CONFIDENTIAL

The GlaxoSmithKline group of companies

Division	:	Worldwide Development		
Information Type	:	Reporting and Analysis Plan (RAP)		
Title	:	Reporting and Analysis Plan for A Randomized, Open-Label, 8-Week Cross-Over Study to Compare Umeclidinium/Vilanterol with Tiotropium/Olodaterol Once- Daily in Subjects with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)		
Compound Number	:	GSK2592356 (GSK573719 + GW642444)		
Effective Date	:	26-MAY-2017		

Description :

- The purpose of this RAP is to describe the planned analyses and output to be included in the Clinical Study Report for Protocol 204990.
- This RAP is intended to describe the planned efficacy and safety analyses required for the study.
- This RAP will be provided to the study team members to convey the content of the final Statistical Analysis Complete (SAC) Deliverable.

Author's Name and Functional Area:

PPD	26 MAV 2017
Principal Statistician, Statistics (Clinical Statistics)	20-MAY-2017
PPD	
Principal Statistician, Statistics (Clinical Statistics)	26-MAY-2017

Approved by:

PPD		26 MAV 2017
Director (Cl	inical Statistics)	20-IVIA I -2017

Copyright 2017 the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies. All rights reserved. Unauthorised copying or use of this information is prohibited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1.	REPORTING & ANALYSIS PLAN SYNPOSIS				
2.	SUMM 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. 2.4.	ARY OF F Changes Study Ob Study De Statistica	EY PROTO to the Proto jective(s) an sign Hypotheses	COL INFORMATION col Defined Statistical Analysis Plan d Endpoint(s)	.8 .8 .9 10 10
3.	PLANN 3.1.	IED ANAL Final Ana	YSES		11 11
4.	ANALY 4.1.	SIS POP Protocol I	JLATIONS . Deviations		12 13
5.	CONSI CONVE	DERATIC ENTIONS	NS FOR DA	TA ANALYSES AND DATA HANDLING	14
6.	STUDY 6.1.	POPULA Overview 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3.	TION ANAL of Planned Subject Disp Medical Cor Disease and	YSES Analyses position nditions and Concomitant Medications Background Characteristics	15 15 16 17 17
7.	PRIMA 7.1.	RY STAT Efficacy A 7.1.1. 7.1.2.	ISTICAL AN analyses Overview of Planned Effi	ALYSES Planned Efficacy Analyses cacy Statistical Analyses	18 18 18 19
8.	OTHEF 8.1.	R STATIS Other Effi 8.1.1. 8.1.2.	FICAL ANAL cacy Endpoi Overview of Planned Effi Endpoints 8.1.2.1. F 8.1.2.2. T 8.1.2.3. F 8.1.2.4. C 8.1.2.5. E 8.1.2.6.	YSES ints Planned Efficacy Analyses icacy Statistical Analyses for Other Efficacy Responder FEV ₁ Frough FVC and IC Rescue Medication CAT -RS -RS	22 22 22 23 23 24 24 26 27 28
	8.2.	Safety Ar 8.2.1. 8.2.2. 8.2.3. 8.2.4.	alyses Overview of Adverse Eve 8.2.2.1. COPD Exac Liver Events	Planned Analyses ents. Adverse Events of Special Interest erbations	30 30 31 31 32 32
9.	REFER	RENCES			33
10.	APPEN	IDICES			34

CONFIDENTIAL

10.1.	Appendix	x 1: Protocol Deviation Management and Definitions for Per	05
	Protocol	Population	
40.0	10.1.1.	Exclusions from Per Protocol Analysis	
10.2.	Appendix	x 2: Time & Events	
40.0	10.2.1.	Protocol Defined Time & Events	
10.3.	Appendix	x 3: Assessment Windows	
	10.3.1.	Definitions of Assessment Windows for Analyses	40
10.4.	Appendix	x 4: Treatment States and Phases	41
	10.4.1.	I reatment States	41
		10.4.1.1. Treatment States for Concomitant Medication Data	41
		10.4.1.2. Treatment States for AE Data	42
		10.4.1.3. Treatment States for COPD Exacerbation Data.	43
10.5.	Appendix	x 5: Data Display Standards & Handling Conventions	44
	10.5.1.	Study Treatment & Sequence Display Descriptors	
	10.5.2	Baseline Definition & Derivations	44
	10.0.2.	10.5.2.1 Baseline Definitions	44
		10.5.2.2 Derivations and Handling of Missing Baseline	
		Data	46
	10 5 3	Reporting Process & Standards	46
10.6	Annendix	x 6. Derived and Transformed Data	48
10.0.	10.6.1	General	48
	10.6.1	Study Population	48
	10.6.2.	Safety	
	10.0.5.	Efficacy	
10.7	Annendiv	x 7: Premature Withdrawals & Handling of Missing Data	
10.7.		Premature Withdrawals & Handling of Missing Data	
	10.7.1.	Handling of Missing Data	
	10.7.2.	10.7.2.1 Handling of Missing Dates	
		10.7.2.1. Handling of Partial Dates	50
		10.7.2.2. Handling of Missing Data for Statistical	
			50
10.0	Annondi	Alidiysis	
10.0.		X 0. Multicefiler Studies Mothode for Handling Contros	
10.0	10.0.1.	Weinous for Fidinality Certifies	00
10.9.		A 9. Examination of Covariates, Subgroups & Other Strata	01
10 10	10.9.1. Annondi	Manufing of Covariates	01
10.10.		X 10. Multiple Comparisons & Multiplicity	02
10 11	10.10.1. Annondi	Handling of Multiple Comparisons & Multiplicity	02
10.11.	Appendix		00
40.40	Analyses	S	
10.12.		X 12 – Abbreviations & Trade Marks	
	10.12.1.		
40.40	10.12.2.		
10.13.	Appendix	x 13: LIST OF DATA DISPIAYS	
	10.13.1.		
	10.13.2.	NOCK Example Shell Referencing	
	10.13.3.	Deliverable [Priority]	66
	10.13.4.	Study Population Tables	67
	10.13.5.	Efficacy lables	71
	10.13.6.	Efficacy Figures	75
	10.13.7.	Satety Lables	77
	10.13.8.	ICH Listings	80

CONFIDENTIAL

10.13.9.	Non-ICH Listings	.8	2
----------	------------------	----	---

1. REPORTING & ANALYSIS PLAN SYNPOSIS

Overview	Key Elements of the RAP
Purpose	 This RAP details all planned analyses and outputs required for the final Clinical Study Report (CSR) of study 204990.
Protocol	This RAP is based on the original protocol (Dated: 29-Mar-2016) for study 204990 [GlaxoSmithKline Document Number 2015N256437_00] and eCRF Version 1.2.
Primary Objective	 To compare the effect of UMEC/VI 62.5/25mcg with TIO/OLO 5/5mcg once daily on lung function in subjects with moderate COPD over 8 weeks of treatment.
Primary Endpoint	Trough FEV1 at Week 8
Study Design	 Multicentre, randomized, open-label, 2 period cross-over, complete block design study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of UMEC/VI 62.5/25mcg with TIO/OLO 5/5mcg when used in subjects with moderate COPD.
	• Eligible subjects will be randomized to receive a sequence consisting of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg once-daily administered as 1 inhalation once-daily from the Ellipta Inhaler and TIO/OLO 5/5mcg inhalation spray administered as inhalation of 2 puffs once-daily from the Respimat inhaler. Each treatment period will be 8 weeks.
	 A study with 168 evaluable subjects for the primary analysis on the per-protocol (PP) population will have 90% power to detect non-inferiority of UMEC/VI 62.5/25mcg to TIO/OLO 5/5mcg based on trough FEV₁ at Week 8, when the margin of non-inferiority is -50mL and the true mean treatment difference is assumed to be 0mL.
	Based on 15% withdrawal rate and 10% exclusion rate from the PP population 220 subjects will be randomized.
Planned	No interim analyses are planned.
Analyses	 All decisions regarding final analysis, as defined in this RAP document, will be made prior to Database Freeze (unblinding) of the study data.
Analysis Populations	 The All Subjects Enrolled Population will comprise all subjects for whom a record exists on the study database, including screen failures and any subject who was not screened but experienced a serious adverse event (SAE) between the date of informed consent and the planned date of the Screening Visit. This population will be used for reporting subject disposition, reasons for withdrawal prior to randomization, and inclusion, exclusion and randomization criteria deviations and SAEs for non-randomized subjects.
	 The intent-to-treat (111) Population will comprise all randomized subjects, excluding those who were randomized in error, who received at least one dose of study medication. A subject who is recorded as a screen or run-in failure and also randomized will be considered to be randomized in error. Any other subject

Overview	Key Elements of the RAP
	who receives a randomization number will be considered to have been randomized. Displays will be based on the treatment to which the subject was randomized. This population will be the used for all efficacy and safety displays unless specified otherwise.
	• The Per Protocol (PP) Population will comprise all subjects in the ITT Population who do not have a full protocol deviation considered to impact efficacy. Receipt of a study treatment other than the randomized treatment will be considered a full protocol deviation. Subjects with partial protocol deviations considered to impact efficacy will be included in the PP Population but will have their data excluded from PP analyses from the time of deviation onwards. Subjects with time-point specific or period specific protocol deviations will be included in the PP population but will have the data affected excluded from PP analyses. This population will be used for the primary comparison between the treatments to determine NI. It will also be used for the primary comparison between treatments to assess superiority, assuming that the primary analysis on the PP population supports the conclusion of NI.
	• The Inhaler Naive (IN) population definition will comprise of all subjects in the ITT population who have not used either Ellipta or Respimat for 6 months prior to inhaler evaluation (Visit 2 or Visit 5). This population will be used for all Inhaler assessment displays unless stated otherwise.
Hypothesis	 The null hypothesis is that the difference in trough FEV₁ between treatment groups is less than or equal to a pre-specified non-inferiority margin Δ:
	$H_0: T_1 - T_2 \leq \Delta$
	The alternative hypothesis is that the difference between treatment groups is greater than the margin.
	$H_1: T_1 - T_2 > \Delta$
	where T_1 and T_2 are the treatment means for UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg and TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg, respectively.
	• The non-inferiority margin has been set at -50mL, which is consistent with the non-inferiority margins used for trough FEV ₁ or 0 to 24 hour weighted mean FEV ₁ in previous studies comparing long-acting bronchodilators or long-acting bronchodilator/ICS combinations [Ichinose M, 2010; Vogelmeier C, 2010; Agustí A, 2014].
	 If the lower bound of the two-sided 95% confidence interval around the (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg) treatment difference is above - 50mL then UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg will be considered non-inferior to TIO/OLO 5/5

Overview	Key Elements of the RAP			
	 mcg. If the lower bound of the two-sided 95% confidence interval around the (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg) treatment difference is above 0 then UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg will be considered superior to TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg. 			
Primary Analyses	 The primary endpoint of trough FEV₁ at week 8 will be analysed using a repeated measures model including data recorded at each of Week 4 and Week 8 for the PP population. Treatment group (categorical) will be fitted as the explanatory variable, with period baseline, mean baseline, period, and visit fitted as covariates. Visit (nominal) will be fitted as a categorical variable and visit by period baseline, visit by mean baseline and visit by treatment interaction terms will be included. Treatment effects will be estimated at each visit separately. The variance-covariance matrix will be assumed unstructured. Missing data are not imputed in this analysis; however, all non-missing data will be used within the analysis to estimate the treatment effect at Week 8. The MMRM analysis of the primary endpoint will be repeated for the ITT Population testing for superiority in order to ensure consistent results with those 			
	from the PP population. These analyses will include data from protocol deviators. In addition, a tipping point analysis will be carried out as a sensitivity analysis for the primary endpoint of trough FEV1 at Week 8 on the PP and ITT population in order to assess the impact of missing data on conclusions of non-inferiority and superiority.			

2. SUMMARY OF KEY PROTOCOL INFORMATION

2.1. Changes to the Protocol Defined Statistical Analysis Plan

Any changes from the originally planned statistical analysis specified in the protocol GlaxoSmithKline Document Number 2015N256437_00 are outlined in Table 1.

Protocol		Re	porting & Analysis Plan		
Statistic	al Analysis Plan	Sta	tistical Analysis Plan	Ra	tionale for Changes
• Time deteri	to clinically important ioration composite endpoint	•	Time to clinically important deterioration composite endpoint will not be analysed	•	Study deemed too short to assess and SGRQ is not collected.
 Propo accor ≥1 ur from 	ortion of responders ding to CAT (defined as a hit improvement in score baseline) at Weeks 4 and 8	•	Proportion of responders according to CAT (defined as a ≥ 2 unit improvement in score from baseline) at Weeks 4 and 8	•	Typographical error
 ITT p All rai exclu rando who i run-ir rando be rai other rando consi rando Displa treatr was r 	opulation definition ndomized subjects, ding those who were omized in error. A subject s recorded as a screen or a failure and also omized will be considered to ndomized in error. Any subject who receives a omization number will be dered to have been omized. ays will be based on the nent to which the subject andomized.	•	ITT population definition now includes – received at least one dose of study medication. All randomized subjects, excluding those who were randomized in error and received at least one dose of study medication. A subject who is recorded as a screen or run-in failure and also randomized will be considered to be randomized in error. Any other subject who receives a randomizet on have been randomized. Displays will be based on the treatment to which the subject was randomized.	•	For consistency with prior GSK573719 + GW642444 studies
• No In	haler Naive population	•	Inhaler Naive population definition All subjects in the ITT population who have not used either Ellipta or Respimat for 6 months prior to inhaler evaluation (Visit 2	•	Population for Inhaler summaries and analysis

Table 1 Changes to Protocol Defined Analysis Plan

Protocol	Reporting & Analysis Plan	
Statistical Analysis Plan	Statistical Analysis Plan	Rationale for Changes
	 or Visit 5). This population will be used for all Inhaler assessment displays unless stated otherwise. 	

2.2. Study Objective(s) and Endpoint(s)

Objectives	Endpoints			
Primary Objectives	Primary Endpoint			
To compare the effect of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg with TIO/OLO 5/5mcg once daily on lung function in subjects with moderate COPD over 8 weeks of treatment.	 Trough FEV₁ at Week 8 			
Other Efficacy Objectives	Other Efficacy Endpoints			
To compare the effect of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg with TIO/OLO 5 /5mcg on other measures of efficacy and measures of health-related quality of life	 Proportion of responders according to FEV1 (a responder is defined as a ≥100mL change in Trough FEV₁ from baseline) at Week 8 Rescue albuterol/salbutamol use (percentage of rescue-free days and mean number of Inhalations/day) captured in e diary Trough FEV₁ at Week 4 Trough FVC at Weeks 4 and 8 COPD Assessment Test (CAT) score at Weeks 4 and 8 COPD Assessment Test (CAT) score at Weeks 4 and 8 Proportion of responders according to CAT (defined as a ≥2 unit improvement in score from baseline) at Weeks 4 and 8 Inhaler ease of use Inhaler errors Assessment of respiratory daily symptoms over Weeks 1-8 using Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms - COPD (E-RS) and its subscales (breathlessness, cough and sputum and chest symptoms) 			
Exploratory Objectives	Exploratory Endpoints			
 To compare the effect of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg with TIO/OLO 5 /5mcg on other measures of efficacy and measures of health-related quality of life 	 Rescue albuterol/salbutamol use (percentage of rescue- free days and mean number of Inhalations/day) over Weeks 1-8 might using eMDI 			
Safety	Safety Endpoints			
 To evaluate safety and tolerability of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg and TIO/OLO 5/5mcg 	 Incidence of adverse events (AEs) Incidence of COPD exacerbations 			

2.3. Study Design



2.4. Statistical Hypotheses

The null hypothesis is that the difference in trough FEV_1 between treatment groups is less than or equal to a pre-specified non-inferiority margin Δ :

H₀: $T_1 - T_2 \leq \Delta$

The alternative hypothesis is that the difference between treatment groups is greater than the margin.

