Protocol Title: Ondansetron for Bipolar and Alcohol Use Disorders

Principal Investigator: E. Sherwood Brown, M.D., Ph.D.

Funding Sponsor: Stanley Medical Research Institute

NCT Number: 02082678

Document Date: 5/18/2017

AIMS

Primary Mood Aim: Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in depressive symptoms than placebo therapy in outpatients with bipolar disorder (BPD) and alcohol use disorder.

Primary Alcohol Aim: Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in alcohol use than placebo therapy in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder.

Secondary Aims: 1) Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in manic symptoms than placebo therapy in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder. 2) Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in alcohol craving than placebo therapy in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder. 3) Examine genotype as a baseline predictor of ondansetron response.

Exploratory Analyses: 1) Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater improvement in cognition than placebo therapy in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder. 2) Determine if reduction in alcohol use and alcohol craving is associated with reduction in manic and depressive symptoms in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder.

BACKGROUND

BPD is a severe and persistent psychiatric illness affecting 1.3-3.5% of the population.[1-3] Drug and alcohol abuse are common in persons with BPD.[4] Regier et al.[1] found a 61% lifetime prevalence of substance abuse in bipolar I and 48% prevalence in bipolar II patients. This prevalence is substantially greater than the 6% prevalence reported in the general population (odds ratio 8) and more than twice that of people with major depressive disorder (unipolar depression). *Thus, patients with BPD and substance-related disorders represent a significant public health concern.*

Alcohol is the most commonly abused substance in BPD. Numerous studies have reported high rates of alcohol-related disorders in BPD.[5-7] Persons with bipolar I disorder have a 46% lifetime prevalence of alcohol-related disorders compared to 14% in the population as a whole.[1] *Odds ratio of alcohol dependence is 5.5 for bipolar I disorder and 3.1 for bipolar II disorder*.

Substance abuse adversely affects the course of BPD. The negative impact of substance abuse on BPD is well documented. Studies report increased hospitalization[8-12] and lower rates of recovery during hospitalization in BPD patients with substance abuse.[13] Aggression and violence are also significantly greater in BPD patients with comorbid substance abuse.[14, 15] In addition, substance abuse is associated with medication nonadherence in BPD.[4, 16-19] Thus, substance-related disorders have a significant adverse impact on the course of BPD.

Cognition in BPD and Alcohol Dependence. Cognition is an important symptom domain in alcohol dependence. The severe and generally irreversible memory changes associated with the Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome are a dramatic example of the effects of alcohol on cognition.[20] Less severe, but still clinically significant, memory impairment is more common.[21] Some data suggest that memory impairment improves with cessation of alcohol use[22] but persisting cognitive deficits have also been reported.[21] BPD is also associated with impairment in memory and executive functioning[23] even following resolution of mood symptoms.[24, 25] The combination of alcohol dependence and BPD appears to be associated with an additive, detrimental effect on memory.[26-28] Thus, BPD and alcohol dependence are associated with poorer memory and executive functioning than BPD or alcohol dependence alone, that persists long after cessation of alcohol use.[27, 28] Therefore, a medication with a favorable safety profile that decreases alcohol use, and improves mood and memory would be very useful in this dual diagnosis population.

Limited data are available on the pharmacotherapy of BPD with comorbid alcohol dependence. However, the studies, to date, have been promising. Literature on the treatment of alcohol dependence in BPD is quite limited. Salloum et al. reported that 24 weeks of valproate therapy was associated with a significant reduction in heavy drinking days in 59 patients with BPD I and alcohol dependence.[29] Our group reported reduction in depressive symptoms, but no significant between-group differences in alcohol use, in 115 outpatients with BPD I or II disorder and alcohol abuse or

dependence given 12 weeks of quetiapine.[30] However, a subgroup of patients with higher levels of alcohol consumption at baseline showed a much more favorable response to quetiapine as compared to placebo. We also reported a reduction in alcohol use and improvement in depressive symptoms in patients with BPD given naltrexone as compared to placebo.[31] **Ondansetron May be Useful for Reducing Alcohol Consumption and Improving Mood**

