The Pediatric Artificial Pancreas Automated Initialization trial (PEDAP-AI): A Pilot Study of AI Advisor-Driven Pump Initiation and Parameter Adaptation in Young Children with Type 1 Diabetes

Statistical Analysis Plan

Version 2.0

Corresponds to Version 5.0 of the Protocol

SAP Version	Author	Approver	Effective Date	Revision Description	Study Stage	Protocol Version
1.0	Zachariah Reed	Craig Kollman	September 8, 2023	Initial	Pre-Enrollment	4.0
2.0	Zachariah Reed	Craig Kollman	May 10, 2024	Updated to accommodate protocol changes regarding enrollment of pump users; corrected definition of CGM-measured hypoglycemic events	In progress	5.0

Version History

I agree to the terms defined by the placement of mysignature in this document Author: 2024-05-10 09:34-04:00

Craig Kollman Senior Statistician (JCHR Director of Biostatistics): 2024-05-10 09:55-04:00

John Lum l agree to the terms defined by the pla 2024-05-10 11:28-04:00 ent of my signature in this bocument JCHR Project Director:

Marc D Bretom 18 2024.05.10 09:28:57 -04:00' Sponsor:

T ABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Stu	Study Overview4				
2	Consistency7					
3	Statistical Hypotheses7					
4	Sar	nple Size	7			
5	Out	tcome Measures	7			
4	5.1	Safety Endpoints	7			
4	5.2	Efficacy Endpoints	8			
4	5.3	Analysis Datasets and Sensitivity Analyses	9			
4	5.4	CGMMetricsCalculations	9			
4	5.5	Calculation of InsulinMetrics	10			
ł	5.6	Calculation of CGM and Insulin Metrics for Comparison with the PEDAP Historical Cont	trol			
(Group	p 10				
-	5.7	Analysis Windows	10			
6	An	alysis	10			
6	5.1	Analysis of the Hierarchical Endpoints	10			
6	5.2	Analysis of Secondary Endpoints	11			
	6.2	.1 Comparison with Baseline	11			
	6.2	.2 Comparison with PEDAP Historical Control	11			
6	5.3	Analysis of Exploratory Endpoints	11			
6	6.4	Additional Tabulations and Plots	12			
7	Saf	ety Analyses	12			
8	Inte	ervention Adherence	12			
9	Pro	tocol Adherence and Retention	12			
10	Bas	seline Descriptive Statistics	13			
11	Dev	vice Issues	13			
12	Pla	nned Interim Analyses	13			
13	Sub	ogroupAnalyses	13			
14	Missing Data					
15	Mu	ltiple Comparisons/Mittiplicity.	14			
16	References					

I Study Overview

This document outlines the statistical analyses to be performed for the PEDAP-AI study. The following table provides an overview.