 $H_1: T_1 - T_2 > \Delta$

where T_1 and T_2 are the treatment means for UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg and TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg, respectively.

CONFIDENTIAL

The non-inferiority margin has been set at -50mL, which is consistent with the noninferiority margins used for trough FEV_1 or 0 to 24 hour weighted mean FEV_1 in previous studies comparing long-acting bronchodilators or long-acting bronchodilator/ICS combinations [Ichinose M, 2010; Vogelmeier C, 2010; Agustí A, 2014].

If the lower bound of the two-sided 95% confidence interval around the (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg) treatment difference is above -50mL then UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg will be considered non-inferior to TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg.

If the lower bound of the two-sided 95% confidence interval around the (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg) treatment difference is above 0 then UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg will be considered superior to TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg.

P-values will be displayed in the analysis outputs in this study. Inference to be drawn from these p-values will be as follows:

- If the treatment comparison on the primary endpoint has a lower confidence limit below the non-inferiority margin, non-inferiority is not demonstrated. No inference will be drawn from p-values for treatment comparisons on any other endpoints.
- If the treatment comparison on the primary endpoint has a lower confidence limit above the non-inferiority margin but below 0, non-inferiority is established but the p-value cannot be used to give an indication of the strength of that noninferiority. Note the p-value is for a test of superiority and not associated with a test for non-inferiority.

Inference will be drawn from p-values for treatment comparisons on non lungfunction related endpoints (see below), which will be called statistically significant if <0.05. If the treatment comparison on the primary endpoint has a lower confidence limit above 0, superiority is established and the p-value can be used to give an indication of the strength of that superiority. Inference will be drawn from p-values for treatment comparisons on all other endpoints, which will be called statistically significant if <0.05.

The primary endpoint of trough FEV_1 is considered independent of non lung function 'other' endpoints, defined as rescue medication use, CAT score, inhaler ease of use, inhaler errors, respiratory daily symptoms endpoints. All other endpoints are lung function endpoints and are not considered to be independent from the primary endpoint of trough FEV_1 .

3. PLANNED ANALYSES

3.1. Final Analyses

The final planned primary analyses will be performed after the completion of the following sequential steps:

1. All subjects have completed the study as defined in the protocol.

- 2. All required database cleaning activities have been completed and final database release has been declared by Data Management.
- 3. All decisions on exclusion of data from PP analyses based on the PDMP will be agreed prior to releasing the randomization codes (See Section 10.1 for details).
- 4. All criteria for releasing the randomization codes have been met.
- 5. Randomization codes have been distributed according to RandAll NG procedures.
- 6. Database freeze has been declared by Data Management.

Population	Definition / Criteria	Analyses Evaluated
All Subjects Enrolled (ASE)	 All subjects for whom a record exists on the study database, including screen failures and any subject who was not screened but experienced a serious adverse event (SAE) between the date of informed consent and the planned date of the Screening Visit. Displays for this population will not be split by treatment. 	 Subject disposition Reasons for withdrawal prior to randomization Inclusion/exclusion/ran domization criteria deviations for non- randomized subjects SAEs for screen and run-in failures and randomized subjects
Intent-To-Treat (ITT)	 All randomized subjects, excluding those who were randomized in error, who received at least one dose of study medication. A subject who is recorded as a screen or run-in failure and also randomized will be considered to be randomized in error. Any other subject who receives a randomization number will be considered to have been randomized. Displays will be based on the treatment to which the subject was randomized. 	 Study population Primary efficacy Other efficacy Safety
Per-Protocol (PP)	 All subjects in the ITT Population who were not identified as full protocol deviators. Subjects with partial protocol deviations considered to impact efficacy will be included in the PP Population but will have their data excluded from the PP analysis from the time of deviation onwards. Subjects with time-point specific or period specific protocol deviations will be included in the PP population but will have the data affected excluded from the PP analysis. Protocol deviations that would exclude subjects from the PP population or subject data from PP analysis are defined in Appendix 1 (Protocol Deviation Management and Definition for Per-Protocol Population). The decision to exclude a subject from the PP 	Primary efficacy

4. ANALYSIS POPULATIONS

Population	Definition / Criteria	Analyses Evaluated
	population will be made prior to releasing the randomization codes.Displays will be based on the treatment to which the subject was randomized.	
Inhaler Naive (IN)	 All subjects in the ITT population who have not used either Ellipta or Respimat for 6 months prior to inhaler evaluation (Visit 2 or Visit 5). This population will be used for all Inhaler assessment displays. 	

NOTES :

 Please refer to Appendix 13: List of Data Displays which details the population to be used for each displays being generated.

4.1. Protocol Deviations

- Important protocol deviations (including deviations related to study inclusion/exclusion criteria, conduct of the trial, subject management or subject assessment) will be summarised and listed.
- Important deviations which result in exclusion from the PP population will also be summarised and listed. (Please refer to Appendix 1: Protocol Deviation Management and Definitions for Per Protocol Population).
 - Protocol deviations will be tracked by the study team throughout the conduct of the study in accordance with the Protocol Deviation Management Plan.
 - Data will be reviewed prior to releasing the randomization codes and freezing the database to ensure all important deviations and deviations which may lead to exclusion from the PP analysis are captured and categorised on the protocol deviations dataset.
 - This dataset will be the basis for the summaries and listings of protocol deviations.
- A separate summary and listing of all inclusion/exclusion criteria deviations will also be provided. This summary will be based on data as recorded on the inclusion/exclusion page of the eCRF.
- A listing of any treatment misallocations will be produced for the ASE population.

5. CONSIDERATIONS FOR DATA ANALYSES AND DATA HANDLING CONVENTIONS

Table 2 provides an overview of appendices within the RAP for outlining general considerations for data analyses and data handling conventions.

Section	Component
10.1	Appendix 1: Protocol Deviation Management and Definitions for Per Protocol Population
10.2	Appendix 2: Time & Events
10.3	Appendix 3: Assessment Windows
10.4	Appendix 4: Treatment States and Phases
10.5	Appendix 5: Data Display Standards & Handling Conventions
10.6	Appendix 6: Derived and Transformed Data
10.7	Appendix 7: Premature Withdrawals & Handling of Missing Data
10.8	Appendix 8: Multicenter Studies
10.9	Appendix 9: Examination of Covariates, Subgroups & Other Strata
10.10	Appendix 10: Multiple Comparisons & Multiplicity
10.11	Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.

Table 2Overview of Appendices

6. STUDY POPULATION ANALYSES

6.1. Overview of Planned Analyses

The study population analyses will be based on the ITT population, unless otherwise specified.

Table 3 provides an overview of the planned study population analyses, with full details of data displays being presented in Appendix 13: List of Data Displays.

Table 3Overview of Planned Study Population Analyses

Display Type	Data Displays Generated		
	Table	Figure	Listing
Subject Disposition			
Study Populations and Reasons for Screen Failures (ASE)	Y		
Attendance at Each Clinic Visit	Y		
End of Study Record	Y		Y
Treatment Completion	Y		
Reasons for Subject Withdrawal from the Study During	v		
Each Period	1		
Reasons for Discontinuation of Treatment During Each	v		
Period	1		
Number of Subjects by Country and Centre	Y		
Follow-up Contact			Y
Treatment			
Randomized and Actual Treatments			Y
Overall Percentage Treatment Compliance	Y		Y
Subjects Who Discontinued Study Treatment			Y
Protocol Deviations	1	T	
Important Protocol Deviations	Y		Y
Exclusions from Per Protocol Analyses	Y		Y
Inclusion/Exclusion/Randomization Criteria Deviations,	Y		Y
ASE and ITT	ľ		•
Treatment Misallocations (ASE)			Y
Demography	1		
Demographic Characteristics, ITT and PP (Note the listing	Y		Y
will only be produced for ITT)			•
Race and Racial Combinations	Y		
Race and Racial Combinations Details	Y		Y
Age group breakdown for the trial (ASE)	Y		
Subject Number per Country (ASE)	Y		
Medical Conditions and Concomitant Medications			
Current/Past Medical Conditions	Y		Y
Family History of Cardiovascular Risk Factors	Y		Y
COPD Concomitant Medications Not Given for an			
Exacerbation (Pre-Run-in, During Run-in or Washout, On-	Y		Y
treatment, Post-treatment) (Only one listing required for all			-
COPD medications)			

Display Type	Data Displays Generated			
	Table	Figure	Listing	
COPD Concomitant Medications Given for an Exacerbation	Y		Y	
(On-treatment, During Washout, Post-treatment)				
treatment) (Only one listing required for all non-COPD medications)	Y		Y	
Relationship Between Anatomical Therapeutic				
Classification (ATC) Level 1, Ingredient and Verbatim Text			Y	
Non-COPD Concomitant Medications Only				
Disease and Background Characteristics				
COPD History and COPD Exacerbation History (only one listing required)	Y		Y	
Smoking History and Status	Y		Y	
Screening Lung Function Test Results (listed as part of the Listing of FEV1)	Y		Y	
GOLD Categories and Reversibility at Screening	Y		Y	
Screening IC Results (listed as part of the Listing of IC)	Y		Y	
mMRC Dyspnoea Scale at Screening	Y		Y	

NOTES :

• Y = Yes display generated.

6.1.1. Subject Disposition

The number of subjects in the ASE population, the number and percentage of subjects who were pre-screen failures, who had exacerbations during the pre-screening period, who attended the screening visit and of those the number and percentage of subjects who were screen failures with the reasons for failing or run-in failures with reasons for failing will be presented. The number of subjects randomized and the number of subjects who were in the ITT population will be presented by treatment and overall. Of those in the ITT population the number and percentage of subjects who discontinued treatment and the number and percentage of subjects in the PP Population will be presented by treatment and overall.

The number and percentage of subjects who completed the study as planned as well subjects who stopped investigational product prematurely will be presented, along with the number and percentage of subjects who reported each primary and sub-reason for discontinuation of treatment.

The end of study record summary shows the number of subjects who completed the study as well as the number who withdrew early from the study along with reasons for early withdrawal.

A summary of the number and percentage of subjects who entered, discontinued treatment and completed each period of the study will be displayed.

A summary of reasons for withdrawal during each period (period 1, washout and period 2) will be presented for each treatment. A summary of reasons for discontinuation of treatment during each period will also be produced.

6.1.2. Medical Conditions and Concomitant Medications

The number and percentage of subjects reporting each current medical condition will be presented for the overall population. This table will include a category of 'Any Cardiovascular Risk Factor' and will present all medical conditions regardless of frequency. The table will be repeated for past medical conditions.

Non-COPD medications will be summarised by Anatomical-Therapeutic-Chemical (ATC) level 1 and ingredient. Multi-ingredient medications will be presented according to their combination ATC classification rather than the classifications of the ingredients.

Respiratory Medication Class (RMC) will be provided by Data Management for each COPD concomitant medication. COPD medications not given for an exacerbation, and medications given for an exacerbation, will be summarised by Respiratory Medication Class (RMC).

COPD and non-COPD medications will be listed separately. The COPD medications listing will indicate whether each medication was taken for an exacerbation. Both listings will indicate in which treatment state each medication was taken (Pre-Run-in, During Run-in, On-treatment, During Washout, Post-treatment).

A listing of the relationship between ATC Level 1, ingredient and verbatim text will be produced for non-COPD medications only.

6.1.3. Disease and Background Characteristics

Pre- and post-salbutamol FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC ratio, post-salbutamol FEV₁ as a percentage of predicted normal and FEV₁ reversibility to salbutamol (expressed in mL and as a percentage) at Screening will be summarised by treatment and overall.

The number and percentage of subjects in each GOLD Grade 1-4, GOLD Category A-D using mMRC and GOLD Category A-D using CAT, and the number and percentage of subjects classified as Reversible/Non-reversible to salbutamol at Screening (defined in Section 10.6.2) will also be summarised by treatment and overall.

History of disease, along with smoking status and family history of cardiovascular disease will also be summarised by treatment and overall.

7. PRIMARY STATISTICAL ANALYSES

7.1. Efficacy Analyses

7.1.1. Overview of Planned Efficacy Analyses

The primary efficacy analyses for the primary endpoint will be on the PP population. All outputs produced for the primary endpoint will be repeated on the ITT population. Further investigation will be done if the results from the PP analysis are not consistent with the results from the ITT analysis for the primary endpoint. In addition, a tipping point analysis will be carried out as a sensitivity analysis for the primary endpoint of trough FEV₁ at Week 8 on the PP and ITT population in order to assess the impact of missing data on conclusions of non-inferiority and superiority.

Table 4 provides an overview of the planned efficacy analyses for the primary endpoint, with full details of data displays being presented in Appendix 13: List of Data Displays.

Table 4 Overview of Planned Efficacy Analyses for the Primary Endpoint

Endpoint	Change from Baseline [1]						
	Stats Analysis Summary Ind			Indiv	ridual		
	Т	F	L	Т	F	F	L
Trough FEV ₁							
Trough FEV ₁ at Week	Y	Y3		Y	Y2		Y
8 (ITT and PP							
population)							
Tipping point on	Y						
Trough FEV ₁ at Week							
8 (ITT and PP							
population)							

NOTES :

- T = Table, F = Figure, L = Listing, Y = Yes display generated.
- Stats Analysis = Represents TFL related to any formal statistical analyses (i.e. modelling) conducted.
- Summary = Represents TFL related to any summaries (i.e. descriptive statistics) of the observed raw data.
- Individual = Represents FL related to any displays of individual subject observed raw data.
- [1] Analysis and Summaries to include absolute values too.
- ² Box plot and empirical distribution function plot for change from baseline.
- ³ Figures include all time points produced for change from baseline only.

7.1.2. Planned Efficacy Statistical Analyses

Primary Statistical Analyses					
Endpoint(s)					
 Trough FEV₁ at Week 8 (results for trough FEV₁ at Week 4 will be obtained from the same analysis) 					
Model Specification					
 Trough FEV₁ at Week 8 will be analysed for the PP population using a mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) including data recorded at each of Week 4 and Week 8. The following covariates will be included in the model: period baseline (the difference between a subject's baseline in each treatment period and the mean overall baseline for that subject), mean baseline (the mean of the baselines in each of the two treatment periods), period, treatment, visit, visit by period baseline, visit by mean baseline and visit by treatment interactions, where visit is nominal Subject will be fitted as a random effect. Two models will be fitted; one with a response variable of trough FEV₁, and one with a response variable of change from baseline in trough FEV₁. An unstructured covariance structure for the R matrix will be used by specifying 'type=UN' on 					
the REPEATED line.					
• The Kenward and Roger method for approximating the denominator degrees of freedom and correcting for bias in the estimated variance-covariance of the fixed effects will be used. If this method does not run, the residual method will be used instead.					
Example SAS code					
proc mixed data=start; class subject period treatment visit; model endpoint=period treatment visit mean_base period_base visit*period_base visit*mean_base visit*treatment / ddfm=kr; random intercept / subject=subject; repeated visit / subject=subject*period type=un; Ismeans visit*treatment / cl diff e; ods output Ismeans=Ismeans; ods output diffs=diffs; run;					
Model Checking & Diagnostics					
Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.					
Model Results Presentation					
 Least squares (LS) mean and LS mean changes from baseline with their corresponding standard errors (SEs) will be presented for each treatment by visit, together with estimated treatment differences (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5), corresponding 95% CIs and p-values. A plot of LS mean changes from baseline and 95% CIs for each treatment by visit will be generated 					
 The type III tests of fixed effects and the covariance parameter estimates from the model will be presented in two tables. 					

