

**NCT0530836**  
**Last Updated: 12/01/2024**

Title of Study: Analysis of Tremor during Grasp Using Ultrasound Imaging

Principal Investigator: Nitin Sharma, nsharm23@ncsu.edu

Co-Investigators: Dr. Daniel Roque and Dr. Xiaoning Jiang

Funding Source: NIH R21 EB032059-0

## STATISTICAL DESIGN

### A. Tremor Model Accuracy.

Hypothesis: The data-driven and ultrasound-based tremor prediction model improves wrist angle prediction during tremor compared to the use of accelerometers.

Statistical Plan. Primary endpoint is the patient-level mean NRMSE of wrist angle prediction during tremor, computed by averaging trial NRMSEs within subject. We will perform a within-subject comparison of the data-driven with ultrasound enhanced model versus the accelerometer-only baseline using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (two-sided  $\alpha=0.05$ ), reporting the Hodges–Lehmann median paired difference and 95% bootstrap CIs; if  $>2$  models are included, we will first run a Friedman test with Holm–Bonferroni-adjusted post-hoc pairwise tests.

### B. Ultrasound Imaging based Tremor Frequency Prediction

Hypothesis: Tremor frequency derived from ultrasound features (e.g., tissue displacement) is equivalent to tremor frequency measured with an accelerometer or electromyography.

Statistical Plan. Primary endpoint is tremor frequency (Hz) per trial/site, summarized as the subject-level mean across trials. For each comparison (US-derived frequency vs accelerometer or EMG), we will test statistical equivalence using TOST with margin  $\Delta=0.5$  Hz by computing the 90% CI of the mean paired difference via a paired t-test; equivalence is concluded if the entire CI lies within  $[-0.5, +0.5]$  Hz (two-sided  $\alpha=0.10$ ). Analyses will be conducted separately by muscle/site (e.g., ECR, FCR) and then pooled across sites; we will report mean differences and 90% CIs along with equivalence conclusions.

### C. Tremor Suppression

Hypothesis. Afferent stimulation effectively suppresses tremor compared to baseline tremor with no stimulation

Statistical Plan. Primary endpoint is the within-subject tremor suppression ratio, defined as the percent reduction in RMS wrist tremor amplitude during stimulation compared to baseline. Differences across stimulation frequencies will be tested using a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA (within-subject factor: Frequency); if assumptions are not met, the Friedman test will be applied. Upon a significant omnibus result, pairwise frequency comparisons will be performed with Holm–Bonferroni correction, and we will report mean differences with 95% CIs.