Table I. Study Ove, n iew

PARTICIPANT AREA	DESCRIPTION		
Ti tle	The Pediatric Artificial Pancreas Automated Initialization trial (PEDAP-AI): A Pilot Study of Al Advisor-DrivenPump Initiation and Parameter Adaptation in Young Children wilh Type I Diabetes		
Pfecis	A single-armpilot study of Al Advisor-drivenat-homeclosed loop system initiation and parameter adaptation inyou1hage2 to <6 yearsold.		
lnn ·stigational De,i c.e	Tandemt:slimX2wi1hControl-IQ and!:connectmobileapplicationandDexcomG6orG7 system,connectedto WA cloud-based PhysicianDashboard		
Objectins	The objective of the study is to obtain safety data and exploratoryglycemic control data wi1hinitiation and use of a closed loop control (CLC) system (t:slim X2 with Control-IQ Technology) inyoungchildren with initial selection of pump parameters and subsequent periodicparameter adjustment driven by an Al-based Advisor system over a8-week period.		
Study Design	In this single-arm intervention trial, all participants will use the study system (pump and CGM) in closed-loop mode for 8 weeks.		
Nnmber of Sites	-3 USclinical centers		
End points	The key safety outcomes are adverseevents related to hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, CGM-measuredtime spent below 54mg/dL, and CGM-measuredtime spent above 250mg/dL CGM-measured-ndpoints will be tested against baseline for non-inferiority Efficacv Glycemicoutcomes includingtime in target range 70-180 mg/dL (TIR) and variousother CGM measures of hypo- and hyperglycemia willbe assessed and tested for superiority against baselineand a matched historical control population from the prior PEDAP study that didnot involve the use of any Al-driven pump parameters.		
Population	Ke.v Inclusion C1i.te-ria		
	 Clinical diagnosis, based on investigator assessment, of type I diabetes for at least I month Familiarity and use of a carbohydrate ratio formeal boluses Age;;:2 and <6 yearsold Using a Dexcom CGM at the time of enrollment, withuse on at least 21 out of the prior28 days Living withoneor more parent/legal guardianknowledgeableabout emergency procedures forsevere hypoglycemia andable to contact emergency services and study staff. Parent/guardianhas a phonethat canrun theTandem!:connect Mobile App (typically Android 10 or above or iOS 15 or above) Willingness to use the!:connect Mobile App as needed during the study andensure connectivityfor a dataupload at least onceper day Investigator has confidence that the parent cansuccessfullyoperate all study devices and is capable of adhering to the protocod Willingness to switch to lispro (Humalog) or aspart (Novolog) if not using already, and to use no other insulin besides Jisp ro (Humalog) or aspart (Novolog) during the study for participants using a study-provided Tandem pump during the study. Total daily insulindose(TDD) at least 5 U/day Willinoness not to start anv new non-insulin ducos e-lowerine: aizent durine: the course 		

JAEB CENTER FOR HEALTH RESEARCH

PARTICIPANT AREA	DESCRIPTION				
	 of thetrial 13. Participant and parent(s)/guardian(s)willingness to participatein all training sessions as directed by study staff 14. Pared/guardian proficient in reading and writing English 15. Live in the United States, with no plansto move outside the United States during the study period 				
	Ke.y ExclusionCriteria				
	 Concurrent useofany non-insulin glucose-lowering ageot (including GLP-1 agonists, Symlin, DPP-4 inluoitors, SGLT-2 inluoitors, sulfonylureas) Hemophilia or any otherbleedingdisorder History of >1 severe hypoglycemiceventwithseizure or loss of consciousness in the last 3 months History of >1 DKA eveot in the last 6 monthsnot related to illness, infusion set failure, or initialdiagnosis History of chronic reoal disease or curreotly on hemodialysis History of adrenal insufficieocy Hypoth} oidismthat is not adequately treated in theopinion of the investigatro Use of oral or injectable steroids within the last 8 weeks Known, ongoing adhesive intolerance Plans to receive bloodtransfusions or erythropcietin injection during the course of the study Acondition, which in the opinion of the investigator or designee, would put the participation inanother pharmaceutical or device trial at the time of enrollment or during the study Having immediate family members employed by Tandem Diabetes Care, Inc. or Dexcom, Inc., or having a direct supen, sor at place of employment who is also directly involved inconducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-degree relative who is directly involved in conducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-degree relative who is directly involved in conducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-degree relative who is directly involved in conducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-degree relative who is directly involved in conducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-degree relative who is directly involved in conducting the clinical trial (as a study investigato, ccoordinator, etc.): or ha ing a first-d				
SampleSiu	clinical trial Up to 45 screened participants with the goal of at least 30 participants completing the study				
Intern ntion	t:slim X2 with Control-IQ Technology and t:connectmobileapplication withmobile bolus capabilities and Study CGM				
Participant Duation	-8 weeks				
Study Duration (planned	-8 months from first eorollmeot until last participant , isi t				
Protocol On ∙ni ew/Synopsis	After consentissigned, eligibility willbe assessed. Eligible participants willproceed to the mainstudy described below. Initial pump parameter settings willbe reviewed by clinical sitestaff after uploading baseline personal CGM and insulin data to a web-based Al-drivenAdvisor interfac. The Advisor willsuggest pump parameter settings that the study investigatormay either accept unchanged or else overridebased on clinical judgment if there are any safety concerns. A study pump will be configured with the resulting parameter values, the participant will be pro,,dedwith the study pump and study CGM and other supplie, sand the participant will be eignhome use of the pump. Visits• willoccur at 3 days and at 1, 2, 4, and 6 weeks, with each, sit including siteupload of pump/CGM data to the Adviso interface to obtain recommended adjustments to pump parameters.				
	based on clinical judgmeot if there are anysafety concerns. A final study visit willoccur at 8 weeks, at which time the study participant willbe transitioned back to preferred post-study insulin therapy. A follow-up safety visit willoccur 3 days after the final,,sit toconfirm successful transition post-studyinsulin therapy. • All stud, visits may be conducted ei.lher remotehvia teleconference or else inverson at				