Summary Results Presentation

• Summary of baseline FEV₁ will be presented by treatment for all subjects.

Primary Statistical Analyses

- A box plot and an empirical distribution function plot of change from baseline in trough FEV₁ at Week 8 will be produced.
- Summary statistics for raw and change from baseline in trough FEV₁ at each visit and for each treatment will be presented on the same table.

Sensitivity and Supportive Statistical Analyses

- The analysis and results presentation will be repeated for the ITT population (with the exception of the box plot and empirical distribution function plot).
- Sensitivity of the results to missing data will be assessed using Tipping Point Analysis for both the PP and ITT population. Analysis of the primary endpoint at Week 8 will be run with missing data imputed under a variety of assumptions for the mean of the missing data. This will be done for both study arms separately. These analyses will allow for determination of the 'tipping point(s)' which is, the mean values for missing data that would cause a change in the conclusion of a)non-inferiority and b)superiority as assessed by the lower limit of the two-sided 95% CI. Multiple- imputation will be used for imputing the Week 8 endpoint of interest for subjects who had missing on-treatment data at Week 8.

For each imputation, a random draw will be made from a normal distribution with mean equal to the corresponding assumed mean change from baseline and standard deviation taken from the observed change from baseline data for the combined treatment arms at the Week 8 visit. Data for subjects with missing baseline values will not be imputed. Analysis of the complete Week 8 dataset will be carried out using an ANCOVA model with covariates of treatment group and baseline for that particular period (Note: not the period baseline).

Initially the same mean change from baseline will be assumed for subjects who withdrew from both treatment arms. The assumed values for the mean change from baseline at Week 8 will vary from -150mL to +150mL in increments of 50mL.

The analysis will be repeated assuming different mean changes from baseline for subjects who withdrew from each treatment arm. For each value of the assumed mean change from baseline for subjects who withdrew from UMEC/VI, the full range of values for subjects who withdrew from TIO/OLO will be investigated.

Seeds for multiple imputation analyses will be set using the following SAS code:

```
data seed1;
   number=round(10000*ranuni(0),1);
   output;
run;
```

proc print; run;

The seeds generated and assigned to each analysis will be documented separately prior to DBF. A table will be produced displaying the two-sided 95% lower confidence limit of the Week

Sensitivity and Supportive Statistical Analyses

8 treatment differences under the above assumptions for the mean changes from baseline for each of the treatment arms

• An assessment of whether the effect of treatment is modified by the interaction of

Period

Period baseline

Mean baseline

will be made. Interactions with treatment will be investigated by fitting separate MMRM models with the same terms as the main model, and adding in treatment by factor by visit interaction. Contrast statements will be used to obtain the p-value for the treatment by factor interaction at Week 8. The interaction term will be tested at the 10% significance level. If that p-value is >=0.10 the interaction will be considered not significant. If the p-value is <0.10, further investigation will be performed, for example running analysis by each level of the factor, or for subgroups above and below the median. This will be assessed for the PP population.

8. OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES

8.1. Other Efficacy Endpoints

8.1.1. Overview of Planned Efficacy Analyses

The other efficacy summaries and analyses will be based on the ITT population, unless otherwise specified.

Table 5 provides an overview of the planned efficacy analyses, with further details of data displays being presented in Appendix 13: List of Data Displays.

Endpoint	Change from Baseline[1]						
		Stats Ana	lysis	Summary Indiv		vidual	
	Т	F	L	Т	F	F	L
Responder FEV ₁							
Proportion of	Y			Y			Y
responders according							
to FEV ₁ (a responder is							
defined as a ≥100mL							
change in Trough FEV ₁							
from baseline) at Week							
4 and 8 [2]							
Trough FVC and IC	r	•	1			· · · ·	
Trough FVC	Y	Y		Y			Y
Trough IC	Y	Y		Y			Y
Rescue medication							
Mean number of puffs	Y			Y			Y
per day of rescue over							
the study duration							
(Weeks 1-8) captured							
using e-diary							
Percentage of rescue	Y			Y			Y
free days over the							
study duration (Weeks							
1-8) captured using e-							
diary [2]							
Mean number of				Y			Y
occurrences per day of							
rescue over the study							
duration (Weeks 1-8)							
captured using e-MDI				X			
Percentage of rescue				Y			Y
free days over the							
study duration (Weeks							
1-8) captured using e-							
	N/		T				
CAT score	Y	Y Y		Y			Υ

Table 5Overview of Planned Efficacy Analyses

Endpoint	Change from Baseline[1]							
		Stats Ana	lysis	Sum	mary	Indiv	Individual	
	Т	F	L	Т	F	F	L	
Proportion of CAT	Y			Y			Y	
responders [2]								
Inhaler assessments								
Inhaler ease of use	Y			Y			Y	
Inhaler errors				Y			Y	
E-RS								
E-RS	Y	Y		Y			Y	
Proportion of E-RS	Y			Y			Y	
responders [2]								

NOTES :

- T = Table, F = Figure, L = Listing, Y = Yes display generated.
- Stats Analysis = Represents TFL related to any formal statistical analyses (i.e. modelling) conducted.
- Summary = Represents TFL related to any summaries (i.e. descriptive statistics) of the observed raw data.
- Individual = Represents TFL related to any displays of individual subject observed raw data.
- 1. Analysis and Summaries to include absolute values too for all endpoints with the exception of Responder analysis
- 2. Summary and analysis will be combined into a single display.
- 3. Summary only

8.1.2. Planned Efficacy Statistical Analyses for Other Efficacy Endpoints

8.1.2.1. Responder FEV₁

Statistical Analyses for Proportion of Trough FEV₁ Responders Endpoints

• Proportion of responders according to FEV₁ (a responder is defined as a ≥100mL change in Trough FEV₁ from baseline)

Model Specification

- At each visit, the proportion of subjects achieving a ≥100mL change in Trough FEV₁ from baseline will be analysed using a generalised linear mixed model.
- The following covariates will be included in the model: period baseline, mean baseline, period, treatment, visit, visit by period baseline, visit by mean baseline and visit by treatment interactions, where visit is nominal.

Example SAS code

proc glimmix data=start; class subject period treatment visit; model endpoint(descending)=period treatment visit mean_base period_base visit*period_base visit*mean_base visit*treatment / dist=binary link=logit ddfm=kr solution; random intercept / subject=subject; random visit / subject=subject*period residual type=un; lsmeans visit*treatment / diff;

ods output Ismeans=Ismeans;

ods output diffs=diffs;

run;

Statistical Analyses for Proportion of Trough FEV₁ Responders

• Note: The residual statement turns the random statement into a repeated statement

Model Checking & Diagnostics

• Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.

Model Results Presentation

The odds ratio, 95% CI and p-value of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 will be presented.

Summary Results Presentation

• The number and percentage of responders and non-responders at each visit will be summarized on the same table as the analysis results detailed above.

8.1.2.2. Trough FVC and IC

Statistical Analyses

Endpoints

- Trough FVC
- Trough IC

Model Specification

 Trough FVC and Trough IC endpoints above will be analyzed using the same methodology as the primary analysis of trough FEV₁ (detailed in Section 8.1.2.1) using all available FVC or IC data recorded at Weeks 4 and 8.

Model Checking & Diagnostics

• Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.

Model Results Presentation

- Least squares (LS) mean and LS mean changes from baseline with their corresponding standard errors (SEs) will be presented for each treatment by visit, together with estimated treatment differences (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5), corresponding 95% CIs and pvalues.
- A plot of LS mean changes from baseline and 95% CIs for each treatment by visit will be generated.

Summary Results Presentation

- Summary of baseline FVC will be presented by treatment for all subjects.
- Summary statistics for raw and change from baseline in trough FVC at each visit and for each treatment will be presented on the same table.
- Summary of baseline IC will be presented by treatment for all subjects.
- Summary statistics for raw and change from baseline in trough IC at each visit and for each treatment will be presented on the same table.

8.1.2.3. Rescue Medication

Mean number of Puffs/Occurrences of Rescue Medication Endpoints

- Mean number of puffs per day of rescue medication over the study duration (Weeks1-8) captured using e-diary
- Percentage of rescue-free days over the study duration (Weeks 1-8) captured using e-diary

Ме	an number of Puffs/Occurrences of Rescue Medication
٠	Mean number of occurences per day of rescue medication over the study duration (Weeks1-8)
	captured using e-MDI
•	Percentage of rescue-free days over the study duration (Weeks 1-8) captured using e-MDI
Мо	del Specification for MMRM Analysis Weeks 1-8
٠	Each endpoint derived for Weeks 1-2, 3-4, 5-6 and 7-8 will be analysed using a MMRM
	analysis using all available values recorded during Weeks 1-2, 3-4, 5-6 and 7-8.
•	The following covariates will be included in the model: period baseline, mean baseline, period,
	treatment, two-weekly period, two-weekly period by period baseline interaction and two-weekly
	period by mean baseline interaction.
•	The overall treatment effect will be estimated.
•	Two models will be fitted for each endpoint; one with a response variable of mean number of
	puffs/day or percentage of rescue-free days, and one with a response variable of the change
	from baseline.
•	An unstructured covariance structure for the R matrix will be used by specifying 'type=UN' on
	the REPEATED line.
•	The Kenward and Roger method for approximating the denominator degrees of freedom and
	correcting for bias in the estimated variance-covariance of the fixed effects will be used.
Ex	ample SAS code
	proc mixed data=start:
	class subject period treatment 2wkperd:
	model endpoint=period treatment 2wkperd mean base period base
	2wkperd*period base 2wkperd*mean base /
	ddfm=kr:
	random intercept / subject=subject:
	repeated 2wkperd / subject=subject*period type=un:
	Ismeans treatment / cl diff e :
	ods output Ismeans=Ismeans:
	ods output diffs=diffs:
	run:
Мо	del Checking & Diagnostics
•	Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses
Mo	del Results Presentation
	The LS mean and LS mean change from baseline over Weeks 1-8 with their corresponding
•	Ses will be presented for each treatment together with the estimated treatment difference
	(IMEC/VI 62 5/25 vs. $TIO/OI O 5/5$) corresponding 95% CI and p-value
•	Percentage of rescue-free days will have LS mean with over Weeks 1-8 with their
•	corresponding SEs will be presented for each treatment, together with the estimated treatment
	difference (LIMECIVI 62.5/25 vs. $TIO/OL O.5/5$), corresponding 0.5% CL and p value
C	mmany Results Presentation
Ou	The mean number of nuffe of receive medication per day at baseline, during each two weeks of
•	treatment and over the optice 8 week treatment period will be summarized by treatment. The
	abange from baseline values will also be summarized by treatment, for each two weeks of
	treatment and the entire 8 week treatment period
-	This will be reported for percentage of readule free days
•	This will be repeated for percentage of rescue-free days.
•	Unly the above summaries (not analysis) will be repeated for rescue medication

Mean number of Puffs/Occurrences of Rescue Medication

(albuterol/salbutamol) collected using eMDI.

Non-parametric analysis

• If the assumption of normality is not satisfied (as seen in previous studies with similar design), a non-parametric analysis will be carried out. Hodges Lehman estimates for the median treatment difference and 95% confidence interval based upon a Wilcoxon rank sum test will be used. The p-value will be based on Van Elteren test, an extension to the Wilcoxon rank sum test for two group comparison.

8.1.2.4. CAT

Sta	atistical Analyses for CAT Score
En	dpoints
•	CAT Score
Мо	del Specification
• •	CAT will be analyzed using a MMRM including data recorded at each of Week 4 and Week 8. The following covariates will be included in the model: period baseline, mean baseline, period, treatment, visit, visit by period baseline, visit by mean baseline and visit by treatment interactions, where visit is nominal. Subject will be fitted as a random effect. Two models will be fitted; one with a response variable of CAT score, and one with a response variable of cAT score.
Г.,	
EX	ample SAS code
	proc mixed data=start;
	class subject period treatment visit;
	model endpoint=period treatment visit mean_base period_base
	VISIL period_base VISIL mean_base VISIL Treatment /
	UUIIII=KI;
	random intercept / subject=subject;
	repealed Visit / subject=subject period type=un;
	ous output ismeans–ismeans,
	ous output ains=ains;
	run;
Mo	del Checking & Diagnostics
٠	Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.
Мо	del Results Presentation
•	Least squares (LS) mean and LS mean changes from baseline with their corresponding standard errors (SEs) will be presented for each treatment by visit, together with estimated treatment differences (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5), corresponding 95% CIs and p-values.
•	A plot of LS mean changes from baseline and 95% CIs for each treatment by visit will be generated.
Su	mmary Results Presentation
•	Summary of baseline CAT score will be presented by treatment for all subjects.

• Summary statistics for raw and change from baseline in CAT score at each visit and for each treatment will be presented on the same table.

Statistical Analyses for Proportion of CAT Responders
Endpoints
Proportion of Responders according to CAT
Model Specification
• At each visit, the proportion of responders will be analysed using a generalised linear mixed model.
Please see Section 10.6.4 for details on responder definition.
• The following covariates will be included in the model: period baseline, mean baseline, period, treatment, visit, visit by period baseline, visit by mean baseline and visit by treatment interactions, where visit is nominal.
See Section 10.7.2 for details on how to handle missing data.
Example SAS code
<pre>proc glimmix data=start; class subject period treatment visit; model endpoint(descending)=period treatment visit mean_base period_base visit*period_base visit*mean_base visit*treatment / dist=binary link=logit ddfm=kr solution; random intercept / subject=subject; random visit / subject=subject*period residual type=un; lsmeans visit*treatment / diff; ods output lsmeans=lsmeans; ods output diffs=diffs; run;</pre>
Note: The residual statement turns the random statement into a repeated statement
Model Checking & Diagnostics
Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.
Model Results Presentation
The odds ratio, 95% CI and p-value of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 will be presented.
Summary Results Presentation
The number and percentage of responders and non-responders at each visit will be summarized on the same table as the analysis results detailed above.

8.1.2.5. E-RS

Sta	Statistical Analyses for E-RS						
En	Endpoints						
•	Change from baseline in weekly mean scores for E-RS and its subscales (breathlessness, cough and sputum and chest symptoms)						
Мо	Model Specification						
•	E-RS endpoint above will be analyzed using similar methodology as the MMRM analysis of Trough FEV ₁ (detailed in Section 7.1.2) using all available data recorded from the EXACT-PRO questionnaire.						

