

New York State Psychiatric Institute
Institutional Review Board

February 08, 2019

To: Dr. Bret Rutherford

From: Dr. Edward Nunes, IRB Co-Chair
Dr. Agnes Whitaker, IRB Co-Chair

Subject: Approval Notice: Continuation Expedited per 45CFR46.110(b)(1)(f)(8c)

Your protocol # **7270** entitled: **A STUDY OF L-DOPA FOR DEPRESSION AND SLOWING IN OLDER ADULTS** Protocol version date 02/08/2019 has been approved by the New York State Psychiatric Institute - Columbia University Department of Psychiatry Institutional Review Board from **March 07, 2019 to March 06, 2020.**

Consent requirements:

- ✓ Not applicable: Data Analysis Only
- ☐ 45CFR46.116 (d) waiver of consent
- ☐ Signature by the person(s) obtaining consent is required to document the consent process
- ☐ Documentation of an independent assessment of the participant's capacity to consent is also required.

Approved for recruitment of subjects who lack capacity to consent: ☐ No ☐ Yes

Field Monitoring Requirements: ☐ Routine ☐ Special: _____

- ✓ Only copies of consent documents that are currently approved by the IRB may be used to obtain consent for participation in this study.
- ✓ A progress report and application for continuing review is required 2 months prior to the expiration date of IRB approval.
- ✓ Changes to this research may not be initiated without the review and approval of the IRB except when necessary to eliminate immediate hazards to participants.
- ✓ All serious and/or unanticipated problems or events involving risks to subjects or others must be reported immediately to the IRB. Please refer to the PI-IRB website at <http://irb.nyspi.org> for Adverse Event Reporting Procedures and additional reporting requirements.

Cc: RFMH Business Office (NIMH R61 MH110029; CU Subcontract)

EN/AHW/alw

Protocol Title:
**A Study of L-DOPA for Depression and
Slowing in Older Adults**

Version Date:
02/08/2019

Protocol Number:
7270

First Approval:
03/10/2016

Clinic:
Adult and Late Life Depression

Expiration Date:
03/06/2020

Contact Principal Investigator:
Bret Rutherford, MD
Email: brr8@columbia.edu
Telephone: 646 774 8660

Co-Investigator(s):
Patrick Brown, PHD

Research Chief:
Davangere Devanand, MD

Cover Sheet

Choose **ONE** option from the following that is applicable to your study

If you are creating a new protocol, select "I am submitting a new protocol." As 5 Year Renewals are no longer required, this option remains for historical purposes.

I am submitting an annual continuation without modifications

Division & Personnel

Division

What Division/Department does the PI belong to?

Psychiatry

Within the division/department, what Center or group are you affiliated with, if any?
program on healthy aging and late life brain disorders

Unaffiliated Personnel

List investigators, if any, who will be participating in this protocol but are not affiliated with New York State Psychiatric Institute or Columbia University. Provide: Full Name, Degrees and Affiliation.

Dr. Anissa Abi-Dargham (SUNY Stony Brook)



Dr. Mark Slifstein (SUNY Stony Brook)

Application for Continuation of Research

Status

Current Status of Study:

All research interventions were completed. Only data analysis is ongoing.

Summary of Experiences to Date

Please provide a summary of scientific progress of the study and the experience of research participants, to date. This requirement is designed to allow for the investigator and the IRB to reassess the study's risks and benefits in terms of developments in the field, changing practice patterns, and new IRB policies and procedures.

36 subjects participated who were 75.3 ± 7.5 years old and 44.4% male. Significant, dose dependent increases in processing and gait speed were observed with L-DOPA (450mg dose: processing speed factor score effect size [ES] = 0.41, $p = 0.001$; dual task gait speed ES = 0.43, $p = 0.003$). [11C]raclopride Δ BPND was significantly different from 0 in sensorimotor ($t = -4.85$, $df = 24$, $p < 0.001$) and associative striatum ($t = -2.52$, $df = 24$, $p = 0.019$) but not in limbic striatum ($t = 0.265$, $df = 24$, $p = 0.793$). Depressive symptoms decreased significantly on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (ES = -0.4, $p = 0.01$). Drop-out rate was 8.3%, and nausea was the most frequently-reported side effect. By enhancing availability of dopamine, L-DOPA improved processing and gait speed in depressed older adults and significantly decreased [11C]raclopride binding in selected striatal subregions.

Funding

Have there been any changes in funding status since the prior approval?

No

Have the principal investigator and other investigators made all required disclosures of financial interest in the study sponsor/product?