A. Alcohol Use: Ondansetron is a serotonin (5-HT₃) receptor antagonist that is FDA-approved as an antiemetic at doses ranging from 8 to 24 mg. The patent has expired. The 5-HT₃ receptor appears to mediate, in part, the CNS effects of alcohol.[32] Alcohol potentiates 5-HT₃ receptor-mediated ion currents.[33] This effect is blocked by 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists.[34] Dopaminergic mesocorticolimbic dopamine pathways, in part, mediate the rewarding of alcohol and other substances of abuse.[35-39] The 5-HT₃ receptors in this

pathway regulate dopamine release from neurons.[40] Blockade of the 5-HT₃ receptor reduces alcohol use in animal models, possibly through a decrease in dopamine release.[41] Consistent with these pre-clinical findings, ondansetron appears to reduce alcohol consumption in humans. Johnson et al. randomized 271 outpatients with alcohol dependence to ondansetron (1, 4 or 16 µg/kg BID) or placebo for 11 weeks. Interestingly, differences in response were observed based on whether the participants had an early or late onset of alcohol dependence.[42] All ondansetron doses were superior to placebo in reducing drinks per day and drinks per drinking day in those with early onset alcohol dependence (\leq 25 years). The 4 µg/kg ondansetron dose was also superior to placebo on days abstinent and total days abstinent per week. A significant difference from placebo on carbohydrate deficient transferrin (CDT, a biomarker of alcohol use) was observed with the 1 and 4 µg/kg doses. Later research determined that people with the LL genotype for the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene had a greater reduction in alcohol use with ondansetron than those with the LL/SS genotype.[43] Thus, ondansetron is a potential treatment for alcohol dependence in which both a clinical characteristic (age on onset) and a biomarker (genotype) have been associated with clinical response.

B. Mood Symptoms: Ondansetron may also be useful for psychiatric symptoms. Johnson et al. examined scores on the Profile of Mood States (POMS) in patients with alcohol dependence receiving ondansetron (1, 4 or 16 μ g/kg BID) or placebo.[44] The 16 μ g/kg dose showed the greatest separation from placebo on the POMS. However, even the lower dosages were associated with significant improvement on many of the subscales in those with early-onset alcohol dependence. Ondansetron, at a much higher dose than used in the alcohol dependence studies (4 mg BID), was associated with improvement in depression and fatigue, as compared to placebo, in patients with hepatitis C. Two 12-week, randomized, double-blind trials have reported improvement with ondansetron (8mg/day) in patients with schizophrenia on negative symptoms of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) and visual memory.[45, 46] Given these data we will assess mood and cognition in the proposed study.

PILOT STUDIES

As mentioned above, our group has conducted prior treatment trials in patients with BPD and substance use over the past 15 years including some of the largest trials in this area published to date (n=90,115, 120, 130)[30] and two of the three were published, randomized, controlled trials in BPD and alcohol dependence. Our staff members have extensive experience conducting research in this population. We have previously utilized all of the procedures and outcomes measures proposed in the current proposal.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Study Overview: A 12-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study of ondansetron in 70 persons with early-onset alcohol use disorder and features of BPD, is proposed. Changes in alcohol use and mood will be assessed. Flexible dosing, based on depressive symptoms and alcohol use, will be utilized beginning at the low doses used for alcohol dependence and upwardly titrating, if needed, to the higher doses used for schizophrenia. A timeline for the study is provided in Figure 1.

Details of Proposed Experiment: A 12-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, flexible-dose, placebocontrolled trial of ondansetron in 70 outpatients with features of BPD and early-onset alcohol use disorder with active alcohol use is proposed. Potential participants will be identified through physician referral and through flyers and brochures at clinics that treat the population needed for this study. In most cases, the potential participant will call our clinic. At this time an appointment will be arranged, where informed consent will be obtained and assessment procedures, including a review of inclusion and exclusion criteria, will be performed. A structured clinical interview for DSM-V (SCID) will be performed to establish the diagnoses of bipolar I or II disorder and alcohol use disorder. The Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol Use-Revised[47] (CIWA-Ar) will be administered. Eligible participants will then be given the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression[48] 17-item version (HRSD17), Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self-Report[49-52] 30-item version (IDS-SR₃₀), Young Mania Rating Scale[53] (YMRS), Penn Alcohol Craving Scale[54] (PACS), Psychobiology of Recovery in Depression III - Somatic Symptom Scale[55] (PRD-III), a cognitive assessment, and a urine drug screen. Recent alcohol use (and if present, other substance use) will be assessed using the Timeline Followback (TLFB) method. [56, 57] The length of problem alcohol use will be assessed by asking "When did alcohol first start causing you problems?" Blood will be drawn for routine laboratory analyses including a complete blood count (CBC), SMA-20 (includes a liver panel with AST, ALT, and GGT), and CDT level at baseline (week $\overline{0}$) and weeks 4, 8, and 12. Mood stabilizer levels will also be tested, if possible, to assure the participant's medication adherence. Cognitive assessments will be performed at baseline and week 12. See Table 1 for a summary of the assessment schedule. Women of childbearing potential will receive a urine pregnancy test at baseline and weeks 4, 8, and 12 and will be counseled about effective contraceptive methods. A psychiatrist (PI or co-I) will assess participants at baseline and weekly follow-up visits and will participate in the informed consent process. Participants will be randomized (1:1) to receive ondansetron (0.5)

