

Prenared By:

X184-401: Statistical Analysis Plan

A Randomized, Double-blind Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Cabozantinib (XL184) at 60 mg/day Compared to 140 mg/day in Progressive, Metastatic Medullary Thyroid Cancer Patients

Version 2.0 02June2020

		1	
PPD	Exelixis		
PPD PPD			
Approved By:			_
PPD		Exelixis	PPD Exelixis
PPD			PPD
PPD			PPD
	Exelixis		Exelixis
PPD			PPD

CONFIDENTIAL

This document (and all copies thereof) is the property of Exelixis, Inc. and contains valuable trade secrets and confidential information, including proprietary technical and business information (hereinafter "Confidential Information"). By accepting this document, you agree that the Confidential Information contained herein will not be disclosed to others without prior written authorization from Exelixis, Inc. and shall only be used for the purposes intended by the document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TA	ABLE	E OF CONTENTS	2
LI	ST O	F TABLES	4
LI	ST O	F APPENDICES	4
LI	ST O	F ABBREVIATIONS	5
1	A	DMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE AND VERSION HISTORY	7
2	S	TUDY DESCRIPTION	9
	2.1	Study Treatment	9
	2.2	Study Objectives and Endpoints	10
		2.2.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoint	10
		2.2.2 Secondary Efficacy Endpoint	
		2.2.3 Additional Endpoints	10
	2.3	Power and Sample Size Justification	10
	2.4	Study Design	11
	2.5	Randomization and Blinding	13
	2.6	Radiographic Tumor Assessment	14
3	A	NALYSIS POPULATIONS	14
	3.1	Intent to Treat	14
	3.2	Safety Population	15
	3.3	Per Protocol Population	15
4	G	ENERAL CONVENTIONS	15
	4.1	Definition of Baseline	15
	4.2	Definition of Study Day	16
	4.3	Visit Window Calculation	16
	4.4	Missing and Partial Data	16
	4.5	Safety Observation Period	16
	4.6	Definition of Prior, Concomitant, and Subsequent Therapy	17
	4.7	Software	17
	4.8	Changes to Planned Analyses	17
	4.9	Subject Data Listings	17

5	SI	TUDY P	OPULATION SUMMARIES	18
	5.1	Enro	llment	18
	5.2	Disp	osition	18
	5.3	Dem	ographic and Baseline Characteristics	18
	5.4	Medi	ical History	19
	5.5	Canc	er History and Baseline Disease Status	19
6	TI	REATM	ENTS, MEDICATIONS, SURGERIES/PROCEDURES	20
	6.1	Prior	Non-Radiation Anti-Cancer Therapy	20
	6.2	Prior	Radiation Therapy	21
	6.3	Prior	and Concomitant Medications (Excluding Anti-cancer Therapy)	21
	6.4	Prior	Surgeries/Procedures.	21
	6.5	Study	y Treatment Exposure	22
	6.6	Study	y Treatment Modifications	22
	6.7	Study	y Treatment Non-Compliance and Dosing Errors	23
	6.8	Conc	comitant and Subsequent Non-protocol Non-radiation Anti-cancer	
			apy	
	6.9	Post-	randomization Radiation, and Surgery/Procedure	24
7	EF	FICAC	Y ANALYSES	25
	7.1	Prim	ary Efficacy Endpoint	25
		7.1.1	Definition	25
		7.1.2	Statistical Objective	25
		7.1.3	Primary Analysis	25
	7.2	Seco	ndary Efficacy Endpoint	28
		7.2.1	Definition	28
		7.2.2	Hypothesis	28
		7.2.3	Primary Analysis	28
	7.3	Addi	tional Endpoints	29
	7.4	Cont	rol of Type I Error	30
	7.5	Inter	im Analyses	30
	7.6	Subg	roup Analyses	30
8	SA	AFETY S	SUMMARIES	31
	8.1	Adve	erse Events	32
	8.2	Even	ts to Monitor	35
	8.3	Adve	erse Events of Interest	36
	8.4	Deat	hs	36
	8.5	Labo	ratory Assessments	36
		8.5.1	Variables	36
		8.5.2	Analysis	38

8.6 Vi	tal Signs	39
8.6.	l Variables	39
8.6.2	2 Analysis	40
8.7 EC	COG Performance Status	40
8.8 El	ectrocardiogram	40
9 PHARN	MACOKINETIC AND BIOMARKER SUMMARIES	41
10 IDENT	FICATION AND SUMMARY OF PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS	41
11 DATA	QUALITY ASSURANCE	42
12 REFER	ENCES	43
Table 1:	LIST OF TABLES Protocol Version History	7
Table 1:	SAP Version History	
Table 3:	Censoring Rules for Analyses of Progression Free Survival	
Table 4:	Adverse Event Summaries	
Table 5:	ETM Summaries	
Table 6:	Laboratory Parameters to Be Summarized	
Table 7:	Laboratory Summaries	
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix A:	Date Imputation Rules	44
Appendix B:	Rounding Rules for Reported Percentages	47
Appendix C:	Imputation Rules for Laboratory Values Outside of Quantification 48	n Range
Appendix D:	Dose Modification Summary Definition and Algorithms	49

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AE	Adverse event
AESI	Adverse event of interest
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ANC	Absolute neutrophil count
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
ATA	Adequate tumor assessment
BMI	Body mass index
BOR	Best overall response
BP	Blood pressure
BUN	Blood urea nitrogen
CA	Calcium
CEA	Carcinoembryonic antigen
CI	Confidence interval
CR	Complete response
CrCl	Creatinine clearance
CRF	Case report form
CTCAE	Common terminology criteria for adverse events
CTN	Calcitonin
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ECOG	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
ETM	Events to Monitor
FBE	Free base equivalent
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GGT	Gamma-glutamyltransferase
HGB	Hemoglobin
HR	Hazard Ratio
ICH	International Council for Harmonization
IDMC	Independent Data Monitoring Committee
IRC	Independent Radiology review Committee
ITT	Intent-to-treat (population)

IVRS	Interactive Voice Response System		
IWRS	Interactive Web Response System		
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase		
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities		
mRECIST	Modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors		
MTC	Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma		
NCI	National Cancer Institute		
NE	Not evaluable		
NI	Non-inferiority		
ORR	Objective Response Rate		
PD	Progressive disease		
PET	Positron emission tomography		
PFS	Progression-free survival		
PK	Pharmacokinetic		
PPE	Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia		
PR	Partial response		
PT	Preferred term		
qd	Once daily		
RBC	Red blood cell		
RECIST	Response evaluation criteria in solid tumors		
SAE	Serious adverse event		
SAP	Statistical analysis plan		
SD	Stable disease		
SI	Le Système international		
SOC	System Organ Class		
TEAE	Treatment emergent adverse event		
TSH	Thyroid-stimulating hormone		
UE	Unable to evaluate		
ULN	Upper limit of normal		
UPCR	Urine protein/creatinine ratio		
WBC	White blood cell		
WHO	World Health Organization		

1 ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE AND VERSION HISTORY

This study is being conducted under the sponsorship of Exelixis, Inc. Statistical programming and analyses are being conducted under contract by PPD, Inc in conjunction with Exelixis, Inc.

The tables below briefly describe the protocol and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) versions. A final version of the SAP will be approved by Exelixis before the study is unblinded for final statistical analyses.

Table 1: Protocol Version History

Date	Version	Primary Reason(s) for Amendment			
2013 May 24	Original	Not Applicable			
2014 Mar 21	Amendment 1.0	In the original version the non-inferiority (NI) margin was based on the point estimate (0.28) of the hazard ratio (HR) for PFS from the study XL184-301. Per FDA review the NI margin is now based on the upper 95% confidence limit (0.40), of the point estimate for the HR for PFS. This resulted in increase in the sample size from 112 to 188 and increase in the events from 77 to 150 for the analyses of the primary efficacy endpoint.			
2014 Aug 08	Amendment 2.0	For subjects lacking prior evidence of a RET mutation, a recent tumor tissue sample collected within 6 months (previously 2 years in amendment 1) prior to randomization will be required. Revised stratification factors to consist solely of RET M918T status (positive vs negative vs unknown)			
2015 Sep 01	Amendment 3.0	Both the RET and RAS mutation status of enrolled subjects will be determined. As RAS mutations in MTC are considered mutually exclusive to RET mutations, subjects with a RAS mutation will be in the M918T-negative stratum. Qualifying images documenting disease progression may be taken within 4 months prior to randomization.			
2018 June 14	Amendment 4.0	Subjects are allowed to continue on study treatment upon Investigator-determined PD per RECIST 1.1 if the investigator believes that the subject is still receiving clinical benefit with cabozantinib treatment that outweighs the risk. In prior versions of the protocol, subjects were required to discontinue study treatment upon Investigator-determined PD per RECIST 1.1. Radiographic tumor assessments will continue (and scans submitted to the IRC) every 12 weeks until the later of 12 weeks after the initial PD per RECIST 1.1 per investigator or the date of the decision to permanently discontinue study treatment. In			

Date	Version	Primary Reason(s) for Amendment		
		prior versions of the protocol, assessments continued until the		
		earlier of 12 weeks after radiographic PD per RECIST 1.1 per		
		Investigator or the date of initiation of subsequent systemic anti-		
		cancer therapy.		
		The sample size of the study may be increased to up to 250		
		subjects if a review of the accumulating PFS events suggests		
		that the number required for the event-driven primary analysis		
		will not be reached (due to censoring) among the approximately		
		188 subjects originally enrolled.		