Yes

Summary

Have there been any study findings, recent literature, or untoward events occurring here or at other sites in the past year which might affect the analysis of the safety, risks or benefits of study participation?

No

Have there been any serious adverse events (serious and/or unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others at this site which occurred in the past year)?

Yes

Please describe them and indicate resultant protocol modifications made.

Patient #30. SAE reported to IRB on 3/14/2018. We have attached the SAE report to this submission.

Protocol was modified as follows: we revised PET scan day procedures such that a research staff person will stay with the subject at all times throughout the procedure and during transportation to and from the PET Center.

Have all study staff with a significant role in the design or implementation of the human subject components of this study received required training in human research subject protections?

Yes

Is the study covered by a certificate of confidentiality?

No

Overall Progress

Approved sample size

60

Total number of participants enrolled to date

47

Number of participants who have completed the study to date

33

Have there been any significant deviations from the anticipated study recruitment, retention or completion estimates?

No

Comments / additional information

Overall Participant Drop-out Summary/Circumstances of Discontinuation:

Eleven (11) participants dropped out prior to taking any study medication:

- Nine (9) participants dropped out after initial evaluation/signing study consent and were lost to follow-up; study staff was unable to reach them.

- Two (2) participants dropped out due to previously existing health conditions; One (1) the PMD did not approve participation in the study; One (1) was scheduled for back surgery and no longer wished to participate.

Three (3) participants dropped out after beginning clinical trial:

- Two (2) participants dropped out at/prior to week two of the study due to medication intolerance (mild nausea and drowsiness)

- One (1) participants dropped out at week two of the study due to flare up of previously existing, chronic health condition. Participant was cleared by PMD to remain in the study but did not wish to continue.

Total of fourteen (14) participant drop-outs

Sample Demographics

Specify population

Adults aged >60 years

Total number of participants enrolled from this population to date

47

Gender, Racial and Ethnic Breakdown

Male: 17

Female: 30

White: 26

African American: 17

Asian: 1

Other: 3

Hispanic: 8

Non-Hispanic: 39

Summary of Current Year's Enrollment and Drop-out

Number of participants who signed consent in the past year

14

Did the investigator withdraw participants from the study?

No

Did participants decide to discontinue study involvement?

Yes

Circumstances of discontinuation:

Three (3) participants dropped out prior to taking any study medication:

- Two (2) participants dropped out after initial evaluation/signing study consent and were lost to follow-up; study staff was unable to reach them.

- One (1) participant dropped out due to previously existing health conditions; PMD did not approve participation in the study

One (1) participant dropped out after beginning clinical trial:

- One (1) dropped out at week two of the study due to flare up of previously existing, chronic health condition. Was cleared by PMD to remain in the study but participant did not wish to continue.

Total of four (4) participant drop-outs in the past year

Procedures

To create the protocol summary form, first indicate if this research will include any of the following procedures

✓ Psychiatric Assessment

✓ Neuropsychological Evaluation

- ✓ PET/SPECT Scan
- ✓ MRI
- ✓ Off-label Use of Drug or Device

Population

Indicate which of the following populations will be included in this research

- ✓ Adults over 50

Research Support/Funding

Will an existing internal account be used to support the project?

No

Is the project externally funded or is external funding planned?

Yes

Select the number of external sources of funding that will be applicable to this study

Funding Source #1

Is the PI of the grant/contract the same as the PI of the IRB protocol?

Yes

Select one of the following

The grant/contract application is a pending review or a funding decision

Source of Funding

Federal

Institute/Agency

NIMH

Grant Name

Targeting Dopaminergic Mechanisms of Slowing to Improve Late Life Depression

Grant Number

R61 MH110029

Select one of the following

Single Site

Business Office

RFMH

Does the grant/contract involve a subcontract?

Yes

Subcontracted?

To

Name institution(s)

Columbia University



Study Location

Indicate if the research is/will be conducted at any of the following

✓ NYSPI

This protocol describes research conducted by the PI at other facilities/locations

No

Lay Summary of Proposed Research

Lay Summary of Proposed Research

Individuals with Late Life Depression (LLD) often have cognitive problems, particularly problems with memory, attention, and problem solving, all of which contribute to antidepressant non-response. Our group and others have shown that decreased thinking speed is the central cause of functional problems in patients with LLD. Similarly, decreased walking speed is associated with depression and carries additional risk for falls, hospitalization, and death. Available evidence suggests that declining functionality in the brain's dopamine system contributes to age-related cognitive and motor slowing. The central hypothesis of this R61/R33 Phased Innovation Award is that by enhancing dopamine functioning in the brain and improving cognitive and motor slowing, administration of carbidopa/levodopa (L-DOPA) will improve depressive symptoms in older adults.