mg BID) or placebo. Study participants will begin study medication at 0.5 mg BID. Participants who are tolerating the study medication well will have the opportunity to have a medication titration in a dose-response manner to 1.0 mg, 2.0 mg, or 4.0 mg BID at weeks 4, 8 and 10. At week 4 participants with < 30% reduction in drinks per week and/or 30% reduction in the HRSD17, who are tolerating the medication well, will have a dose increase to 1.0 mg BID. At week 8, participants who are tolerating the medication well and have <50% reduction in drinks per week and/or the HRSD17 will titrate to the next higher dose (i.e., a patient who did not titrate up at week 4, but fails to meet criteria at week 8 will titrate to 1.0 mg BID). If they still have not achieved a 50% reduction in drinks per week and/or HRSD17 at week 10 they will again titrate to the next higher dose, 1.0 mg, 2.0 mg or 4.0 mg BID. At weekly visits the HRSD17, IDS-SR30, YMRS, and assessment of alcohol will again be evaluated. Participants will be paid for their time and inconvenience: \$10 at baseline I, \$60 at baseline II and week 12, \$30 at weeks 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11 and \$50 at weeks 4 and 8 (based on length of assessments), as well as an additional \$5 for each visit on Weeks 1-12 if they bring back their study medication bottle(s) to the appointment. Participants will also receive a \$2 cash payment that increases by \$2 each time an appointment is attended and resets back to \$2 if an appointment is missed. Bus passes (\$2.50) will be provided when needed. After completing the study, participants will be provided standard psychiatric care until an outside referral is arranged.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

<u>Inclusion</u>

- Outpatient men and women age 18-70 years old with bipolar I, II or NOS disorder, or schizoaffective disorder (bipolar type), or cyclothymic disorder, or major depressive disorder (MDD) with mixed features.
- Current diagnosis of alcohol use disorder (DSM V terminology) with onset \leq age 25.
- Alcohol use (by self-report) of at least 15 drinks in the 7 days prior to intake.
- If diagnosis of: bipolar I, II, or NOS, or cyclothymic disorder: Patient on a stable dose of a mood stabilizer therapy (lithium, anticonvulsant, atypical antipsychotic) for at least 14 days prior to randomization.
- If diagnosis of schizoaffective disorder (bipolar type): Patient on a stable dose of an atypical antipsychotic for at least 14 days prior to randomization. An atypical antipsychotic (Risperidone) will be prescribed to participants who meet criteria for schizoaffective disorder, but are not on a stable dose of an atypical antipsychotic at the time of screening.
- If diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) with mixed features: Patient on a stable dose of an antidepressant for at least 14 days prior to randomization. Patients who meet criteria for MDD with mixed features, but are not on an antidepressant at the time of screening, will be prescribed either Sertraline (50mg daily) or Escitalopram (5mg daily) prior to randomization. The doses may be titrated up by a study physician to achieve a therapeutic level.

Exclusion

- Baseline YMRS or HRSD17 scores \geq 35 to exclude those with very severe mood symptoms.
- Evidence of clinically significant alcohol withdrawal symptoms defined as a CIWA-Ar score of ≥ 10 .
- Therapy in past 14 days with naltrexone, acamprosate, disulfiram, or topiramate.
- Vulnerable populations (e.g. pregnant, breastfeeding, cognitively impaired (e.g. dementia, mentally challenged), incarcerated).
- High risk for suicide defined as > 1 attempt in past 12 months that required medical attention, any attempt in the past
- 3 months or current suicidal ideation with plan and intent such that outpatient care is precluded.
- Intensive outpatient treatment (defined as ≥ 3 visits each week) for substance abuse (AA, NA meetings, or less intensive counseling at baseline will be allowed).
- Severe or life-threatening medical condition (e.g., hepatic cirrhosis) or laboratory or physical examination findings consistent with serious medical illness (e.g., dangerously abnormal electrolytes).
- AST or ALT > 3 times the upper limit of normal.
- History of severe side effects or allergic reaction with prior ondansetron therapy (e.g. for emesis) or use of medications with significant drug-drug interactions with ondansetron (phenytoin, carbamazepine, and rifampicin, apomorphine, tramodol).