Table 2: SAP Version History

Date	Version	Primary Reason(s) for Amendment	
2014 Sep 26	V1.0	Not Applicable	
2020 Jun 02	V2.0	Implemented Protocol Amendment 4 changes	
		All efficacy analyses will be presented w.r.t stratification factors based on IxRS and CRF	
		Per protocol population deleted as analyses by ITT population is deemed more robust	
		Baseline biomarkers defined w.r.t first dose date as samples were collected prior to first dose date and not prior to randomization (Section 4.1)	
		Added a section for defining safety observation period (Section 4.5)	
		Refined summaries for demographic and baseline characteristics to remove baseline laboratory characteristics (Section 5.3)	
		Refined summaries for cancer history and disease status to include cancer staging, calcitonin, and CEA (Section 5.5)	
		Refined summaries for treatments, medications, surgeries/procedures to include systemic therapy by special categories, prior radiation therapy, and prior surgeries/procedures (Section 6)	
		Exposure summary will be in months instead of weeks	

Date	Version	Primary Reason(s) for Amendment		
		(Section 6.5)		
		Added PFS per IRC analysis time computation in months (Section 7.1.1)		
		Updated censoring rules for analysis of PFS to include censoring due to radiation (other than to bone) and surgery to soft tissue. Also added additional PFS censoring rules per EMA. (Table 3)		
		Added clarification to the definition of ORR (Section 7.2.1)		
		Subgroup categories refined to include receipt and number of prior systemic non-radiation anti-cancer therapy due to MTC, and to remove receipt of prior Vandetanib (Section 7.6)		
		Refined AE summaries in Table 4		
		Added sponsor-defined grades for LDH (Section 8.5.1)		
		Added summaries for liver function abnormalities and renal failure screening criteria, and updated eGFR calculated (Section 8.5.2)		
		Added clarification to include all QTcF measurements at a time point if more than 3 measurements are done (Section 8.8)		

2 STUDY DESCRIPTION

2.1 Study Treatment

This study is a randomized, double-blinded design and includes two blinded parallel treatment arms as follows:

- Once daily oral cabozantinib 60 mg (tablet formulation) plus 140 mg placebo (capsule formulation)
- Once daily oral cabozantinib 140 mg (capsule formulation) plus 60 mg placebo (tablet formulation)

2.2 Study Objectives and Endpoints

The objective of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of oral cabozantinib at a daily dose of 60 mg compared to 140 mg in subjects with progressive, metastatic MTC as measured by the endpoints outlined below.

2.2.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoint

Progression free survival (PFS) per RECIST 1.1 (Eisenhauer, 2009) per IRC.

2.2.2 Secondary Efficacy Endpoint

Objective response rate (ORR) per RECIST 1.1 per IRC.

2.2.3 Additional Endpoints

The following endpoints for the study will be analyzed:

- a) Safety and tolerability of cabozantinib as assessed by AEs including hemorrhage, gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal fistulas, gastrointestinal perforation, hypertension, diarrhea, oral mucositis/stomatitis, PPE, changes in laboratory parameters, and frequency of dose modifications
- b) Pharmacokinetics (PK) of cabozantinib
- c) Biochemical response to cabozantinib as assessed by the plasma tumor markers including calcitonin (CTN) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)
- d) Pharmacodynamic effects of cabozantinib on plasma biomarkers of cabozantinib target pathway inhibition and bone turnover (will not be analyzed for the CSR)
- e) Correlation of germline and somatic genetic alterations to tumor response or resistance, cabozantinib exposure, and/or toxicity (only the effect of RET M918T subgroup on efficacy will be analyzed for the CSR)

2.3 Power and Sample Size Justification

This is a non-inferiority (NI) study and the NI margin was chosen using the fraction-retention method to preserve 50% of the benefit of cabozantinib 140 mg demonstrated versus placebo in prior Phase 3 study XL184-301. In this study the estimated hazard ratio for PFS was 0.28 (95% CI: 0.19, 0.40).

The NI margin is based on the upper 95% CI and is calculated as:

NI margin = $\exp[\ln(1/0.40)/2] = 1.58$

Assuming a randomization ratio of 1:1, a one-sided α of 0.025 and a NI margin of 1.58, a sample size of 188 subjects (94 subjects in each arm) is required to provide 80% power to demonstrate PFS in the 60 mg treatment group is non-inferior to that in the 140 mg treatment group. The sample size may be increased to up to 250 subjects if a review of the accumulating PFS events suggests that the number required for the event-driven primary analysis (150 events) will not be reached (due to censoring) among the approximately 188 subjects originally enrolled.

Power and sample size estimates were calculated using EAST (v5.4) Software.

2.4 Study Design

This is a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, non-inferiority trial of cabozantinib at 60 mg tablet versus 140 mg capsule once daily (qd) in progressive, metastatic medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) subjects. Progression-free survival (PFS) evaluated by an independent radiology review committee (IRC) is the primary efficacy endpoint, with objective response rate (ORR) evaluated by the IRC as a secondary endpoint. Comparison of safety of the two dose levels are additional endpoints. For adverse events (AEs), specific events including hemorrhage, gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal fistulas, gastrointestinal perforations, hypertension, diarrhea, oral mucositis/stomatitis, and palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia [PPE] will be compared between the two dose levels. The study will enroll approximately 188 subjects (94 per arm) in a 1:1 ratio to receive cabozantinib at 60 or 140 mg once daily (qd). The sample size may be increased up to 250 subjects if a review of the accumulating PFS events suggests that the 150 events required for the event-driven primary analysis will not be reached (due to censoring) among the approximately 188 subjects originally enrolled.

The study will include the following periods:

<u>Pre-Treatment Period</u>: Potential subjects will be screened to determine if they meet the required eligibility criteria. Screening assessments must be performed within 28 days before randomization unless otherwise specified. Qualifying images for documentation of Progressive Disease (PD) at study entry may be acquired within 4 months of randomization.

<u>Treatment Period:</u> Subjects who meet all eligibility criteria will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive once daily either cabozantinib at 60 mg or 140 mg and matched placebo capsules and

tablets. Subjects will undergo safety and efficacy evaluations.

Tumor assessment will be performed as described in Section 2.6.

Subjects may continue on treatment with study drug after PD per RECIST 1.1 is determined by the investigator if the investigator believes that the subject is still receiving clinical benefit and the potential benefit of continuing treatment outweighs potential risk. These subjects will continue on clinical and safety assessments according to the schedule in Appendix A of Protocol Amendment 4. Radiographic tumor assessments will continue (and scans submitted to the IRC) once every 12 weeks until the later of 12 weeks after the initial PD per RECIST 1.1 per investigator or the date of the decision to permanently discontinue study treatment. However, radiographic tumor assessments are to be discontinued if subsequent systemic anti-cancer therapy, radiation therapy or surgery affecting tumor lesion(s) is initiated prior to meeting these above criteria. Study treatment may discontinue at any time, the subject will then enter the Post-Treatment period (below).

<u>Treatment Period (Maintenance Phase):</u> When sufficient data have been collected to adequately evaluate all study endpoints, and upon site notification by the Sponsor, subjects remaining on study treatment will enter the study Maintenance Phase. Upon initiation of the Maintenance Phase, the Sponsor considers the safety and efficacy profile of the drug within this study to have been sufficiently established for regulatory purposes.

Subjects continuing to receive study treatment when the Maintenance Phase is implemented will have their treatment arm assignment unblinded and will continue to take unblinded study drug (i.e., excluding placebos) according to their assigned treatment arm (dose and formulation). In the Maintenance Phase, subjects will continue to receive study treatment until a criterion for protocol-defined discontinuation has been met. Subjects are to undergo periodic safety assessments (including local laboratory tests) and tumor assessments (Appendix B of Protocol Amendment 4). The nature and frequency of these assessments are to be performed per standard of care. It is the Investigator's responsibility to ensure that subject visits occur frequently enough and adequate assessments are performed to ensure subject safety. During the Maintenance Phase no data are to be entered into electronic case report forms. Maintenance Phase data will not be included in the clinical study report (CSR).

<u>Post-Treatment Period</u>: A Post-Treatment Follow-Up visit for safety will occur at least 30 days after the date of the decision to discontinue study treatment. Every effort must be made to continue protocol-specified evaluations, procedures, and post-treatment assessments, if possible, unless consent to participate in the study is also withdrawn.

Follow-up information (survival status and subsequent anti-cancer therapy) will continue to be obtained by the investigator (or designee) every 12 weeks (\pm 15 days) until final PFS status is determined.

For subjects who discontinue study treatment in the Maintenance Phase, a Post-Treatment Follow-up Visit is still required for the purpose of returning all unused study medication still in the subject's possession and to undergo a safety evaluation per standard of care and as clinically directed in the opinion of the investigator. No additional assessments will be required in the post-treatment period for subjects who discontinue study treatment in the Maintenance Phase (such subjects are to be followed per standard of care).

Further details regarding study design, schedule of visits, assessments and conduct are described in the study protocol.

2.5 Randomization and Blinding

Study treatment assignment will be unknown to the subjects, investigators, study centers, the sponsor, and any contract research organization affiliated with the study other than those authorized to access treatment assignment for regulatory safety reporting and submission processes, interactive voice recognition/interactive web response system (IVRS/IWRS) administration and drug supply management (see protocol section: Blinding of Study Treatments).

Cabozantinib-matched placebo will be packaged and color-, size-, and shape-matched to be indistinguishable (including imprint on capsules) from cabozantinib.

Randomization will be stratified by the following factor:

• RET M918T status (positive vs negative vs unknown)

The M918T-unknown stratum will contain at most 10% of the total number of enrolled subjects and will be limited to subjects who undergo a tumor biopsy for the purpose of enrolling in the

study but the sequencing analysis of the tumor sample for the RET or RAS mutation status fails, a replacement sample is not available, and an additional biopsy procedure is not feasible.

The randomization scheme employs a permuted block design to help ensure a 1:1 ratio of assignment to the cabozantinib 60 mg or 140 mg groups for the overall population as well as within each level of the stratification factor. Subjects are defined to be enrolled in the study if they are randomized.

2.6 Radiographic Tumor Assessment

Radiographic tumor measurements will be made at screening, 12 weeks after randomization, and every 12 weeks thereafter. This schedule is to continue irrespective of whether study treatment is given, interrupted, reduced or discontinued. Tumor assessments will continue (and scans submitted to the IRC) through the later of:

- 12 weeks after radiographic progression per RECIST 1.1 as determined by the investigator (i.e., one additional assessment after investigator-determined radiographic progression), or
- The date of the decision to discontinue study treatment (eg, for subjects treated beyond radiographic progression per RECIST 1.1)

However, these assessments are to be discontinued if subsequent systemic anti-cancer therapy, radiation therapy, or surgery affecting tumor lesion(s) is initiated prior to meeting these above criteria.

