITT)	
Analysis i opulations	Safety: All Subjects as Treated (ASaT)	
Drimany	Pembrolizumab improves overall survival (OS) compared to placebo.	
Primary Endpoints/Hypotheses	r emotorizariao improves overan survivar (05) compared to placebo.	
Endpoints/Hypotheses Statistical Methods for	The mimory hypothesis will be evaluated by comparing nombralizymak to the	
	The primary hypothesis will be evaluated by comparing pembrolizumab to the	
Key Efficacy Analyses	control on OS using a stratified log-rank test. Estimation of the hazard ratio	
	will be done using a stratified Cox regression model. Event rates over time	
	will be estimated within each treatment group using the Kaplan-Meier method.	
	PFS will be analyzed using the same method for OS. Stratified Miettinen and	
	Nurminen's method [57] with weights proportional to the stratum size will be	
	used for comparison of the objective response rates (ORR) between the	
	treatment arms.	
Statistical Methods for	The analysis of safety results will follow a tiered approach. The tiers differ	
Key Safety Analyses	with respect to the analyses that will be performed. There are no Tier 1 events	
	in this trial. Tier 2 parameters will be assessed via point estimates with 95%	
	confidence intervals provided for between-group comparisons; only point	
	estimates by treatment group are provided for Tier 3 safety parameters. The	
	95% confidence intervals for the between-treatment differences in percentages will be provided using the Miettinen and Nurminen method[57]	
Interim Analyzan	will be provided using the Miettinen and Nurminen method[57]	
Interim Analyses	Two interim analyses will be performed in this study. Results will be	
	reviewed by an external data monitoring committee. Details are provided in	
	Section 8.7.	
	IA1(ORR-driven):	
Timing: to be performed when 163 randomized subjects have		
	24 weeks follow-up (which is estimated to happen at approximately	
	17 months after study start). Approximately 174 PFS events and 100	
	OS events are expected to be accumulated.	
	• Purpose: estimate treatment effect and evaluate consistency with	
	global data, interim analysis for ORR, PFS and OS.	
	IA2 (event-driven):	
	• Timing: to be performed when approximately 276 OS events have	
	been observed and enrollment is complete, estimated to be	
	approximately 31 months after study start. Approximately 395 PFS	
	events are expected to be accumulated at IA2.	
	• Purpose: interim efficacy analysis for OS and ORR, primary efficacy	
	analysis for PFS.	

Table 8Statistical Analysis Plan

	Final analysis (event-driven)		
	• Timing: to be performed when approximately 345 OS events have		
	been observed, estimated to be approximately 37 months after study		
	start		
	• Purpose: final analysis for OS, final analysis for ORR.		
Multiplicity	The multiplicity strategy in this study will be applied to the primary hypothesis (superiority of pembrolizumab in OS to placebo) and the secondary hypotheses of superiority of pembrolizumab to placebo in PFS and ORR. The overall Type I error across the three hypotheses above is strongly controlled at 2.5% (one-sided) by the graphical approach of Maurer and Bretz [58] as described in Section 8.8. Initially, α =2.3% will be allocated to the OS hypothesis and α =0.2% will be allocated to the PFS hypothesis. Following a group sequential approach, the Type I error rates for the two interim and final analyses will be controlled through the alpha-spending function as described in Section 8.8.		
Sample Size and Power	The sample size is approximately 450.		
	The analyses of OS endpoint is event driven (i.e., the testing of the OS hypothesis is conducted upon accumulating a preset number of events). The study is designed and will be conducted to accumulate approximately 345 OS events (unless superiority in OS is proven at the interim analysis).		
	For primary endpoint OS, the trial has ~87% power to demonstrate that pembrolizumab is superior to the control at a one-sided 2.3% alpha-level, if the underlying hazard ratio of OS is 0.7.		

8.2 Responsibility for Analyses/In-House Blinding

The statistical analysis of the data obtained from this study will be the responsibility of the Clinical Biostatistics department of the SPONSOR.

The SPONSOR will generate the randomized allocation schedule(s) for study treatment assignment for this protocol, and the randomization will be implemented in IVRS.

Since the trial is double-blinded with in-house blinding, the Sponsor, the investigators, site staffs, and subjects will be blinded to the treatment assignment. In addition, the blinded central imaging vendor will perform the central imaging review without knowledge of treatment group assignment.

The eDMC will serve as the primary reviewer of the unblinded results of the interim analyses and will make recommendations for discontinuation of the study or modification to an executive oversight committee of the SPONSOR. An external unblinded statistician and statistical programmer will be responsible for generating unblinded data summaries and presenting them to the eDMC. Depending on the recommendation of the eDMC, the Sponsor may prepare a regulatory submission. If the eDMC recommends modifications to the design of the protocol or discontinuation of the study, this executive oversight committee and limited additional SPONSOR personnel may be unblinded to results at the treatment level in order to act on these recommendations. The extent to which individuals are unblinded with respect to results of interim analyses will be documented. Additional logistical details will be provided in the eDMC Charter.

8.3 Hypotheses/Estimation

Objectives and hypotheses of the study are stated in Section 3.0.

8.4 Analysis Endpoints

8.4.1 Efficacy Endpoints

8.4.1.1 Primary

Overall Survival

OS is defined as the time from randomization to death due to any cause. Subjects without documented death at the time of the final analysis will be censored at the date of the last follow-up.

8.4.1.2 Secondary

Progression-Free Survival (PFS) – RECIST 1.1 by BICR

PFS is defined as the time from randomization to the first documented disease progression per RECIST 1.1 based on blinded central imaging vendor review or death due to any cause, whichever occurs first. See Section 8.6.1 for the censoring rules.

Objective Response Rate (ORR) – RECIST 1.1 by BICR

Objective response rate is defined as the proportion of the subjects in the analysis population who have a complete response (CR) or partial response (PR) per RECIST 1.1.

Disease Control Rate (DCR) - RECIST 1.1 by BICR

DCR is defined as the percentage of subjects who have achieved CR, PR, or have demonstrated SD for at least 6 weeks prior to any evidence of progression based on assessments by the central imaging vendor per RECIST 1.1.

Time to Progression (TTP) - RECIST 1.1 by BICR

TTP is defined as the time from randomization to the first documented disease progression per RECIST 1.1. See Section 8.6.1 for the censoring rules.

Duration of Response (DOR) - RECIST 1.1 by BICR

For subjects who demonstrate CR or PR, duration of response is defined as the time from first documented evidence of CR or PR per RECIST 1.1 until disease progression per RECIST 1.1 or death due to any cause, whichever occurs first.

8.4.2 Safety Endpoints

Safety measurements are described in Section 4.2.3.4 Safety Endpoints and Section 7.

Safety and tolerability will be assessed by clinical review of all relevant parameters including adverse events (AEs), laboratory tests, and vital signs. Safety parameters to be analyzed include, but are not limited to, AEs, SAEs, fatal AEs, and laboratory changes. Furthermore, specific events will be collected and designated as events of clinical interest (ECIs) as described in Section 7.2.3.

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

Events of clinical interest for this trial include:

- 1. An overdose of Sponsor's product, as defined in Section 7.2.1 Definition of an Overdose for This Protocol and Reporting of Overdose to the Sponsor, that is not associated with clinical symptoms or abnormal laboratory results.
- 2. Hepatic ECIs as defined in Section 7.2.3.

There are no "Tier 1" events in this trial. In addition, the broad clinical and laboratory AE categories consisting of the percentage of subjects with any AE, any drug related AE, any Grade 3-5 AE, any serious AE, any AE which is both drug-related and Grade 3-5, any AE which is both serious and drug-related, dose modification due to AE, and who discontinued due to an AE, and death will be considered Tier 2 endpoints. AEs (specific terms as well as system organ class terms) will be classified as belonging to "Tier 2" or "Tier 3", based on the number of events observed. Membership in Tier 2 requires that at least 4 subjects in any treatment group exhibit the event; all other AEs and predefined limits of change will belong to Tier 3.

8.5 Analysis Populations

8.5.1 Efficacy Analysis Populations

The Intention-to-Treat (ITT) population will serve as the population for primary efficacy analysis. All randomized subjects will be included in this population. Subjects will be included in the treatment group to which they are randomized.

Details on the approach to handling missing data are provided in Section 8.6 Statistical Methods.

8.5.2 Safety Analysis Populations

The All Subjects as Treated (ASaT) population will be used for the analysis of safety data in this study. The ASaT population consists of all randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study treatment. Subjects will be included in the treatment group corresponding to the study treatment they actually received for the analysis of safety data using the ASaT population. For most subjects this will be the treatment group to which they are randomized. Subjects who take incorrect study treatment for the entire treatment period will be included in the treatment group corresponding to the study treatment actually received. Any subject who receives the incorrect study medication for one cycle but receives the correct treatment for all other cycles will be analyzed according to the randomized treatment group and a narrative will be provided for any events that occur during the cycle for which the subject is incorrectly dosed.

At least one laboratory or vital sign measurement obtained subsequent to at least one dose of study treatment is required for inclusion in the analysis of each specific parameter. To assess change from baseline, a baseline measurement is also required.

Details on the approach to handling missing data for safety analyses are provided in Section 8.6 Statistical Methods.

8.6 Statistical Methods

8.6.1 Statistical Methods for Efficacy Analyses

This section describes the statistical methods that address the primary and secondary objectives. Methods related to exploratory objectives will be described in the supplemental SAP.

Efficacy results that will be deemed to be statistically significant after consideration of the Type I error control strategy are described in Section 8.8, Multiplicity. Nominal p-values may be computed for other efficacy analyses, but should be interpreted with caution due to potential issues of multiplicity. In the event that there are a small number of responses in one or more strata, for the purpose of analysis strata will be combined to ensure sufficient number of responses in each stratum. Details regarding the combining of strata will be specified in the sSAP prior to database lock based on a blinded review of response counts by stratum.

8.6.1.1 Overall Survival (OS)

The non-parametric Kaplan-Meier method will be used to estimate the survival curves. The superiority hypothesis of treatment difference in survival will be tested by the stratified log - rank test. A stratified Cox proportional hazard model with Efron's method of tie handling will be used to estimate the magnitude of the treatment difference (i.e., the hazard ratio). The hazard ratio and its 95% confidence interval from the stratified Cox model with a single treatment covariate will be reported. The stratification factors applied to both the stratified log-rank test and the stratified Cox model will be: macrovascular invasion (Yes, No), α -fetoprotein (ng/mL) (<200, ≥200) and region (China, ex-China).

Due to the small number in the stratum of presence of macrovascular invasion, subjects from any region or with any level of α -fetoprotein will be combined in this stratum. Thus, the following 5 strata will be used in the stratified log-rank test and the stratified Cox model:

- Macrovascular invasion (No) + Region (China) + α -Fetoprotein (ng/mL) (<200)
- Macrovascular invasion (No) + Region (ex-China) + α -Fetoprotein (ng/mL) (<200)
- Macrovascular invasion (No) + Region (China) + α -Fetoprotein (ng/mL) (\geq 200)
- Macrovascular invasion (No) + Region (ex-China) + α -Fetoprotein (ng/mL) (\geq 200)
- Macrovascular invasion (Yes)

In the event that there are a small number of responses in one or more strata, for the purpose of analysis strata may be further combined to ensure sufficient number of responses in each stratum. Details regarding the combining of strata will be specified in the sSAP prior to database lock based on a blinded review of response counts by stratum.

Prior to verification of PD by blinded independent central review, switching to another treatment is discouraged. Following verification of PD, subjects may switch to another anticancer treatment. Exploratory analyses to adjust for the effect of crossover to other PD-1 therapies on OS may be performed based on recognized methods, e.g. the Rank Preserving Structural Failure Time (RPSFT) model proposed by Robins and Tsiatis [59], two stage model, etc., based on an examination of the appropriateness of the data to the assumptions required by the methods. In case the proportional hazards assumption doesn't hold, supportive analysis using Fleming and Harrington's weighted log-rank test, Restricted Mean Survival Time (RMST) method or other methods, as appropriate, may be conducted, possibly after proper adjustment of the crossover effect over time.

8.6.1.2 Progression-Free Survival (PFS)

The non-parametric Kaplan-Meier method will be used to estimate the PFS curve in each treatment group. The superiority hypothesis of treatment difference in PFS will be tested by the stratified log-rank test. A stratified Cox proportional hazard model with Efron's method of tie handling will be used to estimate the magnitude of the treatment difference (i.e., hazard ratio) between the treatment arms. The hazard ratio and its 95% confidence interval from the stratified Cox model with Efron's method of tie handling and with a single treatment covariate will be reported. The stratification factors and strata applied to both the stratified log-rank test and the stratified Cox model will be the same as those factors and strata used in the stratified analysis for overall survival.

Since disease progression is assessed periodically, progressive disease (PD) can occur any time in the time interval between the last assessment where PD was not documented and the assessment when PD is documented. For the primary analysis, for the subjects who have PD, the true date of disease progression will be approximated by the date of the first assessment at which PD is objectively documented per RECIST 1.1 by blinded independent central review, regardless of discontinuation of study drug. Death is always considered as a confirmed PD event. Sensitivity analyses may be performed for comparison of PFS based on investigator's assessment per RECIST and PFS analysis for PD per irRECIST by blinded independent central review.

In order to evaluate the robustness of the PFS endpoint per RECIST 1.1 by blinded independent central review, we will perform two sensitivity analyses with a different set of censoring rules. The first sensitivity analysis is the same as the primary analysis except that (1) the date of documented PD or death will be the progression date, regardless of whether or not new anti-cancer treatment is initiated and (2) it censors at the last disease assessment, regardless of whether or not new anti-cancer treatment is initiated if no PD and no death occur. The second sensitivity analysis is the same as the first sensitivity analysis, except that it considers discontinuation of treatment due to reasons other than complete response or initiation of an anti-cancer treatment subsequent to discontinuation of study specified treatment, whichever occurs later, to be a PD event for subjects without documented PD or death. The censoring rules for primary and sensitivity analyses are summarized in Table 9.