• For E-RS and subscales, all weekly mean scores will be included in the analysis. Model Checking & Diagnostics

Sta	atistical Analyses for E-RS
•	Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.
Мо	odel Results Presentation
•	Least squares (LS) mean and LS mean changes from baseline with their corresponding standard errors (SEs) will be presented for each treatment by weekly treatment period, together with estimated treatment differences (UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5), corresponding 95% CIs and p-values.
Su	mmary Results Presentation
•	The mean score at baseline and during each week of treatment will be summarized by treatment. The change from baseline values will also be summarized by treatment, for each week of treatment.
Sta	atistical Analysis of Proportion of Responders according to E-RS
En	dpoints
•	Proportion of responders for E-RS and its subscales (breathlessness, cough and sputum and chest symptoms)
Мо	odel Specification
•	For each mean weekly E-RS total score and subscales, the proportion of responders will be analyzed using similar methodology as the generalized linear mixed model analysis of Trough FEV ₁ responder (detailed in Section 8.1.2.1).
•	Please see Section 10.6.4 for details on responder definitions.
•	See Section 10.7.2 for details on how to handle missing data.
Мо	odel Checking & Diagnostics
•	Refer to Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses.
Мо	odel Results Presentation
•	The odds ratio, 95% CI and p-value of UMEC/VI 62.5/25 vs. TIO/OLO 5/5 will be presented
Su	mmary Results Presentation
•	The number and percentage of responders and non-responders at each visit will be summarized on the same table as the analysis results detailed above.

8.1.2.6. Inhaler assessment summaries

Inh	Inhaler Error Assessment							
En	dpoint							
•	Percentage of subjects making at least one critical error							
•	Percentage of subjects making at least overall error							
•	See Section 10.6.4 for error derivation.							
Su	Summary of Results Presentation							
٠	Summarized by inhaler, response (at least one error critical and overall) using n and %.							
	Results will be presented by sequence and total.							
•	The number and percent of subjects reporting each error for each individual question will also be presented (separate table for each inhaler).							

Sta	itistical Analysis of Inhaler Ease of Use Assessment
En	dpoints
•	Ease of use' rating for the ELLIPTA DPI compared with the Respimat.
Мо	del Specification
•	Each question will be analysed using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test adjusted for country The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test serves as a stratified approximation to Prescott's test a
•	variation of a one-sample chi-square test that accounts for study inhaler sequence and difference in ease of use response [Prescott, 1981; Senn, 2002]. Homogeneity among country with respect difference in to ease of use will be assessed using a Breslow-Day test. Results of this test will not be reported on the final data display. See Section 10.6.4 for ease of use derivation.
Exa	ample SAS code
•	SAS code for stratified approximation to Prescott's test for each preference question:
•	ods output CMH = cmhi (where = (upcase(AltHypothesis) =: 'ROW MEAN SCORES') keep = AltHypothesis Prob) ; proc freq data = pref_data ; tables country * seq * ease_ord / cmh ;
	run ;
•	SAS code for checking brestow-Day rest for 2x2
	proc freq data = pref_data (where = (ease_ord ne 0)) ; tables country * seg * ease_ord / cmb :
Мо	del Results Presentation
•	P-values will be presented for the comparison between inhalers on the summary table
Su	mmary Results Presentation
•	Responses to the Ease of Use questionnaire will be summarised by inhaler, question/item
	and type of responses for the IN population.
•	The percentage preference (rated higher for Ellipta, rated higher for Respimat, rated the same) will be summarized on the same table as the analysis results detailed above. Results will be
	presented by question, sequence and total.
•	An additional summary will be produced based on the difference between the ratings for
	the two inhalers. The rating are coded as Very Easy=1, Easy=2, Neutral=3, Difficult=4,
	Very Difficult=5. Therefore, the difference in rating will be ranged from -4 to 4. A
	difference of -4 indicates a rating of `Very Easy' for Ellipta and a `Very Difficult' for Other.

8.2. Safety Analyses

8.2.1. Overview of Planned Analyses

The safety analyses will be based on the ITT population, unless otherwise specified.

Table 6 provides an overview of the planned analyses, with further details of data displays being presented in Appendix 13: List of Data Displays.

 Table 6
 Overview of Planned Safety Analyses

Endpoint	Absolute						
	Sum	nmary	Indi	vidual			
	Т	F	F	L			
Incidence of Adverse Events							
Overview of On-treatment Adverse Events (AEs)	Y						
On-treatment AEs	Y						
Post-treatment AEs	Y						
Drug related On-treatment AEs	Y						
Fatal On-treatment SAEs	Y						
Fatal Post-treatment SAEs	Y						
Fatal Drug related On-treatment SAEs	Y						
Non-fatal On-treatment SAEs	Y						
Non-fatal Post-treatment SAEs	Y						
Non-fatal Drug related On-treatment SAEs	Y						
10 Most Frequent On-treatment AEs on Each Treatment	Y						
On-treatment AEs of Special Interest (AESI) (SOC will not be	Y						
presented for this display)							
Pre-treatment Fatal SAEs, (ASE, total column only)	Y						
Pre-treatment Non-fatal SAEs, (ASE, total column only)	Y						
Pre-treatment AEs Leading to Withdrawal from the Study, (ASE, total column only)	Y						
On-treatment AEs Leading to Permanent Discontinuation of Study Treatment or Withdrawal from the Study	Y						
Relationship Between Primary System Organ Class, Preferred Term and Verbatim AE Text,	Y						
On-treatment Non-serious AEs reported by 3% or more subjects (before rounding) in any treatment group, EMA Requirement (ITT)	Y						
Summary of Serious Adverse Events by Preferred Term Including Drug-related Status and Fatal Status (ITT) EMA Requirement	Y						
Subject Numbers for Each AE				Y			
All AEs				Y			
Non-fatal SAEs				Y			
Fatal SAEs				Y			
AEs Leading to Permanent Discontinuation of Study Treatment or				Y			

Endpoint	Absolute					
	Sum	mary	Individual			
	Т	F	F	L		
Withdrawal from the Study						
On-treatment Drug-related AEs				Y		
Pulse Rate, Systolic Blood Pressure and Diastolic Blood Pressure				Y		
On- treatment COPD Exacerbations	Y			Y		
Post- treatment COPD Exacerbations	Y			Y		
Exposure Data	Y			Y		
Potential DPI Inhaler Malfunctions				Y		
Potential Chest X-ray Data				Y		
All Other potential Pneumonia Data				Y		
Liver Events				Y		
Virology				Y		
Myocardial Infarction/Unstable Angina*				Y		
Congestive Heart Failure*				Y		
Arrhythmias*				Y		
Valvulopathy*				Y		
Pulmonary Hypertension*				Y		
Cerebrovascular Events/Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack*				Y		
Peripheral Arterial Thromboembolism*				Y		
Deep Venous Thrombosis/Pulmonary Embolism*				Y		
Revascularisation*				Y		
All cause deaths				Y		
ECG				Y		

*collected if cardiovascular event occurs

8.2.2. Adverse Events

AE incidence will be summarised by treatment using the primary System Organ Class (SOC) and preferred term.

The 10 most frequent on-treatment adverse events in each treatment summary will use the counts to determine the most frequent 10 events. In case of ties in frequency all AEs for that frequency will be displayed. On-treatment AEs experienced by 3 % (after rounding) or more of subjects in any treatment group will also be displayed (SOC will not be presented for this display.)

Classification of an AE as pre-, on- or post-treatment is provided in Section 10.4.1. All listings of AEs/SAEs will include an identification of the treatment state.

8.2.2.1. Adverse Events of Special Interest

Adverse events (AEs) of special interest (AESI) have been defined as AEs which have specified areas of interest for UMEC, VI or for the COPD population. A list of Standardized Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Affairs (MedDRA) Queries (SMQs) and other groupings for AESI is provided in Section 10.6.3.

8.2.3. COPD Exacerbations

On- and post-treatment exacerbations will be summarized separately.

The definition of on- and post-treatment exacerbations is provided in Section 10.4.1.3.

The number and percentage of subjects reporting 0, 1, 2 or >2 COPD exacerbations, the total number of exacerbations, the number and percentage of subjects withdrawn due to an exacerbation and the number and percentage of subjects treated by oral/systemic corticosteroids, antibiotics or hospitalisation will also be presented. The number and percentage of exacerbations with each outcome category and each severity will be presented and the exacerbation duration will be summarised.

8.2.4. Liver Events

Liver event information will be listed for all subjects who report a liver event, to include:

- The time from the start of randomized study treatment to the liver event.
- The information captured on the Liver Event Assessment Form which is used to calculate the Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method score.
- If liver biopsy was performed, the size of the biopsy and the recorded outcomes.
- If liver imaging was performed, the recorded outcomes for the liver imaging assessment.

9. **REFERENCES**

- Agustí A, de Teresa L, De Backer W, Zvarich MT, Locantore N, Barnes N, Bourbeau J, Crim C. A comparison of the efficacy and safety of once-daily fluticasone furoate/vilanterol with twice-daily fluticasone propionate/salmeterol in moderate to very severe COPD. Eur Respir J. 2014;43:763-72.
- Evidera. (2016). Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms (E-RS[™]) in COPD (E-RS[™]: COPD)
- GlaxoSmithKline Document Number 2015N256437_00 Study ID 204990. (A Randomized, Open-Label, 8-Week Cross-Over Study to Compare Umeclidinium/Vilanterol with Tiotropium/Olodaterol Once-Daily in Subjects with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Report Date 29-MAR-2016.
- GOLD. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (Updated 2017). http://www.goldcopd.org.
- Ichinose M, Fujimoto T, Fukuchi Y. Tiotropium 5 mg via Respimat and 18 mg via HandiHaler; efficacy and safety in Japanese COPD patients. Respiratory Medicine; 2010:104, 228e236
- Prescott, RS. The comparison of success rates in cross-over trials in the presence of an order effect. *Appl Stat.* 1981; 30:9-15.
- Ratitch, B., O'Kelly, M. and Tosiello, R. (2013), Missing data in clinical trials: from clinical assumptions to statistical analysis using pattern mixture models. Pharmaceut. Statist., 12: 337–347. doi: 10.1002/pst.1549
- Senn, Stephen. <u>Cross-over Trials in Clinical Research</u>, 2nd ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002. pp 128-131, 202-203.
- Vogelmeier C, Ramos-Barbon D, Jack D, Piggott S, Owen R, Higgins M, Kramer B; INTIME study investigators (INdacaterol & Tlotropium: Measuring Efficacy). Indacaterol provides 24-hour bronchodilation in COPD: a placebo-controlled blinded comparison with tiotropium. Respir Res. 2010;11:135.

10. APPENDICES

Section	Appendix								
RAP Section 4	Analysis Populations								
Section 10.1 Appendix 1: Protocol Deviation Management and Definitions for Per Protocol Population									
RAP Section 5 : General Considerations for Data Analyses & Data Handling Conventions									
Section 10.2	Appendix 2: Time & Events								
Section 10.3	Appendix 3: Assessment Windows								
Section 10.4	Appendix 4: Treatment States & Phases								
Section 10.5	Appendix 5: Data Display Standards & Handling Conventions								
	 Study Treatment & Sub-group Display Descriptors 								
	Baseline Definitions & Derivations								
	Reporting Process & Standards								
Section 10.6	Appendix 6: Derived and Transformed Data								
General, Study Population & Safety									
Efficacy									
Section 10.7	Appendix 7: Premature Withdrawals & Handling of Missing Data								
	Premature Withdrawals								
	Handling of Missing Data								
Section 10.8	Appendix 8: Multicentre Studies								
Section 10.9	Appendix 9: Examination of Covariates and Subgroups								
Section 10.10	Appendix 10: Multiple Comparisons and Multiplicity								
Section 10.11	Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses								
Other RAP Appendices									
Section 10.12	Appendix 12: Abbreviations & Trade Marks								
Section 10.13	Appendix 13: List of Data Displays								

10.1. Appendix 1: Protocol Deviation Management and Definitions for Per Protocol Population

10.1.1. Exclusions from Per Protocol Analysis

The full list of protocol deviations collected on the eCRF is in the PDMP. This will include those that will also be excluded from the per protocol analyses.

Concomitant medications will be reviewed for deviations from the time of informed consent through to the time of stopping study treatment.

Subjects with partial deviations will be excluded from PP analyses from the onset of the deviation onwards. Subjects with time point specific or period specific deviations will have only the affected time point excluded from PP analyses.

10.2. Appendix 2: Time & Events

10.2.1. Protocol Defined Time & Events

		Screen/I	Run-in	In-in Treatment 1		Wash- Out	Treatment 2			Post-Treatment		
Visit		0 Prescreen ¹	1 (Screen)	2 (Rand)	3	4		5	6	7	EW Visit ²	Follow up Contact ¹¹
Treatment Day			14 ± 3 days prior to Visit 2	1 ±3 days	28 ± 3 days	56 ± 3 days	21 days ± 3 days	1 21 ± 3 days after V4	28 ± 3 days after V5	56 ± 3 days after V5		7 ± 3days after V7 or EW Visit
Week			-1	N/A	4	8		N/A	4	8		
Screen /	Written informed consent	Х										
Baseline	Demography	Х										
	Medical/COPD history		Х									
	Smoking history/status		Х							Х	Х	
	Smoking cessation counselling		Х							Х	Х	
	Verify Inclusion/exclusion criteria		Х									
	Concomitant medication assessment	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Verify Randomization criteria			Х								
	Physical examination		Х							Х	Х	
	Screening 12-Lead ECG		Х									
	Screening spirometry (including post- bronchodilator testing) ³		Х									
	mMRC dyspnea scale		Х									
	Training on use of inhalers ¹³			Х				Х				
2017N327578_00

204990

		Screen/I	Run-in		Treatment	1	Wash- Out		Treatment	2	Post-Tro	eatment
Visit		0 Prescreen ¹	1 (Screen)	2 (Rand)	3	4		5	6	7	EW Visit ²	Follow up Contact ¹¹
Treatment D	ay		14 ± 3 days prior to Visit 2	1 ±3 days	28 ± 3 days	56 ± 3 days	21 days ± 3 days	1 21 ± 3 days after V4	28 ± 3 days after V5	56 ± 3 days after V5		7 ± 3days after V7 or EW Visit
Week			-1	N/A	4	8		N/A	4	8		
	Training in the use of eDiary and eMDI		Х									
	Register visit IVRS	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Efficacy/	Pre dose Spirometry (FEV ₁ , FVC and IC) ⁴			Х				Х				
Inhaler Evaluations	Trough Spirometry (FEV1, FVC and IC) ⁵				Х	Х			Х	Х		
	CAT ¹²		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х		
	EXACT-PRO (14 item											
	Inhaler Ease of use assessment			Х				Х				
	Inhaler Errors assessment ¹³			Х				Х				
Safety	Adverse event assessment ⁶		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	COPD exacerbation assessment	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Urine pregnancy test ⁷		Х	Х				Х		Х	Х	
	Observed dose in clinic ¹⁴				Х				Х			
Medication/ Supplies	Dispense rescue albuterol/salbutamol ⁸ with eMDI		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х		
	Collect rescue albuterol/salbutamol ⁹ with eMDI			X	X	X		X	X	X	Х	

		Screen/	Run-in		Treatment	1	Wash- Out		Treatment	2	Post-Tr	eatment
Visit		0 Prescreen ¹	1 (Screen)	2 (Rand)	3	4		5	6	7	EW Visit ²	Follow up Contact ¹¹
Treatment D	ау		$\begin{array}{c} 14\pm3\\ \text{days}\\ \text{prior to}\\ \text{Visit 2} \end{array}$	1 ±3 days	28 ± 3 days	56 ± 3 days	21 days ± 3 days	1 21 ± 3 days after V4	28 ± 3 days after V5	56 ± 3 days after V5		7 ± 3days after V7 or EW Visit
Week			-1	N/A	4	8		N/A	4	8		
	Dispense period 1 study medication			Х	Х							
	Collect period 1 study medication					Х					X	
	Dispense period 2 study medication							Х	Х			
	Collect period 2 study medication									Х	Х	
	Assess drug compliance ¹⁰				Х	Х			X	Х	Х	
	Dispense eDiary		Х									
	Collect eDiary									Х	Х	

1. Pre-screen Visit must be completed prior to or on the same day as Screening Visit.

2. Early Withdrawal Visit: Subjects that withdraw should return to the clinic as soon as possible for the Early Withdrawal Visit.