Discontinuation Criteria

- Change in diagnosis to any disorder other than those allowed for inclusion.
- Development of active suicidal or homicidal ideation with plan and intent.
- Pregnancy.
- Development of severe or life-threatening medical condition.
- Involuntary psychiatric hospitalization or incarceration.
- Significant alcohol withdrawal (e.g. delirium tremens) based on clinical judgment. Increases in CIWA-Ar scores will initiate a careful clinical assessment of possible worsening of withdrawal symptoms.

Recruitment and Patient Flow at Referral Sources: For over 15 years, we have conducted clinical trials in BPD and substance abuse. We have conducted some of the largest trials in BPD and substance dependence including a trial of quetiapine in 115 patients with BPD and alcohol dependence in slightly over 2 years. Given these data and the inclusion and exclusion criteria (e.g. only those with early onset alcohol dependence, baseline medications, baseline mood state, minimum baseline amount of drinking, early onset of drinking) of the proposed study, we anticipate enrolling 70 participants in approximately 2.5 years. We enroll participants through referrals from treatment providers in the community and advertisements.

Assessments: The clinician version of the SCID is a brief structured interview for major Axis I disorders in DSM including major depressive disorder, BPDs, psychotic disorders, and substance abuse/dependence.[58] CIWA-Ar is a 10-item, clinician-rated scale with a range from 0-67 that assesses alcohol withdrawal symptoms.[47] HRSD₁₇ is an observer-rated measure of depressive symptomatology.[48] IDS-SR₃₀ is a 30-item self-report scale of depressive symptom severity.[49-52] YMRS is an 11-item, observer-rated measure of manic symptoms. PACS is a 5-item, self-report measure that includes questions about alcohol craving.[54]

PRD-III - Somatic Symptom Scale[59] is a 24-item side effects rating scale that covers a wide range of common medication side effects. TLFB[56, 57] is a method for assessing recent drinking, in which participants retrospectively estimate daily alcohol consumption over a period of time ranging from 7 days to 24 months. Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R)[60] is a measure of visuospatial memory that has been standardized and normed for use with adults ages 18-79 years. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) measures verbal or declarative learning and memory.[61] Stroop Test measures the ease with which a person can shift perceptual sets to conform to changing demands and suppress a habitual response in favor of a nondominant one.[62] The Treatment Impressions Inventory (TII) is a scale generated by the PI and statistician in an effort to detect placebo effects in study participants. The assessment consists of 40 statements and requires the participant to respond based on how much he/she agrees with each statement on a 5 degree Likert scale. This assessment will be piloted in this study and given only at the baseline visit. The Pill Box Test will be given at baseline and week 12. The test is a neurocognitive measure for executive functioning that also has implications for gauging medication adherence.[63]

Management of concomitant psychotropic medications: Treatment of patients with the concurrent diagnosis of a major mental illness in addition to alcohol use disorder requires the ability to change dosages, or add and subtract concomitant medications in the interest of participant safety, retention, and generalizability. We elected to allow changes in concomitant medications for psychiatric symptoms when clinically needed. To provide consistency to the medication management, the study physicians will take over the management of these medications and use a modification of a treatment guideline we used in prior studies. Changes in concomitant medications will also be used as a covariate in the data analysis.

Ondansetron: Both IV and oral formulations are available. Generic equivalents have been available since 2006. Typical doses for nausea and vomiting are 8-24 mg. The oral formulation will be used in this study. **Ondansetron dosing in current proposal:** Lower ondansetron doses have been used for alcohol dependence than for nausea or psychiatric symptoms. In this study we plan to target both mood and alcohol use. Therefore, we propose beginning at a low dose, consistent with prior alcoholism studies, and titrating up (in a double-blind fashion) to doses used for other psychiatric disorders (e.g. schizophrenia) based on tolerability and response (alcohol use, depressive symptoms).

Genetic analysis: Blood samples will be sent for genotyping to UT Southwestern McDermott Center for Human Growth and Development (site of much of the genetic analysis at our institution). The 5-HTT gene [LL/LS/SS (associated with ondansetron response)] will be examined, and other analyses, based on available literature on BPD and alcohol dependence, BPD or ondansetron available at the end of the study, will be considered.