JAEBCENTERFORHEALTHRESEARCH

P ARTICIPANT AREA	DE SCRIPTION
	the clinical site

The follow ing table provides an overview of the schedule of study visits, phone contacts, and key procedures.

Table 2. Schedule of Study Vi its and Procedures

	Screenin1 Visit*	Baseline - Pump Initiation**	3d	lw	lw	4w	6w	8w	8w +Jd
Informed Consent	Х								
Eligibility Assessme h	Х								
Medical history/ height/weight	x								
Central lab HbAlc		Х							
Study pump training		Х							
Upload devidata from home			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Review diabetes management, AEs and medicatios		х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х
Manual pump parameter adjustmentonly if safety issue			Any scheduled or unscheduled visit						
AI-driven pump parameter initiation/adjustment		Х	x x x x x						

• Allscreening visit pro es must be completed within 28 days of eConsent.

•• All Pump initiation visit pro ures must be completed within IO daysof screening visit procedure completion.

1 2 Consistency

2 This SAP is consistent with the study protocol statistics chapter (version indicated on the title page).

3 3 Statistical Hypotheses

- 4 The primary outcomes for thisstudy are safety outcomes: hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia measured by
- 5 adverse events and CGM. Statistical hypotheses are stated for the CGM-measuredoutcomes only.
- 6 CGM-measured percentage below 54 mg/dL and CGM-measured percentage above 250 mg/dL will be
 7 tested for non-inferiority over an 8-week period.
- 8 The null/alternativehypotheses are:
- 9 <u>Percentage below54 mgldL:</u>
- a. *Null Hypothesis:* The difference in mean CGM-measured % below 54 mg/dL between the 8
 weeks follow-up and baseline is greater than or equal to +0.5% (non-inferiority).
- b. *Alternative Hypothesis:* The difference in mean CGM-measured% below 54 mg/dL between the
 8 weeks follow-up and baseline is less than +0.5%.
- 14 <u>Percentage above250 mgldL:</u>
 - a. *Null Hypothesis:* The difference in mean CGM-measured % above 250 mg/dL between the 8 weeks follow-up and baseline is greater than or equal to +3% (non-inferiority)
- b. *Alternative Hypothesis:* The difference in mean CGM-measured% above 250 mg/dL between the
 8 weeks follow-up and baseline is less than +3%.

19 4 Sample Size

15

16

- 20 The study has not been formally powered to test any a priori hypothesis. The goal is to have at least 30
- 21 participants complete the 8-week trial period. Some outcomes will be compared between these
- 22 participants and a sample of participants from the prior PEDAP study.
- 23 S Outcome Measures
- 24 5.1 Safety Endpoints
- Severe Hypoglycemia (SH) events and SH event rate per 100 person-years
- Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) events and DKA event rate per 100 person-years
- Reportable hyperglycemia adverse events with or without ketosis
- 28 o Events related to the study device
- 29 o Events not related to the study device
- 30 Reportable hypoglycemia adverse events that are not severe
- Number of calendar days with any ketone level:::1.0 mmol/L
- 32 <u>Hierarchical Safety Endpoints</u>
- CGM-Measured
- 34 o % Time>250 mg/dL (non-inferiority)
- 35 o % Time < 54 mg/dL (non-inferiority)