An IRC will evaluate all blinded scans per RECIST 1.1 for disease progression and objective response for the purpose of evaluating primary and secondary study endpoints. Subject management and treatment decisions will be based upon investigator evaluations of the scans. The roles, responsibilities, and details of the processes followed by the IRC are provided in the IRC charter.

3 ANALYSIS POPULATIONS

3.1 Intent to Treat

The Intent-To-Treat (ITT) population, defined as all randomized subjects, will be used for efficacy analyses, with analyses according to the randomized treatment assignment.

3.2 Safety Population

The Safety population comprises of all subjects who receive any amount of study treatment. Analyses based on the Safety population will be performed according to the actual treatment received.

3.3 Per Protocol Population

A Per Protocol population is not defined or planned for the study.

4 GENERAL CONVENTIONS

The statistical principles applied in the design and planned analyses of this study are consistent with International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) E9 guidelines (ICH 1998).

Continuous data will be summarized using descriptive statistics (number of observations, mean, standard deviation, median, 25th and 75th percentiles, minimum, and maximum). Frequencies and percentages will be used for summarizing categorical (discrete) data.

Confidence intervals (CIs) will be constructed at the 95% level unless otherwise specified. For binomial variables, estimates will be obtained by using the normal approximation methods or Clopper-Pearson's method unless specified otherwise.

A month is operationally defined to be 30.4375 days. Six months is operationally defined to be 183 days. A year is operationally defined to be 365.25 days.

Data summaries will be presented by treatment arm unless otherwise specified.

4.1 **Definition of Baseline**

In general, for efficacy endpoints the last observed measurement prior to or on the date of randomization will be considered as the baseline measurement. Per schedule of assessment biomarker samples were collected prior to the first dose date hence the baseline measurements will be with respect to the first dose date. For subjects who did not take any study treatment, any biomarker sample available prior to randomization will be considered as baseline observation.

For safety endpoints, the last observation on or before date of first dose of study treatment will be considered the baseline measurement unless otherwise specified. For assessments on the day of first dose, where time is not captured, a nominal pre-dose indicator, if available, will serve as sufficient evidence that the assessment occurred prior to first dose. If neither time nor nominal pre-dose indicators are available, such assessments will be assumed to have occurred prior to first dose of study treatment.

4.2 Definition of Study Day

For the purpose of efficacy data summary, Study Day 1 is defined as the date of randomization to study treatment. For visits (or events) that occur on or after randomization, study day is defined as (date of visit [event] – date of randomization + 1). For visits (or events) that occur prior to randomization, study day is defined as (date of visit [event] – date of randomization). There is no Study Day 0.

For the purpose of safety data summary, Dose Day 1 is defined as the date when the first dose of study treatment was received (referred to in the protocol as Week 1 Day 1). For visits (or events) that occur on or after first dose of study treatment, dose day is defined as (date of visit [event] – date of first dose of study treatment + 1). For visits (or events) that occur prior to first dose of study treatment, dose day is defined as (date of visit [event] – date of first dose of study treatment). There is no Dose Day 0.

For the derivation of "days since last dose of study treatment" (such as for adverse events [AEs]) this is defined as (event date – date of last dose of study treatment). Events that occur on the same day as the last dose of study treatment will therefore be described as occurring zero days from the last dose of study treatment.

4.3 Visit Window Calculation

The planned analyses do not require the calculation of visit windows except for tumor assessments as described in Section 7.1.3.

4.4 Missing and Partial Data

In general, other than for partial dates, missing data will not be imputed and will be treated as missing. The algorithms for imputation of partial dates vary depending upon the parameter. These are presented in Appendix A.

4.5 Safety Observation Period

The safety observation period is defined as time from first dose date of study treatment to the earliest of the date of the decision to permanently discontinue study treatment+ 30 days or date subject withdrew consent or date of death or data cut-off date.

Generally only the safety data (including adverse events, laboratory results, vital signs, ECG, ECOG PS, and concomitant medications) reported during the safety observation period will be analyzed and summarized, unless otherwise specified in this plan.

4.6 Definition of Prior, Concomitant, and Subsequent Therapy

For the purpose of inclusion in prior and/or concomitant medication tables, incomplete medication/therapy start and stop dates will be imputed as detailed in Appendix A. Based on imputed start and stop dates:

- Prior medications/radiation therapies are defined as medications with a start/stop date occurring before the date of first dose of study treatment.
- Concomitant medications/radiation therapies are defined as medications that stop or continue on or after date of first dose day through the end of safety observation period.
- Concomitant and Subsequent non-radiation anticancer therapies/radiation therapies are defined as those that stop or continue on or after the date of randomization.

Medications/radiation therapies may be summarized as prior, concomitant and/or subsequent.

4.7 Software

All analyses will be conducted using SAS Version 9.3 or higher.

4.8 Changes to Planned Analyses

Substantive changes to the analyses described in the protocol or in approved versions of this plan will be fully documented in a revised version of the plan approved by the Sponsor prior to conducting unblinded analyses.

Clarifications, minor corrections, and operational considerations necessary to accurately conduct the analyses that do not materially change the nature of the analysis will be documented in an addendum to this plan that will be also be approved by the Sponsor prior to unblinding the study to conduct the analyses.

4.9 Subject Data Listings

Full archival case report tabulations are not planned. Listings described in the ICH E3 guidance document-Structure and Content of Clinical Study Reports will be provided.

5 STUDY POPULATION SUMMARIES

5.1 Enrollment

Subjects are defined to be enrolled at randomization. Enrollment will be summarized by (a) country and study center and (b) geographic region, country and protocol version.

5.2 Disposition

Subject disposition will be summarized categorically and will include the number and percentage of subjects in the ITT and Safety Populations. The reasons for study treatment discontinuation and discontinuation from radiographic tumor assessment follow-up will also be summarized categorically.

5.3 Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

Summaries of demographics, stratification factors and baseline characteristics will be presented for subjects in the ITT and Safety populations.

A. The demographic characteristics consist of:

- Age (continuous) in years
- Age category 1 (<65 years,≥65 years)
- Age category 2 (<45 years, 45 to <65 years, 65 to <75 years, 75 to <85 years, ≥85 years)
- Age category 3 (<65 years, 65 to <75 years, 75 to <85 years, ≥85 years)
- Age category 4 (<75 years, ≥75 years)
- Sex (Male, Female)
- Ethnicity (Hispanic or Latino, Not Hispanic or Latino, Not Reported)
- Race (American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Black/African American, Multiple, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, White, Not Reported, Other)
- Race category (White, Non-White, Not Reported)
- Geographic region (Europe, Rest of the World)

B. Categorical summaries of RET M918T mutation status

• The stratification factor-RET M918T status at randomization (positive vs negative vs unknown) will be presented as recorded (a) per IxRS and (b) per CRF.

C. Baseline characteristics include:

- Weight in kilogram (kg)
- Weight in kg category (< 60, >=60 to <=80, >80)
- Height in centimeters (cm)
- Body Mass Index (BMI) in kg/meter² is calculated as (weight (kg)*10000)/(Height (cm))²
- ECOG Performance Status (0, 1)
- Smoking status (Never, Former, Current, Missing)

5.4 Medical History

General medical history data will be coded per MedDRA.

5.5 Cancer History and Baseline Disease Status

Cancer history and current disease characteristics will be summarized categorically or with descriptive statistics as appropriate for all subjects in the ITT population and will include:

- Diagnosis of MTC by histology (Yes, No)
- Time in years to randomization since initial diagnosis of MTC as identified by histology
- Time in years to randomization since initial metastasis
- Time in years to metastatic disease since initial diagnosis of MTC as identified by histology
- Cancer stage per investigator (TNM Stage I, II, III, IV [A, B, C], Unknown)
- Primary tumor per investigator (T0, T1[A, B], T2, T3, T4 [A,B])
- Regional lymph nodes per investigator (NX, N0, N1 [a, b])
- Distant metastasis per investigator (M0, M1)
- Extent of metastatic disease at current diagnosis (a) per IRC and (b) per investigator
- Number of target and non-target lesion locations at screening (0, 1, ≥ 2) (a) per IRC and (b) per investigator
- Has brain metastasis at baseline as identified on the target or non-target lesion CRFs (Yes, No)
- Time in months from historical reference scan to the date of qualifying scans

- Receipt of prior non-radiation anti-cancer agents, radiation therapy, or surgery/procedure for MTC (Yes, No)
- Serum Calcitonin (pmol/L) descriptive summary
- Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) (ug/L) descriptive summary

Incomplete diagnosis dates will be imputed as detailed in Appendix A.

6 TREATMENTS, MEDICATIONS, SURGERIES/PROCEDURES

Please refer to Section 4.6 for definitions of prior and concomitant therapies.

6.1 Prior Non-Radiation Anti-Cancer Therapy

Prior non-radiation anti-cancer therapies will be coded per World Health Organization drug dictionary (WHO-DD).

The following will be summarized categorically or with descriptive statistics as appropriate for all subjects in the ITT and Safety population:

- Subjects with receipt of prior non-radiation anti-cancer and radionuclide agents by indication and therapy type
- Number of prior non-radiation anti-cancer agents and radionuclides received per subject for MTC
- Number of prior systemic non-radiation anti-cancer agents and radionuclides received per subject for MTC
- Reason for stopping the most recent prior systemic non-radiation anti-cancer/radionuclide agent received by subject for MTC (progressive disease, toxicity, other)
- Time in months to randomization since latest reported prior systemic non-radiation anticancer/radionuclide agent for MTC

All prior non-radiation anti-cancer agents will be summarized categorically by Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Class Text and WHO-DD base substance preferred name by treatment arm and type of therapy (Systemic, Local, Other, Unknown) for all subjects in the ITT and Safety populations.