3. Spirometry at screening for FEV₁ and FVC assessments to be conducted as follows: pre-bronchodilator testing followed by post-albuterol/salbutamol testing. Postalbuterol/salbutamol testing conducted 10 to 30 minutes after subject self-administration of 4 Inhalations of albuterol/ salbutamol. IC will not be obtained at Screening.

4. Spirometry: On Day 1 of each period (Visits 2 and 5 respectively), pre-dose IC, FEV₁, and FVC measurements should be conducted 30 minutes and 5 minutes prior to dosing.

5. Trough IC, FEV₁, and FVC measurements on Weeks 4 and 8 of each treatment period should be done 23 hours and 24 hours after the previous day's dose of study medication.

6. Adverse events and Serious Adverse Events to be collected from the start of study drug (Visit 2) until the follow-up contact. However, any serious adverse events assessed as related to study participation or related to a GSK concomitant medication will be recorded from the time of consent. Subjects will use eDiary to record any medical problems experienced during the study and any medication taken for those medical problems

7. Pregnancy test: for females for child bearing potential only.

8. After Visit 1, albuterol/salbutamol to be used and dispensed on an as-needed basis. Use in inhalations/day to be recorded daily by subjects using the ePro.

9. Collect albuterol/salbutamol: as required (all rescue medication should be collected at Visit 7 or the Early Withdrawal Visit).

- 10. Compliance with UMEC/VI will be determined by reviewing the dose counter on the Ellipta inhaler. Compliance with the TIO/OLO will be determined by reviewing the number of inhalations/day (2 inhalations/day to equal one dose) as recorded in the e Diary.
- 11. The follow-up contact will be by telephone 5 to 10 days after V7 or the Early Withdrawal Visit.
- 12. CAT will be performed at the site on the eDiary.
- 13. For first dosing of each treatment period the subject will follow the subject information sheet under the observation of the site staff. Before the subject leaves the clinic the site staff will make sure the subject is shown a training video on the use of the inhaler and they will make sure the inhaler is correctly loaded.
- 14. Dosing by the subject will be observed in the clinic and corrected if necessary. Retraining by showing the training video to take place if dosing not correct. Pl to make sure inhaler loader appropriately loaded before subject leaves the clinic.

EW= Early withdrawal

Rand = Randomization

10.3. Appendix 3: Assessment Windows

10.3.1. Definitions of Assessment Windows for Analyses

Data are generally reported according to the nominal time of clinic visits and assessments as specified in the protocol, and time windows for exclusion will not be defined. For example, if a subject recorded values for the Week 4 (Day 28) visit that were actually made on the 21st day of treatment, they will be presented as Week 4 (Day 28) values in the summary tables.

For the 23 and 24 h post-dose trough FEV_1 measurements, a time window of 22.0-25.0 h is allowed for the actual time of an assessment relative to time of dosing on the previous day. Values falling outside of this window will not be used in the PP analysis of the primary endpoint, but will still be included in all ITT analyses.

10.4. Appendix 4: Treatment States and Phases

10.4.1. Treatment States

Assessments and events will be classified according to time of occurrence relative to the start and/or stop date of the study treatment.

10.4.1.1. Treatment States for Concomitant Medication Data

The following rules will be used to classify a concomitant medication as being taken during one or more study treatment phases (pre-treatment, on-treatment and post-treatment):

DATE RULES	PRETRT	DURTRT	POSTTRT
	(Pre treatment)	(On treatment)	(Post treatment)
mststdt = .	Y		
mststdt ^=.	Y		
AND			
(cmstdt < mststdt OR cmprior = Y)			
AND			
cmenal <= msisiai	V	V	
	ř	ř	
(cmstdt < mststdt OR cmprior = Y)			
AND			
mststdt < cmendt <= mstendt			
mststdt ^=.	Y	Y	Y
AND			
(cmstdt < mststdt OR cmprior = Y)			
AND			
(cmenat > mstenat OR cmongo = Y)		N/	
		Y	
AND metetdt <= cmetdt <= metendt			
AND			
mststdt <= cmendt <= mstendt			
mststdt ^= .		Y	Y
AND			
mststdt <= cmstdt <= mstendt			
AND			
(cmendt > mstendt OR cmongo = Y)			
mststdt ^= .			Y
AND			
cmstat>-mstenat	1	1	

cmstdt=start date of the concomitant medication, cmendt=end date of the concomitant medication, mststdt =start date of the study medication, mstendt =end date of the study medication

The following SAS code will be used:

```
if mststdt=. then do;
 pretrt="Y"; durtrt="; posttrt=";
end;
if mststdt ne . and (cmstdt2 < mststdt or cmprior='Y') and (cmendt2 <= mststdt) then do;
 pretrt='Y'; durtrt="; posttrt=";
end:
if mststdt ne . and (cmstdt2 < mststdt or cmprior='Y') and mststdt < cmendt2 <= mstendt then do;
 pretrt='Y'; durtrt='Y'; posttrt='';
end:
if mststdt ne . and (cmstdt2 < mststdt or cmprior='Y') and (cmendt2 > mstendt or cmongo='Y') then do;
 pretrt='Y'; durtrt='Y'; posttrt='Y';
end
if mststdt ne . and mststdt <= cmstdt2 <= mstendt and mststdt <= cmendt2 <= mstendt then do;
 pretrt="; durtrt='Y'; posttrt=";
end:
if mststdt ne . and mststdt <= cmstdt2 <= mstendt and (cmendt2 > mstendt or cmongo='Y') then do;
 pretrt="; durtrt='Y'; posttrt='Y';
end;
if mststdt ne . and cmstdt2 >= mstendt then do;
 pretrt="; durtrt="; posttrt='Y';
end;
```

10.4.1.2. Treatment States for AE Data

Classification of an AE as pre-, on- or post-treatment will be made with reference to the study treatment start and stop dates for each period and the AE onset date. If the AE onset date is missing or partial then the AE will be considered on-treatment unless there is evidence to the contrary (e.g. the month of the onset date is present and is less than the month of the first dose of study treatment). AEs with onset on the day after the last day of treatment will be considered on-treatment. Other AEs with onset during the wash-out or follow-up periods, or following IP discontinuation will be considered post-treatment but will be assigned to the treatment received in the previous period. AEs with missing or partial onset dates which are not classified will be included in listings only. AEs reported by subjects who did not receive treatment will be considered pre-treatment.

Treatment State	Definition
Pre-Treatment	AE Onset Date < First Study Treatment Start Date
On-Treatment	If AE onset date is on or after treatment start date & on or before treatment stop date. Study Treatment Start Date \leq AE Start Date \leq Study Treatment Stop Date + 1 day
Post-Treatment	If AE onset date is after the treatment stop date plus 1 day.
	AE Start Date > Study Treatment Stop Date + 1 day
Onset Time	If Treatment Start Date > AE Onset Date: = AE Onset Date - Treatment Start Date
Since 1 st Dose	If Treatment Start Date ≤ AE Onset Date: = AE Onset Date - Treatment Start Date +1
(Days)	Missing otherwise.
Duration (Days)	AE Resolution Date – AE Onset Date + 1
Drug-related	If relationship is marked 'YES' on [Inform/CRF OR value is missing].

NOTES:

If the study treatment stop date is missing then the AE will be considered to be On-Treatment.

10.4.1.3. Treatment States for COPD Exacerbation Data

Classification of a COPD exacerbation as having onset on- or post-treatment will be made with reference to the study treatment start and stop dates and the exacerbation onset date. If the exacerbation onset date is missing or partial then the exacerbation will be considered on-treatment unless there is evidence to the contrary (e.g. the month of the onset date is present and is less than the month of the first dose of study medication). Exacerbations with onset on the day after the last day of a treatment will be considered on-treatment. Other exacerbations with onset during a washout or follow-up period, or following IP discontinuation will be considered post-treatment but will be assigned to the treatment received in the previous period. Exacerbations which are not considered on- or post-treatment or which are reported by subjects who did not receive treatment will be included in listings only. The duration of the exacerbation will be calculated as (exacerbation resolution date or date of death - exacerbation onset date + 1).

Treatment State	Definition
On-Treatment	If onset date is on or after treatment start date & on or before treatment stop date. Study Treatment Start Date \leq Start Date \leq Study Treatment Stop Date + 1 day
Post-Treatment	If AE onset date is after the treatment stop date. Start Date > Study Treatment Stop Date +1 day

10.5. Appendix 5: Data Display Standards & Handling Conventions

10.5.1. Study Treatment & Sequence Display Descriptors

Treatment Descriptions				
	RandAll NG	Data Displays for Reporting		
Code	Description	Description	Order ^[1]	
3	UMEC/VI 62.5/25 mcg	UMEC/VI 62.5/25	1	
4	TIO/OLO 5/5 mcg	TIO/OLO 5/5	2	

NOTES:

1. Order represents treatments being presented in TFL, as appropriate.

Sequence Descriptions				
Data Displays for Reporting				
Period 1 Period 2 Description				
UMEC/VI 62.5/25	TIO/OLO 5/5	UMEC/VI 62.5/25_TIO/OLO 5/5		
TIO/OLO 5/5	UMEC/VI 62.5/25	TIO/OLO 5/5_UMEC/VI 62.5/25		

10.5.2. Baseline Definition & Derivations

10.5.2.1. Baseline Definitions

For all endpoints (except as noted in baseline definitions) the baseline value will be the latest pre-dose assessment.

Baseline definitions are applicable to each period.

Parameter	Study Assessments Considered As Baseline	Baseline Used in Data Display
	Day 1 (Pre-Dose)	
FEV ₁ and FVC		
Trough FEV₁ and FVC	X	Mean value (30 min and 5 min pre-dose) recorded before dosing on Day 1 of each period. If one of the values is missing then the baseline will be the single remaining value
IC		× ×
Trough IC	X	Mean value (30 min and 5 min pre-dose) recorded before dosing on Day 1 of each period. If one of the values is missing then the baseline will be the single remaining value
Rescue Use		
Mean Number of Puffs of Rescue	Х	The total puffs of rescue for each day will be calculated as number of salbutamol puffs. If

Parameter	Study Assessments	Baseline Used in Data Display
	Considered As Baseline	
Medication Per Day and Percentage of Rescue-free Days Over Weeks 1-8 captured using e- diary	Day 1 (Pre-Dose)	the number of puffs is missing then the total puffs will be set to missing for that day. Provided at least half the days within the summary period have non-missing values the baseline is calculated over the duration stated below: Period 1: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 2 and the day of Visit 1) and the Day before Visit 2 Period 2: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 5 and the day of Visit 4) and the Day before Visit 5.
Mean Number of Occurrences of Rescue Medication Per Day and Percentage of Rescue-free Days Over Weeks 1-8 captured using e- MDI	X	The total occurrences, defined as >1 puff within a 2 minute time window, of rescue for each day will be calculated. Baseline is calculated over the duration stated below: Period 1: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 2 and the day of Visit 1) and the Day before Visit 2 Period 2: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 5 and the day of Visit 4) and the Day before Visit 5. Where entries of occurrences are not present the number of occurrences is assumed to be 0.
CAT		
CAT	X	Value recorded before dosing on Day 1 of each period
E-RS	·	
E-RS	X	 Provided at least 4 days are non-missing, the baseline is calculated as the average of measurements over the duration stated below: Period 1: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 2 and the day of Visit 1) and the Day before Visit 2 Period 2: Values recorded between the latest of (7 days prior to Visit 5 and the day of Visit 4) and the Day before Visit 5.

For FEV₁ and FVC, IC, rescue use, CAT and E-RS analyses:

- Mean (subject level) baseline is defined as the mean of the baselines in each period for each subject.
- Period baseline is defined as the difference between the baseline and mean baseline for each period and each subject.

10.5.2.2. Derivations and Handling of Missing Baseline Data

Definition	Reporting Details
Change from Baseline	= Post-Dose Visit Value – Baseline
Percentage Change from Baseline	= ((Post-Dose Visit Value – Baseline)/Baseline)*100
NOTES :	

 Unless otherwise specified, the baseline definitions specified in Section 10.5.2.1 Baseline Definitions will be used for derivations for endpoints / parameters and indicated on summaries and listings.

• Unless otherwise stated, if baseline data is missing no derivation will be performed and will be set to missing.

10.5.3. Reporting Process & Standards

Reporting Process	Reporting Process			
Software				
The currently sup	pported versions of SAS software will be used.			
Reporting Area				
HARP Server	: uk1salx00175			
HARP Area : gsk573719_gw642444/mid204990/final				
QC Spreadsheet : gsk573719_gw642444/mid204990/final/qc				
Analysis Datasets				
Analysis datasets will be created according to Legacy GSK A&R dataset standards.				
Generation of RTF Files				
• RTF files will be	generated for all tables in the final reporting effort.			

Reporting Standards

General

- The current GSK Integrated Data Standards Library (IDSL) will be applied for reporting, unless otherwise stated:
 - 4.03 to 4.23: General Principles
 - 5.01 to 5.08: Principles Related to Data Listings
 - 6.01 to 6.11: Principles Related to Summary Tables
 - 7.01 to 7.13: Principles Related to Graphics

Formats

- All data will be reported according to the treatment to which the subject was randomized unless otherwise stated.
- GSK IDSL Statistical Principles (5.03 & 6.06.3) for decimal places (dp) will be adopted for reporting of data based on the raw data collected.

Reporting Standard	S				
Numeric data will	 Numeric data will be reported to the precision collected on the eCRF. 				
The reported pre- may be adjusted	cision from non-eCRF sources will follow the IDSL statistical principles but to a clinically interpretable number of dp.				
Mean number of min and max to 1	rescue puffs/day, percentage of rescue-free days, E-RS and CAT will have a dp and then follow IDSL standards for reporting other summary statistics.				
• FEV ₁ , FVC, and	C will have a min and max to 2 dp and then follow IDSL standards for				
reporting other su	ummary statistics.				
Odds Ratios will	be reported to 2dp.				
Planned and Actual	Time				
Reporting for tab	les, figures and formal statistical analyses :				
 Planned time 	relative to dosing will be used in figures, summaries, statistical analyses and				
calculation of	any derived parameters, unless otherwise stated.				
Reporting for Dat	a Listings:				
 Planned and IDSL Statistic 	actual time relative to study drug dosing will be shown in listings (Refer to cal Principle 5.05.1).				
Unscheduled	and Early Withdrawal assessments will be presented within the subject's				
listings.	listings.				
Unscheduled Visits					
Unscheduled visi	ts will not be included in summary tables.				
Unscheduled visi	 Unscheduled visits will not be included in figures. 				
All unscheduled visits will be included in listings.					
Descriptive Summary Statistics					
Continuous Data	Refer to IDSL Statistical Principle 6.06.1				
Categorical Data N, n, frequency, %					
Graphical Displays	Graphical Displays				
Refer to IDSL Sta	atistical Principals 7.01 to 7.13.				