JAEBCENTERFORHEALTHRESEARCH

36	Additional Safety Endpoints					
37	Other serious adverse events					
38	• Any adverse event rate per 100 person-years					
39	• Adverse device effects (ADE)					
40	• Serious adverse device events (SADE)					
41	Unanticipated adverse device effects (UADE)					
42	5.2 Efficacy Endpoints					
43	<i>Hierarchical E fficacy Endpoints /tested for superiority compared with baseline)</i>					
44	4 • CGM-Measured					
45	o % Timein range70-180 mg/dL					
46	o Mean glucose					
47	o % Time $> 250 \text{ mg/dL}$					
48	o % Time <70 mg/dL					
49	o % Time<54 mg/dL					
50	Secondary Endpoints					
51	• CGM-Measured					
52	o % Time in range 70-140 mg/dL					
53	o % Time>180 mg/dL					
54	o % Time>300 mg/dL					
55	o % Time < 60 mg/dL					
56	o Glucose standard deviation					
57	o Glucose coefficient of variation					
58	o High bloodglucose index (HBGI)					
59	o Low blood glucoseindex (LBGI)					
60	o Weekly hyperglycemiceventrate					
61	o Weekly hypoglycemiceventrate					
62	o Binary					
63	• % Time in range 70-180 mg/dL improvement from baseline to 8 weeks:::5%					
64	• % Time in range 70-180 mg/dL improvement from baseline to 8 weeks:::10%					
65	• % Time in range 70-180 mg/dL $\!>\!70\%$ and % time $\!<\!70$ mg/dL $\!<\!\!4\%$					
66	• Insulin					
67	o Total daily insulin (units/kg)					
68	o Percentage of total insulin delivered via basal					

JAEBCENTERFORHEALTHRESEARCH

69 <u>Exploratory Endpoints</u>

70	•	Insulin	
71		0	Total daily basal insulin (units/kg)
72		0	Total daily bolus insulin (units/kg)
73		0	Total dailymanual bolus (units/kg)
74		0	Total daily automated bolus(units/kg)
75		0	Number of manual insulin doses per day
76		0	Number of manual insulin doses with carb announcement per day
77		0	Average daily profilebasal rate divided by total daily insulin in percentage points
78		0	Average carbohydrate ratio daily profile (C:I) multiplied by total daily insulin
79		0	Average insulin sensitivity factor daily profile (G:I) multiplied by total daily insulin

80 5.3 Analysis Datasets and Sensitivity Analyses

81 To be included in included in analyses of CGM and insulin outcomes, participantsmust provide at least

82 168 hoursofCGMdata for each of the baseline and trial phase and spend at least 50% of the study period83 in closed-loop mode.

84 All participants who enroll in the PEDAP-AI study will be included in the safety analyses of endpoints

85 that are not measured by CGM. Analyses will include all events that occur between enrollment and the 3-

86 Day Post-Study Safety contact or the end of the 71_{st} day after the date of the Closed Loop Initiation

87 visit- whicheveris earlier. For participants who do not complete thestudy, the date of the final contact

88 will be used in place of the 3-Day Post-Study Safety contact date.

89 5.4 CGM Mehics Calculations

90 Baseline values for each CGM metric will be computed from the most recent 28 days of CGM data before91 the Screening visit.

92 During the treatment period, CGM metrics will be calculated from the beginning of study pump useuntil

93 the end of the day before the 8-Week visit date or the end of the 59th day after the date of the Closed Loop

94 Initiation visit- whicheveris earlier. For participants who do not complete the study, the date of the final

95 contact will be used in place of the 8-Weekvisit date.

96 A CGM-measured hypoglycemicevent is defined as 15 consecutive minutes with a sensor glucose value

97 below 54 mg/dL. At least 2 sensor values <54 mg/dL that are 15 or more minutes apart plusno

98 intervening values :::54 mg/dL are required to define an event. The end of the hypoglycemic event is

99 defined as a minimum of 15 consecutive minutes with a sensor glucose concentration ::: 70 mg/dL. At least

100 2 sensor values :::70 mg/dL that are 15 or more minutes apart with no intervening values <70 mg/dL are

- 101 required to define the end of an event.
- 102 A CGM-measured hyperglycernicevent is defined as 90 consecutive minutes with a sensor glucosevalue
- above 300 mg/dL. At least 2 sensor values >300 mg/dL that are 90 or more minutes apart plus no
- 104 intervening values \$300 mg/dL are required to define an event. The end of the hyperglycernicevent is
- 105 defined as a minimum of 15 consecutive minutes with a sensor glucose concentration ::,180 mg/dL. At
- 106 least 2 sensor values::,180 mg/dL that are 15 or more minutes apart with no intervening values >180
- 107 mg/dL are required to define the end of an event.