This IRB protocol pertains to the R61 Phase of this grant proposal, and a separate IRB will be submitted subsequently for the R33 Phase. In the R61 Phase 60 adults aged > 60 years with (1) a DSM 5 depressive disorder, (2) significant depressive symptoms, (3) decreased thinking speed, and (4) decreased walking speed will receive 3 weeks of treatment with L-DOPA up to 450mg. We will test whether L-DOPA increases brain dopamine release using neuroimaging and whether it speeds up thinking and walking speed. If L-DOPA treatment achieves these goals, we will proceed to compare the best-tolerated dose of L-DOPA to placebo in the R33 Phase.

Data collected in the proposed studies may help identify a new treatment for LLD, which could have large public health ramifications given the prevalence, frequent treatment resistance, and chronicity characteristic of LLD. This project also will elucidate the neurobiology of slowing at molecular, structural, and functional levels of analysis, increasing our understanding of the interplay between these aging-associated processes and the pathophysiologic changes underlying late life neuropsychiatric disorders. Exploring patient characteristics that predict response to L-DOPA may provide useful information to guide differential therapeutics and develop personalized medicine for LLD.

Background, Significance and Rationale

Background, Significance and Rationale

LLD affects 3% of community-dwelling adults over 60 years old (1), and 15% of older adults have



clinically significant depressive symptoms (2). LLD increases an older adult's risk of disability by 67-73% over 6 year follow up (26), causes twice the functional impairment compared to those without LLD (27), increases mortality in patients with heart disease, and is associated with high rates of completed suicide in individuals over 65 (28-29). LLD is highly recurrent, can become chronic (30), and is often difficult to treat (31).

Executive Dysfunction (ED) is common in patients with LLD, predicts poorer acute response to antidepressants, and is associated with higher relapse rates during the continuation phase (5,32-33). Among the executive functions disturbed in LLD, decreased processing speed has been called "the core cognitive deficit (8,34)." Processing speed mediates performance on measures of verbal reasoning, fluency, and knowledge (35), and measures of working memory primarily depend upon speed of processing (36). Decreased processing speed has been repeatedly found in patients with LLD relative to healthy controls (8) and mediates the effects of depression and ED on daily functioning (7). The development of decreased processing speed places older individuals on a trajectory of poor outcomes, including increased risk of dementia (37), dependence in activities of daily living (ADL) (38), and driving cessation (39).

Less well recognized is the fact that depressed older adults also experience motor performance deficits, including problems with coordination (14), slowed movement (15), and difficulties with balance and gait (16). Depressive symptoms lead to the development of decreased gait speed, and slowed gait speed leads to incident depression in older adults (12-13). Decreased gait speed has been associated with a greater risk of falls (40-42), disability (14), admission to the hospital (16,43), and all-cause as well as cardiovascular mortality (44-45). While LLD and decreased gait speed are each independent risk factors for adverse health outcomes, their comorbidity synergistically increases mortality risk in older adults (46). For these reasons gait speed has become a fifth "vital sign" to be monitored in older adults, and it merits increased attention by mental health specialists treating LLD.

Post-mortem experiments and in vivo neuroimaging studies have shown that aging is associated with reduced dopamine levels, decreased D1/D2 receptor density, and loss of dopamine transporters (DAT) (17-21). Mesolimbic dopaminergic tone modulates processing speed in both humans and animal models (47), and decreased striatal dopamine transmission has been associated with decreased motor speed (48), deterioration in frontal functioning (23), and impaired balance (49). Cham et al (2008) examined gait speed and dopamine metabolism in healthy adults aged 21-85 years, finding that lower striatal DAT activity was associated with decreased gait speed and explained 23% of the variance in gait after controlling for other factors (50). These age-associated declines in dopaminergic functioning are topographically distinct from the denervation pattern typical of Parkinson's disease (PD), being observed diffusely across the striatum rather than predominantly posterior putaminal in location (51-3). While subtle Parkinsonian-like phenomena may be observed with normal aging, the non-specific slowing associated with aging is clinically distinct from the signs and symptoms of PD. Thus, the hypodopaminergic state associated with aging and LLD has a distinct neurobiology from PD and appears to represent a parallel pathway to developing cognitive and motor deficits.