Situation	Primary Analysis	Sensitivity Analysis 1	Sensitivity Analysis 2	
PD or death documented after ≤ 1 missed disease assessment, and before new anticancer therapy, if any	Progressed at date of documented PD or death	Progressed at date of documented PD or death	Progressed at date of documented PD or death	
PD or death documented after ≥2 consecutive missed disease assessments or after new anticancer therapy, if any	Censored at last disease assessment prior to the earlier date of ≥ 2 consecutive missed disease assessment and new anticancer therapy, if any	Progressed at date of documented PD or death	Progressed at date of documented PD or death	
No PD and no death; and new anticancer treatment is not initiated	Censored at last disease assessment	Censored at last disease assessment	Progressed at treatment discontinuation due to reasons other than complete response; otherwise censored at last disease assessment if still on study treatment or completed study treatment.	
No PD and no death; new anticancer treatment is initiated	Censored at last disease assessment before new anticancer treatment	Censored at last disease assessment	Progressed at date of new anticancer treatment	
Abbreviations: PD = progressive disease				

Table 0	Consoring rules for Drim	ary and Sensitivity Analyses of PFS	2
Table 9	Censoring rules for Frin	aly and Schollyny Analyses of Fry	2

The proportional hazards assumption on PFS will be examined using both graphical and analytical methods if warranted. The log[-log] of the survival function vs. time for PFS will be plotted for the comparison between pembrolizumab and the control arm. If the curves are not parallel, indicating that hazards are not proportional, supportive analyses may be conducted to account for the possible non-proportional hazards effect associated with immunotherapies: for example, using the Restricted Mean Survival Time (RMST) method [60], parametric method [61], etc. Further details of the sensitivity analyses will be described in supplemental SAP.

The PFS analyses are described in Section 8.7 Interim Analyses and Section 8.8 Multiplicity. The supportive analysis of the PFS data available at the time of the final OS analysis will be also conducted.

8.6.1.3 Objective Response Rate (ORR)

Stratified Miettinen and Nurminen's method [57] with weights proportional to the stratum size will be used for the comparison of the objective response rates between the treatment arms. A 95% confidence interval for the difference in response rates between the pembrolizumab arm and the control arm will be provided. The stratification factors and strata applied to the analysis will be the same as those factors and strata used in the stratified analysis for overall survival.

The ORR analysis will be conducted according to the hypotheses testing plan as described in Section 8.7 Interim Analyses and Section 8.8 Multiplicity.

8.6.1.4 Disease Control Rate (DCR)

Stratified Miettinen and Nurminen's method [57] with weights proportional to the stratum size will be used for the comparison of the DCR between the treatment arms. A 95% confidence interval for the difference in response rates between the pembrolizumab arm and the control arm will be provided. The stratification factors and strata applied to the analysis will be the same as those factors and strata used in the stratified analysis for overall survival.

8.6.1.5 Time to Progression (TTP)

The non-parametric Kaplan-Meier method will be used to estimate the TTP curve in each treatment group. A stratified Cox proportional hazard model with Efron's method of tie handling will be used to estimate the magnitude of the treatment difference (i.e., hazard ratio) between the treatment arms. The hazard ratio and its 95% confidence interval from the stratified Cox model with Efron's method of tie handling and with a single treatment covariate will be reported. The stratification factors and strata applied to both the stratified log-rank test and the stratified Cox model will be the same as those factors and strata used in the stratified analysis for overall survival.

Since disease progression is assessed periodically, progressive disease (PD) can occur any time in the time interval between the last assessment where PD was not documented and the assessment when PD is documented. For the analysis, for the subjects who have PD, the true date of disease progression will be approximated by the date of the first assessment at which PD is objectively documented per RECIST 1.1 by blinded independent central review, regardless of discontinuation of study drug. Unlike in PFS analysis, death is not considered as an event.

The censoring rules for TTP are summarized in Table 10.

Situation	Primary Analysis	
Death without a preceding disease progression	Censored at date of randomization or date of last non-PD disease assessment, whichever is later	
No PD and no death; new anticancer treatment is not initiated	Censored at last non-PD disease assessment	
No PD and no death; new anticancer treatment is initiated	Censored at last non-PD disease assessment before new anticancer	
PD documented after ≤ 1 missed disease assessment	Progressed at date of documented PD	
PD documented after ≥ 2 missed disease assessments	Censored at last non-PD disease assessment prior to the ≥ 2 consecutive missed disease assessments	

8.6.1.6 Duration of Response (DOR)

Subjects who achieved CR or PR and are alive, have not progressed, have not initiated new anti-cancer treatment, have not missed ≥ 2 consecutive disease assessments and have not been determined to be lost to follow-up are considered ongoing responders at the time of analysis.

The non-parametric Kaplan-Meier method will be used to estimate the DOR curve in each treatment group; estimates and 95% CIs at specific duration time points will be provided.

Censoring rules for DOR are summarized in Table 11.

Situation	Date of Progression or Censoring	Outcome
No progression nor death, no new anti-cancer therapy initiated	Last adequate disease assessment	Censor (non-event)
No progression nor death, new anti- cancer therapy initiated	Last adequate disease assessment before new anti-cancer therapy initiated	Censor (non-event)
Death or progression after ≥ 2 consecutive missed adequate disease assessments or after new anti-cancer therapy	Earlier date of last adequate disease assessment prior to ≥2 missed adequate disease assessments and new anti-cancer therapy, if any	Censor (non-event)
Death or progression after ≤ 1 missed adequate disease assessments and before new anti- cancer therapy, if any	PD or death	End of response (Event)
assessments and before new anti- cancer therapy, if any	going response if censored, alive, have	

Table 11Censoring Rules for DOR

Subjects are considered to have an ongoing response if censored, alive, have not progressed, have not started a new anti-cancer therapy, have not missed ≥ 2 consecutive disease assessments, and have not been determined to be lost to follow-up.

Table 12 summarizes the primary analysis approach for key efficacy endpoints. Sensitivity analysis methods are described above for each endpoint.

Analyses of the DCR, TTP, and DOR data will be performed at the time of the interim and final analyses of OS.

The strategy to address multiplicity issues with regard to multiple efficacy endpoints, multiple populations, and interim analyses is described in Section 8.7 Interim Analyses and in Section 8.8 Multiplicity.

Endpoint/Variable (Description, Time Point)	Statistical Method [†]	Analysis Population	Missing Data Approach
Primary Hypothesis			
OS	Test: Stratified Log- rank test Estimation: Stratified Cox model with Efron's tie handling method.	ITT	Censored at the last date the subject was known to be alive
Secondary Endpoints			
PFS per RECIST 1.1 by BICR	Test: Stratified Log- rank test Estimation: Stratified Cox model with Efron's tie handling method	ITT	Primary censoring rule Sensitivity analysis 1 Sensitivity analysis 2 (More details are in Table 9)
ORR per RECIST 1.1 by BICR	Stratified M & N method [‡]	ITT	Subjects with missing data are considered non-
[†] Statistical models are described in further detail in the text. For stratified analyses, the stratification factors applied to the analysis model will be: macrovascular invasion (Yes, No), α-fetoprotein (ng/mL) (<200, ≥200) and region (China, ex-China), with all cells that correspond to macrovascular invasion=Yes combined.			
* Miettinen and Nurminen method.			

Table 12 Analysis Strategy for Key Efficacy Hypothese

8.6.2 Statistical Methods for Safety Analyses

Safety and tolerability will be assessed by clinical review of all relevant parameters including adverse events (AEs), laboratory tests, and vital signs.

Tiered Approach

The analysis of safety results will follow a tiered approach (Table 13). The tiers differ with respect to the analyses that will be performed. For this protocol, there are no Tier 1 events.

Tier 2 parameters will be assessed via point estimates with 95% confidence intervals provided for between-group comparisons; only point estimates by treatment group are provided for Tier 3 safety parameters.

AEs (specific terms as well as system organ class terms) will be classified as belonging to "Tier 2" or "Tier 3", based on the number of events observed. Membership in Tier 2 requires that at least 4 subjects in any treatment group exhibit the event; all other AEs and predefined limits of change will belong to Tier 3.

The threshold of at least 4 events was chosen because the 95% confidence interval for the between-group difference in percent incidence will always include zero when treatment groups of equal size each have less than 4 events and thus would add little to the interpretation of potentially meaningful differences. Because many 95% confidence intervals may be provided without adjustment for multiplicity, the confidence intervals should be regarded as a helpful descriptive measure to be used in review, not a formal method for

OF COMET

98

assessing the statistical significance of the between-group differences in AEs and predefined limits of change.

Continuous measures such as changes from baseline in laboratory values and vital signs, that are not pre-specified as Tier-1 endpoints will be considered Tier 3 safety parameters. Summary statistics for baseline, on-treatment, and change from baseline values will be provided by treatment group in table format.

In addition, the broad clinical and laboratory AE categories consisting of the percentage of subjects with any AE, any drug related AE, any Grade 3-5 AE, any serious AE, any AE which is both drug-related and Grade 3-5, any AE which is both serious and drug-related, dose modification due to AE, and who discontinued due to an AE, and death will be considered Tier 2 endpoints.

The 95% confidence intervals will be provided for between- treatment differences in the percentage of subjects with Tier 2 events; these analyses will be performed using the Miettinen and Nurminen method [55], an unconditional, asymptotic method. Safety analyses will not be stratified.

Safety Tier	Safety Endpoint [†]	95% CI for Treatment Comparison	Descriptive Statistics
Tier 2	Any AE	Х	Х
	Any Serious AE	Х	Х
	Any Grade 3-5 AE	Х	Х
	Any Drug-Related AE	Х	Х
	Any Serious and Drug-Related AE	Х	Х
	Any Grade 3-5 and Drug-Related AE	Х	Х
	Dose Modification due to AE	Х	Х
	Discontinuation due to AE	Х	Х
	Death		
	Specific AEs, SOCs, or PDLCs [‡] (incidence ≥4 of subjects in one of the treatment groups)	Х	Х
Tier 3	Specific AEs, SOCs or PDLCs [‡] (incidence <4 of subjects in all of the treatment groups)		Х
	Change from Baseline Results (Labs, ECGs, Vital Signs)		Х

Table 13Analysis Strategy for Safety Parameters

8.6.3 Summaries of Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

The comparability of the treatment groups for each relevant characteristic will be assessed by the use of tables and/or graphs. No statistical hypothesis tests will be performed on these characteristics. The number and percentage of subjects screened, randomized, the primary reasons for screening failure, and the primary reason for discontinuation will be displayed. Demographic variables (e.g., age), baseline characteristics, primary and secondary diagnoses,

and prior and concomitant therapies will be summarized by treatment either by descriptive statistics or categorical tables.

8.7 Interim Analyses

An external data monitoring committee (eDMC) will be convened to review the unblinded efficacy results and accumulating safety at the planned IAs.

8.7.1 Efficacy Interim Analyses

Two interim analyses and one final analysis are planned in this trial. The timing and the purpose of each analysis are summarized in Table 14. A detailed description of the multiplicity adjustment and hypotheses testing plan is provided in Section 8.8 Multiplicity.

The first interim analysis will be performed at approximately Month 17, at which time the first 163 randomized subjects have at least 24 weeks follow-up. The main purpose of this interim analysis is to conduct the analysis for ORR on the first 163 randomized subjects, evaluate the consistency of efficacy and safety in this study to the reference global data, and to conduct an interim testing for efficacy on the PFS and OS endpoints for all the subjects randomized at that time (superiority only). Consistency of efficacy will be evaluated by comparing the treatment effect observed in this study to the reference global data (based on point estimates). Approximately 225 subjects will be enrolled at the first interim analysis cutoff, with approximately 174 PFS events and 100 OS events projected to be accumulated. The main purpose of the second interim analysis is to conduct the primary testing for efficacy on the PFS hypothesis and to conduct an interim testing for efficacy on the OS hypothesis (superiority only). It will be performed when enrollment is complete and approximately 276 OS events ($\sim 80\%$ of the target 345 total OS events) are observed. It is projected that this event count will be accumulated at approximately 31 months after the start of the study. Approximately 395 PFS events are expected to be accumulated by then. Under assumptions specified in Section 8.9 sample size and power calculation, at the time of the secondary interim analysis: 1) a total of approximately 395 PFS events for testing the PFS hypothesis at Type I error $\alpha = 0.2\%$ provides approximately 88% power to successfully demonstrate the PFS hypothesis; and 2) a total of approximately 276 OS events for testing the OS hypothesis at Type I error α =1.10% provides approximately 70% power to successfully demonstrate the OS hypothesis.

If superiority of PFS or OS (pembrolizumab vs. placebo) is demonstrated at one of the interim analyses, the ORR hypothesis will be tested according to the group-sequential boundaries for ORR analysis (see Table 17 in Section 8.8 Multiplicity).

The final analysis (FA) will be performed when approximately 345 OS events are observed which is expected at Month 37.

Analysis	Endpoint(s)	Criteria for Conduct of Analysis	Estimated Time after First Participant Randomized	Primary Purpose of Analysis		
IA 1	ORR, DOR, PFS, OS	First 163 randomized subjects have at least 24 weeks follow-up	~17 months	Descriptive ORR analysis, consistency evaluation		
IA 2	ORR, PFS, OS	~276 OS events observed	~31 months	PFS FA OS IA		
FA	OS, ORR	~345 OS events observed	~37 months	OS FA		
Abbreviations: FA = final analysis; IA = interim analysis; PFS = progression-free survival; ORR = overall response rate; OS = overall survival						

Table 14	Summary of Interim	and Final Analyses Strategy
----------	--------------------	-----------------------------

The eDMC will serve as the primary reviewer of the unblinded results of the interim analyses and will make recommendations. Depending on the recommendation of the eDMC, the Sponsor may prepare a regulatory submission. NOTE: no futility test is planned in the interim analysis.