There has been a recent increase in interest in inflammatory and immune aspects of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. This has been accompanied by the use of anti-inflammatory drugs to treat these diseases. In a preliminary study, one protein marker (C-reactive protein) was identified that appeared to predict the response to anti-inflammatory drugs. Although pharmaceutical companies have looked for genetic markers of drug response, little work has been done looking at blood proteins and other non-genetic markers. For this reason SMRI is beginning to collect sera on the patients in many SMRI-funded treatment trials. The sera is collected on patients at the beginning and at the end of the trial, and then sent to the Stanley Laboratory of Developmental Neurovirology at Johns Hopkins University (under the direction of Dr. Robert Yolken) for analysis of protein markers of inflammation, such as C-reactive protein, cytokines, and antibodies to common infectious agents such as *Toxoplasma gondii* and herpes viruses. All sera will be sent to SMRI laboratory labeled with numbers; thus no patient identifying information will be sent to SMRI. This data is shared with the PI of the treatment trial who then assesses the data and results of the trial to determine whether the protein markers are predictive of the responders to this drug. The PI is of course then free to publish the results.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES PLAN

Randomization: Dr. Jeon-Slaughter, a statistician, will randomize patients using a random number program. We will stratify the randomization based on > 4 or ≤ 4 drinking days per week at baseline (selected based on anticipated mean drinking patterns informed by data from our prior research).

Primary Aim: Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in depressive symptoms than placebo therapy in outpatients with bipolar disorder (BPD) and alcohol use disorder. Determine if ondansetron as an add-on therapy is associated with a greater reduction in alcohol use than placebo therapy in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use disorder. Participants completing baseline and at least one post baseline assessment will be used in the analysis (intent-to-treat [ITT] sample). In the spirit of an ITT analysis, data will be collected even on participants who miss assessments or discontinue medication. Data on participants who do not complete the study will be analyzed up to the point of study discontinuation. A random regression that includes all data will be conducted with treatment group as the between-subjects factor; time as the within-subjects factor; and a group by time interaction with mood (e.g.

HRSD17) and alcohol-related outcomes (e.g. alcohol use, CDT level) as the dependent variables. The model will allow for random slopes and intercepts while all other factors will be fixed effects. SAS Proc Mixed will be used. The baseline value of the outcome will always be used as a covariate. The need for additional covariates or transformations of variables as well as goodness of fit of the final model will be investigated. The following covariates will be considered: age, gender, baseline use of substances other than alcohol, presence of anxiety disorders, baseline use of psychotropic medications, and change in use of psychotropic medications. Covariates will be included if they significantly improve the fit of the final model. An *a priori* alpha of 0.05 will be used for all other analyses. Other outcome measures will be assessed using similar methods. *Examine genotype as a baseline predictor of ondansetron response.* The purpose of this analysis is to identify moderators of treatment effect. Treatment effect is determined by treatment group main effect and treatment group by time interaction effect estimated from the random regression model described for the primary aim. Genotype will be included in the random regression model for the alcohol-related outcomes along with genotype by treatment group interaction and genotype by treatment group by time interaction. Significance for either interaction term would indicate that genotype is a moderator of the treatment effect. *Determine if reduction in alcohol use and alcohol* craving is associated with reduction in manic and depressive symptoms in outpatients with BPD and alcohol use *disorder.* We will explore correlations between baseline to exit changes in mood (e.g. HRSD17) and alcohol use/craving assessments using a Pearson's correlation coefficient in ondansestron and placebo groups.

Sample Size: A sample of n=70 provides 80% power for a two-sample t-test to compare groups with alpha=.05 on outcomes with a medium to large effect size (Cohen's $d \ge 0.7$).

Data and Safety Monitoring: In addition to data and safety monitoring by the PI and staff, a DSMB will be formed consisting of Alicia Meuret, Ph.D. (Chair) (Southern Methodist University), Carroll Hughes, Ph.D. (UT Southwestern, statistical expertise), and Arthur Westover, M.D. (Psychiatrist, UT Southwestern). The meeting will be conducted using the guidelines provided by the SMRI.

Bibliography and References Cited

Regier DA, Farmer ME, Rae DS, Locke BZ, Keith SJ, Judd LL, et al. Comorbidity of mental disorders 1. with alcohol and other drug abuse. Results from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) Study. JAMA. 1990;264(19):2511-8. PubMed PMID: 2232018.

Kessler RC, Nelson CB, McGonagle KA, Edlund MJ, Frank RG, Leaf PJ. The epidemiology of cooccurring addictive and mental disorders: implications for prevention and service utilization. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 1996;66(1):17-31. PubMed PMID: 8720638.