CalculationofInsulin Metlics 108 5.5

- 109 Insulin metrics for comparisons between baseline and follow-up describe the seven daysprior to the 110 visits.
- Baseline insulin metrics will used at reported from the Diabetes Screening CRF. Insulin metrics will be 111
- calculatedat 8 weeks using Tandem pump data. Insulin pump dataon at least Sof7 days will be required 112
- to calculate insulin metrics. Weight will only be recorded at the Screeningvisit. All calculations of 113
- 114 units/kg will use this weight,

Calc ula tion of CGM and Insulin Metlics for Comparison with the PEDAP 115 5.6 116 Histor ical Conti ol Group

117 Results from this PEDAP-AI study will be compared with those from a sample of participants from the

prior PEDAP study. For this analysis, participants from the prior PEDAP study will be limited to those 118

- 119 whose RCT baseline HbAlc values are no more than 0.2 percentage points outside of the range observed
- 120 among the PEDAP-AI participants. Basdine metrics for the PEDAP historical control group will be as
- reported for the PEDAP RCT. 121
- The follow-up period for these comparisons will be the7m and gm weeks of study pump use. For PEDAP-122
- 123 AI participa, ntsthis will be the period from the adjustment of pump settings at the 6-Week visit until the
- end of the day before the 8-Week visit. If the 6-Week visit is missed, data from the 14 days before the 8-124
- Week visit will be used. PEDAP-AI participants will be excluded from these analyses if they miss the 8-125
- 126 Week visit. For the PEDAP historical control group, follow-up metrics will be calculated from the 14
- daysprior to the 56m day after the date of the beginning of study pump use. These data will come from the 127
- RCT phase for those who were assigned to the CLC group and Extension phase for those who were 128
- 129 assigned to the SC group. Participantsmust have spent at least SO% of the time between pump initiation and the end of the follow-up period in closed-loopmode, and provided at least 168 hours of data in both
- 130
- the baseline and follow-up periods for inclusion in analyses. 131
- 132 Baseline insulin metrics will usedata reported from the Diabetes Screening CRF. Insulin data must be
- 133 presenton at least 70% of follow-up days to calculate insulin metrics. The day of the 6-Weekvisit will be
- excluded from the calculation of PEDAP-AI participants' insulin metrics. Calculation of units/kg will use 134
- 135 the weight from the Screening visit only.
- 136 5.7 Analysis \Vindows

137 For any analysis or tabulation that involves HbAlc measured at the Closed Loop Initiation visit, the 138 sample must be collected no earlier than three daysprior to the visit and no later than 21 days after the 139 visit.

140 6 Analysis

141 Analysis of the Hiera rchicalEndpoints 6.1

Summary statistics will be reported for the hierarchical endpoints at baseline and during follow-up as well 142 as for differences from baseline. 24-hr profile plots will be drawn for follow-up. Boxplots will be drawn 143 144 for baseline and follow-up. Scatter plots will be drawn for follow-up versus baseline. 95% confidence intervals will be constructed for the change from baseline to 8 weeks. For% <54 mg/dL and% >250 145

mg/dL, tests of non-inferiority will be conducted using paired *t-tests*. The limits will be 0.5% for \ll <54 146

147 mg/dL and 3% for%>250mg/dL. If these outcomes are determined to be non-inferior, they will be

- tested for superiority in a hierarchy with% 70-180 mg/dL, mean gluco, se and% <70 mg/dL. Tests of 148
- 149 superiority will be two-sided paired *t-tests*. If the distribution of changes is skewed then robust methods
- will be used instead. 1SO

- 151 To preserve the overall type 1 error among the formal comparisons, a hierarchy will be used in the
- 152 following order:
- CGM% >250 mg/dL (non-inferiority; limit=3%)
- CGM% < 54 mg/dL (non-inferiority; limit = 0.5%)
- CGM% 70-180mg/dL(superiority)
- Mean glucose (superiority)
- CGM% >250 mg/dL (superiority)
- CGM% <70 mg/dL (superiority)
- CGM% <54 mg/dL(superiority)

160 If any of these comparisons result in a p-value >0.05, then formal testing will stop and p-values will not

be generated for any subsequent testson the list. Note that the point estimates and confidence intervals
 will be generated for metrics regardless of statistical significance.