8.7.2 Safety Interim Analyses

As noted in Section 7.3.2 – Data Monitoring Committee, the eDMC will be responsible for periodic interim safety reviews as specified in the DMC charter.

8.8 Multiplicity

The multiplicity strategy specified in this section will be applied to the primary hypothesis (superiority of pembrolizumab to placebo in OS) and the secondary hypotheses of superiority of pembrolizumab in PFS or ORR.

The overall Type-I error across the testing of the OS, PFS, and ORR hypotheses is strongly controlled at α =2.5% (one-sided). The multiplicity strategy will follow the graphical approach of Mauer and Bretz [58]. Figure 3 provides the multiplicity strategy diagram of the study.

101



Figure 3 Multiplicity Strategy

In the diagram shown in Figure 3, when a particular null hypothesis is rejected, the arrows leading to it are removed, and the Type I error allocated to the null hypothesis that was rejected are re-distributed to other hypotheses. The arrows on the diagram show how the Type I error allocated to a hypothesis that was successfully tested will be re-distributed. Initially, α =2.3% (23/25 of the overall total α =2.5% for testing the OS, PFS and ORR) is allocated to the OS hypothesis and α =0.2% is allocated to the PFS hypothesis.

In detail, if PFS and OS hypotheses are both rejected on the initial alpha, then ORR hypothesis will be tested on the 2.5% alpha level. If PFS hypothesis is rejected on the initial alpha level 0.2% while OS is NOT rejected on the initial alpha 2.3%, then ORR hypothesis will be tested on the 0.2% alpha level. If ORR hypothesis can be rejected on the 0.2% alpha level, then OS hypothesis will be retested on the 2.5% alpha level. If PFS hypothesis is NOT rejected on the initial alpha level 0.2% but OS hypothesis is rejected on the initial alpha 2.3%, then PFS hypothesis can be re-tested on the 1.35% alpha level and ORR hypothesis can be tested on the 1.15% level. After that, we will follow the same procedure of hypothesis testing and type-I error re-distribution as illustrated in Figure 3.

OS hypothesis: The OS hypothesis will be tested following a group sequential approach. The testing of the OS hypothesis will be based on an OS test statistic calculated from study data at the first interim analysis. If unsuccessful at the first IA, the OS hypothesis will be tested at the second interim analysis time and, if unsuccessful at the second IA, the OS hypothesis will be tested at the final analysis time. No futility test is planned at the interim analyses. The nominal Type I error rates for the two interim analyses and final analysis that will allow tight control of the overall Type I error for testing the OS hypothesis will be conducted to the OS hypothesis. The group sequential testing of the OS hypothesis will be conducted with efficacy boundaries (superiority test only). The OS efficacy boundary will be set using the Lan-DeMets spending function that approximates an O'Brien-Fleming boundary. The OS hypothesis will initially be tested at the overall Type I error $\alpha=2.3\%$. If both the PFS and ORR null hypotheses have been rejected at the $\alpha=0.2\%$ level, the OS hypothesis will be tested at Type I error level of $\alpha=2.5\%$.

Table 15 summarizes the timing, sample size and decision guidance of the interim analyses and final OS analysis. Interim analysis spending for OS analyses will be based on the expectation of 345 OS events at the final analysis and the final analysis spending will be updated based on spending alpha using the actual number of OS events at times of analysis using spending functions as noted above.

		Study				Efficacy	Boundary C	Crossing [†]
Type I Error		Calendar			Information	Nominal	Hazard	
(Overall α)	Analysis	Time	N§	Events	Fraction	α	Ratio	Power
	IA1	Month 17	225	100	0.30	<0.01%	~0.42	0.9%
2.3%	IA2	Month 31	450	276	0.80	1.10%	~0.75	70%
	Final	Month 37	450	345	1.00	1.98%	~0.79	87%
	IA1	Month 17	225	100	0.30	< 0.01%	~0.43	1.1%
2.5%	IA2	Month 31	450	276	0.80	1.22%	~0.75	72%
	Final	Month 37	450	345	1.00	2.14%	~0.79	88%
[†] Based on Lan-DeMets spending function that approximates an O'Brien-Fleming boundary.								
[§] Expected number of subjects randomized into the study at the time of analysis.								

Table 15Summary of Timing, Sample Size and Decision Guidance for the InterimAnalyses and Final Analysis of Overall Survival

PFS hypothesis: The PFS hypothesis will be tested following a group sequential approach. The testing of the PFS hypothesis will be at the first interim analysis time. If unsuccessful at the first IA, the PFS hypothesis will be tested at the second interim analysis time, and this will be final analysis for PFS. No futility test is planned at this interim analysis. The primary testing of the PFS hypothesis will initially be tested at one-sided Type I error α =0.2%. Depending on the results of testing of the OS and ORR hypothesis, the PFS hypothesis can be tested at a one-sided Type I error level of α =1.35% or α =2.5%. At the second interim analysis, it is expected that approximately 395 PFS events would have been accumulated assuming 1) a hazard ratio of 0.65; 2) Progression-free survival follows an exponential distribution with a median of 1.5 months in the control arm; 3) an enrollment time of 31 months with a recruitment rate of 16 subjects per month and a 6-month ramp up time, and 4) a monthly drop-out rate of ~1%. The corresponding power is expected to be over 88% when testing at a Type I error α =0.2%.

Table 16 summarizes the timing, sample size and decision guidance of the interim and final PFS analyses. Interim analysis spending for PFS analysis will be based on the expectation of 395 PFS events at the final analysis and the final analysis spending will be updated on spending alpha using the actual number of PFS events at times of analysis when the criteria for triggering the final PFS analysis is complete.

		Study				Efficacy	Boundary C	'rossing [†]
Type I Error		Calendar			Information	Nominal	Hazard	
(Overall α)	Analysis	Time	N§	Events	Fraction	α	Ratio	Power
0.2%	IA	Month 17	225	174	0.44	<0.01%	~0.48	3.4%
0.270	FA	Month 31	450	395	1.00	0.2%	~0.74	88%
1.35%	IA	Month 17	225	174	0.44	0.02%	~0.57	20%
1.55%	FA	Month 31	450	395	1.00	1.34%	~0.79	97%
2.5%	IA	Month 17	225	174	0.44	0.07%	~0.60	31%
2.370	FA	Month 31	450	395	1.00	2.5%	~0.81	98%
[†] Based on Lan-DeMets spending function that approximates an O'Brien-Fleming boundary.								
[§] Expected number of subjects randomized into the study at the time of analysis.								

Table 16Summary of Timing, Sample Size and Decision Guidance for the InterimAnalysis and Final Analysis of Progression Free Survival

ORR hypothesis: ORR by treatment group will be estimated at each interim analysis and the final analysis. The initial alpha allocated to ORR is zero. If PFS or OS null hypothesis is rejected, depending on the results of testing of the OS and PFS hypothesis, the ORR hypothesis can be tested at a one-sided Type I error level of $\alpha = 0.2\%$, $\alpha = 1.15\%$, or $\alpha = 2.5\%$. The ORR hypothesis will be tested following a group sequential approach. The testing of the ORR hypotheses will be at the first interim analysis time. If unsuccessful at the first IA, the ORR hypotheses will be tested at the second interim analysis time, and, if unsuccessful, at the final analysis time. Subjects who will be included in the ORR analysis are those who have "mature ORR information", defined as subjects who were enrolled at least 24 weeks prior to the interim data cutoff dates and thus had an opportunity to have at least 4 scheduled scans if not discontinued. For the first interim analysis, 163 subjects with at least 24 weeks follow up will be included for ORR analysis and information fraction is expected to be about 0.36. It is projected that there will be approximately 362 such subjects at the second interim analysis time point and the information fraction is expected to be about 0.80 (362 with "mature ORR information" of 450 enrolled). The nominal Type I error rates for the interim analyses and final analysis that will allow tight control of the overall Type I error for testing the ORR hypothesis will be derived using the alpha-spending function approach. The group sequential testing of the ORR hypothesis will be conducted with an efficacy boundary only. The efficacy boundary for the ORR will be set using an Exponential spending function $f(t) = \alpha^{t^{-\nu}}$ [62] with parameter v=0.25, which yields a Pocock-like boundary. The ORR hypothesis is initially allocated a Type I error $\alpha = 0\%$ and thus, cannot be tested unless one or both of the PFS or OS null hypotheses have been rejected. Depending on the results of the OS and PFS hypotheses testing, the ORR hypothesis can be tested at Type I error levels of α=0.2%, 1.15%, or 2.5%.

Table 17 shows the boundary thresholds corresponding to a group sequential testing of the ORR hypothesis at each of these Type I error levels. The interim analysis information fractions will be adjusted according to the proportion of the total number of subjects actually randomized (which will be known at the time of the interim analyses) who were enrolled at least 24 weeks prior to the interim data cutoff dates and thus had an opportunity to have at least 4 scheduled scans if not discontinued by the time of interim analysis. The Exponential spending function will then be used to update the boundary thresholds in Table 17.

OF COMET

Type I		~ 1			Efficacy	Boundary C	rossing†
Error (Overall α)	Analysis	Study Calendar Time	N‡	Information Fraction	Nominal α	$ORR \Delta^{\S}$	Power
	IA1	Month 17	163	0.36	0.03%	~16.77%	17%
0.2%	IA2	Month 31	362	0.80	0.12%	~10.05%	73%
	Final	Month 37	450	1.00	0.11%	~9.07%	85%
	IA1	Month 17	163	0.36	0.31%	~12.95%	42%
1.15%	IA2	Month 31	362	0.80	0.67%	~7.85%	91%
	Final	Month 37	450	1.00	0.58%	~7.18%	96%
	IA1	Month 17	163	0.36	0.86%	~11.09%	58%
2.50%	IA2	Month 31	362	0.80	1.45%	~6.80%	96%
	Final	Month 37	450	1.00	1.23%	~6.28%	98%

 Table 17
 Efficacy Boundaries for Testing the ORR Hypothesis

 $^{\$}\Delta = ORR$ in pembrolizumab group – ORR in control group. The assumed expected ORR in pembrolizumab and control groups are 15% and 3%, respectively.

The spending function planned to be used for the testing of the OS and ORR hypotheses satisfy the requirements laid out in Maurer and Bretz [58]. More details will be included in the sSAP.

8.9 Sample Size and Power Calculations

The study will randomize subjects in a 2:1 ratio into the pembrolizumab plus BSC arm and the control arm (placebo plus BSC).

The final analysis is event driven (i.e., the testing of the OS and PFS hypotheses will be conducted upon accumulation of a preset number of events). The study is designed and will be conducted to accumulate approximately 345 OS events unless superiority in OS is proven at the interim analyses.

OS Analysis: A total of approximately 345 OS events are required to test the OS hypothesis at Type I error rate of α =2.3% with ~87% power (see Table 15) if the underlying OS hazard ratio (pembrolizumab/control) is 0.7. A total of approximately 450 subjects are needed to be enrolled into the study in order to accumulate approximately 345 OS events at approximately Month 37 after study start.

The sample size and power calculation is based on the following assumptions: 1) a hazard ratio of 0.7; 2) overall survival follows an exponential distribution with a median of 6.0 months in the control arm; 3) an enrollment rate of 16 subjects per month with a 6-month ramp up time; and 4) a monthly dropout rate of $\sim 0.2\%$.

105

PFS Analysis: As described in Section 8.7 Interim Analysis, the primary PFS analysis will be conducted at the same time as the OS interim analysis at approximately Month 31 after study start. It is projected that approximately 395 PFS events will be accumulated at this time. With 395 PFS events, the testing of the PFS hypothesis at Type I error α =0.2% has approximately 88% power to demonstrate that pembrolizumab is superior to the control with respect to PFS if the underlying PFS hazard ratio (pembrolizumab/control) is 0.65.

The sample size and power calculation is based on the following assumptions: 1) a hazard ratio of 0.65; 2) progression-free survival follows an exponential distribution with a median of 1.5 months in the control arm; 3) an enrollment rate of 16 subjects per month with a 6-month ramp up time; and 4) a monthly dropout rate of $\sim 1\%$.

The sample size and power calculation were performed in the software EAST and R (package "gsDesign").

8.10 Subgroup Analyses and Effect of Baseline Factors

To determine whether the treatment effect is consistent across various subgroups, the estimate of the between-group treatment effect (with a nominal 95% CI) for the primary endpoint will be estimated and plotted within each category of the following classification variables:

- Prior treatment (Sorafenib, chemotherapy)
- Macrovascular invasion (Yes, No)
- Etiology (HCV, HBV, and uninfected)
- α -Fetoprotein (ng/mL) (<200, \geq 200)
- Reason for discontinuation of prior treatment (progressive disease, intolerance)
- ECOG performance status (0, 1)
- Age (<65 years, \geq 65 years)
- Extrahepatic spread (Yes, No)
- Region (China, ex-China)

8.11 Compliance (Medication Adherence)

Drug accountability data for trial treatment will be collected during the study. Any deviation from protocol-directed administration will be reported.

8.12 Extent of Exposure

The extent of exposure will be summarized as duration of treatment in cycles.

9.0 LABELING, PACKAGING, STORAGE AND RETURN OF CLINICAL SUPPLIES

9.1 Investigational Product

The investigator shall take responsibility for and shall take all steps to maintain appropriate records and ensure appropriate supply, storage, handling, distribution and usage of investigational product in accordance with the protocol and any applicable laws and regulations.

Clinical Supplies will be provided by the Sponsor as summarized in Table 18.

Clinical supplies will be packaged to support enrollment and replacement subjects as required. When a replacement subject is required, the Sponsor or designee needs to be contacted prior to dosing the replacement supplies.