10.6. Appendix 6: Derived and Transformed Data

10.6.1. General

Study Day

- Calculated as the number of days from start date of treatment:
 - Ref Date = Missing → Study Day = Missing
 - Ref Date < 1^{st} Treatment Start Date \rightarrow Study Day = Ref Date 1^{st} Treatment Start Date
 - Ref Date ≥ 1st Treatment Start Date → Study Day = Ref Date (1st Treatment Start Date) + 1

Period Study Day

• For period 1, period day will be the same as study day. For period 2, period day will be calculated as (Ref Date – 2nd Treatment Start Date + 1).

10.6.2. Study Population

Demographics Age • GSK standard IDSL algorithms will be used for calculating age where birth date will be imputed as follows: • Any subject with a missing day will have this imputed as day '15'. • Any subject with a missing date and month will have this imputed as '30th June'. • Birth date will be presented in listings as 'YYYY'. • Age will be calculated based on the Pre-screening visit date. Body Mass Index (BMI) • Calculated as Weight (kg) / [Height (m)²]

• Calculated as Weight (kg) / [Height (m)²]

Reversibility

A subject's responsiveness to salbutamol at Screening will be classified as 'Reversible' or 'Non-reversible' based on the difference between their pre-salbutamol assessment of FEV₁ and their post-salbutamol assessment of FEV₁ as follows:

- Reversible, if they had a difference in FEV1 of \geq 12 % and \geq 200 mL, or
- Non-reversible, if they had a difference in FEV1 of < 200 mL or a ≥ 200 mL difference that was < 12 % of the pre-salbutamol FEV1.

GOLD Classifications

GOLD Grade 1-4

- Subjects will be classified into Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) (GOLD, 2017 Grades 1-4 using the post-salbutamol percent predicted FEV₁ assessment at Screening (Visit 1):
 - GOLD Grade 1 (Mild): Percent Predicted $FEV_1 \ge 80\%$

○ GOLD Grade 2 (Moderate): $50\% \le$ Percent Predicted FEV ₁ < 80%
○ GOLD Grade 3 (Severe): $30\% \le$ Percent Predicted FEV ₁ < 50%
 GOLD Grade 4 (Very Severe): Percent Predicted FEV₁ < 30%
GOLD Category A-D (Using mMRC)
Subjects will be classified into GOLD (mMRC) Categories A-D as follows:
A. Low risk, less symptoms: mMRC <2 and <2 exacerbations in the past year and no
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year
B. Low risk, more symptoms: mMRC ≥2 and <2 exacerbations in the past year and no
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year
C. High risk, less symptoms: mMRC <2 and (≥2 exacerbations in the past year or ≥1
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year)
D. High risk, more symptoms: mMRC \geq 2 and (\geq 2 exacerbations in the past year or \geq 1
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year)
GOLD Category A-D (Using CAT)
Subjects will be classified into GOLD (CAT) Categories A-D as follows:
A. Low risk, less symptoms: CAT <10 and <2 exacerbations in the past year and no
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year
B. Low risk, more symptoms: CAT ≥10 and <2 exacerbations in the past year and no
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year
C. High risk, less symptoms: CAT <10 and (\geq 2 exacerbations in the past year or \geq 1
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year)
D. High risk, more symptoms: CAT \geq 10 and (\geq 2 exacerbations in the past year or \geq 1
exacerbation leading to hospitalisation in the past year)

Compliance

Ove	erall Compliance
•	For randomized treatments dispensed from the Ellipta DPI, the number of doses of study treatment taken by each subject from each inhaler will be calculated from the dose counter start and stop counts for each inhaler used. If a dose counter start count is missing then it will be assumed to be 30. If all dose counter stop counts are non-missing for a treatment period then the percentage compliance for that period will be calculated as:
	Compliance = <u>sum of all (dose counter start – dose counter stop) x 100</u> (exposure stop date – exposure start date +1)
	For randomized treatments dispensed from the Respimat, the number of doses of study treatment taken by each subject will be calculated from the dose counter start and stop counts for each inhaler used. If a dose counter start count is missing then it will be assumed to be 60. If all dose counter stop counts are non-missing for a treatment period then the percentage compliance for that period will be calculated as:
	Compliance = <u>sum of all (dose counter start – dose counter stop) x 100</u> 2 x (exposure stop date – exposure start date +1)

Compliance

- If any dose counter stop in a treatment period is missing then the treatment compliance will be set to missing for that period.
- Overall compliance in each period will be categorised as follows:
 - < 80 %
 - \geq 80 % to < 95 %
 - \geq 95 % to \leq 105 %
 - >105 % to ≤120 %
 - >120 %.

10.6.3. Safety

Adverse Events		
AEs of Special Interest		
 AEs of special interest have been defined as AEs which have specified areas of interest for UMEC or VI or the overall COPD population. Groups which are not SMQs are made up of a selection of preferred terms (PTs) defined by GSK. The complete list, including the preferred terms which contribute to each of the groups will be provided by Global Clinical Safety and Pharmacovigilance (GCSP) using the MedDRA version at the time of reporting. This will be finalised prior to randomization codes being released. 		
Special Interest AE Group/		
Subgroup	SMQ Group	
Cardiovascular effects/ Cardiac Arrhythmia		
Cardiovascular effects/ Cardiac Failure	Cardiac failure (SMQ)	
Cardiovascular effects/ Cardiac Ischaemia	Ischaemic heart disease (SMQ)	
Cardiovascular effects/ Hypertension	Hypertension (SMQ)	
Cardiovascular effects/ Stroke	Central nervous system haemorrhages and cerebrovascular conditions (SMQ)	
Pneumonia		
LRTI excluding pneumonia		
Ocular effects (antimuscarinic)	Glaucoma (SMQ)	
Paradoxical bronchospasm		
Asthma/bronchospasm		
Urinary retention		

Extent of Exposure

Exposure Duration

• Number of days of exposure to study drug in each period will be calculated based on the formula:

Duration of Exposure in Days = Treatment Stop Date – (Treatment Start Date) + 1

• If a subject's overall exposure stop date in that period is missing it will be assumed to be the

Extent of Exposure

latest recorded exposure start or stop date in that period.

- If a subject's overall exposure start date is missing then it will be assumed to be their Day 1 visit date from that period.
- If a subject received a treatment other than the randomized treatment during the study, the exposure will still be calculated based on overall exposure start and stop dates in each period.
- If the dose counter start=dose counter stop then it will be assumed that no doses were taken from that container.

Exposure Categories

• The following exposure categories will be derived:

1-27 days, >27-55 days, >55 days

10.6.4. Efficacy

Sp	Spirometry		
Tro	bugh		
•	The trough value for FEV ₁ , FVC and IC at each of Week 4 and Week 8 is calculated from the values at the assessments made 23 h and 24 h after dosing on the previous day.		
•	If the actual time of an assessment is after the time of dosing on the current day, the value will not be used in the calculation of trough for the PP population.		
•	For the PP population, a value will additionally be excluded from the trough FEV ₁ calculation if the assessment is outside the time window of 22.0-25.0 h after dosing on the previous day.		
•	If one of the values is missing or excluded then the trough will be the single remaining value; otherwise the trough will be the mean of the two values.		
Pre	oportion of responders according to FEV ₁		
•	A subject will be a considered a responder according to trough FEV ₁ if their trough FEV ₁ value		

- has increased by at least 100mL from baseline.
 A subject will be considered a non-responder if their trough FEV₁ value has decreased, has not changed, or has increased by less than 100mL compared to baseline.
- Missing data will be handled as detailed in Section 10.7.2

Calculation of Daily eDiary endpoints

General

Subjects were instructed to complete the daily eDiary in the evening (typically at bedtime). The parameters collected include rescue use and EXACT-PRO scores.

• The tables below show which daily eDiary records are used to calculate the daily eDiary parameters for each period. Any diary data collected in the post-treatment phase of the study will not be slotted. See Section 10.4.1.1 for details on the assignment of treatment phases.

To be used for rescue medication:

Calculation of Daily eDiary endpoints		
Period	First day	Last day
Period 1 Baseline	Latest of (7 days before Visit 2 (Day 1) and day of Visit 1 (Screening))	Day before Visit 2 (Day 1)
Period 1 Week 1-2	Period study day 1 (day of Visit 2)	Period study day 14
Period 1 Week 3-4	Period study day 15	Period study day 28
Period 1 Week 5-6	Period study day 29	Period study day 42
Period 1 Week 7-8	Period study day 43	Earliest of (Period study day 55 and day before Visit 4)
Period 1 Week 1-8	Period study day 1 (day of Visit 2)	Earliest of (Period study day 55 and day before Visit 4)
Period 2 Baseline	Latest of (7 days before Visit 5 (Day 1) and day of Visit 4)	Day before Visit 5 (Day 1)
Period 2 Week 1-2	Period study day 1 (day of Visit 5)	Period study day 14
Period 2 Week 3-4	Period study day 15	Period study day 28
Period 2 Week 5-6	Period study day 29	Period study day 42
Period 2 Week 7-8	Period study day 43	Earliest of (Period study day 55 and day before Visit 7)
Period 2 Week 1-8	Period study day 1 (day of Visit 5)	Earliest of (Period study day 55 and day before Visit 7)

To be used for E-RS:

Period	First day	Last day
Period 1 Baseline	Latest of (7 days before Visit 2 (Day 1) and day of Visit 1 (Screening))	Day before Visit 2 (Day 1)
Period 1 Week 1	Period study day 1 (day of Visit 2)	Period study day 7
Period 1 Week 2	Period study day 8	Period study day 14
Period 1 Week 3	Period study day 15	Period study day 21
Period 1 Week 4	Period study day 22	Period study day 28
Period 1 Week 5	Period study day 29	Period study day 35
Period 1 Week 6	Period study day 36	Period study day 42
Period 1 Week 7	Period study day 43	Period study day 49
Period 1 Week 8	Period study day 50	Earliest of (Period study day 55 and day before Visit 4)

Calculation of Daily eDiary endpoints			
Period 2 Baseline	Latest of (7 days before Visit 5	Day before Visit 5 (Day 1)	_
	(Day 1) and day of Visit 4)		
Period 2 Week 1	Period study day 1 (day of	Period study day 7	
	Visit 5)		
Period 2 Week 2	Period study day 8	Period study day 14	
Period 2 Week 3	Period study day 15	Period study day 21	
Period 2 Week 4	Period study day 22	Period study day 28	
Period 2 Week 5	Period study day 29	Period study day 35	
Period 2 Week 6	Period study day 36	Period study day 42	
Period 2 Week 7	Period study day 43	Period study day 49	
Period 2 Week 8	Period study day 50	Earliest of (Period study day 55	
		and day before Visit 7)	

Mean Number of Puffs and Percentage Rescue Free Days via e-diary

- For rescue use, 'day' refers to the period between one record of rescue use and the next.
- A rescue-free day is defined as a day where the total puffs is 0.
- For each summary period, the mean number of puffs per day and the percentage of rescue free days, will be calculated, using the number of days with non-missing values for the endpoint as denominator.
- Rescue use will be summarised over the periods defined above.
- For a subject to be counted in any time period (except for baseline where at at least half the days within the summary period are required) for a given endpoint they must have at least one diary entry recorded for that endpoint during that time period.

Mean Number of Occurrences and Percentage Rescue Free Days via e-MDI

- A rescue-free day is defined as a day where the total number of puffs is missing.
- Occurrence is defined as >1 puff within a 2 minute time window.
- For each summary period, the mean number of occurrences per day and the percentage of rescue free days, will be calculated, using last dose-first dose+1 as denominator.
- Rescue use will be summarised over the periods defined above.

EXACT PRO

EXACT Respiratory Symptoms

- The EXACT-PRO (Evidera, 2016) is a 14-item daily diary (typically completed at bedtime). The daily E-RS total score (and subscales) will be computed according to the E-RS in COPD user manual [Evidera, 2016].
- The weekly mean scores for E-RS, and the subscales RS-Breathlessness, RS-Cough and Sputum, and RS-Chest Symptoms will be calculated as the mean of the daily scores in the weekly intervals defined above.
- Mean weekly score = (sum of daily scores/# diary days completed). If fewer than 4 days of data are available in any 7-day period, a mean weekly score should not be calculated. If fewer than 3 days worth of data are available in the 6-day period (day 50 to day 55) then a mean weekly score should not be calculated.

Calculation of Daily eDiary endpoints

E-RS Responder

- E-RS Total Score Responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS total score ≤ -2
- E-RS Total Score Non-responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS total score > -2
- E-RS Breathlessness Responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Breathlessness subscale score ≤ -1
- E-RS Breathlessness Non-responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Breathlessness subscale score > -1
- E-RS Cough Responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Cough & Sputum subscale score ≤ -0.7
- E-RS Cough Non-responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Cough & Sputum subscale score > -0.7
- E-RS Cough & Sputum Responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Chest Symptoms subscale score ≤ -0.7
- E-RS Cough & Sputum Non-responder: on-treatment weekly mean change from baseline E-RS Chest Sypmtoms subscale score > -0.7

CAT		
CAT Score		
• The CAT consists of eight items each formatted as a six-point differential scale: 0 (no impact) to 5 (high impact). A CAT score will be calculated by summing the non-missing scores on the eight items. The score can have values ranging from 0 to 40.		
• If one item is missing, then the score for that item is set as the average of the non-missing items. If more than one item is missing, then the CAT score will be set to missing.		
• If the language of the CAT conducted at a post-baseline visit is different to the language used at baseline, the CAT score for that visit and all subsequent visits will be set to missing		

CAT Responder

- A subject will be a responder if their on-treatment CAT score has decreased at least 2 units from baseline CAT total score.
- A subject will be considered a non-responder if their on-treatment CAT score has decreased by less than 2 units, has not changed, or has increased compared to baseline.
- Missing data will be handled as detailed in Section 10.7.2.

Inhaler Assessment			
Ease of Use			
• Ease of Use questionnaire consists of 5 ordinal responses: very easy (1), easy (2), neutral difficult (4) and very difficult (5), for each question. 'Very easy' is considered as the highest rating while 'very difficult' is considered as the lowest rating. Subjects answer each question regarding to ELLIPTA inhaler and 'Other' inhaler, respectively.	(3), n		
An ordinal variable (ease_ord) will be derived to based on the ease of use rating for each inhaler and based on the sequence of inhaler use. This variable will be used in the statistic analysis for ease of use data.	al		
 If the sequence of inhaler use is ELLIPTA inhaler in 1st period and RESPMIMAT inhaler in period, then for each ease of use question ease_ord = -1, if subject's ease of use rating for ELLIPTA inhaler is higher ease_ord = 1, if subject's ease of use rating for RESPMIMAT inhaler is higher ease_ord = 0, if subject's ease of use rating are the same with both inhalers 	2nd		
 If the sequence of inhaler use is RESPMIMAT inhaler in 1st period and ELLIPTA inhaler in period, then for each preference question ease_ord = 1, if subject's ease of use rating for ELLIPTA inhaler is higher ease_ord = -1, if subject's ease of use rating for RESPMIMAT inhaler is higher ease_ord = 0, if subject's ease of use rating are the same with both inhalers 	2nd		

Critical Error Variable

- Whether a subject had at least one critical error and at least one overall error (critical and noncritical) will be derived for each inhaler.
- Table below shows critical errors in bold.
- Responses in () indicate an error.