Subjects will also be grouped into one or more of the following categories based on the systemic therapy received:

- Cytotoxic agents
- Multi tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) (without RET inhibitor activity)
- o Multi tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) (with RET inhibitor activity)
- RET-specific inhibitors
- Immunotherapy (PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA4/Other)
- Other systemic therapies

6.2 Prior Radiation Therapy

For all subjects in the ITT population summarization of prior radiation therapy will include:

- Receipt of prior radiation therapy (Yes, No) by indication
- Number of prior radiation therapy received per subject for MTC
- Descriptive statistics for time in months to randomization since latest reported radiation therapy for MTC

6.3 Prior and Concomitant Medications (Excluding Anti-cancer Therapy)

Medications recorded on the CRFs will be coded using the WHO-DD. Prior and concomitant medications, other than prior and subsequent anti-cancer therapies, will be summarized by treatment arm for all subjects in the Safety and ITT populations by ATC and WHO-DD base substance preferred name. Concomitant and subsequent anti-cancer therapies are addressed in Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of this plan.

6.4 Prior Surgeries/Procedures

For all subjects in the ITT population summarization of prior surgeries and procedures will include:

- Receipt of prior surgery/procedure (Yes, No) by indication
- Number of subjects who received prior surgery/procedure related to MTC
 - o Number of subjects who received partial thyroidectomy only
 - o Number of subjects who received total thyroidectomy only
- Descriptive statistics for time in months to randomization since latest reported thyroidectomy

6.5 Study Treatment Exposure

Study treatment exposure will be summarized for all subjects in Safety population.

The following will be derived for each subject and descriptive summaries will be provided:

- Duration of exposure including dose holds in months, calculated as (date of decision to discontinue study treatment date of first dose of study treatment + 1) / 30.4375
- Average daily dose per subject (mg/day) of cabozantinib, calculated as (total dose received/duration of exposure)
- Percent dose intensity for cabozantinib calculated as 100*(average daily dose received mg/day)/(planned dose mg/day), where planned dose is either 140 mg/day or 60 mg/day.
- Duration of exposure excluding dose holds in months, calculated as [(date of decision to discontinue study treatment date of first dose of study treatment + 1)-(sum of all dose holds)] / 30.4375

6.6 Study Treatment Modifications

Treatment modifications (holds and reductions) occurring due to AE will be summarized by treatment arm for subjects in the Safety population as follows:

A. Summaries for dose reductions due to AE

Categorical summaries for:

- Subjects with any dose reduction
- Cabozantinib dose levels (140 mg, 100 mg, 60 mg, 40 mg, 20 mg, 0mg) received by a subject, respective to treatment group
- Lowest cabozantinib dose level received (excluding dose holds)
- Last cabozantinib dose level received (excluding dose holds)
- Last cabozantinib dose level received (including dose holds)

Descriptive statistics for:

- Number of dose reductions
- Duration of treatment at each cabozantinib dose levels (140 mg, 100 mg, 60 mg, 40 mg, 20 mg, 0mg) received by a subject
- Time in days to first dose level reduction

- Time in days to second dose level reduction
- B. Summaries for dose holds due to AE:
 - Subjects with any dose hold, and dose hold ≥ 7 days, ≥ 14 days, and ≥ 21 days
 - Descriptive statistics for number of dose holds per subject, and classified into 1, 2, 3, and >3
 - Descriptive statistics for duration of dose holds per subject, calculated as (stop date of hold start date of hold + 1), and classified into ≥ 7 days, ≥ 14 days, and ≥ 21 days
 - Descriptive statistics for duration of total dose holds, calculated as (stop date of hold start date of hold + 1), and classified into \geq 7 days, \geq 14 days, and \geq 21 days
 - Descriptive statistics for time to first dose hold, time to first dose hold that was ≥ 7 days,
 ≥ 14 days, and ≥ 21 days. The time to dose hold is calculated as (start date of the hold first dose date + 1)
 - Descriptive statistics for time to second dose hold, time to second dose hold that was ≥ 7 days, ≥ 14 days, and ≥ 21 days
- C. Summaries for dose modifications (holds and reductions) due to AE:
 - Frequency counts and percentages for subjects with any dose modifications
 - Descriptive statistics for number of dose modifications per subject
 - Descriptive statistics for time in days to the first dose modification (see Appendix D)
 - Descriptive statistics for time in days to the second dose modification (see Appendix D)

6.7 Study Treatment Non-Compliance and Dosing Errors

Treatment non-compliance and dosing errors for reasons other than AE will be summarized for subjects in the Safety population. Frequency counts and percentages will be presented by treatment arms for:

- Subjects with dose hold (0 mg) due to subject non-compliance, site/logistic error or other reason
- Subjects who overdosed (received dose > maximum allowed dose level) at any time due to subject non-compliance, site/logistic error or other reason
- Subjects who received non-protocol specified dose level (< maximum allowed dose level) at any time due to subject non-compliance, site/logistic error or other reason

Subjects who received study treatment not randomized to

6.8 Concomitant and Subsequent Non-protocol Non-radiation Anti-cancer Therapy

For the purpose of supporting safety evaluation:

Concomitant and subsequent non-protocol non-radiation anti-cancer therapies including radionuclides, will be summarized by ATC text and WHO-DD base substance preferred name by therapy type in the ITT and Safety populations. Based on the systemic therapy received, subjects will also be grouped by special categories into one or more of the following:

- Systemic non-radiation anti-cancer therapies
 - Cytotoxic agents
 - o Multi tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) (without RET inhibitor activity)
 - o Multi tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) (with RET inhibitor activity)
 - RET-specific inhibitors
 - Immunotherapy (PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA4/Other)
 - Other systemic therapies

6.9 Post-randomization Radiation, and Surgery/Procedure

For the purpose of supporting efficacy evaluation:

Post-randomization radiation therapy, surgery/procedure will be summarized for all subjects in the ITT population in one consolidated table. Frequency counts and percentages will be presented by treatment groups for:

Radiation

- Number of subjects who received any radiation therapy
- o Therapy indication
- Site by MTC vs. other than MTC indications
- Number of subjects who received radiation other than radiation to bone
- Surgery/procedure
 - o Number of subjects who received any surgery/procedure related to MTC

7 EFFICACY ANALYSES

Unless otherwise indicated, all efficacy analyses will include all subjects in the ITT population.

7.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoint

The primary efficacy endpoint is duration of PFS as determined by the IRC.

7.1.1 Definition

Progression-free survival is defined as the time from randomization to the earlier of either progressive disease per IRC per RECIST 1.1 or death due to any cause.

PFS per IRC (months) = (earliest date of progression per IRC, death, censoring – date of randomization + 1)/30.4375

7.1.2 Statistical Objective

The goal of the study is to establish that treatment with cabozantinib at 60 mg is non-inferior to treatment with cabozantinib at 140 mg as defined by retaining 50% of the treatment effect of cabozantinib at 140 mg as measured by PFS.

Non-inferiority will be concluded if the upper 95% CI for the HR (cabozantinib 60 mg/140 mg) is less than the non-inferiority margin of 1.58.

7.1.3 Primary Analysis

The primary efficacy analysis of PFS will include all subjects in the ITT population and will evaluate whether PFS for subjects in the 60 mg cabozantinib arm is non-inferior to PFS in subjects in the 140 mg cabozantinib arm. The primary efficacy analysis is event based and will be conducted when at least 150 PFS events have been observed.

The recorded date of radiographic progression is the date of the tumor assessment visit at which progression is declared per IRC per RECIST 1.1. If multiple scan dates are associated with a tumor assessment visit, the earliest assessment date within the set will be chosen as the progression date.

Only adequate tumor assessments (ATAs) will be considered in the determination of radiographic progression and censoring dates. For the purpose of this study, ATA is defined as one that results in a time point assignment of: response (complete or partial), stable disease/(non-

CR, non-PD), or progression. For PFS, ATA is based on soft tissue evaluation by CT/MRI.

Single missing or inadequate scheduled tumor assessments will be ignored. No values will be imputed.

The censoring rules shown in Table 3 will be applied to the primary and sensitivity analyses of PFS.

Table 3: Censoring Rules for Analyses of Progression Free Survival

Туре		PFS-A1		PFS-B1	
Analysis	Primary		Primary		
Radiographic PD per		IRC	Investigator		
Population		ITT		ITT	
Condition	Outcome	Date of Outcome	Outcome	Date of Outcome	
No post-baseline ATA	Censored	Date of randomization	Censored	Date of randomization	
Death	Event	Date of death	Event	Date of death	
Radiographic soft-tissue PD	Event	Date of PD	Event	Date of PD	
Clinical deterioration	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Any systemic non-protocol anti- cancer therapy (NPACT) received on or after randomization date	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to initiation of therapy	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to initiation of therapy	
Radiation (other than to bone)	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to radiation	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to radiation	
Surgery impacting soft tissue lesions	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to surgery	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to surgery	
≥ 2 consecutive missing scheduled ATA immediately prior to rPD or death	Censored	Date of last ATA prior to the missing assessments.	Censored	Date of last ATA prior to the missing assessments.	
None of the above	Censored	Most recent of: the date of last ATA or randomization.	Censored	Most recent of: the date of last ATA or randomization.	

ATA=Adequate Tumor Assessment; PD=Progressive Disease; NA=Not Applicable; rPD = radiographic PD; RT=Radiotherapy Two or more missed scheduled ATA is operationally defined as >178 days without an evaluation

Table 3: Censoring Rules for Analyses of Progression Free Survival (continued)

Туре	PFS-A2		PFS-A3		
Analysis	Sensitivity			Sensitivity	
Radiographic PD per		IRC		IRC	
Population		ITT		ITT	
Condition	Outcome	Date of Outcome	Outcome	Date of Outcome	
No post-baseline ATA	Censored	Date of randomization	Censored	Date of randomization	
Death	Event	Date of death	Event	Date of death	
Radiographic soft-tissue PD	Event	Date of PD	Event	Date of PD	
Clinical deterioration	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Any systemic non-protocol anti- cancer therapy (NPACT) received on or after randomization date	Event	Date of sNPACT	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to initiation of therapy	
Radiation (other than to bone)	Event	Date of radiation	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to radiation	
Surgery impacting soft tissue lesion	Event	Date of surgery	Censored	Date of last ATA on or prior to surgery	
≥ 2 consecutive missing scheduled ATA immediately prior to rPD or death	Censored	Date of last ATA prior to the missing assessments.	Event	Date of last ATA prior to the missing assessments.	
None of the above	Censored	Most recent of: the date of last ATA or randomization.	Censored	Most recent of: the date of last ATA or randomization.	