Merikangas KR, Akiskal HS, Angst J, Greenberg PE, Hirschfeld RM, Petukhova M, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of bipolar spectrum disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007;64(5):543-52. PubMed PMID: 17485606; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1931566. 4. Brown ES, Suppes T, Adinoff B, Rajan Thomas N. Drug abuse and bipolar disorder: comorbidity or

misdiagnosis? J Affect Disord. 2001;65(2):105-15. PubMed PMID: 11356233.

Mendlewicz J, Fieve RR, Rainer JD, Fleiss JL. Manic-depressive illness: a comparative study of patients with and without a family history. Br J Psychiatry. 1972;120(558):523-30. PubMed PMID: 5041532.

Morrison JR. Bipolar affective disorder and alcoholism. Am J Psychiatry. 1974;131(10):1130-3. PubMed PMID: 4412212.

Dunner DL, Hensel BM, Fieve RR. Bipolar illness: factors in drinking behavior. Am J Psychiatry. 1979:136(4B):583-5. PubMed PMID: 426148.

Sonne SC, Brady KT, Morton WA. Substance abuse and bipolar affective disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1994;182(6):349-52. PubMed PMID: 8201307.

Himmelhoch JM, Garfinkel ME. Sources of lithium resistance in mixed mania. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1986;22(3):613-20. PubMed PMID: 3797567.

10. Tohen M, Waternaux CM, Tsuang MT. Outcome in Mania. A 4-year prospective follow-up of 75 patients utilizing survival analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1990;47(12):1106-11. PubMed PMID: 2244795.

11. O'Connell RA, Mayo JA, Flatow L, Cuthbertson B, O'Brien BE. Outcome of bipolar disorder on long-term treatment with lithium. Br J Psychiatry. 1991;159:123-9. PubMed PMID: 1888958.

Haywood TW, Kravitz HM, Grossman LS, Cavanaugh JL, Jr., Davis JM, Lewis DA. Predicting the 12. "revolving door" phenomenon among patients with schizophrenic, schizoaffective, and affective disorders. Am J Psychiatry. 1995;152(6):856-61. PubMed PMID: 7755114.

13. Goldberg JF, Garno JL, Leon AC, Kocsis JH, Portera L. A history of substance abuse complicates remission from acute mania in bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 1999;60(11):733-40. PubMed PMID: 10584760.

14. Scott H, Johnson S, Menezes P, Thornicroft G, Marshall J, Bindman J, et al. Substance misuse and risk of aggression and offending among the severely mentally ill. Br J Psychiatry. 1998;172:345-50. PubMed PMID: 9715338.

15. Saxon AJ, Calsyn DA, Stanton V, Hawker CS. Using the general behavior inventory to screen for mood disorders among patients with psychoactive substance dependence. Am J Addict 1994;3:296-305.

16. Perlis ŘH, Ostacher MJ, Miklowitz DJ, Hay A, Nierenberg AA, Thase ME, et al. Clinical features associated with poor pharmacologic adherence in bipolar disorder: results from the STEP-BD study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(3):296-303. PubMed PMID: 20331931.

17. Sajatovic M, Ignacio RV, West JA, Cassidy KA, Safavi R, Kilbourne AM, et al. Predictors of nonadherence among individuals with bipolar disorder receiving treatment in a community mental health clinic. Compr Psychiatry. 2009;50(2):100-7. PubMed PMID: 19216885; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2746444.

18. Manwani SG, Szilagyi KA, Zablotsky B, Hennen J, Griffin ML, Weiss RD. Adherence to pharmacotherapy in bipolar disorder patients with and without co-occurring substance use disorders. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;68(8):1172-6. PubMed PMID: 17854240.

19. Baldessarini RJ, Perry R, Pike J. Factors associated with treatment nonadherence among US bipolar disorder patients. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2008;23(2):95-105. PubMed PMID: 18058849.

20. Kopelman MD, Thomson AD, Guerrini I, Marshall EJ. The Korsakoff syndrome: clinical aspects, psychology and treatment. Alcohol Alcohol. 2009;44(2):148-54. PubMed PMID: 19151162.

21. Oscar-Berman M, Marinkovic K. Alcohol: effects on neurobehavioral functions and the brain. Neuropsychol Rev. 2007;17(3):239-57. PubMed PMID: 17874302.

22. Fein G, Torres J, Price LJ, Di Sclafani V. Cognitive performance in long-term abstinent alcoholic individuals. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2006;30(9):1538-44. PubMed PMID: 16930216; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1868685.