Respimat		
Preparation for first use	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
Keep cap close. Press safety catch and	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
pull off clear base		
Cap still closed. Insert narrow end of	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
cartridge into inhaler and gently push		
against a firm surface to ensure that it		
has gone all the way in		
Cap still closed. Replace clear base	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
Cap still closed. Turn clear base in	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
direction of the arrows on the label		
until you hear a click		
Flip cap open until it clicks into open	(0 = No) 1 = Yes	
position		

Critical Error Variable	
Point inhaler towards ground and	$(0 = N_0) 1 = V_{00}$
nress dose release button. Close can	(0 - 10) 1 - 165
and repeat stops 4.6 until the mist is	
visible. Then repeat stors 4.6 another	
2 times hefere use	
S times before use	0 = No (1 = Yes)
No cool by the line round the	$0 = N_0 (1 = V_{00})$
No seal by the lips round the	0 - NO(1 - res)
Deity lies	
Daily Use	0 = NO I = Yes
	("Not applicable to derived
	errors as question not
	appropriate to study design)
Keep cap closed. Turn clear base in	(0 = No) 1 = Yes
direction of the arrows on the label	
until you hear a click	
Flip cap open until it clicks into open	(0 = No) 1 = Yes
position	
Breathe out slowly and fully then close	(0 = No) 1 = Yes
your lips around mouthpiece	
While taking in a slow deep breath	(0 = No) 1 = Yes
press dose release button ; keep	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
breathing in slowly	
Close cap	(0 = No) 1 = Yes
Ellip	ta
Failed to open cover	0 = No (1 = Yes)
Shook the device upside down after	0 = No (1 = Yes)
dose preparation	
No exhalation before an inhalation	0 = No (1 = Yes)
Exhaled directly into mouthpiece	0 = No (1 = Yes)
No seal by the lips round the	$0 = N_0 (1 = Yes)$
mouthpiece during the inhalation	
Inhalation manoeuvre:	$0 = N_0 (1 = Y_{es})$
- long	
- steady	
- deep	
Blocked air inlet during inhalation	$0 = N_0 (1 = Y_{es})$
manoeuvre	
Did not hold breath	$0 = N_0 (1 = Y_{es})$
Did not close the device	$0 = N_0 (1 = Y_{es})$
(Note: this is an error but one which	0 - 10 (1 - 163)
does not affect the medication that is	
inholod)	
mmuleuj	I

10.7. Appendix 7: Premature Withdrawals & Handling of Missing Data

10.7.1. Premature Withdrawals

Element	Reporting Detail
General	• Subject study completion is defined as attendance at Visit 7.
	 Withdrawn subjects were not replaced in the study.
	 All available data from subjects who were withdrawn from the study will be listed and all available planned data will be included in summary tables and figures, unless otherwise specified.

10.7.2. Handling of Missing Data

Element	Reporting Detail
General	 Missing data occurs when any requested data is not provided, leading to blank fields on the collection instrument : These data will be indicated by the use of a "blank" in subject listing displays unless all data for a specific visit are missing in which case the visit is not displayed in the listing. Answers such as "Not applicable" and "Not evaluable" are not considered to be missing data and should be displayed as such. No imputation will be made for any missing numerical data, except in the sensitivity analysis of primary endpoints to assess the impact of missing data on study results. Missing data will generally not be considered in the calculation of percentages (i.e., the denominator will not include subjects who have missing data at a given time point).

Responder	•	Subjects with a missing baseline will have responder status as missing. Subjects with missing post-baseline data and a subsequent non-missing scheduled assessment will not be considered a responder or non-responder but will be left as missing.
	•	Subjects with a missing post-baseline assessment with no subsequent non- missing assessments will be considered a non-responder for that and all subsequent time points.
	•	Subjects with a baseline but all missing post-baseline data will be considered a non-responder at all time points.

10.7.2.1. Handling of Missing Dates

Element	Reporting Detail
General	Partial dates will be displayed as captured in subject listing displays.

Element	Reporting Detail
Adverse Events	 The eCRF allows for the possibility of partial dates (i.e., only month and year) to be recorded for AE start and end dates; that is, the day of the month may be missing. In such a case, the following conventions will be applied for calculating the time to onset and the duration of the event: Missing Start Day: First of the month will be used unless this is before the period start date of study treatment; in this case the study treatment period start date will be used and hence the event is considered On-treatment as per Appendix 4: Treatment States. Missing Stop Day: Last day of the month will be used, unless this is after the period stop date of study treatment; in this case the study treatment period stop date of study treatment; in this case the study treatment period stop date of study treatment; in this case the study treatment period stop date of study treatment; in this case the study treatment period stop date will be used.

10.7.2.2. Handling of Partial Dates

Element	Reporting Detail
Concomitant Medications	 Partial dates for any concomitant medications recorded in the CRF will be imputed using the following convention: If the partial date is a start date, a '01' will be used for the day and 'Jan' will be used for the month If the partial date is a stop date, a '28/29/30/31' will be used for the day (dependent on the month and year) and 'Dec' will be used for the month. The recorded partial date will be displayed in listings.
Adverse Events	 Any partial dates for adverse events will be raised to data management. If the full date cannot be ascertained, the following assumptions will be made: If the partial date is a start date, a '01' will be used for the day and 'Jan' will be used for the month. However, if these results in a date prior to Week 1 Day 1 of the respective period and the event could possibly have occurred during treatment from the partial information, then the pWeek 1 Day 1 date for the respective period will be assumed to be the start date. The AE will then be considered to start on-treatment (worst case). If the partial date is a stop date, a '28/29/30/31' will be used for the month unless this is after the period stop date of study treatment; in this case the period study treatment stop date will be used.

10.7.2.3. Handling of Missing Data for Statistical Analysis

For analysis of trough FEV1 at Week 8, sensitivity analyses will be produced using tipping point methodology (Ratitch, 2013). These methods are detailed in Section 7.1.2.

10.8. Appendix 8: Multicenter Studies

10.8.1. Methods for Handling Centres

- This study will be randomized centrally.
- Results will be presented for all centres combined; centre will not be accounted for in statistical analyses.

10.9. Appendix 9: Examination of Covariates, Subgroups & Other Strata

10.9.1. Handling of Covariates

- Consistency of treatment effect across covariates fitted in the primary efficacy endpoint analysis models will be examined by fitting separate models to examine treatment by period baseline, treatment by mean baseline and treatment by period interactions.
- No formal statistical analysis of sub-groups of the study population will be performed.
- The interaction terms will be tested at the 10% significance level. Significant interactions (<0.10) will be investigated further, for example running the analysis by each category. Continuous covariates may be grouped into dichotomous categories (values above and below median).

10.10. Appendix 10: Multiple Comparisons & Multiplicity

10.10.1. Handling of Multiple Comparisons & Multiplicity

See Section 2.4 for details on inferences that can be drawn on primary and 'other' endpoints for non-inferiority and superiority.

10.11. Appendix 11: Model Checking and Diagnostics for Statistical Analyses

Distributional assumptions underlying the model used for analyses using MMRM (non binary data) will be examined by obtaining a normal probability plot of the residuals and a plot of the residuals versus the fitted values (i.e. checking the normality assumption and constant variance assumption of the model respectively) to gain confidence that the model assumptions are reasonable.

10.12. Appendix 12 – Abbreviations & Trade Marks

10.12.1. Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description			
AE	Adverse Event			
A&R	Analysis and Reporting			
ASE	All Subjects Enrolled			
ATC	Anatomical-Therapeutic-Chemical			
САТ	COPD Assessment Test			
CI	Confidence Interval			
CS	Clinical Statistics			
CSR	Clinical Study Report			
CTR	Clinical Trial Register			
DOB	Date of Birth			
DP	Decimal Places			
DPI	Dry Powder Inhaler			
eCRF	Electronic Case Record Form			
E-RS	EXACT Respiratory Symptoms			
FEV ₁	Forced Expiratory Volume in One Second			
FVC	Forced Vital Capacity			
GOLD	Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease			
IC	Inspiratory Capacity			
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation			
ICS	Inhaled Corticosteroid			
IDSL	Integrated Data Standards Library			
IMMS	International Modules Management System			
IP	Investigational Product			
ITT	Intent-To-Treat			
GUI	Guidance			
KR	Kenwood Roger			
LS	Least squares			
mMRC	Modified Medical Research Council			
MMRM	Mixed Model Repeated Measures			
PD	Protocol Deviation			
PDMP	Protocol Deviation Management Plan			
PP	Per Protocol			
QC	Quality Control			
RAP	Reporting & Analysis Plan			
RAMOS	Randomization & Medication Ordering System			
SAC	Statistical Analysis Complete			
SAE	Serious Adverse Event			
SE	Standard Error			
SOP	Standard Operation Procedure			
ТА	Therapeutic Area			

Abbreviation	Description
TFL	Tables, Figures & Listings
GSK	GlaxoSmithKline
UMEC	Umeclidinium Bromide
VI	Vilanterol

10.12.2. Trademarks

Trademarks of the GlaxoSmithKline Group of Companies

ELLIPTA

Trademarks not owned by the GlaxoSmithKline Group of Companies

SAS

10.13. Appendix 13: List of Data Displays

10.13.1. Data Display Numbering

The following numbering will be applied for RAP generated displays:

Section	Tables	Figures
Study Population	1.1 to 1.40	
Efficacy	2.1 to 2.48	2.1 to 2.13
Safety	3.1 to 3.23	
Section	Listings	
ICH Listings	1 to 17	
Other Listings	18 to 32	

10.13.2. Mock Example Shell Referencing

Non IDSL specifications will be referenced as indicated and if required example mock-up displays provided in a separate document.

Section	Figure Table		Listing	
Study Population	SP_Fn	SP_Tn	SP_Ln	
Efficacy	E_Fn	E_Tn	E_Ln	
Safety	S_Fn	S_Tn	S_Ln	

NOTES:

• Non-Standard displays are indicated in the 'IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell' or 'Programming Notes' column using the reference convention detailed in this table.

10.13.3. Deliverable [Priority]

Delivery	Description
SAC	Final Statistical Analysis Complete

10.13.4. Study Population Tables

Study Population Tables						
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able	
Subject Dispo	osition	·		•		
1.1.	ASE		Summary of Subject Populations and Reasons for Screen Failures		SAC	
1.2.	ITT		Summary of Attendance at Each Clinic Visit		SAC	
1.3.	ITT		Summary of Completion and Premature Discontinuation of Study Treatment		SAC	
1.4.	ITT		Summary of Study Completion and Withdrawal		SAC	
1.5.	ITT		Summary of Subject Discontinuation at Each Period		SAC	
1.6.	ITT		Summary of Subject Withdrawal at Each Period		SAC	
1.7.	ITT		Summary of Reasons for Discontinuation of Treatment at End of Each Period		SAC	
1.8.	ITT		Summary of Reasons for Withdrawal at End of Each Period		SAC	
1.9.	ITT	IDSL – NS3	Summary of Number of Subjects by Country and Centre	Replace 'Centre ID' header with 'Investigator at Centre'	SAC	
Protocol Devi	ations					
1.10.	ASE	IDSL – IE2	Summary of Inclusion/ Exclusion/ Randomization Criteria Deviations for Screen or Run-in failures	Include 'Number of Screen or Run-in Failures' row at the top of the table. This is to be used as the denominator for the % calculations.	SAC	
1.11.	ITT	IDSL – IE1	Summary of Inclusion/ Exclusion/ Randomization Criteria Deviations for Intent-to-treat		SAC	

Study Population Tables						
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able	
1.12.	ITT	SP_T09 (DV1A)	Summary of Important Protocol Deviations		SAC	
1.13.	ITT	SP_T10	Summary of Exclusions from the Per Protocol Analysis		SAC	
Demography						
1.14.	ITT	IDSL - DM1	Summary of Demographic Characteristics, Intent-to-treat		SAC	
1.15.	PP	IDSL - DM1	Summary of Demographic Characteristics, Per Protocol		SAC	
1.16.	ITT	IDSL - DM5	Summary of Race and Racial Combinations		SAC	
1.17.	ITT	IDSL – DM6	Summary of Race and Racial Combination Details		SAC	
1.18.	ASE	EMA Table 1	Summary of Number of Subjects Enrolled by Country	EMA Reporting Package. Macro: EMA_COUNTRY	SAC	
1.19.	ASE	EMA Table 2	Summary of Number of Subjects Enrolled by Age Category	EMA Reporting Package. Macro: EMA_AGEGRP	SAC	
Medical Cond	ition & Concomitant Me	edications				
1.20.	ITT	IDSL – MH4	Summary of Current Medical Conditions		SAC	
1.21.	ITT	IDSL – MH4	Summary of Past Medical Conditions		SAC	
1.22.	ITT	SP_T11	Summary of Family History of Cardiovascular Risk Factors at Screening		SAC	
1.23.	ITT	SP_T12	Summary of COPD History at Screening		SAC	
1.24.	ITT	SP_T13	Summary of COPD Exacerbation History at Screening		SAC	
1.25.	ITT	IDSL-SU1	Summary of Smoking History at Screening	Using Years Smoked, Cigarettes/Day and Smoking Pack Years	SAC	

Study Population Tables						
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able	
1.26.	ITT	SP_T14	Summary of Smoking Status at Screening		SAC	
1.27.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of COPD Concomitant Medications Not Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken Before Run-in		SAC	
1.28.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of COPD Concomitant Medications Not Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken During Run-in or Washout	Display treatments instead of total	SAC	
1.29.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of COPD Concomitant Medications Not Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken On-treatment	Display treatments instead of total	SAC	
1.30.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of COPD Concomitant Medications Not Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken Post-treatment			
1.31.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of Concomitant Medications Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken On-treatment	Display treatments instead of total	SAC	
1.32.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of Concomitant Medications Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken During Washout	Display treatments instead of total		
1.33.	ІТТ	SP_T15	Summary of Concomitant Medications Given for a COPD Exacerbation Taken Post-treatment			
1.34.	ІТТ	IDSL – CM1	Summary of Non-COPD Concomitant Medications Taken On-treatment	Display ATC and ingredient by treatments	SAC	
1.35.	ІТТ	IDSL – CM1	Summary of Non-COPD Concomitant Medications Taken Post-treatment	Display ATC and ingredient by total	SAC	
Baseline Sev	verity					
1.36.	ITT		Summary of Screening Lung Function Test Results		SAC	
1.37.	ITT		Summary of GOLD Grade 1-4, GOLD mMRC Category A- D, GOLD CAT Category A-D and Reversibility at Screening		SAC	
1.38.	ITT		Summary of Screening IC Results		SAC	

Study Population Tables							
No.	No. Population IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell		Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able		
1.39.	ITT		Summary of mMRC Dyspnoea Scale at Screening		SAC		
Treatment							
1.40.	ITT		Summary of Overall Percentage Treatment Compliance		SAC		