Table 18Product Descriptions

Product Name & Potency	Dosage Form	Source/Additional Information		
Pembrolizumab, 100 mg/ 4 mL	Injection	Provided centrally by the Sponsor		

All supplies indicated in Table 18 will be provided per the "Source/Additional Information" column depending on local country operational requirements.

Any commercially available product not included in Table 18 will be provided by the trial site, subsidiary or designee. Every attempt should be made to source these supplies from a single lot/batch number. The trial site is responsible for recording the lot number, manufacturer, and expiry date for any locally purchased product as per local guidelines unless otherwise instructed by the Sponsor.

9.2 Packaging and Labeling Information

Clinical supplies will be affixed with a clinical label in accordance with regulatory requirements.

Site pharmacies will receive open label kits of study drug.

9.3 Clinical Supplies Disclosure

This trial is blinded but provided open label; therefore, an unblinded pharmacist or qualified trial site personnel will be used to blind supplies. Treatment identity (name, strength or potency) is included in the label text; random code/disclosure envelopes or lists are not provided.

The emergency unblinding call center will use the treatment/randomization schedule for the trial to unblind subjects and to unmask treatment identity. The emergency unblinding call center should only be used in cases of emergency (see Section 7.1.5.2). In the event that the emergency unblinding call center is not available for a given site in this trial, the central
electronic treatment allocation/randomization system (IVRS/IWRS) should be used in order to unblind subjects and to unmask treatment/vaccine identity. The Sponsor will not provide random code/disclosure envelopes or lists with the clinical supplies.

Treatment identification information is to be unmasked ONLY if necessary for the welfare of the subject. Every effort should be made not to unblind the subject unless necessary.

In the event that unblinding has occurred, the circumstances around the unblinding (e.g., date, reason and person performing the unblinding) must be documented promptly, and the Sponsor Clinical Director notified as soon as possible. Once an emergency unblinding has taken place, the principal investigator, site personnel, and Sponsor personnel may be unblinded so that the appropriate follow-up medical care can be provided to the subject.

Section 5.8 outlines the criteria for allowing subjects who are discontinued from treatment to continue to be monitored in the trial.

9.4 Storage and Handling Requirements

Clinical supplies must be stored in a secure, limited-access location under the storage conditions specified on the label.

Receipt and dispensing of trial medication must be recorded by an authorized person at the trial site.

Clinical supplies may not be used for any purpose other than that stated in the protocol.

9.5 Discard/Destruction/Returns and Reconciliation

The investigator is responsible for keeping accurate records of the clinical supplies received from the Sponsor or designee, the amount dispensed to and returned by the subjects and the amount remaining at the conclusion of the trial. For all trial sites, the local country Sponsor personnel or designee will provide appropriate documentation that must be completed for drug accountability and return, or local discard and destruction if appropriate. Where local discard and destruction is appropriate, the investigator is responsible for ensuring that a local discard/destruction procedure is documented.

9.6 Standard Policies

Trial site personnel will have access а central electronic treatment to allocation/randomization system (IVRS/IWRS system) to allocate subjects, to assign treatment to subjects and to manage the distribution of clinical supplies. Each person accessing the IVRS system must be assigned an individual unique PIN. They must use only their assigned PIN to access the system, and they must not share their assigned PIN with anyone.

10.0 ADMINISTRATIVE AND REGULATORY DETAILS

10.1 Confidentiality

10.1.1 Confidentiality of Data

By signing this protocol, the investigator affirms to the Sponsor that information furnished to the investigator by the Sponsor will be maintained in confidence, and such information will be divulged to the institutional review board, ethics review committee (IRB/ERC) or similar or expert committee; affiliated institution and employees, only under an appropriate understanding of confidentiality with such board or committee, affiliated institution and employees. Data generated by this trial will be considered confidential by the investigator, except to the extent that it is included in a publication as provided in the Publications section of this protocol.

10.1.2 Confidentiality of Subject Records

By signing this protocol, the investigator agrees that the Sponsor (or Sponsor representative), IRB/ERC, or regulatory authority representatives may consult and/or copy trial documents in order to verify worksheet/case report form data. By signing the consent form, the subject agrees to this process. If trial documents will be photocopied during the process of verifying worksheet/case report form information, the subject will be identified by unique code only; full names/initials will be masked prior to transmission to the Sponsor.

By signing this protocol, the investigator agrees to treat all subject data used and disclosed in connection with this trial in accordance with all applicable privacy laws, rules and regulations.

10.1.3 Confidentiality of Investigator Information

By signing this protocol, the investigator recognizes that certain personal identifying information with respect to the investigator, and all subinvestigators and trial site personnel, may be used and disclosed for trial management purposes, as part of a regulatory submissions, and as required by law. This information may include:

- 1. name, address, telephone number and e-mail address;
- 2. hospital or clinic address and telephone number;
- 3. curriculum vitae or other summary of qualifications and credentials; and
- 4. other professional documentation.

Consistent with the purposes described above, this information may be transmitted to the Sponsor, and subsidiaries, affiliates and agents of the Sponsor, in your country and other countries, including countries that do not have laws protecting such information. Additionally, the investigator's name and business contact information may be included when reporting certain serious adverse events to regulatory authorities or to other investigators. By signing this protocol, the investigator expressly consents to these uses and disclosures.

If this is a multicenter trial, in order to facilitate contact between investigators, the Sponsor may share an investigator's name and contact information with other participating investigators upon request.

10.1.4 Confidentiality of IRB/IEC Information

The Sponsor is required to record the name and address of each IRB/IEC that reviews and approves this trial. The Sponsor is also required to document that each IRB/IEC meets regulatory and ICH GCP requirements by requesting and maintaining records of the names and qualifications of the IRB/IEC members and to make these records available for regulatory agency review upon request by those agencies.

10.2 Compliance with Financial Disclosure Requirements

Financial Disclosure requirements are outlined in the US Food and Drug Administration Regulations, Financial Disclosure by Clinical Investigators (21 CFR Part 54). It is the Sponsor's responsibility to determine, based on these regulations, whether a request for Financial Disclosure information is required. It is the investigator's/subinvestigator's responsibility to comply with any such request.

The investigator/subinvestigator(s) agree, if requested by the Sponsor in accordance with 21 CFR Part 54, to provide his/her financial interests in and/or arrangements with the Sponsor to allow for the submission of complete and accurate certification and disclosure statements. The investigator/subinvestigator(s) further agree to provide this information on a Certification/Disclosure Form, commonly known as a financial disclosure form, provided by the Sponsor. The investigator/subinvestigator(s) also consent to the transmission of this information to the Sponsor in the United States for these purposes. This may involve the transmission of information to countries that do not have laws protecting personal data.

10.3 Compliance with Law, Audit and Debarment

By signing this protocol, the investigator agrees to conduct the trial in an efficient and diligent manner and in conformance with this protocol; generally accepted standards of Good Clinical Practice (e.g., International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guideline and other generally accepted standards of good clinical practice); and all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations relating to the conduct of the clinical trial.

The Code of Conduct, a collection of goals and considerations that govern the ethical and scientific conduct of clinical investigations sponsored by Merck, is provided in Section 12.1 - Merck Code of Conduct for Clinical Trials.

The investigator also agrees to allow monitoring, audits, IRB/ERC review and regulatory authority inspection of trial-related documents and procedures and provide for direct access to all trial-related source data and documents.

The investigator agrees not to seek reimbursement from subjects, their insurance providers or from government programs for procedures included as part of the trial reimbursed to the investigator by the Sponsor. The investigator shall prepare and maintain complete and accurate trial documentation in compliance with Good Clinical Practice standards and applicable federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations; and, for each subject participating in the trial, provide all data, and, upon completion or termination of the clinical trial, submit any other reports to the Sponsor as required by this protocol or as otherwise required pursuant to any agreement with the Sponsor.

Trial documentation will be promptly and fully disclosed to the Sponsor by the investigator upon request and also shall be made available at the trial site upon request for inspection, copying, review and audit at reasonable times by representatives of the Sponsor or any regulatory authorities. The investigator agrees to promptly take any reasonable steps that are requested by the Sponsor as a result of an audit to cure deficiencies in the trial documentation and worksheets/case report forms.

The investigator must maintain copies of all documentation and records relating to the conduct of the trial in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements. This documentation includes, but is not limited to, the protocol, worksheets/case report forms, advertising for subject participation, adverse event reports, subject source data, correspondence with regulatory authorities and IRBs/ERCs, consent forms, investigator's curricula vitae, monitor visit logs, laboratory reference ranges, laboratory certification or quality control procedures and laboratory director curriculum vitae. By signing this protocol, the investigator agrees that documentation shall be retained until at least 2 years after the last approval of a marketing application in an ICH region or until there are no pending or contemplated marketing applications in an ICH region or until at least 2 years have elapsed since the formal discontinuation of clinical development of the investigational product. Because the clinical development and marketing application process is variable, it is anticipated that the retention period can be up to 15 years or longer after protocol database lock. The Sponsor will determine the minimum retention period and notify the investigator when documents may be destroyed. The Sponsor will determine the minimum retention period and upon request, will provide guidance to the investigator when documents no longer need to be retained. The sponsor also recognizes that documents may need to be retained for a longer period if required by local regulatory requirements. All trial documents shall be made available if required by relevant regulatory authorities. The investigator must consult with and obtain written approval by the Sponsor prior to destroying trial and/or subject files.

ICH Good Clinical Practice guidelines recommend that the investigator inform the subject's primary physician about the subject's participation in the trial if the subject has a primary physician and if the subject agrees to the primary physician being informed.

The investigator will promptly inform the Sponsor of any regulatory authority inspection conducted for this trial.

Persons debarred from conducting or working on clinical trials by any court or regulatory authority will not be allowed to conduct or work on this Sponsor's trials. The investigator will immediately disclose in writing to the Sponsor if any person who is involved in conducting the trial is debarred or if any proceeding for debarment is pending or, to the best of the investigator's knowledge, threatened.

In the event the Sponsor prematurely terminates a particular trial site, the Sponsor will promptly notify that trial site's IRB/IEC.

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

According to European legislation, a Sponsor must designate an overall coordinating investigator for a multi-center trial (including multinational). When more than one trial site is open in an EU country, Merck, as the Sponsor, will designate, per country, a national principal coordinator (Protocol CI), responsible for coordinating the work of the principal investigators at the different trial sites in that Member State, according to national regulations. For a single-center trial, the Protocol CI is the principal investigator. In addition, the Sponsor must designate a principal or coordinating investigator to review the trial report that summarizes the trial results and confirm that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the report accurately describes the conduct and results of the trial [Clinical Study Report (CSR) CI]. The Sponsor may consider one or more factors in the selection of the individual to serve as the Protocol CI and or CSR CI (e.g., availability of the CI during the anticipated review process, thorough understanding of clinical trial methods, appropriate enrollment of subject cohort, timely achievement of trial milestones). The Protocol CI must be a participating trial investigator.

10.4 Compliance with Trial Registration and Results Posting Requirements

Under the terms of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act (FDAMA) and the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act (FDAAA), the Sponsor of the trial is solely responsible for determining whether the trial and its results are subject to the requirements for submission to the Clinical Trials Data Bank, http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Merck, as Sponsor of this trial, will review this protocol and submit the information necessary to fulfill these requirements. Merck entries are not limited to FDAMA/FDAAA mandated trials. Information posted will allow subjects to identify potentially appropriate trials for their disease conditions and pursue participation by calling a central contact number for further information on appropriate trial locations and trial site contact information.

By signing this protocol, the investigator acknowledges that the statutory obligations under FDAMA/FDAAA are that of the Sponsor and agrees not to submit any information about this trial or its results to the Clinical Trials Data Bank.

10.5 Quality Management System

By signing this protocol, the Sponsor agrees to be responsible for implementing and maintaining a quality management system with written development procedures and functional area standard operating procedures (SOPs) to ensure that trials are conducted and data are generated, documented, and reported in compliance with the protocol, accepted standards of Good Clinical Practice, and all applicable federal, state, and local laws, rules and regulations relating to the conduct of the clinical trial.

10.6 Data Management

The investigator or qualified designee is responsible for recording and verifying the accuracy of subject data. By signing this protocol, the investigator acknowledges that his/her electronic signature is the legally binding equivalent of a written signature. By entering his/her electronic signature, the investigator confirms that all recorded data have been verified as accurate.

Detailed information regarding Data Management procedures for this protocol will be provided separately.

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

19-Apr-2021

10.7 Publications

This trial is intended for publication, even if terminated prematurely. Publication may include any or all of the following: posting of a synopsis online, abstract and/or presentation at a scientific conference, or publication of a full manuscript. The Sponsor will work with the authors to submit a manuscript describing trial results within 12 months after the last data become available, which may take up to several months after the last subject visit in some cases such as vaccine trials. However, manuscript submission timelines may be extended on OTC trials. For trials intended for pediatric-related regulatory filings, the investigator agrees to delay publication of the trial results until the Sponsor notifies the investigator that all relevant regulatory authority decisions on the trial drug have been made with regard to pediatric-related regulatory filings. Merck will post a synopsis of trial results for approved products on www.clinicaltrials.gov by 12 months after the last subject's last visit for the primary outcome, 12 months after the decision to discontinue development, or product marketing (dispensed, administered, delivered or promoted), whichever is later.

These timelines may be extended for products that are not yet marketed, if additional time is needed for analysis, to protect intellectual property, or to comply with confidentiality agreements with other parties. Authors of the primary results manuscript will be provided the complete results from the Clinical Study Report, subject to the confidentiality agreement. When a manuscript is submitted to a biomedical journal, the Sponsor's policy is to also include the protocol and statistical analysis plan to facilitate the peer and editorial review of the manuscript. If the manuscript is subsequently accepted for publication, the Sponsor will allow the journal, if it so desires, to post on its website the key sections of the protocol that are relevant to evaluating the trial, specifically those sections describing the trial objectives and hypotheses, the subject inclusion and exclusion criteria, the trial design and procedures, the efficacy and safety measures, the statistical analysis plan, and any amendments relating to those sections. The Sponsor reserves the right to redact proprietary information.