23. Altshuler LL, Bearden CE, Green MF, van Gorp W, Mintz J. A relationship between neurocognitive impairment and functional impairment in bipolar disorder: a pilot study. Psychiatry Res. 2008;157(1-3):289-93. PubMed PMID: 17868903.

24. Altshuler LL, Ventura J, van Gorp WG, Green MF, Theberge DC, Mintz J. Neurocognitive function in clinically stable men with bipolar I disorder or schizophrenia and normal control subjects. Biol Psychiatry. 2004;56(8):560-9. PubMed PMID: 15476685.

25. Bora E, Yucel M, Pantelis C. Cognitive endophenotypes of bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis of neuropsychological deficits in euthymic patients and their first-degree relatives. J Affect Disord. 2009;113(1-2):1-20. PubMed PMID: 18684514.

26. Levy B, Monzani BA, Stephansky MR, Weiss RD. Neurocognitive impairment in patients with co-occurring bipolar disorder and alcohol dependence upon discharge from inpatient care. Psychiatry Res. 2008;161(1):28-35. PubMed PMID: 18752854.

27. van Gorp WG, Altshuler L, Theberge DC, Wilkins J, Dixon W. Cognitive impairment in euthymic bipolar patients with and without prior alcohol dependence. A preliminary study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1998;55(1):41-6. PubMed PMID: 9435759.

28. Sanchez-Moreno J, Martinez-Aran A, Colom F, Scott J, Tabares-Seisdedos R, Sugranyes G, et al. Neurocognitive dysfunctions in euthymic bipolar patients with and without prior history of alcohol use. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009;70(8):1120-7. PubMed PMID: 19758523.

29. Salloum IM, Cornelius JR, Daley DC, Kirisci L, Himmelhoch JM, Thase ME. Efficacy of valproate maintenance in patients with bipolar disorder and alcoholism: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005;62(1):37-45. PubMed PMID: 15630071.

30. Brown ES, Garza M, Carmody TJ. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled add-on trial of quetiapine in outpatients with bipolar disorder and alcohol use disorders. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69(5):701-5. PubMed PMID: 18312058.

31. Brown ES, Carmody TJ, Schmitz JM, Caetano R, Adinoff B, Swann AC, et al. A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled pilot study of naltrexone in outpatients with bipolar disorder and alcohol dependence. Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research. 2009;33(11):1863-9. PubMed PMID: 19673746; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3040070.

32. Lovinger DM. 5-HT3 receptors and the neural actions of alcohols: an increasingly exciting topic. Neurochem Int. 1999;35(2):125-30. PubMed PMID: 10405996.

33. Lovinger DM. Inhibition of 5-HT3 receptor-mediated ion current by divalent metal cations in NCB-20 neuroblastoma cells. J Neurophysiol. 1991;66(4):1329-37. PubMed PMID: 1722246.

34. Zhou Q, Lovinger DM. Pharmacologic characteristics of potentiation of 5-HT3 receptors by alcohols and diethyl ether in NCB-20 neuroblastoma cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1996;278(2):732-40. PubMed PMID: 8768725.

35. Di Chiara G, Imperato A. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1988;85(14):5274-8. PubMed PMID: 2899326; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC281732.

36. Koob GF. Neural mechanisms of drug reinforcement. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1992;654:171-91. PubMed PMID: 1632582.

37. Wise RA, Bozarth MA. A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. Psychological review. 1987;94(4):469-92. PubMed PMID: 3317472.

38. Bloom FE, Morales M. The central 5-HT3 receptor in CNS disorders. Neurochemical research. 1998;23(5):653-9. PubMed PMID: 9566603.

39. Soderpalm B, Ericson M. Neurocircuitry Involved in the Development of Alcohol Addiction: The Dopamine System and its Access Points. Current topics in behavioral neurosciences. 2011. PubMed PMID: 22094880.

40. Engleman EA, Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Murphy JM. The role of 5-HT3 receptors in drug abuse and as a target for pharmacotherapy. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2008;7(5):454-67. PubMed PMID: 19128203; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2878195.

41. Kilpatrick GJ, Jones BJ, Tyers MB. Identification and distribution of 5-HT3 receptors in rat brain using radioligand binding. Nature. 1987;330(6150):746-8. PubMed PMID: 3696238.

42. Johnson BÅ, Roache JD, Javors MÁ, DiClemente CC, Cloninger CR, Prihoda TJ, et al. Ondansetron for reduction of drinking among biologically predisposed alcoholic patients: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2000;284(8):963-71. PubMed PMID: 10944641.