ATA=Adequate Tumor Assessment; PD=Progressive Disease; NA=Not Applicable; rPD = radiographic PD; RT=Radiotherapy Two or more missed scheduled ATA is operationally defined as >178 days without an evaluation

The median duration of PFS and its associated confidence interval (CI) will be estimated using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit method. The stratified and unstratified p-values are obtained from log-rank test. The stratified and unstratified hazard ratio (HR) and the associated 95% CI will be estimated using a Cox proportional- hazards model with treatment group as the independent variable. Stratification factors are those that were used for randomization.

Non-inferiority will be concluded if the upper 95% CI for the HR $_{(cabozantinib\ 60\ mg/140\ mg)}$ is less than the non-inferiority margin of 1.58.

A summary of concordance between the IRC and investigator determinations of rPD will also be provided.

7.2 Secondary Efficacy Endpoint

The secondary efficacy endpoint for this study is ORR as determined by the IRC per RECIST 1.1.

7.2.1 Definition

For each subject, best overall response (BOR) is defined as the best tumor assessment category as determined by IRC per RECIST 1.1 that occurs prior to any of the censoring events defined for the primary analysis of PFS as described in Section 7.1.3.

Tumor assessment categories are ranked as: confirmed complete response (CR), confirmed partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), progressive disease (PD) and not evaluable (NE).

To be classified as confirmed CR or confirmed PR, confirmation must have occurred on a subsequent visit that is ≥ 28 days after the response was first observed.

7.2.2 Hypothesis

The hypothesis to be evaluated for the analyses of ORR is as follows:

 H_0 : (Proportion with objective response)_{60mg} = (Proportion with objective response)_{140mg}

 H_a : (Proportion with objective response)_{60mg} \neq (Proportion with objective response)_{140mg}

7.2.3 Primary Analysis

The key analysis of ORR will be based upon evaluations by the IRC and will include all subjects in the ITT population.

The following rules will be followed in determining the date of the objective response:

- If multiple assessment dates are associated with a tumor assessment visit with an overall response of CR or PR, the latest assessment date within the set will be chosen as the response date
- Single missing or not adequate scheduled tumor assessments will be ignored. No values will be imputed

- If missing/inadequate visits are immediately followed by progression or death, only evaluations before the missing/inadequate data will be considered in the determination of BOR
- If the missing/inadequate visits are immediately followed by an adequate assessment with an overall response of SD, PR or CR this will be deemed sufficient clinical evidence that progression did not occur during the period of missing data and the missing evaluations will be ignored. No values will be imputed

If the null hypothesis for the primary PFS analysis is rejected, then ORR between the two treatment groups will be compared using an unstratified two-sided chi-squared test at 5% level of significance. If a sufficient number of responders are observed, analysis using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method to adjust for the stratification factors (see Section 2.4) will be generated.

Point estimates of ORR for each treatment arm, the difference in ORR between the two treatment arms, and associated confidence intervals will be provided. The odds ratio and its confidence intervals will also be shown. The 95% CIs for the point estimate will be calculated using exact methods. The 95% CIs for the difference in ORR between the two treatment arms and for the odds ratio will be calculated by asymptotic methods.

To provide a more detailed understanding of anti-tumor activity, baseline sum of target lesion diameter per IRC, the maximum tumor reduction since baseline in target lesions will be derived for those subjects who have baseline and at least one post-baseline measure. The maximum percent tumor reduction from baseline in target lesions for each arm will be displayed graphically using waterfall plots. For each subject, data from time points after the first date of any of the censoring events defined for the primary analysis of PFS as described in Section 7.1.3 will be excluded from the waterfall plots.

A summary of the concordance between investigator and IRC determined objective response rate will also be provided.

7.3 Additional Endpoints

Additional endpoints are listed in Section 2.2.3.

Safety and tolerability as assessed by:

Dose modifications are addressed in Section 6.6

- Adverse events are addressed in Section 8.1
- Changes in laboratory parameters are addressed in Section 8.5

Blood serum samples for the analysis of calcitonin (CTN) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels by central lab will be summarized in the ITT population in SI units. Continuous CTN and CEA laboratory test results will be summarized by treatment group using descriptive statistics for actual values and for changes from baseline by scheduled visit. The maximum percent of CTN and CEA laboratory test result reduction from baseline for each arm will be displayed graphically using waterfall plots. Endpoints related to pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics listed in Section 2.2.3 (b) - (e) are outside the scope of this plan and will be described in their respective plans.

7.4 Control of Type I Error

The multiplicity issue resulting from analysis of one primary endpoint of PFS and one secondary endpoint of ORR will be addressed by hierarchical testing procedures.

The primary analysis of PFS is event driven and will be conducted after at least 150 events are observed. The hypothesis for PFS will be tested at the two-sided 0.05 level of significance (α). If this hypothesis is rejected then the hypothesis for the secondary endpoint will be tested at α =0.05.

Statistical evaluation of all other endpoints will be considered exploratory.

7.5 Interim Analyses

No interim analyses are planned for this study.

7.6 Subgroup Analyses

Descriptive subgroup statistics will be provided for both PFS and ORR primary analyses, for the following subgroups:

- RET M918T mutation status at randomization per IxRS (positive, negative, unknown)
- RET M918T mutation status at randomization per CRF (positive, negative, unknown)
- Age category 1 (<65 years,≥65 years)
- Age category 2 (<45 years, 45 to <65 years, 65 to <75 years, 75 to <85 years, ≥85 years)

- Sex (Male, Female)
- Race category (White, Non-White, Not Reported)
- ECOG PS (0, 1)
- Geographic region (Europe, Rest of the World)
- Prior systemic non-radiation anti-cancer therapy (Yes, No) for MTC
- Number of prior systemic non-radiation anti-cancer therapy received for MTC $(0,1,2,\geq 3)$
- Prior radiation therapy (Yes, No)

8 SAFETY SUMMARIES

All safety analyses will be performed using all subjects in the Safety population. Any statistical comparisons between the two treatment arms will be considered exploratory.

Safety and tolerability will be assessed by the incidence of treatment emergent-adverse events (TEAEs), changes in laboratory parameters and vital signs from baseline, and ECOG Performance Status.

An Independent Data Monitoring Committee (IDMC) will monitor safety during the study on a regular basis. The committee will operate independently from Exelixis and the clinical investigators.

The primary responsibility of the IDMC is to review the accumulating safety data on a regular and an ad hoc basis and make recommendations to Exelixis regarding the continued conduct of the study. Safety data will be provided at regular intervals to the IDMC in the form of summary reports or data listings from the Sponsor (blinded) or its designated representative (unblinded).

Details regarding IDMC membership, schedule and format of meetings, format for presentation of data, access to interim data, method and timing of providing interim reports to the IDMC, and other issues relevant to committee operations are described in the IDMC charter.

The IDMC members will use their expertise, experience, and judgment to evaluate the safety data from the trial and to recommend to the Sponsor whether the trial should continue or be stopped early for safety. No formal statistical rules recommending early stopping for safety are planned.

8.1 Adverse Events

Adverse event terms recorded on the CRF will be mapped to preferred terms (PTs) and system organ class (SOC) using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA). The severity of AEs will be evaluated by the investigator per CTCAE v4.0 (Cancer Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events) guidelines. The investigator will also judge each event to be "not related" or "related" to study treatment.

A TEAE is defined as any AE with an onset date on or after the date of the first dose of study treatment or any ongoing event on the date of the first dose of study treatment that worsens in severity after the date of the first dose of study treatment.

The end of the AE observation period is defined as the earliest of 30 days after the date of the decision to permanently discontinue study treatment, date of death, consent withdrawal date, data cutoff date and lost to follow up date.

For the purpose of calculating treatment emergence and inclusion in summary tables, incomplete onset dates will be imputed as detailed in Appendix A.

For AE reporting, percentages $\geq 10\%$ will generally be presented as integers, those < 10% will be presented with 1 decimal place (e.g. X.X%). Rounding rules are provided in Appendix B. Calculations based upon percentages will be based upon original unrounded values.

Overview of AE

An overall summary of TEAEs through end of AE observation period (unless indicated otherwise) will be provided with the number and percent of subjects who experienced the following in each treatment group:

- Subjects with a TEAE
- Subjects with a Related TEAE
- Subjects with a Serious TEAE
- Subjects with a Serious Related TEAE at any time
- Subjects with a Worst Grade 3 or 4 TEAE
- Subjects with a Worst Grade 3 or 4 Related TEAE
- Subjects with a Worst Grade 4 TEAE

- Subjects with a Worst Grade 4 Related TEAE
- Subjects with a Grade 5 TEAE on or after first dose date
- Subjects with a Grade 5 TEAE
 - o Subjects with a Grade 5 TEAE \leq 30 days after the last dose of the study treatment
 - Subjects with a Grade 5 AE judged not to be causally related to PD that occurred ≤
 30 days after last dose of the study treatment
 - o Subjects with a Grade 5 AE >30 days after the last dose of the study treatment
 - Subjects with a Grade 5 AE judged not to be causally related to PD that occurred >30 days after last dose of the study treatment
- Subjects with a Related Grade 5 TEAE at any time
- Subjects with a Related Grade 5 TEAE
- Death at any time
- Subjects who died ≤ 30 days after date of last dose of study treatment
- Subjects who died > 30 days after date of last dose of study treatment
- Subjects with a TEAE leading to Dose Modification
- Subjects with a TEAE leading to Dose Reduction
- Subjects with a TEAE leading to Dose Hold
- Subjects with TEAE leading to Treatment Discontinuation
 - TEAEs not related to disease progression
 - TEAEs related to disease progression

The following summaries of AEs will be provided:

Table 4: Adverse Event Summaries

TEAE included	Row-levels (sorted by)	Columns		
Subject Incidence by SOC, Preferred Term and Severity				
All	SOC and PT (SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC by descending frequency)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5		
Related	SOC and PT (SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC by descending frequency)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5		
Serious	SOC and PT (SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5		