For multicenter trials, subsequent to the multicenter publication (or after public disclosure of the results online at www.clinicaltrials.gov if a multicenter manuscript is not planned), an investigator and his/her colleagues may publish their data independently. In most cases, publication of individual trial site data does not add value to complete multicenter results, due to statistical concerns. In rare cases, publication of single trial site data prior to the main paper may be of value. Limitations of single trial site observations in a multicenter trial should always be described in such a manuscript.

Authorship credit should be based on 1) substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) final approval of the version to be published. Authors must meet conditions 1, 2 and 3. Significant contributions to trial execution may also be taken into account to determine authorship, provided that contributions have also been made to all three of the preceding authorship criteria. Although publication planning may begin before conducting the trial, final decisions on authorship and the order of authors' names will be made based on participation and actual contributions to the trial and writing, as discussed above. The first author is responsible for defending the integrity of the data, method(s) of data analysis and the scientific content of the manuscript.

The Sponsor must have the opportunity to review all proposed abstracts, manuscripts or presentations regarding this trial 45 days prior to submission for publication/presentation. Any information identified by the Sponsor as confidential must be deleted prior to submission; this confidentiality does not include efficacy and safety results. Sponsor review can be expedited to meet publication timelines.

11.0 LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Mizuki Nishino, Anita Giobbie-Hurder, Maria Gargano et al. Developing a Common Language for Tumor Response to Immunotherapy: Immune-Related Response Criteria Using Unidimensional Measurements. Clin Cancer Res. 2013 July; 19(14) :3936-3943
- 2. Alejandro Forner, Josep M Llovet, Jordi Bruix. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 2012;379:1245-55
- 3. GLOBOCAN. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide in 2012. http://globocan.iarc.fr/
- 4. Jacques Ferlay, Isabelle Soerjomataram, Rajesh Dikshit, Sultan Eser, Colin Mathers, Marise Rebelo. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: Sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. International Journal of Cancer;136: E359-386
- 5. Hashem B. El-Serag. Epidemiology of Viral Hepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 2012 May; 142(6): 1264–1273.
- Hillary Lin, Nghiem B. Ha, Aijaz Ahmed et al. Both HCV and HBV are Major Causes of Liver Cancer in Southeast Asians. J Immigrant Minority Health (2013) 15:1023– 1029
- 7. Hashem B. El-Serag. Epidemiology of Viral Hepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 2012 May; 142(6): 1264–1273.e1
- 8. Josep M. Llovet, Sergio Ricci, Vincenzo Mazzaferro, et al. Sorafenib in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:378-90
- 9. Ann-Lii Cheng, Yoon-Koo Kang, Zhengdong Chen et al. Efficacy and Safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.2009;10:25-34
- 10. Shukui Qin, Yuxian Bai, Ho Yeong Lim et al. Randomized, Multicenter, Open-Label Study of Oxaliplatin Plus Fluorouracil/Leucovorin Versus Doxorubicin As Palliative Chemotherapy in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma From Asia;J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3501-3508
- 11. Shukui Qin, Ying Cheng, Jun Liang et al. Efficacy and Safety of the FOLFOX4 Regimen Versus Doxorubicin in Chinese PatientsWith Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Subgroup Analysis of the EACH Study. The Oncologist 2014;19:1–10
- 12. China Ministry of Health. Diagnosis and Treatment Guideline for Primary Liver Cancer. Chinese Clinical Oncology.2011;16(10):929-946

- Korean Liver Cancer Study Group (KLCSG), National Cancer Center, Korea (NCC).
 2014 Korean Liver Cancer Study Group-National Cancer Center Korea Practice Guideline for the Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Korean Journal of Radiology.2015; 16(3): 465-522
- 14. Josep M. Llovet, Thomas Decaens, Jean-Luc Raoul, Eveline Boucher, Masatoshi Kudo et al. Brivanib in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Who Were Intolerant to Sorafenib or for Whom Sorafenib Failed: Results From the Randomized Phase III BRISK-PS Study. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:3509-3516
- 15. Andrew X. Zhu, Masatoshi Kudo,Eric Assenat, et al. Effect of Everolimus on Survival in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Failure of Sorafenib The EVOLVE-1 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2014;312(1):57-67
- 16. Andrew X Zhu, Joon Oh Park, Baek-Yeol Ryoo, et al. Ramucirumab versus placebo as second-line treatment in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma following first-line therapy with sorafenib (REACH): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2015; 16: 859–70
- 17. Armando Santoro, Lorenza Rimassa, Ivan Borbath, et al. Tivantinib for second-line treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol 2013; 14: 55–63
- 18. Jordi Bruix, Won-Young Tak, Antonio Gasbarrini, et al. Regorafenib as second-line therapy for intermediate or advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: Multicentre, open-label, phase II safety study. European Journal of Cancer 2013;49: 3412–3419
- 19. Alessandro Granito, Sara Marinellia, Eleonora Terzia, et al. Metronomic capecitabine as second-line treatment in hepatocellular carcinoma after sorafenib failure. Digestive and Liver Disease 2015;47: 518–522
- 20. Anna Patrikidou ,Isabelle Sinapi, Hélène Regnault et al. Gemcitabine and oxaliplatin chemotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after failure of anti-angiogenic therapies. Invest New Drugs 2014; 32:1028–1035
- 21. Disis ML. Immune regulation of cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(29):4531-8.
- 22. Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, Tamura H, Hirano F, Flies DB, et al. Tumorassociated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. Nat Med 2002;8(8):793-800.
- 23. Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ. The B7-CD28 superfamily. Nature 2002;2:116-26
- 24. Brown JA, Dorfman DM, Ma F-R, Sullivan EL, Munoz O, Wood CR, et al. Blockade of programmed death-1 ligands on dendritic cells enhances T cell activation and cytokine production. J Immunol 2003;170:1257-66.
- 25. Brown JA, Dorfman DM, Ma F-R, Sullivan EL, Munoz O, Wood CR, et al. Blockade of programmed death-1 ligands on dendritic cells enhances T cell activation and cytokine production. J Immunol 2003;170:1257-66.
- 26. Thompson RH, Dong H, Lohse CM, Leibovich BC, Blute ML, Cheville JC, et al. PD-1 expressed by tumor-infiltrating immune cells and is associated with poor outcome for patients with renal cell carcinoma. Clin Caner Res 2007;13(6):1757-61.

- 27. Talmadge JE, Donkor M, Scholar E. Inflammatory cell infiltration of tumors: Jekyll or Hyde. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2007;26:373-400
- 28. Usubütün A, Ayhan A, Uygur MC, Özen H, Toklu C, Ruacan S. Prognostic factors in renal cell carcinoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 1998;17(1):77-81
- 29. Al-Shibli K, Al-Saad S, Andersen S, Donnem T, Bremnes RM, Busund L-T. The prognistic value of intraepithelial and stromal CD3-, CD117- and CD138-positive cells in non-small cell lung carcinoma. APMIS 2010;118:371-82.
- 30. Deschoolmeester V, Baay M, Van Marck E, Weyler J, Vermeulen p, Lardon F, et al. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes: an intriguing player in the survival of colorectal cancer patients. BMC Immunol 2010;11:19.
- 31. Diez M, Pollán M, Enriquez JM, Dominguez P, Santana A, Tobaruela E, et al. Histopathologic prognostic score in colorectal adenocarcinomas. Anticancer Res 1998;18:689-94
- 32. Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pagès C, et al. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. Science 2006;313:1960-4.
- 33. Hiraoka N. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and hepatocellular carcinoma: molecular biology. Int J Clin Oncol 2010;15:544-51.
- 34. Nobili C, Degrate L, Caprotti R, Franciosi C, Leone BE, Trezzi R, et al. Prolonged survival of a patient affected by pancreatic adenocarcinoma with massive lymphocyte and dendritic cell infiltration after interleukin-2 immunotherapy. Report of a case. Tumori 2008;94:426-30
- 35. Chemnitz JM, Parry RV, Nichols KE, June CH, Riley JL. SHP-1 and SHP-2 associate with immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif of programmed death 1 upon primary human T cell stimulation, but only receptor ligation prevents T cell activation. J Immunol 2004;173:945-54
- 36. Kloor M. Lymphocyte infiltration and prognosis in colorectal cancer. Lancet 2009;10(840):841.
- 37. Hillen F, Baeten CIM, van de Winkel A, Creytens D, van der Schaft DWJ, Winnepenninckx V, et al. Leukocyte infiltration and tumor cell plasticity are parameters of aggressiveness in primary cutaneous melanoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2008;57:97-106.
- 38. Lee HE, Chae SW, Lee YJ, Kim MA, Lee HS, Lee BL, et al. Prognostic implications of type and density of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 2008;99(10):1704-11.
- 39. Leffers N, Gooden MJM, de Jong RA, Hoogeboom B-N, ten Hoor KA, Hollema H, et al. Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes in primary and metastatic lesions of advanced stage ovarian cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2009;58:449-59.
- 40. Nishimura H, Honjo T, Minato N. Facilitation of ßselection and modification of positive selection in the thymus of PD-1-deficient mice. J Exp Med 2000;191(5):891-7

- 41. Liotta F, Gacci M, Frosali F, Querci V, Vittori G, Lapini A, et al. Frequency of regulatory T cells in peripheral blood and in tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes correlates with poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma. BJU Intern 2010;107:1500-6
- 42. Ropponen KM, Eskelinen MJ, Lipponen PK, Alhava E, Kosma V-M. Prognostic value of tumour-infiltrating lynmphocytes (TILs) in colorectal cancer. J Pathol 1997;182:318-24.
- 43. Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Topalian SL, Restifo NP, et al. Adoptive cell transfer therapy following non-myeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(10):2346-57.
- 44. Hunder NN, Wallen H, Cao J, Hendricks DW, Reilly JZ, Rodmyre R, et al. Treatment of metastatic melanoma with autologous CD4+ T cells against NY-ESO-1. N Engl J Med 2008;358(25):2698-703.
- 45. Pölcher M, Braun M, Friedrichs N, Rudlowski C, Bercht E, Fimmers R, et al. Foxp3⁺ cell infiltration and granzyme B⁺/ Foxp3⁺ cell ratio are associated with outcome in neoadjuvant chemotherapy-treated ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2010;59:909-19.
- 46. Okazaki T, Maeda A, Nishimura H, Kurosaki T, Honjo T. PD-1 immunoreceptor inhibits B cell receptor-mediated signaling by recruiting src homology 2-domaincontaining tyrosine phosphatase 2 to phosphotyrosine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98(24):13866-71.
- 47. Greenwald RJ, Freeman GJ, Sharpe AH. The B7 family revisted. Annu Rev Immunol 2005;23:515-48.
- 48. Aizea Morales-Kastresana, Miguel F. Sanmamed, Inmaculada Rodriguez, et al. Combined Immunostimulatory Monoclonal Antibodies Extend Survival in an Aggressive Transgenic Hepatocellular Carcinoma Mouse Model. Clin Cancer Res; 2013;(22): 6151–62
- 49. Qiang Gao, Xiao-Ying Wang, Shuang-Jian Qiu, et al. Overexpression of PD-L1SignificantlyAssociates with Tumor Aggressiveness and Postoperative Recurrence in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res .2009;15:971-979
- 50. Feng Shi, Ming Shi, Zhen Zeng, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 upregulation promotes CD81 Tcell apoptosis and postoperative recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Int. J. Cancer.2011; 128: 887–896
- Anthony B, EI-Khoueiry,Ignacio Melero, et al. Phase1/2 Safety and Antitumor Activity of Nivolumab in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma(HCC):CA2019-040. ASCO Annual Meeting.2015.Abstract LBA 101
- 52. Anthony B, EI-Khoueiry, Bruno Sangro, et al. Phase I/II safety and antitumor activity of nivolumab (nivo) in patients (pts) with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Interim analysis of the CheckMate-040 dose escalation study. ASCO Annual Meeting.2016.Abstract 4012

- 53. Bruno Sangro, Ignacio Melero, Thomas Yau, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of nivolumab (nivo) in patients (pts) with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Interim analysis of dose expansion cohorts from the phase 1/2 CheckMate-040 study. ASCO Annual Meeting.2016.Abstract 4078
- 54. Yujin Hoshida , Augusto Villanueva, Masahiro Kobayashi, et al. Gene Expression in Fixed Tissues and Outcome in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1995-2004
- 55. Bruno Sangro, Carlos Gomez-Martin, Manuel de la Mata, et al. A clinical trial of CTLA-4 blockade with tremelimumab in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and chronic hepatitis C. Journal of Hepatology. 2013; 59: 81–88
- 56. Neil K. Aaronson, Sam Ahmedzai, Bengt Bergman et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: A Quality-of-Life Instrument for Use in International Clinical Trials in Oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:365–376
- 57. Olli Miettinen, Markku Nurminen. Comparative Analysis of Two Rate. Statistics in Medicine. 1985; 4: 213-226
- 58. Willi Maurer, Frank Bretz. Multiple Testing in Group Sequential Trials Using Graphical Approaches. Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research. 2013, 5(4): 311-320
- 59. James M.Robins, Anastasions A.Tsiatis. Correcting For Non-Compliance in Randomized Trials Using Rank Preserving Structural Failure Time Models. Commun.Statist.-Theory Meth, 1991;20(8):2609-2631
- 60. Hajime Uno, Brian Claggett, Lu Tian, et al. Moving Beyond the Hazard Ratio in Quantifying the Between-Group Difference in Survival Analysis. J Clin Oncol 32:2380-2385.
- 61. Patricia M. Odell, Keaven M, Anderson. New Models For Predicting Cardiovascular Events. J Clin Epidemiol , 1994; 47(6). 583-592
- 62. Keaven M. Anderson, Jason B. Clark. Fitting spending functions. Statist. Med,2010;29:321-327
- 63. Llovet JM, Di Bisceglie AM, Bruix J, Kramer BS, Lencioni R, Zhu AX, et al. Design and endpoints of clinical trials in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008 May 21;100(10):698-711.