43. Johnson BA, Ait-Daoud N, Seneviratne C, Roache JD, Javors MA, Wang XQ, et al. Pharmacogenetic approach at the serotonin transporter gene as a method of reducing the severity of alcohol drinking. Am J Psychiatry. 2011;168(3):265-75. PubMed PMID: 21247998; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3063997.
44. Johnson BA, Ait-Daoud N, Ma JZ, Wang Y. Ondansetron reduces mood disturbance among biologically predisposed, alcohol-dependent individuals. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2003;27(11):1773-9. PubMed PMID: 14634493.

45. Zhang ZJ, Kang WH, Li Q, Wang XY, Yao SM, Ma AQ. Beneficial effects of ondansetron as an adjunct to haloperidol for chronic, treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Schizophr Res. 2006;88(1-3):102-10. PubMed PMID: 16959472.

46. Akhondzadeh S, Mohammadi N, Noroozian M, Karamghadiri N, Ghoreishi A, Jamshidi AH, et al. Added ondansetron for stable schizophrenia: a double blind, placebo controlled trial. Schizophr Res. 2009;107(2-3):206-12. PubMed PMID: 18789844.

47. Sullivan JT, Sykora K, Schneiderman J, Naranjo CA, Sellers EM. Assessment of alcohol withdrawal: the revised clinical institute withdrawal assessment for alcohol scale (CIWA-Ar). Br J Addict. 1989;84(11):1353-7. PubMed PMID: 2597811.

48. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960;23:56-62. PubMed PMID: 14399272; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC495331.

49. Rush AJ, Giles DE, Schlesser MA, Fulton CL, Weissenburger J, Burns C. The Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): preliminary findings. Psychiatry Res. 1986;18(1):65-87. PubMed PMID: 3737788.
50. Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, Jarrett RB, Trivedi MH. The Inventory of Depressive

Symptomatology (IDS): psychometric properties. Psychol Med. 1996;26(3):477-86. PubMed PMID: 8733206. 51. Rush A, Carmody T, PE R. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Clinician (IDS-C) and self-

report (IDS-SR) ratings of depressive symptoms. Int J Meth Psychiatr Res 2000;9:45-59. 52. Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Biggs MM, Suppes T, et al. The Inventory of

52. Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Biggs MM, Suppes T, et al. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician Rating (IDS-C) and Self-Report (IDS-SR), and the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician Rating (QIDS-C) and Self-Report (QIDS-SR) in public sector patients with mood disorders: a psychometric evaluation. Psychol Med. 2004;34(1):73-82. PubMed PMID: 14971628.
53. Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry. 1978;133:429-35. PubMed PMID: 728692.

54. Flannery BA, Volpicelli JR, Pettinati HM. Psychometric properties of the Penn Alcohol Craving Scale. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1999;23(8):1289-95. PubMed PMID: 10470970.

55. McLellan AT, Luborsky L, Woody GE, O'Brien CP. An improved diagnostic evaluation instrument for substance abuse patients. The Addiction Severity Index. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1980;168(1):26-33. PubMed PMID: 7351540.

56. Sobell L, Sobell M. Timeline followback: A technique for assessing self-reported alcohol consumption. . In: Litten R, Allen J, editors. Measuring Alcohol Consumption: Psychosocial and Biological Methods New Jersey: Humana Press; 1992. p. 41-72.

57. Sobell L, Sobell M. Alcohol consumption measures In: JP A, M C, editors. Assessing Alcohol Problems: A Guide for Clinicians and Researchers. Rockville: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; 1995. p. 55-73.

58. First M, Spitzer R, Gibbon M, JBW W. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders. New York: Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University; 1995.

Thase ME, Fava M, Halbreich U, Kocsis JH, Koran L, Davidson J, et al. A placebo-controlled, 59. randomized clinical trial comparing sertraline and imipramine for the treatment of dysthymia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1996;53(9):777-84. PubMed PMID: 8792754. 60. Benedict RHB. Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised: Professional Manual. Odessa, FL:

Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.; 1997.

Schmidt M. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test: A Handbook. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services; 61. 1996.

62. Golden C. Stroop color and word test. Illinois: Stoelting Company; 1978.

Zartman AL, Hilsabeck RC, Guarnaccia CA, Houtz A. The Pillbox Test: an ecological measure of 63. executive functioning and estimate of medication management abilities. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2013;28(4):307-19. PubMed PMID: 23502807.