TEAE included	Row-levels (sorted by)	Columns
	by descending frequency)	
Related Serious	SOC and PT	Worst severity:
	(SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC by descending frequency)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
	Subject Incidence by Preferred Term and	l Severity
All	PT	Worst severity:
	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
All	PT	Worst severity:
All	(descending frequency of Grade 3-4) PT	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5 Worst Severity:
7 H	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 1, Grade 2, Grade 3, Grade 4,
		Grade 5
Related	PT (1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Worst severity:
Related	(descending frequency of Any Grade) PT	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5 Worst severity:
Related	(descending frequency of Grade 3-4)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Serious	PT	Worst severity:
	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Related Serious	PT (descending frequency of Any Grade)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Leading to dose reduction	PT (descending frequency of Any Grade)	Worst severity:
Zenamg to deservenent	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Leading to dose reduction	PT	Worst severity:
Y 12 4 1 1 1 1	(descending frequency of Grade 3-4) PT	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Leading to dose hold	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Leading to dose hold	PT	Worst severity:
-	(descending frequency of Grade 3-4)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Leading to dose modification	PT	Worst severity:
Leading to dose modification	(descending frequency of Any Grade) PT	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5 Worst severity:
Leading to dose modification	(descending frequency of Grade 3-4)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Not Related to Disease Progression	PT	Worst severity:
and Leading to Study Treatment	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Discontinuation Related to Disease Progression and	PT	Worst severity:
Leading to Study Treatment	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Discontinuation		They states, states it, state it, state it
Not Related to Disease Progression	PT	Worst severity:
and Related to Study Treatment and Leading to Study Treatment	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
Discontinuation		
Not Related to Disease Progression	PT	Worst severity:
and Not Related to Study Treatment	(descending frequency of Any Grade)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
and Leading to Study Treatment Discontinuation		
	 ct Incidence of AEs with Odds ratio, Relative Risk	and Risk Difference
All	PT	All events
	(descending risk difference)	
Through 30 days after the last dose of	PT (descending risk difference)	Worst severity:
Study treatment Greater than 30 days after the last	(descending risk difference) PT	Grade 5 Worst severity:
dose of study treatment	(descending risk difference)	Grade 5
AEs judged not to be causally related	PT	Worst severity:
to PD through 30 days of last dose of	(descending risk difference)	Grade 5
study treatment AEs judged not to be causally related	PT	Worst severity:
to PD > 30 days of last dose of study	(descending risk difference)	Grade 5
treatment		
	Subject Incidence by Special Criteri	
Events with an increase in the between-arm of ≥5% (All Grades) or	SOC and PT (SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
\geq 2% (Grade 3-4)	by decreasing difference between arms for	Any Graucs, Grauc 5-4, Grauc 4, Grauc 5
,	Any Grades)	
Subject incidence of non-serious	SOC and PT	Worst severity:

TEAE included	Row-levels (sorted by)	Columns
adverse event with an increase in either arm of $\geq 5\%$ (Any Grade)	(SOC per MedDRA standard, PT within SOC by decreasing frequency for Any Grades)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
All	PT (descending frequency of between-arm difference for Any Grades)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5
All	PT (descending frequency of between-arm difference for Grade 3-4)	Worst severity: Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5

The following data listings will also be provided with indicators for grade, relationship, seriousness, dose day of the event start/stop, days since last dose, action taken with study treatment:

- All AEs
- Grade 5 AEs
- Serious AEs other than death

8.2 Events to Monitor

The sponsor has defined a set of events to monitor (ETM) to track adverse events known to be associated with TKIs or VEGF pathway inhibition that have potentially serious consequences, or were determined to warrant ongoing routine surveillance. Each ETM is a grouped clinical term comprising a broad set of AEs that are related pathophysiologically and provide a consistent, reproducible, and transparent compilation of safety information over time. These ETMs may also be identified in the current cabozantinib product labeling (MTC US package insert and EU SmPC) or in the EU RMP document as known or potential risks and precautions.

For this study, ETMs under surveillance at the time of database lock will be considered for comparison of incidence and severity in the two treatment arms. The following summaries will be provided for ETMs:

Table 5: ETM Summaries

TEAE included	Row-levels (sorted by)	Columns		
Subject Incidence by SOC, Preferred Term and Severity				
All ETM AEs	ETM and PT	Worst severity:		
	(ETM, PT within ETM by descending frequency)	All Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5		
All ETMs of Grade >=3	ETM and PT	Worst severity:		
	(ETM, PT within ETM by descending frequency)	Grade >=3, Grade 3, Grade 4, Grade 5		
Subject Incidence by Special Criteria				
All ETM AEs by age category 3 and	ETM and PT	Worst severity:		
4, ecog, gender, prior systemic	(ETM, PT within ETM by descending frequency)	Any Grades, Grade 3-4, Grade 4, Grade 5		
anticancer therapies for MTC, race,				
region, weight				
Time to First Occurrence				
All ETM AEs and Grade >=3	Time to first occurrence of Adverse Events to			
	Monitor by Group Term			

8.3 Adverse Events of Interest

In addition, to the ETMs the following adverse events of interest (AESI) will be considered for comparison of incidence and severity in the two treatment arms:

- (i) Diarrhea
- (ii) Fistulas (includes gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal)
- (iii) Hemorrhage
- (iv) Hypertension
- (v) Palmar-Plantar Erythrodysesthia (PPE) syndrome
- (vi) Perforations (includes gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal)
- (vii) Stomatitis (includes oral mucositis/stomatitis)

8.4 Deaths

All reported subject deaths and whether death was causally associated with the disease under study (MTC) will be summarized by treatment arm for all subjects in the Safety population. The primary cause of death recorded on the CRF will be mapped to preferred term and system organ class using MedDRA. The coded terms will be merged with AE records to determine the relationship to study treatment.

Deaths will be summarized in 2 categories as follows:

- Deaths ≤ 30 days after the date of receipt of the last dose of study treatment
- Deaths > 30 days after the date of receipt of last dose of study treatment

Summary of primary cause of death will be tabulated under each category by causality to study disease and relationship to study drug.

All reported subject deaths will be listed.

8.5 Laboratory Assessments

8.5.1 Variables

The following treatment emergent laboratory abnormalities will be summarized. A treatment emergent laboratory abnormality is defined as one with an onset date on or after the date of the first dose of study treatment. Only abnormalities with a sample date through the end of the AE observation period will be tabulated.

Table 6: Laboratory Parameters to Be Summarized

Category	Abnormality	SDTM LBTESTCD	Grading System
-	WBC increased		
Hematology	WBC decreased	WBC	CTCAE
	ANC decreased	NEUT	CTCAE
	Basophils (continuous summary		
	only)	BASO	NA
	Lymphocytes increased		
	Lymphocytes decreased	LYM	CTCAE
	Monocytes (continuous summary		
	only)	MONO	NA
	Platelets decreased	PLAT	CTCAE
	Hemoglobin increased		
	Hemoglobin decreased	HGB	CTCAE
Serum chemistry	Albumin decreased	ALB	CTCAE
ž	ALP increased	ALP	CTCAE
	Amylase increased	AMYLASE	CTCAE
	ALT increased	ALT	CTCAE
	AST increased	AST	CTCAE
	Calcium increased		
	Calcium decreased	CA	CTCAE
	Creatinine increased	CREAT	CTCAE
	Creatinine clearance decreased	CREATCLR	CTCAE
	GGT increased	GGT	CTCAE
	Glucose increased	001	CTCTE
	Glucose decreased	GLUC	CTCAE
	LDH increased	LDH	Sponsor
	Lipase increased	LIPASE	CTCAE
	Magnesium increased	EIITISE	CICIE
	Magnesium decreased	MG	CTCAE
	Phosphate decrease	PHOS	CTCAE
	Potassium increased	THOS	CICIE
	Potassium decreased	K	CTCAE
	Sodium increased	T T	CICIE
	Sodium decreased	NA	CTCAE
	Total bilirubin increased	BILI	CTCAE
Urine chemistry	UPCR increased	PROTCRT	Sponsor
OTHIC CHCHHOU y	TSH increased	IROTORI	Бронзот
Endocrinology ¹	TSH decreased	TSH	HLN
Litaberinology	Free T4 increased	1511	111/11
	Free T4 decreased	T4FR	HLN
	Carcinoembryonic antigen	CEA	111211
Tumor Marker ²	Calcitonin	CLCTONN	
1 dillor ivial KCl	Calcioniii	CLCTONN	

 $^{^{1}}$ TSH is held in the SDTM "chemistry" laboratory category; will use HLN = high, low, normal classification based on normal range

Sponsor-defined grades are to be applied to the following analytes:

LDH

² Tumor markers carcinoembryonic antigen and calcitonin are efficacy laboratory parameters and will only be summarized for ITT population (Section 7.3).

- o Grade 1 if >ULN to \leq 2xULN
- o Grade 2 if >2xULN to ≤ 3xULN
- Grade 3 if >3xULN

UPCR

- o Grade 1 if ≥ 17.0 to ≤ 121.0 mg/mmol (≥ 0.15 to ≤ 1.0 mg/mg)
- o Grade 2 if > 121.0 to ≤ 396.0 mg/mmol (> 1.0 to ≤ 3.5 mg/mg)
- O Grade 3 if > 396.0 mg/mmol (> 3.5 mg/mg)

8.5.2 Analysis

All laboratory data parameters and visits will include flags for values above or below laboratory reference ranges. Toxicity grades will be assigned programmatically by applying the CTCAE v5guidelines. Only results with assessment dates through the end of the safety observation period (see Section 4.5) will be tabulated in summary tables.

Laboratory summaries will be presented in SI units. Continuous laboratory test results will be summarized by treatment group using descriptive statistics for actual values and for changes from baseline by scheduled visit. Means and respective standard errors may also be presented at each scheduled visit (with visits shown on x-axis) for some laboratory parameters. For continuous laboratory test results which are below or above the quantification level, the imputed values as described in Appendix C will be used for deriving the grade and then summarized.