12.0 APPENDICES

12.1 Merck Code of Conduct for Clinical Trials

Merck* Code of Conduct for Clinical Trials

I. Introduction

A. Purpose

Merck, through its subsidiaries, conducts clinical trials worldwide to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of our products. As such, we are committed to designing, implementing, conducting, analyzing and reporting these trials in compliance with the highest ethical and scientific standards. Protection of subject safety is the overriding concern in the design of clinical trials. In all cases, Merck clinical trials will be conducted in compliance with local and/or national regulations and in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

B. <u>Scope</u>

Such standards shall be endorsed for all clinical interventional investigations sponsored by Merck irrespective of the party (parties) employed for their execution (e.g., contract research organizations, collaborative research efforts). This Code is not intended to apply to trials which are observational in nature, or which are retrospective. Further, this Code does not apply to investigator-initiated trials which are not under the control of Merck.

II. Scientific Issues

A. Trial Conduct

1. Trial Design

Except for pilot or estimation trials, clinical trial protocols will be hypothesis-driven to assess safety, efficacy and/or pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic indices of Merck or comparator products. Alternatively, Merck may conduct outcomes research trials, trials to assess or validate various endpoint measures, or trials to determine subject preferences, etc.

The design (i.e., subject population, duration, statistical power) must be adequate to address the specific purpose of the trial. Research subjects must meet protocol entry criteria to be enrolled in the trial.

2. Site Selection

Merck selects investigative sites based on medical expertise, access to appropriate subjects, adequacy of facilities and staff, previous performance in Merck trials, as well as budgetary considerations. Prior to trial initiation, sites are evaluated by Merck personnel to assess the ability to successfully conduct the trial.

3. Site Monitoring/Scientific Integrity

Trial sites are monitored to assess compliance with the trial protocol and general principles of Good Clinical Practice. Merck reviews clinical data for accuracy, completeness and consistency. Data are verified versus source documentation according to standard operating procedures. Per Merck policies and procedures, if fraud, misconduct or serious GCP-non-Compliance are suspected, the issues are promptly investigated. When necessary, the clinical site will be closed, the responsible regulatory authorities and ethics review committees notified and data disclosed accordingly.

B. Publication and Authorship

To the extent scientifically appropriate, Merck seeks to publish the results of trials it conducts. Some early phase or pilot trials are intended to be hypothesis-generating rather than hypothesis testing. In such cases, publication of results may not be appropriate since the trial may be underpowered and the analyses complicated by statistical issues of multiplicity.

Merck's policy on authorship is consistent with the requirements outlined in the ICH-Good Clinical Practice guidelines. In summary, authorship should reflect significant contribution to the design and conduct of the trial, performance or interpretation of the analysis, and/or writing of the manuscript. All named authors must be able to defend the trial results and conclusions. Merck funding of a trial will be acknowledged in publications.

III. Subject Protection

A. IRB/ERC review

All clinical trials will be reviewed and approved by an independent IRB/ERC before being initiated at each site. Significant changes or revisions to the protocol will be approved by the IRB/ERC prior to implementation, except that changes required urgently to protect subject safety and well-being may be enacted in anticipation of IRB/ERC approval. For each site, the IRB/ERC and Merck will approve the subject informed consent form.

B. Safety

The guiding principle in decision-making in clinical trials is that subject welfare is of primary importance. Potential subjects will be informed of the risks and benefits of, as well as alternatives to, trial participation. At a minimum, trial designs will take into account the local standard of care. Subjects are never denied access to appropriate medical care based on participation in a Merck clinical trial.

All participation in Merck clinical trials is voluntary. Subjects are enrolled only after providing informed consent for participation. Subjects may withdraw from a Merck trial at any time, without any influence on their access to, or receipt of, medical care that may otherwise be available to them.

C. Confidentiality

Merck is committed to safeguarding subject confidentiality, to the greatest extent possible. Unless required by law, only the investigator, sponsor (or representative) and/or regulatory authorities will have access to confidential medical records that might identify the research subject by name.

D. Genomic Research

Genomic Research will only be conducted in accordance with informed consent and/or as specifically authorized by an Ethics Committee.

IV. Financial Considerations

A. Payments to Investigators

Clinical trials are time- and labor-intensive. It is Merck's policy to compensate investigators (or the sponsoring institution) in a fair manner for the work performed in support of Merck trials. Merck does not pay incentives to enroll subjects in its trials. However, when enrollment is particularly challenging, additional payments may be made to compensate for the time spent in extra recruiting efforts.

Merck does not pay for subject referrals. However, Merck may compensate referring physicians for time spent on chart review to identify potentially eligible subjects.

B. Clinical Research Funding

Informed consent forms will disclose that the trial is sponsored by Merck, and that the investigator or sponsoring institution is being paid or provided a grant for performing the trial. However, the local IRB/ERC may wish to alter the wording of the disclosure statement to be consistent with financial practices at that institution. As noted above, publications resulting from Merck trials will indicate Merck as a source of funding.

C. Funding for Travel and Other Requests

Funding of travel by investigators and support staff (e.g., to scientific meetings, investigator meetings, etc.) will be consistent with local guidelines and practices including, in the U.S., those established by the American Medical Association (AMA).

V. Investigator Commitment

Investigators will be expected to review Merck's Code of Conduct as an appendix to the trial protocol, and in signing the protocol, agree to support these ethical and scientific standards.

* In this document, "Merck" refers to Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. and Schering Corporation, each of which is a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc. Merck is known as MSD outside of the United States and Canada. As warranted by context, Merck also includes affiliates and subsidiaries of Merck & Co., Inc."

12.2 Collection and Management of Specimens for Future Biomedical Research

1. Definitions

- a. Biomarker: A biological molecule found in blood, other body fluids, or tissues that is a sign of a normal or abnormal process or of a condition or disease. A biomarker may be used to see how well the body responds to a treatment for a disease or condition.¹
- b. Pharmacogenomics: The investigation of variations of DNA and RNA characteristics as related to drug/vaccine response.²
- c. Pharmacogenetics: A subset of pharmacogenomics, pharmacogenetics is the influence of variations in DNA sequence on drug/vaccine response.²
- d. DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid.
- e. RNA: Ribonucleic acid.

2. Scope of Future Biomedical Research

The specimens collected in this trial as outlined in Section 7.1.3.3 – Future Biomedical Research Sample Collection will be used to study various causes for how subjects may respond to a drug/vaccine. Future biomedical research specimen(s) will be stored to provide a resource for future trials conducted by the Sponsor focused on the study of biomarkers responsible for how a drug/vaccine enters and is removed by the body, how a drug/vaccine works, other pathways a drug/vaccine may interact with, or other aspects of disease. The specimen(s) may be used for future assay development and/or drug/vaccine development.

It is now well recognized that information obtained from studying and testing clinical specimens offers unique opportunities to enhance our understanding of how individuals respond to drugs/vaccines, enhance our understanding of human disease and ultimately improve public health through development of novel treatments targeted to populations with the greatest need. All specimens will be used by the Sponsor or those working for or with the Sponsor.





6. Withdrawal From Future Biomedical Research

Subjects may withdraw their consent for Future Biomedical Research and have their specimens and all derivatives destroyed. Subjects may withdraw consent at any time by contacting the principal investigator for the main trial. If medical records for the main trial are still available, the investigator will contact the Sponsor using the designated

123

obtain appropriate information to complete specimen withdrawal. Subsequently, the subject's specimens will be removed from the biorepository and be destroyed. Documentation will be sent to the investigator confirming the destruction. It is the responsibility of the investigator to inform the subject of completion of destruction. Any analyses in progress at the time of request for destruction or already performed prior to the request being received by the Sponsor will continue to be used as part of the overall research trial data and results. No new analyses would be generated after the request is received.

In the event that the medical records for the main trial are no longer available (e.g., if the investigator is no longer required by regulatory authorities to retain the main trial records) or the specimens have been completely anonymized, there will no longer be a link between the subject's personal information and their specimens. In this situation, the request for specimen destruction can not be processed.

7. Retention of Specimens

Future Biomedical Research specimens will be stored in the biorepository for potential analysis for up to 20 years from the end of the main study. Specimens may be stored for longer if a regulatory or governmental authority has active questions that are being answered. In this special circumstance, specimens will be stored until these questions have been adequately addressed.

Specimens from the trial site will be shipped to a central laboratory and then shipped to the Sponsor-designated biorepository. If a central laboratory is not utilized in a particular trial, the trial site will ship directly to the Sponsor-designated biorepository. The specimens will be stored under strict supervision in a limited access facility which operates to assure the integrity of the specimens. Specimens will be destroyed according to Sponsor policies and procedures and this destruction will be documented in the biorepository database.

8. Data Security

Databases containing specimen information and test results are accessible only to the authorized Sponsor representatives and the designated trial administrator research personnel and/or collaborators. Database user authentication is highly secure, and is accomplished using network security policies and practices based on international standards (e.g., ISO17799) to protect against unauthorized access.

9. Reporting of Future Biomedical Research Data to Subjects

No information obtained from exploratory laboratory studies will be reported to the subject, family, or physicians. Principle reasons not to inform or return results to the subject include: Lack of relevance to subject health, limitations of predictive capability, and concerns regarding misinterpretation.

If any exploratory results are definitively associated with clinical significance for subjects while the clinical trial is still ongoing, investigators will be contacted with information. After the clinical trial has completed, if any exploratory results are definitively associated with clinical significance, the Sponsor will endeavor to make such results available

through appropriate mechanisms (e.g., scientific publications and/or presentations). Subjects will not be identified by name in any published reports about this study or in any other scientific publication or presentation.

10. Future Biomedical Research Study Population

Every effort will be made to recruit all subjects diagnosed and treated on Sponsor clinical trials for Future Biomedical Research.

11. Risks Versus Benefits of Future Biomedical Research

For future biomedical research, risks to the subject have been minimized. No additional risks to the subject have been identified as no additional specimens are being collected for Future Biomedical Research (i.e., only leftover samples are being retained).

The Sponsor has developed strict security, policies and procedures to address subject data privacy concerns. Data privacy risks are largely limited to rare situations involving possible breach of confidentiality. In this highly unlikely situation there is risk that the information, like all medical information, may be misused.

12. Questions

Any questions related to the future biomedical research should be e-mailed directly to clinical.specimen.management@merck.com.

13. References

- 1. National Cancer Institute: http://www.cancer.gov/dictionary/?searchTxt=biomarker
- International Conference on Harmonization: DEFINITIONS FOR GENOMIC BIOMARKERS, PHARMACOGENOMICS, PHARMACOGENETICS, GENOMIC DATA AND SAMPLE CODING CATEGORIES - E15; http://www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA3383.pdf



12.3 Understanding the Intent, Scope and Public Health Benefits of Exploratory Biomarker Research: A Guide for IRBs/IECs and Investigational Site Staff

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

This informational brochure is intended for IRBs/IECs and Investigational Site Staff. The brochure addresses issues relevant to specimen collection for biomarker research in the context of pharmaceutical drug and vaccine development.

Developed by The Industry Pharmacogenomics Working Group (I-PWG) www.i-pwg.org

1. What is a Biomarker and What is Biomarker Research?

A biomarker is a "characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention".

Biomarker research, including research on pharmacogenomic biomarkers, is a tool used to improve the development of pharmaceuticals and understanding of disease. It involves the analysis of biomolecules (such as DNA, RNA, proteins, and lipids), or other measurements (such as blood pressure or brain images) in relation to clinical endpoints of interest. Biomarker research can be influential across all phases of drug development, from drug discovery and preclinical evaluations to clinical development and post-marketing studies. This brochure focuses on biomarker research involving analysis of biomolecules from biological samples collected in clinical trials. Please refer to I-PWG Pharmacogenomic Informational Brochure² and ICH Guidance E15³ for additional information specific to pharmacogenomic biomarkers.

2. Why is Biomarker Research Important?

Importance to Patients and Public Health

Biomarker research is helping to improve our ability to predict, detect, and monitor diseases and improve our understanding of how individuals respond to drugs. This research underlies personalized medicine: a tailored approach to patient treatment based on the molecular analysis of genes, proteins, and metabolites.⁴ The goal of biomarker research is to aid clinical decision-making toward safer and more efficacious courses of treatment, improved patient outcomes, and overall cost-savings. It also allows for the continued development and availability of drugs that are effective in certain sub-populations when they otherwise might not have been developed due to insufficient efficacy in the broader population.

Recent advances in biomedical technology, including genetic and molecular medicine, have greatly increased the power and precision of analytical tools used in health research and have accelerated the drive toward personalized medicine. In some countries, highly focused initiatives have been created to promote biomarker research (e.g., in the US: www.fda.gov/oc/initiatives/criticalpath/; in the EU: www.fda.gov/oc/initiatives/criticalpath/; in the EU: www.fmi.europa.eu/index_en.html).

Importance to Drug Development

Biomarker research is being used by the pharmaceutical industry to streamline the drug development process. Some biomarkers are used as substitutes or "surrogates" for safety or efficacy endpoints in clinical trials particularly where clinical outcomes or events cannot practically or ethically be measured (e.g., cholesterol as a surrogate for cardiovascular disease).⁹ By using biomarkers to assess patient response, ineffective drug candidates may be terminated earlier in the development process in favor of more promising drug candidates. Biomarkers are being used to optimize clinical trial designs and outcomes by identifying patient populations that are more likely to respond to a drug therapy or to avoid specific adverse events.



MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

1

Confidential

19-Apr-2021

Biomarker research is also being used to enhance scientific understanding of the mechanisms of both treatment response and disease processes, which can help to identify future targets for drug development. Depending on the clinical endpoints in a clinical trial, biomarker sample collection may either be a required or optional component of the trial. However, both mandatory and optional sample collections are important for drug development.

Importance of Biomarkers to Regulatory Authorities

Regulatory health authorities are increasingly aware of the benefits of biomarkers and how they may be used for drug approval, clinical trial design, and clinical care. Biomarkers have been used to establish risk:benefit profiles. For example, the FDA has modified the US warfarin (Coumadin®) label to include the analysis of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genes to guide dosing regimens. Health authorities such as the FDA (USA), EMEA (European Union), MHLW (Japan), and ICH (International) are playing a key role in advancing this scientific field as it applies to pharmaceutical development by creating the regulatory infrastructure to facilitate this research. Numerous regulatory guidances and concept papers have already been issued, many of which are available through www.i-pwg.org. Global regulatory authorities have highlighted the importance of biomarker research and the need for the pharmaceutical industry to take the lead in this arena.3, 6-24

4. How are Biomarkers Being Used in Drug/Vaccine Development?

Biomarker research is currently being used in drug/vaccine development to:

- Explain variability in response among participants in clinical trials
- Better understand the mechanism of action or metabolism of investigational drugs
- Obtain evidence of pharmacodynamic activity (i.e., how the drug affects the body) at the molecular level
- Address emerging clinical issues such as unexpected adverse events
- Determine eligibility for clinical trials to optimize trial design
- Optimize dosing regimens to minimize adverse reactions and maximize efficacy
- Develop drug-linked diagnostic tests to identify patients who are more likely or less likely to benefit from treatment or who may be at risk of experiencing adverse events
- Provide better understanding of mechanisms of disease
- Monitor clinical trial participant response to medical interventions

Biomarker research, including research on banked samples, should be recognized as an important public health endeavor for the overall benefit of society, whether by means of advancement of medical science or by development of safer and more effective therapies.⁷ Since the value of collected samples may increase over time as scientific discoveries are made, investment in long-term sample repositories is a key component of biomarker research.



19-Apr-2021

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

2



19-Apr-2021

Confidential

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

and regulations for legally-appropriate informed consent vary on national, state, and local levels, but are generally based on internationally recognized pillars of ethical conduct for research on human subjects.²⁸⁻³¹

Optional vs. Required Subject Participation Depending on the relevance of biomarker research to a clinical development program at the time of protocol development, the biomarker research may be a core required component of a trial (e.g., key to elucidating the drug mechanism of action or confirming that the drug is interacting with the target) or may be optional (e.g., to gain valuable knowledge that enhances the understanding of diseases and drugs). Informed consent for the collection of biomarker samples may be presented either in the main clinical informed consent form or as a separate informed consent form, with approaches varying somewhat across pharmaceutical companies. The relevance of biomarker research to a clinical development program may change over time as the science evolves. The samples may therefore increase in value after a protocol is developed.

Consent for Future Research Use

While it can be a challenge to specify the details of the research that will be conducted in the future. the I-PWG holds the view that future use of samples collected for exploratory biomarker research in clinical trials should be permissible when i) the research is scientifically sound, ii) participants are informed of the scope of the intended future research. even if this is broadly defined (see potential uses in Section 4 above), iii) autonomy is respected by providing the option to consent separately to future use of samples or by providing the option to terminate further use of samples upon request (consent withdrawal / sample destruction), and iv) industry standards for confidentiality protection per Good Clinical Practice guidelines are met.3, 31 Importantly, any research using banked samples should be consistent with the original informed consent, except where otherwise permitted by local law or regulation.

Important elements of informed consent for future use of samples include, but are not limited to:³⁹

The scope of research – Where the scope of the potential future research is broad, participants should be informed of the boundaries of the research. While it may not be possible to describe the exact analytical techniques that will be used, or specific molecules that will be analyzed, it is possible to clearly articulate in reasonable detail the type of research to be conducted and its purpose. Information regarding whether stored samples may be shared with other parties or utilized for commercialization purposes should also be addressed.

Withdrawal of consent / sample destruction – The informed consent form should inform participants of their right to withdraw their consent / request destruction of their samples. This should include the mechanisms for exercising that right and any limitations to exercising that right. For example, participants should be informed that it is not possible to destroy samples that have been anonymized.⁹ In addition, according to industry standards and regulatory guidance, participants should be informed that data already generated prior to a consent withdrawal request are to be maintained as part of the study data.³⁸

The duration of storage – The permissible duration of storage may vary according to the nature and uses of the samples and may also vary on national, state, and local levels. The intended duration of storage, including indefinite storage, should be specified.

- 4

OBBACCONT

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

-PWG

19-Apr-2021



060300618 T

8. Biomarker Sample Collection in Different Countries

Collection of biological samples for biomarker research is straightforward in most jurisdictions. Some countries have specific laws and regulations regarding collection, labeling, storage, export, and/or use of exploratory samples. In addition, some regulations distinguish between DNA and non-DNA samples or between samples used for diagnostic purposes and samples collected for scientific research. Processes for the collection, labeling, storage, export, and/or use of biomarker samples should always adhere to the laws and regulations of the country/region in which those samples are collected.

9. Return of Research Results to Study Participants

Policies for the return of biomarker research results to study participants who request them vary among pharmaceutical companies. There are many considerations that pharmaceutical companies weigh when determining their policy regarding the return of biomarker research results to study participants. These include:

 the conditions under which biomarker research results were generated (i.e., exploratory research laboratory versus accredited diagnostic laboratory)

ii) whether the results will have an impact on the medical care of the participant or on a related person, if applicable

iii) whether genetic counseling is recommended (for genetic results)

iv) the ability to accurately link the result to the individual from whom the sample was collected

 v) international, national, and local guidelines, policies, legislation, and regulations regarding participants' rights to access data generated on them Renegar et al. 2006 and Article 29 Data Protection Working Party (an advisory committee to the European Commission on the European Data Protection Directive) have addressed these considerations in detail in relation to pharmacogenomic research data and provided a list of documents addressing the general issue of return of research results.³⁴⁻³⁶

10. Benefits and Risks Associated with Biomarker Research

Benefits

While it may not always directly benefit the study participant who is providing the samples, biomarker research can improve overall understanding of disease and treatment of future patients receiving therapies developed from such research. Patients are now benefiting from retrospective biomarker research conducted on samples collected from clinical trials and stored for exploratory research. One example is the recent label update to the EGFR antibody drugs cetuximab (Erbitux[®]) and panitumumab (Vectibix[®]) which highlights the value of KRAS status as a predictive biomarker for treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer with this class of drug.

The humanitarian benefit of human research is recognized by the Nuremberg Code.^{28,33} Provided that the degree of risk does not exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved, research participants should not be denied the right to contribute to the greater common good.^{28,32}

Risks

Risks associated with biomarker research are primarily related to the physical aspects of obtaining the sample and to patient privacy concerns.

Physical risks associated with biomarker sample collection in clinical trials can be characterized in two ways: i) negligible additional risk when the biomarker sample is collected as part of a procedure conducted to support



MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

8

other core trial objectives, and ii) some added risk where the sampling procedure would otherwise have not been performed as a core component of a trial. Risks are also determined by the invasiveness of the sample collection procedure.

Privacy risks are generally those associated with the inappropriate disclosure and misuse of data. Pharmaceutical companies have policies and procedures for confidentiality protection to minimize this risk for all data collected and generated in clinical trials. These may vary across companies, but are based on industry standards of confidentiality and privacy protection highlighted in the following section. Importantly, privacy risks inherent to biomarker data are no greater than other data collected in a clinical trial.

11. Privacy, Confidentiality, and Patient Rights

Maintaining the privacy of study participants and the confidentiality of information relating to them is of paramount concern to industry researchers, regulators, and patients. Good Clinical Practice (GCP), the standard adhered to in pharmaceutical clinical research, is a standard that

"...provides assurance that the data and reported results are credible and accurate, and that the rights, integrity, and confidentiality of trial subjects are protected",

where confidentiality is defined as, "The prevention of disclosure, to other than authorized individuals, of a sponsor's proprietary information or of a subject's identity."

This standard dictates that "the confidentiality of records that could identify subjects should be protected, respecting the privacy and confidentiality rules in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements." ³¹ Exploratory biomarker research in pharmaceutical development is commonly conducted in research laboratories that are not accredited to perform diagnostic tests used for healthcare decision-making. Therefore, results from exploratory biomarker research usually are not appropriate for use in making decisions about a trial participant's health. In addition, exploratory research data should not be included as part of a participant's medical record accessible for use by insurance companies. Legislation and policies to protect individuals against discrimination based on genetic information continually evolve based on social, ethical, and legal considerations. Examples of such legislation include the Human Tissue Act 2004 (UK) and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) 2008 (USA).³⁶⁻³⁷

12.Where to Get More Information?

Educational resources related to biomarker and pharmacogenomic research that caters to health care professionals, IRBs/IECs, scientists, and patients are continually being created and are publicly available. Links to many of these resources are available through the I-PWG website: www.i-pwg.org.

13. What is I-PWG?

The Industry Pharmacogenomics Working Group (I-PWG) (formerly the Pharmacogenetics Working Group) is a voluntary association of pharmaceutical companies engaged in pharmacogenomic research. The Group's activities focus on non-competitive educational, informational, ethical, legal, and regulatory topics. The Group provides information and expert opinions on these topics and sponsors educational/ informational programs to promote better understanding of pharmacogenomic and other biomarker research for key stakeholders. The I-PWG interacts with regulatory author-



MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

7

ities and policy groups to ensure alignment. More information about the I-PWG is available at: www.i-pwg.org.

14. Contributing authors

Monique A. Franc, Teresa Hesley, Feng Hong, Ronenn Roubenoff, Jasjit Sarang, Andrea Tyukody Renninger, Amelia Warner

15. References

 Atkinson AJ, Colbum WA, DeGruttola VG, et al. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: Preferred definitions and conceptual framework. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics 2001; 69(3): 89-95. (Accessed at: www.ncbl.nim.nih.gov/pubmed/11240971)

 I - PWG Pharmacogenomics Informational Brochure, 2008. (Accessed at: http://www.i-pwg.org/cms/index.php?option-com_docman&task=doc_ download&gid=77&itemid=118)

 ICH E15 – Definitions for Genomic Biomarkers, Pharmacogenomics, Pharmacogenetics, Genomic Data and Sample Coding Categories. April 2008. (Accessed at: www.fda.gov/OHRMS/DOCKETS/96ft/FDA-2008-D-0199-gdl.pdf and at: http://www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA3383.pdf)

 Davis JC, Furstenthal L, Desal AA, et al. The microeconomics of personalized medicine: today's challenge and tomorrow's promise. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 2009; 8: 279. (Accessed at:http: www.nature.com/inrdijournaliv8in4/abs/hrd2825.html)

 Berns B, Démolis P, Scheulen ME. How can blomarkers become surrogale endpoints? European Journal of Cancer Supplements 2007; 5: 37-40. (Accessed at: www.journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/ejcsup/issues/ contents?issue_key=S1359-6349%2807%29X0031-4)

 Lesko LJ, Woodcock J. Translation of pharmacogenomics and pharmacogenetics: a regulatory perspective. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 2004; 3: 763-769. (Accessed at: www.nature.com/mdi/ournal/V3/MSIabat/md1499.html)
 Lesko LJ, Woodcock J. Pharmacogenomic-guided drug development: regulatory perspective. The Pharmacogenomics Journal, 2002; 2: 20-24. (Accessed at www.ncbi.nlm.nh.gov/pubmed/11990376)

 Petricoln EF, Hackett JL, Lesko LJ, et al. Medical applications of microarray technologies: a regulatory science perspective. Nat Genet., 2002; 32: 474-479. (Accessed al: www.nature.com/ng/journal//32/n4s/abs/ng1029.html)
3. Lesko LJ, Salerno RA, Spear BB, et al. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics in drug development and regulatory decision making: report of the first FDA-PWG-PhRMA-DruSafe Workshop. J Clin Pharmacol., 2003; 43:

342-358. (Accessed at: http://jcp.sagepub.com/ogl/content/abstract/43/4/342) 10. Salemo RA, Lesko LJ. Pharmacogenomics in Drug Development and Regulatory Decision-making: the Genomic Data Submission (GDS) Proposal. Pharmacogenomics, 2004; 5: 25-30. (Accessed at: www.futureedictine.com/doi/pdf/10.2217/14622416.5.1.25)

 Frueh FW, Goodsald F, Rudman A, et al. The need for education in pharmacogenomics: a regulatory perspective. The Pharmacogenomics Journal, 2005; 5: 218-220. (Accessed at: www.nature.com/lpi/journal/v5/n4/ abs/6500316a.html)

 Genomic Biomarkers Related to Drug Response: Context, Structure and Format of Qualification Submissions. ICH E16 Step 3 draft. (Accessed at: www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/ich/38063609endraft.pdf)

 Guiding principles Processing Joint FDA EMEA Voluntary Genomic Data Submissions (VGDSs) within the framework of the Confidentiality Arrangement. May 19, 2006. (Accessed at:

www.titu.gov/dowrkada/Drugs/Science/Research/Research/Neura/Pharmacogenetical.com/85578.pdf) 14. Guidance for Industry Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions. FDA. March 2005. (Accessed at

www.bs.gevdewreade/Duge/Guderer/Cerplanet/regulator/information/Gudereasterr079440.pdf) 15. Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions - Companion Guidance. FDA Draft Guidance. August 2007. (Accessed at:

www.bis.gev8ewireada/Duga/Gudanos/ComplianceReputator/information/Gudancestum/070855.pdf) 16. Reflection Paper on Pharmacogenomics in Oncology. EMEA. 2008. (Accessed at: (Accessed at:

www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/pharmacogenetics/12843506endraft.pdf)