- 163 6.2 Analysis of SecondaryEndpoints
- 164 6.2.1 Comparison with Baseline

165 Summary statistics will be reported for baseline, follow-up, and difference from baseline. For all

166 continuous outcomes, boxplots will be drawn for baseline and follow-up and scatter plots will be drawn
 167 for follow-up versus baseline. 24-hr profile plots will be drawn for continuous CGM-measured endpoints
 168 during follow-up.

- 169 Change over time will be compared with a paired *t-test* when the change appears approximately normal,
- and using robust methods when the change is skewed. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals of the
- 171 changes from baseline will be derived from these tests.
- 172 For the binary outcome% time in range 70-180 mg/dL>70% and% time <70 mg/dL <4% the
- 173 proportion will be compared between baseline and follow-up with Barnard's exact test. A ninety-five
- 174 percent confidence interval of the change from baseline will be derived from this test.
- 175 6.2.2 Comparison with PEDAP Hist01ical Control

Comparisons between the PEDAP-AI group and the PEDAP historical control group will be made for all of the hierarchical and secondary efficacy endpoints listed above. Continuous endpoints will be compared between the PEDAP-AI and PEDAP cohorts using a linear model adjusting for the baseline value age at initiation of closed loop use, use of pump or MDI before initiation of closed loop use and clinical center as fixed effects. Binary endpoints will be compared using a logistic model that adjusts for the same effects Summary statistics for baseline, follow-up, and change from baseline will be reported by study along with the adjusted differences between the studies and their 95% confidence intervals.Boxplots displaying baseline and follow, up for both groups will be drawn for continuous outcomes.

- 183 displaying baseline and follow-up for both groups will be drawn for continuous outcomes.
- 184 6.3 Analysis of Exploratory Endpoints

Nop-valueswill be calculated for exploratory analyses. Summary statistics for total daily basal insulin
and total daily bolus insulin will be tabulated for baseline, 8 weeks and change from baseline to 8 weeks.

187 For all other outcomes, summary statistics will be tabulated for 8 weeks. Boxplots for the follow-up

- 188 period will be drawn for all outcomes. Boxplots for baseline will be given for total daily basal insulin and
- 189 total daily bolus insulin. Summary statistics for the final 2-week period will be displayed side-by-side
- 190 with those of the PEDAP historical control group.

1916.4Additional Tabulations and Plots

- 192 Summary statistics will be tabulated by day time (6 00 am 9:59 pm) and night time (10:00 pm 5:59 am)
- during baseline and the 8 weeks of follow-up for each of the continuous CGM-measured outcomes listed
 in 5.2.
- 195 During the trial period, the closed-loop system will include a periodic parameter adjustmentdriven by an
- 196 Al-based Advisor system. Tabulatio, ns 24-hour profile plots and boxplots of the continuous CGM-
- 197 measured outcomes listed in 5.2 will be generated for each of thesix adaptation periods and the two 4-
- 198 week periods of the study.
- 199 The end of the first 4-weekperiod will be the adjustment of pump settings at the 4-Week visit. The end of
- 200 the second 4-weekperiod will be the end of the day before the 8-Week visit dateor the end of the 59th day
- after the date of the Closed Loop Initiation visit- which ever is earlier. This date will also serve as the end
- 202 of the sixth adaptation period, which will begin at the 6-Week visit. The other five adaptation periods will 203 include data from the adjustment of pump settings at the visit until the adjustment of pump settings at the
- subsequent visit. If the subsequent visit is missed or out-of-window, the end of the period will be the end
- of the day on the latest date that would be in-window for the visit. If a participant misses a vi, sit they will
- 206 be absent from the period begun by that visit. Participants must provide CGM data for at least 75% of the
- 207 time period to be included in the plots and tabulations for that time period.
- 208 7 Safe ty Analyses
- 209 Hierarchical safety endpoints will be analyzed according to the directions in 6.1. All safety endpoints
- 210 listed in 5.1 will be summarized in tables. Details of each reportable adverse event will be provided in a
- 211 listing.