10.13.5. Efficacy Tables

Efficacy: Tables						
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able	
Spirometry	1					
2.1.	PP	E_T01	Summary of Baseline FEV1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.2.	PP	E_T02	Summary of Trough FEV1(L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.3.	PP	E_T03	Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.4.	PP	E_T04	Covariance Parameter Estimates for Repeated Measures Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.5.	PP	E_T05	Type III Tests of Fixed Effects for Repeated Measures Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.6.	PP	E_T06	Significance Levels for Interactions of Treatment with Period, Period Baseline and Mean Baseline for Trough FEV1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC	
2.7.	ITT	E_T01	Summary of Baseline FEV1 (L), Intent-to-treat		SAC	
2.8.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Trough FEV1(L), Intent-to-treat		SAC	
2.9.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Intent-to-treat		SAC	
	ITT	E_T04	Covariance Parameter Estimates for Repeated Measures Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Intent-to- treat		SAC	

Efficacy: Tables								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
2.10.	ITT	E_T05	Type III Tests of Fixed Effects for Repeated Measures Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L), Intent-to- treat		SAC			
2.11.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders according to FEV ₁ (L)		SAC			
2.12.	PP		Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L) , Tipping Point Sensitivity Analysis, Per Protocol		SAC			
2.13.	ITT		Analysis of Trough FEV1 (L) , Tipping Point Sensitivity Analysis, Intent-to-treat		SAC			
2.14.	ITT	E_T01	Summary of Baseline FVC (L)		SAC			
2.15.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Trough FVC (L)		SAC			
2.16.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Trough FVC (L)		SAC			
IC								
2.17.	ITT	E_T01	Summary of Baseline IC (L)		SAC			
2.18.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Trough IC (L)		SAC			
2.19.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Trough IC (L)		SAC			
Rescue M	edication							
2.20.	ITT	E_T09	Summary of Mean Number of Puffs of Rescue Medication per Day using e-diary		SAC			
2.21.	ITT	E_T10	Analysis of Mean Number of Puffs of Rescue Medication per Day over Weeks 1-8 using e-diary		SAC			
2.22.	ITT	E_T11	Summary of Percentage Rescue-free Days using e- diary		SAC			
2.23.	ITT	E_T12	Analysis of Percentage Rescue-free Days over		SAC			
Efficacy:	Efficacy: Tables							
-----------	------------------	----------------------------------	---	-------------------	--------------	--	--	--
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
			Weeks 1-8 using e-diary					
2.24.	ITT	E_T09	Summary of Mean Number of Puffs of Rescue Medication per Day using eMDI		SAC			
2.25.	ITT	E_T11	Summary of Percentage Rescue-free Days using eMDI		SAC			
CAT								
2.26.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of CAT Score	Include baseline	SAC			
2.27.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of CAT Score		SAC			
2.28.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders According to CAT		SAC			
E-RS								
2.29.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Weekly Mean E-RS Total Score		SAC			
2.30.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Weekly Mean E-RS - Breathlessness Score		SAC			
2.31.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Weekly Mean E-RS - Cough and Sputum Score		SAC			
2.32.	ITT	E_T02	Summary of Weekly Mean E-RS - Chest Score		SAC			
2.33.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Weekly Mean E-RS Total Score		SAC			
2.34.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Weekly Mean E-RS - Breathlessness Score		SAC			
2.35.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Weekly Mean E-RS - Cough and Sputum Score		SAC			
2.36.	ITT	E_T03	Analysis of Weekly Mean E-RS - Chest Score		SAC			
2.37.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders According to E-RS Total Score		SAC			

Efficacy: Tables								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
2.38.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders According to E-RS Breathlessness Score					
2.39.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders According to E-RS Cough and Sputum Score		SAC			
2.40.	ITT	E_T08	Summary and Analysis of Proportion of Responders According to E-RS Chest Score		SAC			
Inhaler Ease of use								
2.41.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary of Ease of Use Questionnaire		SAC			
2.42.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary and Analysis of Ease of Use Rating	Present by sequence and total	SAC			
2.43.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary of Ease of Use Rating	Present by sequence and total (example 200301)				
Inhaler Er	rors							
2.44.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary of Errors for the ELLIPTA Inhaler	Raw questionnaire data	SAC			
2.45.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary of Errors for the Respimat Inhaler	Raw questionnaire data	SAC			
2.46.	IN	(example 200301)	Summary of Number of Subjects with at least One Error	Include critical and overall. Present by sequence and total	SAC			

10.13.6. Efficacy Figures

Efficacy: Figures								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
Spirometry								
2.1.	PP	E_F01	Box Plot of Change from Baseline in Trough FEV1 (L) at Week 8, Per Protocol		SAC			
2.2.	PP	E_F02	Empirical Distribution Function Plot of Change from Baseline in Trough FEV ₁ (L) at Week 8, Per Protocol		SAC			
2.3.	PP	E_F03	Least Squares Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI) in Trough FEV_1 (L), Per Protocol		SAC			
2.4.	ITT	E_F01	Box Plot of Change from Baseline in Trough FEV ₁ (L) at Week 8, Intent-to-treat		SAC			
2.5.	ITT	E_F02	Empirical Distribution Function Plot of Change from Baseline in Trough FEV_1 (L) at Week 8, Intent-to-treat		SAC			
2.6.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI) in Trough FEV_1 (L), Intent-to-treat		SAC			
2.7.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI) in Trough FVC (L)		SAC			
IC								
2.8.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI) in Trough IC (L)		SAC			
CAT								
2.9.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI) in CAT Score		SAC			

2017N327578_00 204990

	Efficacy: Figures								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able				
E-RS			·						
2.10.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline in Weekly Mean E-RS Total Score		SAC				
2.11.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline in Weekly Mean E-RS Breathlessness Score		SAC				
2.12.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline in Weekly Mean E-RS Cough and Sputum Score		SAC				
2.13.	ITT	E_F03	Least Squares Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline in Weekly Mean E-RS Chest Score		SAC				

10.13.7. Safety Tables

Safety : Tal	oles				
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able
Adverse Ev	vents				
3.1.	ITT	S_T01	Overview of Adverse Events		SAC
3.2.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Adverse Events		SAC
3.3.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Post-treatment Adverse Events	Include footnote 'Note: AEs with onset during the washout or follow-up period or following IP discontinuation are considered post-treatment and have been assigned to the treatment previously received.'	SAC
3.4.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Adverse Events Reported by 3% (after rounding) or More Subjects in Any Treatment		SAC
3.5.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of the 10 Most Frequent On-treatment Adverse Events in Each Treatment	Count most frequent number of events, not subjects and %. Sort by descending total incidence (sum of number of subjects with event).	SAC
3.6.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Drug Related Adverse Events		SAC
3.7.	ASE	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Pre-treatment Fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC
3.8.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC
3.9.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Post-treatment Fatal Serious Adverse Events	Include footnote 'Note: AEs with onset during the washout or follow-up period or following IP	SAC

Safety : Tables								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
				discontinuation are considered post-treatment and have been assigned to the treatment previously received.'				
3.10.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Drug-related Fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC			
3.11.	ASE	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Pre-treatment Non-fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC			
3.12.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Non-fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC			
3.13.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Post-treatment Non-fatal Serious Adverse Events	Include footnote 'Note: AEs with onset during the washout or follow-up period or following IP discontinuation are considered post-treatment and have been assigned to the treatment previously received.'	SAC			
3.14.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Drug-related Non-fatal Serious Adverse Events		SAC			
3.15.	ITT	S_T03	Summary of On-treatment Adverse Events of Special Interest		SAC			
3.16.	ITT	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of On-treatment Adverse Events Leading to Permanent Discontinuation of Study Treatment or Withdrawal from the Study		SAC			
3.17.	ASE	S_T02 (AE1)	Summary of Pre-treatment Adverse Events Leading Withdrawal from the Study		SAC			
3.18.	ASE	IDSL -AE2	Relationship between Adverse Event System Organ Class, Preferred Term and Verbatim Text		SAC			

Safety : Tables								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliver-able			
3.19.	ASE	EMA Table 3	Summary of Non-serious Adverse Events > 3% (before rounding) in any treatment	EMA Reporting Package Macro: EMA_AE	SAC			
3.20.	ASE	Standard EMA output	Summary of On-treatment Adverse Events Categories by Age Subgroup	EMA Reporting Package Macro: EMA_AE	SAC			
COPD exac	erbations	·	·	·	•			
3.21.	ITT	S_T04	Summary of On-treatment COPD Exacerbations		SAC			
3.22.	ITT	S_T04	Summary of Post-treatment COPD Exacerbations	Include footnote 'Note: Exacerbations with onset during the washout or follow- up period or following IP discontinuation are considered post-treatment and have been assigned to the treatment previously received.'	SAC			
Extent of Ex	xposure							
3.23.	ITT	S_T05	Summary of Exposure		SAC			

10.13.8. ICH Listings

For all listings replace 'Centre ID'/'Inv. ID' header with 'Investigator at Centre'/'Inv. at Centre'. The CENTREID variable should be used for this.

ICH : Listings							
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable		
Study Pop	ulation: Subject Disp	osition					
1.	ITT	IDSL_ ES2	Listing of Reasons for Withdrawal		SAC		
Study Pop	ulation: Protocol Dev	viations					
2.	ITT		Listing of Important Protocol Deviations		SAC		
3.	ASE	IDSL – IE3	Listing of Inclusion/ Exclusion/ Randomization Criteria Deviations		SAC		
Study Pop	ulation: Treatment						
4.	ITT	IDSL – TA1	Listing of Randomized and Actual Treatments		SAC		
Study Pop	ulation: Demography	1					
5.	ITT	IDSL – DM2	Listing of Demographic Characteristics	Include BMI as the optional measurement. In addition, include a country column as the first sort variable. A flag will be included to identify those subjects in the PP population.	SAC		
6.	ITT	IDSL – DM9	Listing of Race	Include a country column as the first sort variable.	SAC		
7.	ITT		Listing of Screening Lung Function Tests		SAC		

ICH : Listings							
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable		
Efficacy: S	Spirometry and IC						
8.	ITT		Listing of Raw FEV1 (L) and FVC (L) Data	Flags will be included to: 1. identify those subjects in the PP population;	SAC		
9.	ITT		Listing of Raw IC (L) Data		SAC		
Safety: Ad	lverse Events						
10.	ASE	IDSL_ AE7	Listing of Subject Numbers for Individual Adverse Events		SAC		
11.	ASE	IDSL_ AE8	Listing of All Adverse Events	Additional columns detailing questions for SAE variables will need to be added.	SAC		
12.	ASE	IDSL_ AE8	Listing of Non-fatal Serious Adverse Events	Additional columns detailing questions for SAE variables will need to be added.	SAC		
13.	ASE	IDSL_ AE8	Listing of Fatal Serious Adverse Events	Additional columns detailing questions for SAE variables will need to be added.	SAC		
14.	ASE	IDSL_ AE8	Listing of Adverse Events leading to Discontinuation of Study Treatment or Withdrawal from the Study	Additional columns detailing questions for SAE variables will need to be added.	SAC		
15.	ITT	IDSL_ AE8	Listing of On-treatment Drug-related AEs	Additional columns detailing questions for SAE variables will need to be added.	SAC		

ICH : Listings								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable			
Safety: Extent of Exposure								
16.	ITT		Listing of Exposure		SAC			
Safety: DPI Malfunctions								
17.	ITT		Listing of Potential DPI Inhaler Malfunctions		SAC			

10.13.9. Non-ICH Listings

For all listings replace 'Centre ID'/'Inv. ID' header with 'Investigator at Centre'/'Inv. at Centre'. The CENTREID variable should be used for this.

Non-ICH : Listings									
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable				
Study Pop	Study Population: Subject Disposition								
18.	ASE	IDSL - ES2	Listing of Reasons for Withdrawal – Subjects Randomized but Not in the ITT Population		SAC				
19.	ITT		Listing of the Follow-up Contact		SAC				
Study Population: Protocol Deviations									
20.	ITT		Listing of Exclusions from the Per-protocol Analysis		SAC				

Non-ICH : Listings								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable			
21.	ITT	IDSL – TA1	Listing of Treatment Misallocations	Change Centre ID to Investigator ID: xxxxxx And also Investigator at Centre: xxxxxx	SAC			
Study Pop	ulation: Treatm	ent						
22.	ITT		Listing of Overall Percentage Treatment Compliance		SAC			
23.	ITT	SP_L1	Listing of Subjects Who Discontinued Study Treatment		SAC			
Study Pop	Study Population: Medical Conditions & Concomitant Medications							
24.	ITT	IDSL – MH2	Listing of Medical Conditions		SAC			
25.	ITT		Listing of Family History of Cardiovascular Risk Factors		SAC			
26.	ITT		Listing of COPD History		SAC			
27.	ITT		Listing of Smoking History and Smoking Status		SAC			
28.	ITT	IDSL_ CM2	Listing of COPD Concomitant Medications		SAC			
29.	ITT	IDSL_ CM2	Listing of non-COPD Concomitant Medications		SAC			
30.	ITT	IDSL – CM6	Relationship between ATC Level 1, Ingredient and Verbatim Text for Non-COPD Medications		SAC			

Non-ICH : Listings								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable			
Study Population: Baseline Severity								
31.	ITT		Listing of GOLD Grade/Categories and Reversibility		SAC			
32.	ITT		Listing of mMRC Dyspnoea Scale at Screening		SAC			
Efficacy: Spirometry and IC								
33.	ITT		Listing of Derived FEV ₁ (L)		SAC			
34.	ITT		Listing of Derived FVC (L)		SAC			
35.	ITT		Listing of Derived IC (L)		SAC			
Efficacy: e	Diary endpoint	s						
36.	ITT		Listing of Derived Rescue Endpoints		SAC			
37.	ITT		Listing of E-RS Scores		SAC			
38.	ITT		Listing of Derived E-RS Scores		SAC			
QoL: CAT								
39.	ITT		Listing of CAT Scores		SAC			
Inhaler As	sessments							
40.	ITT		Listing of Errors on ELLIPTA Inhaler	Indicate IN pop flag	SAC			
41.	ITT		Listing of Errors on Respimat Inhaler	Indicate IN pop flag	SAC			
42.	ITT		Listing of Derived Error Data	Indicate IN pop flag	SAC			
43.	ITT		Listing of Ease of Use Questionnaire Data	Indicate IN pop flag	SAC			

Non-ICH : Listings								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable			
Safety								
44.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Vital Signs		SAC			
45.	ITT		Listing of ECG Values	Just list screening values	SAC			
46.	ITT		Listing of COPD Exacerbations		SAC			
Safety: Pneumonia								
47.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Chest X-ray Data		SAC			
48.	ITT		Listing of all Pneumonia Data		SAC			
Safety: Liver Events								
49.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Liver Events		SAC			
50.	ITT		Listing of Virology		SAC			

Non-ICH : Listings								
No.	Population	IDSL / TST ID / Example Shell	Title	Programming Notes	Deliverable			
Safety: Cardiovascular Events								
51.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Myocardial infarction/unstable angina		SAC			
52.	ITT		Listing of Congestive heart failure		SAC			
53.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Arrhythmias		SAC			
54.	ITT		Listing of Valvulopathy		SAC			
55.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Pulmonary hypertension		SAC			
56.	ITT		Listing of Cerebrovascular events/stroke and transient ischemic attack		SAC			
57.	ITT		Listing of Peripheral arterial thromboembolism		SAC			
58.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of Deep venous thrombosis/pulmonary embolism		SAC			
59.	ITT		Listing of Revascularisation		SAC			
60.	ITT	IDSL_ VS4	Listing of All cause deaths		SAC			