17. Position paper on Terminology in Pharmacogenetics. EMEA. 2002. (Accessed al: www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/press/pp/307001en.pdf)

 Concept paper on the development of a Guideline on the use of pharmacogenomic methodologies in the pharmacokinetic evaluation of medicinal products. EMEA. 2009. (Accessed at:

www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/pharmacogenetics/6327009en.pdf}

19. Reflection paper on Pharmacogenomic samples, testing and data handling. EMEA. 2007. (Accessed at:

www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/pharmacogenetics/20191406en.pdf)

 Ishiguro A, Toyoshima S, Uyama Y. Current Japanese regulatory situations of pharmacogenomics in drug administration. Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 2008;1: 505-514. (Accessed al: www.ingentaconnect.com/ content/ftd/ecp/2008/00000001/00000004/art00007)

21. Amur S, Frueh FW, Lesko LJ, et al. Integration and use of



8

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

Confidential

19-Apr-2021

biomarkers in drug development, regulation and clinical practice: A US regulatory practice. Biomarkers Med. 2008; 2, 305-311. (Accessed at: www.ingentaconnect.com/content/fm/bmm/2008/00000002/00000083/ art00010?crawler=true)

 Mendrick DL, Brazell C, Mansfield EA, et al. Pharmacogenomics and regulatory decision making: an international perspective. The Pharmacogenomics Journal. 2005; 6(3), 154-157. (Accessed at:

www.nature.com/tpi/journal/v6/n3/abs/6500364a.html)

 Pendergast MK. Regulatory agency consideration of pharmacogenomics. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2008; 233:1498-503. (Accessed at.

www.ebmonline.org/cgi/content/abstract/233/12/1496)

 Goodsaid F, Frueh F. Process map proposal for the validation of genomic biomarkers. Pharmacogenomics., 2006; 7(5):773-82 (Accessed at: www.futuremedicine.com/doi/abs/10.2217/14622416.7.5.773)

25. FDA Table of Valid Genomic Biomarkers in the Context of Approved Drug Labels. (Accessed at:

www.fda.gov/Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ ucm083378.htm)

 International Serious Adverse Event Consortium. (Accessed at: www.saeconsortium.org)

27. Predictive Safety Testing Consortium. (Accessed at: www.c-path.org/pstc.cfm)

28. Nuremberg code. (Accessed al:

http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html)

29. Declaration of Heisinki. (Accessed at:

http://ohsr.od.nlh.gov/guidelines/heisinki.html)

 Belmont report. (Accessed at: http://ohsr.od.nlh.gov/guidelines/belmont.html)
 ICH E6(R1) – Guideline for Good Clinical Practice. June 1996. (Accessed at: www.ich.org/LOB/media/MED/A482.pdf)

 Barnes M, Heffernan K. The "Future Uses" Dilemma: Secondary Uses of Data and Materials by Researchers for Commercial Research Sponsors. Medical Research Law & Policy, 2004; 3: 440-450.

 Eriksson S, Heigesson G. Potential harms, anonymization, and the right to withdraw consent to biobank research. Eur J Hum Genet., 2005; 13:1071-1076. (Accessed at: www.nature.com/ejhg/journal/v13/n9/pdf/5201458a.pdf)

 Renegar G, Webster CJ, Stuerzebecher S, et al. Returning genetic research results to individuals: points-to-consider. Bioethics 2005; 20: 24-35. (Accessed at: http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/ogi-bin/fulltext/118562753/PDFSTART)

 Article 29 Data Protection Working Party. (Accessed at: www.ec.europa.eu/usilce_home/fs/privacy/workinggroup/index_en.htm)
 Human Tissue Act 2004 (UK). (Accessed at:

www.opsl.gov.uk/acts/acts2004/en/ukpgaen_20040030_en_1)

37. Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act. (Accessed at:

9

MK-3475-394-05 Final Protocol

Confidential

http://wwt.pain.access.googev/gridingetdoc.og/filmaniae-110_corg_public_ines/docat-fpublic23.110.pd/) 38. Guidance for Sponsors, Clinical Investigators, and IRBs Data Retention When Subjects Withdraw from FDA-Regulated Clinical Trials. FDA October 2008 www.fda.gow/OHRMS/DOCKETS/98ft/FDA-2008-D-0576-gdi.pdf

39. Anderson C, Gomez-Manollia B, Spear BB, Barnes DM, Cheeseman K, Shaw P, Friedman J, McCarthy A, Brazell C, Ray SC, MoHale D, Hashimoto L, Sandbrink R, Watson ML, Salemo RA, on behalf of The Pharmacogenetics Working Group. Elements of informed Consent for Pharmacogenetic Research; Perspective of the Pharmacogenetics Vorking Group. Pharmacogenetics Journal 2002;2:284-92. (Accessed at: www.nature.com/tp/journal/v2n5/abs/6500131a.html)

134

I-PWG



060000618T

Trial Visit/Cycle/etc:	Screening & Visits 1-2	Treatment Visit 3	Treatment Visit 4	Treatment Visits 5-8	End of Treatment
Blood Parameter	Approximate Blood Volume (mL)				
Hematology					
Serum/Plasma Chemistry					
Serum β-Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (β-hCG) ^a					
HIV/Hepatitis Screen (Per site SOPs) ^b					
[insert additional rows as necessary for protocol specified procedures					
requiring blood draw]					
Expected Total (mL)					
a. For female subjects ofb. If applicable and depec. central lab selected by	endent upon site	SOP			

12.4 Approximate Blood/Tissue Volumes Drawn/Collected by Trial Visit and by Sample Types

12.5 Hepatitis B Definitions and Treatment Considerations

Table 19 describes the various definitions of treatment considerations and eligibility for study participation, along with the definitions of hepatitis B.

Test	Patient Status	Eligible for KN-394?	Any HBV Treatment Needed?
HBsAg (-) Anti-HBc (+) HBsAb (+)	Immune after natural infection	Yes	No
HBsAg (-) Anti-HBc (-) HBsAb (+)	Immune after vaccination	Yes	No
HBsAg (+) Anti-HBc (+) HBsAb (-)	Acute infection	No	
HBV DNA>=2000IU/ml(10 ⁴ copies/ml)			
HBsAg (+) Anti-HBc (+) HBsAb (-) HBV DNA<2000IU/ml(10 ⁴ copies/ml)	Chronic infection	Yes	Yes, need to be on a HBV treatment for at least 4 weeks prior to start of study treatment without evidence of a flare during that period <u>Exclude if:</u> (a) < 4 weeks of therapy; (b) HBV DNA not under control during this time frame;
HBsAg (-) Anti-HBc (+) HBsAb (-) HBV DNA (negative)	Unclear. Could be: (1) Resolved infection (2) False positive anti-HBc ((3) Low level infection (4) Resolving Acute infection (5) Low level	Yes	No Yes (as above)
HBsAg (-) Anti-HBc (+) HBsAb (-) HBV DNA (+),and <2000IU/ml(10 ⁴ copies/ml)	 (5) Low level infection (6) Resolving acute infection 	I es	i es (as adove)

Table 19 Hepatitis B Definitions and Treatment Consideration
--

12.6 Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events

The descriptions and grading scales found in the revised NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0 will be utilized for adverse event reporting. (http://ctep.cancer.gov/reporting/ctc.html).

12.7 Child-Pugh Score

The Child-Pugh score is used to assess the prognosis of chronic liver disease, mainly cirrhosis. Although it was originally used to predict mortality during surgery, it is now used to determine the prognosis, as well as the required strength of treatment and the necessity of liver transplantation.

Scoring

The score employs five clinical measures of liver disease. Each measure is scored from 1 to 3, with 3 indicating most severe derangement.

Measure	1 point	2 points	3 points
Total bilirubin ¹ (mg/dL)	<2.0	2.0 to 3.0	>3.0
Serum albumin (g/dL)	>3.5	2.8 to 3.5	<2.8
INR ² Or	<1.7	1.7 to 2.3	> 2.3
Prothrombin time, prolongation (seconds)	<4.0	4.0-6.0	>6.0
Ascites	None	Mild (easily controlled by medication)	Moderate to Severe (poorly controlled)
Hepatic encephalopathy ³	None	Grade I-II (mild or moderate)	Grade III-IV (severe or coma)

¹In primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis, the bilirubin references are changed to reflect the fact that these diseases feature high conjugated bilirubin levels. The upper limit for 1 point is 68 μ mol/L (4 mg/dL) and the upper limit for 2 points is 170 μ mol/L (10 mg/dL).

 2 Different textbooks and publications use different measures. Some older reference works substitute PT prolongation for INR

³ Hepatic encephelopathy graded according to West Haven Criteria for Semi-quantitative Grading of Mental Status: Adapted from: Conn H, Lieberthal M. The hepatic coma syndromes and lactulose. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1979.

In primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis, the bilirubin references are changed to reflect the fact that these diseases feature high conjugated bilirubin levels. The upper limit for 1 point is 68 μ mol/L (4 mg/dL) and the upper limit for 2 points is 170 μ mol/L (10 mg/dL).

Interpretation

Chronic liver disease is classified into Child-Pugh class A to C, employing the added score from above.

Points	Class	One-year survival	Two-year survival
5–6	А	100%	85%
7–9	В	81%	57%
10–15	С	45%	35%

12.8 Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer Staging System

The Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system is shown in Figure 4 below [63].



CLT = cadaveric liver transplantation; LDLT = living donor liver transplantation; PEI = percutaneous ethanol injection; RF = radio frequency (ablation); TACE = transarterial chemoembolization.

Figure 4 Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system

12.9 List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation/Term	Definition
ADA	Anti-drug antibodies
AE	Adverse event
AFP	Alpha fetoprotein
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ASaT	All subjects as treated
AST	An subjects as treated Aspartate aminotransferase
BCLC	Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer scale
BICR	Blinded independent central review
BID	*
	Twice daily
BSC	Best supportive care
CBC	Complete blood count
CI	Confidence interval
C _{max}	Serum maximum concentration
CNS	Central nervous system
CR	Complete response
CRF	Case Report Form
CRP	C-Reactive protein
CSR	Clinical Study Report
CT	Computed tomography
CTCAE	Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events
CTLA-4	Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte-Associated Antigen-4
C _{trough}	Serum minimum concentration
D-bil	Direct bilirubin
DCR	Disease control rate
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOR	Duration of response
ECG	Eletrocardiogram
ECI	Events of clinical interest
ECOG	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
EORTC	European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer
ERC	Ethics Review Committee
eDMC	External data monitoring committee
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EOC	Executive Oversight Committee
FBR	Future biomedical research
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FDAAA	Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act
FDAMA	Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act
FFPE	Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded
	Chemotherapy regimen containing: folinic acid, 5-fluorouracil,
FOLFOX	and oxaliplatin
FSH	Follicle-stimulating hormone
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
HBc	Hepatitis B core antigen
HBsAg	Hepatitis B surface antigen
HBV	Hepatitis B virus
НСС	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV	Hepatitis C virus
HDV	Hepatitis D virus

Abbreviation/Term	Definition
HEA	Health Economic Assessment
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
HRQoL	Health-related quality of life
IB	Investigator's Brochure
ICF	Informed consent form
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation
IEC	Independent Ethics Committee
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
INR	International normalized ratio
irRECIST	Immune related RECIST (modification of RECIST 1.1)
IRB	Institutional Review Board
ITT	Intent-to-treat
IUD	Intrauterine device
IV	Intravenous
IVRS	Interactive voice response system
IWRS	Integrated web response system
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MSI	Microsatellite instability
MTD	Maximum tolerated dose
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NSAID	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug
NSCLC	Non-small cell lung cancer
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
OTC	Over-the-counter
PD	Progressive disease
PD-1	Programmed cell death 1
PD-L1	Programmed death ligand 1
PD-L2	Programmed death ligand 2
PFS	Progression-free survival
PI	Principal investigator
РК	Pharmacokinetic
PR	Partial response
PRO	Patient Reported Outcome
PS	Performance status
QD	Once daily
RECIST	Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors
Q3W	Every 3 weeks
Q6W	Every 6 weeks
QALY	Quality adjusted life years
QLQ	Quality of Life Questionnaire
QoL	Quality of life
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SAE	Serious adverse events
SAP	Statistical analysis plan
SD	Stable disease
SIM	Site Imaging Manual
SOP	Standard operating procedures
sSAP	Supplemental SAP
SVR 12	Sustained virologic response for 12 weeks
SVR 24	Sustained virologic response for 24 weeks

Abbreviation/Term	Definition
T-bil	Total bilirubin
TACE	Transcatheter chemoembolization
TAE	Transarterial embolization
TIL	Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes
TTP	Time to progression
ULN	Upper limit of normal

13.0 SIGNATURES

13.1 Sponsor's Representative

TYPED NAME	
TITLE	
SIGNATURE	
DATE SIGNED	

13.2 Investigator

I agree to conduct this clinical trial in accordance with the design outlined in this protocol and to abide by all provisions of this protocol (including other manuals and documents referenced from this protocol). I agree to conduct the trial in accordance with generally accepted standards of Good Clinical Practice. I also agree to report all information or data in accordance with the protocol and, in particular, I agree to report any serious adverse events as defined in Section 7.0 – TRIAL PROCEDURES (Assessing and Recording Adverse Events). I also agree to handle all clinical supplies provided by the Sponsor and collect and handle all clinical specimens in accordance with the protocol. I understand that information that identifies me will be used and disclosed as described in the protocol, and that such information may be transferred to countries that do not have laws protecting such Since the information in this protocol and the referenced Investigator's information. Brochure is confidential, I understand that its disclosure to any third parties, other than those involved in approval, supervision, or conduct of the trial is prohibited. I will ensure that the necessary precautions are taken to protect such information from loss, inadvertent disclosure or access by third parties.

TYPED NAME	
TITLE	
SIGNATURE	
DATE SIGNED	