212 8 Intervention Adherence

- 213 The following will be tabulated for the 8-weekstudy period to assess intervention adherence:
- PercenttimeofCGMsensoruse
- Percent time of closed-loop system use
- Percent time in different operational modes
- Percent of Al recommendations that are accepted without any study physician adjustments
- 218
- o For Al recommendations that are adjusted, tabulation of initial and adjusted values

219 System use metrics will be calculated from the beginning of study pump useuntil the end of the day

before the 8-Week visit date or the end of the 59th day after the date of the Closed Loop Initiation visit-

whichever is earlier. For participants who do not complete the study these metrics will be prorated: the

date of the final contact will be used in place of the 8-Week visit date.

223 9 Protocol Adherence and Retention

- 224 The following tabulations and analyses **will** be performed to assess protocol adherence for the study:
- Number of protocol and procedural deviations
- Flowchart accounting for all enrolled participants
- Flowchart of all participants at all scheduled visits and phone contacts after treatment initiation
- Number of and reasons for unscheduled visits and phone calls

JAEBCENTER FORHEALTH RESEARCH

- Number of participants who stopped treabnent and reasons
- 230 10 Baselin e Desa i ptive Statistics
- 231 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of all participants included in the primary analysis will
- be summarized in a table using summary statistics appropriate to the distribution of each variable.
- 233 Characteristicswill include:
- 234 Age
- Diabetes duration
- BMI percentile
- 237 Sex
- Race/ethnicity
- Parents' highest education level
- Annual household income
- Health insurance
- HbAlc at initiation of closed loop use
- Insulin modality
- Total daily insulin (units/kg)
- Number of injections of short-acting insulin per day
- Number of diabetic ketoacidosis events in the past 12 months
- Number of severe hypoglycemia events in the past 12 months

248 11 DeviceIssues

- 249 The following will be tabulated for the 8-week study period to assess device issues:
- Device malfunctions requiring study team contact and other reported device issues
- Rate of different failure events and alarms per 24 hours recorded by the Control-IQ system
- 252 Tabulations will include all events that occur between enrollment and the 3-Day Post-Study Safety

contact or the end of the 71" day after the date of the Closed Loop Initiation visit- whichever is earlier.

- 254 Details of device issues will be provided in a listing.
- 255 **12 Planned Inteli m** An al yses
- 256 No formal interim efficacy analyses are planned.
- 257 The DSMB will review safety data at intervals, with no formal stopping rules.
- 258 13 Subgroup Analyses
- 259 With only 30 participants, the ability to evaluate subgroups is limited. However, percentage of timespent
- 260 > 250 mg/dL and% of timespent <54 mg/dL over 8 weeks will be explored separately in baseline HbAlc,
- 261 race/ethnici,ty MDI or pump use at enrollment, and sex. No p-values will be calculated for these analyses.

JAEBCENTER FORHEALTH RESEARCH

262 14 Missing Data

263 All comparisons will be restricted to available cases.

264 15 Multiple Compa1isons/Multiplicity

- 265 For the baseline versus follow-up comparisons of the metrics mentioned in 6.1, the overall type I error
- will be preserved using the hierarchical procedure described above. For all other analys, es the false
- discovery rate will be controlled using the adaptive Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.¹ The categories for
 the FDR correction will be:
- Baseline vs follow-up for CGM-measured endpoints
- Baseline vs follow-up for insulin endpoints
- PEDAP-AI vs PEDAP for CGM-measured endpoints
- PEDAP-AI vs PEDAP for insulin endpoints

273 16 References

- 1. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. On the adaptive control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing with
- independent statistics. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*. 2000;25(1):60-83.