Tables summarizing the incidence of laboratory abnormalities by maximum post-baseline CTCAE grade overall and by baseline grade will be presented. Frequencies of laboratory abnormalities of worsening $\geq +1$ and +2 change from baseline to worst grade value after first dose will also be summarized.

In addition, the following summaries will also be presented:

- A. Liver function abnormalities will be assessed as follows:
 - Shift from baseline based on normal ranges
 - Subject-incidence of treatment-emergent liver function test abnormalities according to baseline criteria
 - >3xULN (ALT or AST), <2xULN ALP, and >2xULN Total Bilirubin
 - >3xULN (ALT or AST), >=2xULN ALP, and >2xULN Total Bilirubin

- B. For renal failure surveillance, a summary of subjects meeting renal failure laboratory screening criteria as shown below will be provided:
 - Serum creatinine >= 3.0xULN and >= 2.0x baseline value or
 - Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) \leq 50% of the baseline value or
 - eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² and ≥ 25% reduction from the baseline value eGFR = 186 x (Creatinine in mmol per L / 88.4)-1.154 x (Age)-0.203 x (0.742 if female) x (1.210 if black) [MDRD186 formula on the National Kidney Foundation FAQ, #10: https://www.kidney.org/sites/default/files/docs/12-10-4004 abe faqs aboutgfrrev1b singleb.pdf]
- C. Categorical summaries for baseline status for TSH and Free T4 will be presented. In addition categorical summaries for post-baseline TSH and Free T4 status among subjects with TSH and Free T4 in the normal range at baseline will also be presented.

For descriptive summaries for change from baseline analyses, only central lab results will be considered. For analyses of shift in grade from baseline or worst grade after baseline, all available results will be considered regardless of whether from the central or local lab.

Table 7: Laboratory Summaries

	Include Central Lab	Include Local Lab
Summary Type	results?	results?
Subject Incidence of Treatment Emergent Laboratory		
Abnormalities in Selected Laboratory Tests by CTCAE Grade	Y	Y
Change from Baseline in Laboratory Values	Y	N
Shift from Baseline in Laboratory Values by CTCAE Grade	Y	Y
Shift from Baseline of at Least 2 Grades by CTCAE Grade	Y	Y
Shift from Baseline in Laboratory Values by High/Low/Normal	Y	Y
Shift from Baseline in Laboratory Values by Sponsor-defined		
Grades	Y	Y
Subject Incidence of Laboratory Abnormalities with a Between-		
Arm Difference of ≥5% (All Grades) or ≥2% (Grades 3-4)	Y	Y
Subject Incidence of Treatment-Emergent Liver Function Test		
Abnormalities According to Baseline Criteria	Y	Y
Subject Incidence of Treatment-Emergent Renal Function Test		
Abnormalities According to Baseline Criteria	Y	Y

8.6 Vital Signs

8.6.1 Variables

The following vital signs will be summarized for subjects in the safety population

Weight

- Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)
- Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)

8.6.2 Analysis

Summary tables of vital signs and change from baseline for each study visit will be presented. Only results with assessment dates through the end of the safety observation period (see Section 4.5) will be considered for the summaries.

Subject-incidence of clinically meaningful vital sign results as shown below will also be presented:

- Subjects who got worse since baseline and met the following blood pressure criteria on 2 or more visits (need not be consecutive) after first dose (JNC criteria were modified and also include single measurement per time point when triplicate assessments were unavailable; JAMA 2003:289:2560):
 - o Pre-hypertension: SBP 120-139 mmHg or DBP 80-89 mmHg
 - Stage 1: SBP 140-159 mmHg or DBP 90-99 mmHg
 - \circ Stage 2: (SBP >= 160 mmHg and DBP <120) or DBP 100-119 mmHg
 - \circ Stage 3: DBP \geq 120 mmHg
- Proportion of subjects with weight loss $\geq 10\%$ after first dose

8.7 ECOG Performance Status

For the purpose of evaluating safety, ECOG will be summarized as shift from baseline tables for, at minimum, the worst value recorded after the initiation of study treatment. Only results with assessment dates through the end of the safety observation period (see Section 4.6) will be tabulated in summary tables.

Frequencies of ECOG worsening of $\geq +1$ and +2 change from baseline to worst value after first dose will also be summarized.

8.8 Electrocardiogram

Only results with assessment dates through the end of the safety observation period (see Section 4.5) will be considered for summaries. The following categorical summaries will be presented per investigator and per ERT review:

- Number of subjects with triplicate average QTc > 500 ms after first dose
- Number of subjects with increase in triplicate average QTc from baseline of >60ms

For the above summaries, the QTcF average was calculated based on all consecutive test results obtained at that time point.

9 PHARMACOKINETIC AND BIOMARKER SUMMARIES

All PK and biomarker analyses are outside of the scope of this statistical analysis plan.

10 IDENTIFICATION AND SUMMARY OF PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

In accordance with ICH E3 guidelines, protocol deviations will be presented. A listing of subjects who were randomized and failed to meet one or more eligibility criteria will be provided. Eligibility deviations will also be summarized by the inclusion/exclusion criteria violated by a subject.

In addition important post-randomization protocol deviations will be summarized as a cross tabulation of the deviation code and categories identified below:

Deviation code:

- Did Not Satisfy Inclusion or Exclusion Criteria
- Prohibited Medication
- Treatment Deviation
- Withdrawal Deviation
- Randomization Irregularity
- Other Protocol Deviation

Deviations category:

- IMPORTANT
 - Potentially Impacting Safety
 - ➤ Potentially Impacting Efficacy
 - ➤ Potentially Impacting Safety and Efficacy
 - > Other Potential Impact
- OTHER

11 DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Clinical, Data Management, Biostatistics, and Medical Writing departments at Exelixis and designees will work diligently and collaboratively to ensure that the data collected and analyzed for this study are of the highest quality. Controls including Case Report Form (CRF) design, CRF annotation, database design, data-entry processes, data validation programs, discrepancy management, consistent use of medical coding, data extraction processes, and database locking processes ensure the quality of the data. These controls are assessed at regular intervals during a trial.

In addition to electronic evaluation of the data and verification of data from source documents at the respective sites, a data review meeting will be held to review the data and correct significant data anomalies before the study database is locked or data are extracted for the purpose of analysis.

12 REFERENCES

- Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.0, USDHHS, NIH, NCI; publish date May 28, 2009 (v4.03: June 14, 2010)
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 2009;45(2):228–247
- International Conference on Harmonization ICH E9: Statistical principles for clinical trials (05 February 1998 [Europe], September 1998 [FDA]).

Appendix A: Date Imputation Rules

Incomplete Cancer Diagnosis Date

If year is missing (or completely missing): do not impute

If only day is missing: set to 15th of the month.

If day and month are missing: set to July 1st.

If either imputation rule above results in a diagnosis date > informed consent: set diagnosis date to the date of informed consent - 1.

Incomplete Adverse Event Onset Date

Assumption: For on-study Adverse Events.

If *year* is missing (or completely missing): set to the date of first dose.

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing):

If *year* = year of first dose: set the date to the first dose date.

If year < year of first dose: set month and day to December 31st.

If year > year of first dose: set month and day to January 1st.

If *month* and *year* are present and *day* is missing:

If *year* = year of first dose, and:

If *month* = month of first dose: set *day* to day of first dose.

If *month* < month of first dose: set *day* to last day of *month*.

If month > month of first dose: set day to 1st day of month.

If year < year of first dose: set day to last day of month.

If year > year of first dose: set day to 1^{st} day of month.

For all other cases: set to date of first dose.

Incomplete Prior and Concomitant Medication*, Radiation, Surgery/Procedure Start Date

If *year* is missing (or completely missing): do not impute.

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing):

Set month and day to January 1st.

If year and month are present and day is missing:

Set day to 1st day of month.

Incomplete Prior and Concomitant Medication*, Radiation, Surgery/Procedure End Date

If year is missing (or completely missing): do not impute.

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing):

Set *month* and day to December 31st.

If *year* and *month* are present and *day* is missing:

Set day to last day of the month.

* Including prior and concomitant medications, transfusions and Opioid pain medication record

Incomplete Subsequent Anti-Cancer Therapy* Start Date

Assumption: Anti-Cancer therapies reported on the Subsequent Anti-Cancer Therapy CRF.

If year is missing (or completely missing): set to date of last dose of study treatment + 1

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing):

If year > year of the last dose: Set *month* and day to January 1st.

If year = year of the last dose: Set month and day to last dose date of study treatment + 1

If *year* and *month* are present and *day* is missing:

Set day to 1st day of month if the resulting imputed date is greater than date of last dose. Otherwise set the imputed date to date of last dose + 1

* Including concomitant and subsequent anti-cancer therapy, surgeries and procedures, and radiation, radionuclides & radiotracers.

Incomplete Study Treatment Start Date

Define previous sequential dosing "milestone" as the latest of previous dose stop date, previous dose hold stop date, date of first dose or randomization date. If *year* is missing (or completely missing): set to date of previous sequential dosing "milestone" + 1

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing): set to January 1st

If year and month are present and day is missing: set to the first day of the month

If the imputed date is before the previous sequential dosing "milestone": set to the date of previous sequential dosing "milestone" + 1

Incomplete Study Treatment Stop Date

Define next sequential dosing "milestone" as the earliest of next dose start date, next dose hold start date, date of last dose from EOT CRF or the cutoff date.

If year is missing (or completely missing): set to date of next sequential dosing "milestone" - 1

If (year is present and month and day are missing) or (year and day are present and month is missing): set to December 31st

If year and month are present and day is missing: set to the last day of the month

If the imputed date is after the next sequential dosing "milestone": set to the date of next sequential dosing "milestone" -1

Incomplete Death Date

Identify date of last known alive (LA) prior to death from the following:

- 1. Date of decision to discontinue study treatment from End of Treatment CRF
- 2. Date of last radiographic assessment from End of Radiographic Follow Up CRF
- 3. Date last known alive from Survival Follow Up CRF
- 4. Date of last lab assessment from the Labs dataset

If year is missing (or completely missing): set to date of LA + 1

If only day is missing: set to the maximum of the first of month or LA + 1

If month and day are missing:

If year of LA = year of death

Set death date to date of LA + 1

If year of most-recent contact < year of death

Set month and day to Jan 1st

Appendix B: Rounding Rules for Reported Percentages

For percentages $\geq 10\%$:

- Values \geq X.5 or above round to X+1.
- Values > X but < X.5 round to X.

For percentages < 10%:

- Values \geq X.Y5 or above round to X.Y+1.
- Values > X.Y but < X.Y5 round to X.Y.

Appendix C: Imputation Rules for Laboratory Values Outside of Quantification Range

- Laboratory values below the lower level of quantification (Q) that are reported as "< Q" or "≤ Q" in the database will be imputed by Q x 0.99 for analysis purposes. However the original value will be maintained.
- Laboratory values above the upper level of quantification (Q) that are reported as "> Q" or "≥ Q" in the database will be imputed by Q x 1.01 for analysis purposes. However the original value will be maintained.

Appendix D: Dose Modification Summary Definition and Algorithms

Define the following dose modification milestones:

A	Date dose first reduced due to AE	First date a dose was given that was > 0 and < assigned dose, due to AE
В	Date dose reduced again due to AE	First date a dose was given that was > 0 and < the level given at
		first reduction (A above), due to AE
С	Date dose first held due to AE	First date a dose record of 0 was entered, due to AE

Algorithms for selected summary items:

Template	Summary item	Algorithm
T_DOSERED	Time to first dose reduction due	Time from first dose to (A) above
	to AE	
T_DOSERED	Time to second dose reduction	Time from first dose to (B) above
	due to AE	
T_DOSEMOD	# of subjects with any	# of subjects with any of A or C (Counting unique
	modification due to AE	subjects: B is a subset of A)
T_DOSEMOD	# of unique dose level	Range of 0-3, counting incidence of A, B and C per
	modifications due to AE per	subject
	subject	
T_DOSEMOD	Time to first dose modification	Time from first dose to earliest of A or C (among those
	due to AE	with either A or C)
T_DOSEMOD	Time to second dose	Time from first dose to "second earliest" of A, B and C
	modification due to AE	(among those with 2 or more of A, B or C)

Notes:

- 1. For the purpose of counting the # of dose modifications and time to first and second dose modifications, only the first dose hold counts. But for dose hold summary all dose holds count.
- 2. The algorithm provided skips over dose increases and reductions back to a previously identified reduction. Also it is not possible for 2 dose holds to count as both a first and second dose modification.



Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: E2141CD162E744D2A06D9991B616F699

Subject: Please DocuSign: XL184-401-SAP-V2.docx

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 49 Signatures: 5 Certificate Pages: 6 Initials: 0

AutoNav: Enabled

Envelopeld Stamping: Disabled

Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)

Status: Completed

Envelope Originator:

PPD

PPD

IP Address: PPD

Timestamp

Sent: 03-Jun-2020 | 14:34

Viewed: 03-Jun-2020 | 18:27

Signed: 03-Jun-2020 | 18:27

Sent: 03-Jun-2020 | 14:34 Viewed: 03-Jun-2020 | 14:56 Signed: 03-Jun-2020 | 14:57

Record Tracking

Status: Original

03-Jun-2020 | 14:21

Holder: PPD Location: DocuSign

Signer Events

PPD

Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(Required)

Signature

PPD

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style

Signature ID:

F6D62EDE-7F39-42B4-865A-0FD29DA3F21A

Using IP Address PPD

With Signing Authentication via DocuSign password

With Signing Reasons (on each tab):

I approve this document

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

PPD

Exelixis, Inc.

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(Required)

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style

Signature ID:

8CC40794-5B10-48C8-BA7C-95F84AA1FB03

Using IP Address: PPD

With Signing Authentication via DocuSign password

With Signing Reasons (on each tab):

I approve this document

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Accepted: 19-May-2020 | 12:21

ID: dd966e0b-5130-4d4c-9923-ae29c36abe7e

Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Exelixis, Inc Part 11 Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (Required)	Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Signature ID: F73C78B2-68C4-42D0-A21F-65C2B8C2BE8A Using IP Address: PPD With Signing Authentication via DocuSign password With Signing Reasons (on each tab): I approve this document	Sent: 03-Jun-2020 14:34 Viewed: 03-Jun-2020 15:21 Signed: 03-Jun-2020 15:21
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign		
PPD	PPD	Sent: 03-Jun-2020 14:34 Viewed: 03-Jun-2020 16:13 Signed: 03-Jun-2020 16:14
Exelixis, Inc. Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (Required)	Signature Adoption: Uploaded Signature Image Signature ID: 43644AE1-51D2-4DAE-81FA-F4BB29A1CCC9 Using IP Address: PPD	
	With Signing Authentication via DocuSign password With Signing Reasons (on each tab): I approve this document	
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Accepted: 01-May-2020 09:39 ID: 682aff55-8d0c-45b7-8799-1d8a2482fdd8		
Exelixis, Inc Part 11 Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (Required)	Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Signature ID: 8E8247DF-5768-496F-B699-A903FA8F4882 Using IP Address PPD	Sent: 03-Jun-2020 14:34 Viewed: 03-Jun-2020 14:35 Signed: 03-Jun-2020 14:36
	With Signing Authentication via DocuSign password With Signing Reasons (on each tab): I approve this document	
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign		
In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp

Signature

Timestamp

Witness Events

Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	03-Jun-2020 14:34
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	03-Jun-2020 18:27
Signing Complete	Security Checked	03-Jun-2020 18:27
Completed	Security Checked	03-Jun-2020 18:27
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure		

Parties agreed to: PPD

Note: Electronic signatures executed in DocuSign are the legally binding equivalent of your traditional hand written signatures and subject to the electronic requirements of 21 CFR Part 11 and Annex 11.

ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

From time to time, Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11 (we, us or Company) may be required by law to provide to you certain written notices or disclosures. Described below are the terms and conditions for providing to you such notices and disclosures electronically through the DocuSign system. Please read the information below carefully and thoroughly, and if you can access this information electronically to your satisfaction and agree to this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure (ERSD), please confirm your agreement by selecting the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures' before clicking 'CONTINUE' within the DocuSign system.

Getting paper copies

At any time, you may request from us a paper copy of any record provided or made available electronically to you by us. You will have the ability to download and print documents we send to you through the DocuSign system during and immediately after the signing session and, if you elect to create a DocuSign account, you may access the documents for a limited period of time (usually 30 days) after such documents are first sent to you. After such time, if you wish for us to send you paper copies of any such documents from our office to you, you will be charged a \$0.00 per-page fee. You may request delivery of such paper copies from us by following the procedure described below.

Withdrawing your consent

If you decide to receive notices and disclosures from us electronically, you may at any time change your mind and tell us that thereafter you want to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format. How you must inform us of your decision to receive future notices and disclosure in paper format and withdraw your consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically is described below.

Consequences of changing your mind

If you elect to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format, it will slow the speed at which we can complete certain steps in transactions with you and delivering services to you because we will need first to send the required notices or disclosures to you in paper format, and then wait until we receive back from you your acknowledgment of your receipt of such paper notices or disclosures. Further, you will no longer be able to use the DocuSign system to

receive required notices and consents electronically from us or to sign electronically documents from us.

All notices and disclosures will be sent to you electronically

Unless you tell us otherwise in accordance with the procedures described herein, we will provide electronically to you through the DocuSign system all required notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you during the course of our relationship with you. To reduce the chance of you inadvertently not receiving any notice or disclosure, we prefer to provide all of the required notices and disclosures to you by the same method and to the same address that you have given us. Thus, you can receive all the disclosures and notices electronically or in paper format through the paper mail delivery system. If you do not agree with this process, please let us know as described below. Please also see the paragraph immediately above that describes the consequences of your electing not to receive delivery of the notices and disclosures electronically from us.

How to contact Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11:

You may contact us to let us know of your changes as to how we may contact you electronically, to request paper copies of certain information from us, and to withdraw your prior consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically as follows:

To contact us by email send messages to: PPD

To advise Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11 of your new email address

To let us know of a change in your email address where we should send notices and disclosures electronically to you, you must send an email message to us at PPD and in the body of such request you must state: your previous email address, your new email address. We do not require any other information from you to change your email address.

If you created a DocuSign account, you may update it with your new email address through your account preferences.

To request paper copies from Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11

To request delivery from us of paper copies of the notices and disclosures previously provided by us to you electronically, you must send us an email to PPD and in the body of such request you must state your email address, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We will bill you for any fees at that time, if any.

To withdraw your consent with Exelixis, Inc. - Part 11

To inform us that you no longer wish to receive future notices and disclosures in electronic format you may:

i. decline to sign a document from within your signing session, and on the subsequent page, select the check-box indicating you wish to withdraw your consent, or you may;

ii. send us an email to PPD and in the body of such request you must state your email, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We do not need any other information from you to withdraw consent.. The consequences of your withdrawing consent for online documents will be that transactions may take a longer time to process..

Required hardware and software

The minimum system requirements for using the DocuSign system may change over time. The current system requirements are found here: https://support.docusign.com/guides/signer-guide-signing-system-requirements.

Acknowledging your access and consent to receive and sign documents electronically

To confirm to us that you can access this information electronically, which will be similar to other electronic notices and disclosures that we will provide to you, please confirm that you have read this ERSD, and (i) that you are able to print on paper or electronically save this ERSD for your future reference and access; or (ii) that you are able to email this ERSD to an email address where you will be able to print on paper or save it for your future reference and access. Further, if you consent to receiving notices and disclosures exclusively in electronic format as described herein, then select the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures' before clicking 'CONTINUE' within the DocuSign system.

By selecting the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures', you confirm that:

- You can access and read this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure; and
- You can print on paper this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure, or save or send this Electronic Record and Disclosure to a location where you can print it, for future reference and access; and
- Until or unless you notify Exelixis, Inc. Part 11 as described above, you consent to receive exclusively through electronic means all notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you by Exelixis, Inc. Part 11 during the course of your relationship with Exelixis, Inc. Part 11.