Pharmacologic augmentation of dopaminergic neurotransmission may ameliorate slowing and treat LLD. Studies in non-human primates as well as older adults with and without PD suggest that dopamine receptor agonism improves working memory (54), verbal fluency (55), problem-solving (56), and motor performance related to ADLs (57). Since slowed processing speed and gait predict the development of LLD



and mediate a large portion of the disability associated with LLD, it is reasonable to hypothesize that improving slowing will positively impact LLD. Multiple case series from the 1960s onward report improved depressive symptoms (particularly psychomotor retardation) with levodopa (hereafter referred to as L-DOPA) monotherapy or augmentation (58-61). L-DOPA is the immediate precursor of dopamine, is converted to dopamine in presynaptic dopaminergic nerve terminals, and enhances dopaminergic transmission in multiple brain regions. L-DOPA has been reported to relieve depressive symptoms in new onset PD, improving symptoms in 90.3% of patients (N=31) and resulting in a mean Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) decrease of 11.7 points in one study (62). Relatedly, augmentation with methylphenidate, which also increases synaptic dopamine levels, was recently shown to accelerate and enhance antidepressant response in depressed older adults (63).

Despite these promising results, negative studies of L-DOPA, stimulants, and dopamine agonists as monotherapies and augmentation agents for depression also have been reported (64). One reason for these heterogeneous results is the different diagnostic criteria and experimental methodology used in older studies, which makes their results difficult to interpret. More importantly, no study examining dopamine augmentation as a treatment for depression selected subjects based upon the presence of decreased processing and gait speed. If the mechanism by which enhancing synaptic dopamine relieves depressive symptoms is by improving slowing, then including patients without slowing may contribute to negative results. By focusing on the subgroup of patients with LLD and slowing, we believe it will be possible to more clearly demonstrate the efficacy of dopaminergic agents and contribute to the development of personalized medicine for LLD.

Three types of interventions may be considered to enhance dopaminergic function and ameliorate slowing: dopamine receptor agonists (e.g., piribedil, pramipexole, ropinirole), stimulants (methylphenidate and amphetamine derivatives), and dopamine precursors such as L-DOPA. Among these options, L-DOPA enhances dopaminergic neurotransmission globally, including increasing vesicular storage, enhancing release, and stimulating post-synaptic receptors. In contrast, stimulants may not increase synaptic dopamine in individuals with diminished vesicular storage, and dopamine agonists may have reduced effects in older patients having fewer post-synaptic receptors. Moreover, a large literature shows beneficial effects of L-DOPA on cognitive performance and gait in patients with PD (65-67), whereas the few available studies in elderly patients show minimal effects of dopamine agonists (68-70) or stimulants (71) on cognition. L-DOPA, especially at lower doses, is a safe and well-tolerated medication that is difficult to differentiate from placebo in terms of side effects (72). In contrast, a black box warning for adverse cardiac effects exists for stimulants, and even modest elevations in heart rate and blood pressure may significantly increase cardiac work in older patients. Similarly, dopamine agonists are associated with sleep attacks and increased impulsive behavior. For these reasons, this proposal focuses on L-DOPA as the safest, most promising pharmacologic agent for the treatment of slowing and LLD.

This study will elucidate the neurobiology of slowing and LLD, identify a novel therapeutic target for depression, and contribute to the development of personalized treatment regimens for LLD. The multimodal neuroimaging methods detailed in this application will provide information about the neurobiology of aging-associated slowing and LLD at molecular, structural, and functional levels of analysis. These data will fill a crucial gap in our knowledge regarding what are the physiologic and functional consequences of dopamine depletion occurring across the lifespan in individuals without PD. Results from this project also will allow us to evaluate a novel therapeutic approach to LLD, which could have large public health

ramifications given the prevalence, frequent treatment resistance, and chronicity characteristic of LLD. Even apart from patients with LLD, cognitive and motor slowing exact a large public health burden in terms of impaired functioning and increased morbidity and mortality, and this burden will only grow as the population ages. It is critical to develop treatments capable of altering the negative health trajectories associated with slowing in order to help older adults maintain independent functioning and live longer with an increased quality of life. Finally, while PET and MRI may prove critical to understand the neurobiology of slowing and LLD, their invasiveness and expense limit their roles in informing treatment decisions in clinical practice settings. For this reason we are also assessing the influence of genetic moderators such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and catechol-O-methyl-transferase (COMT) genotype on baseline dopamine functioning and response to L-DOPA. This may facilitate the identification of both high-risk individuals and those most likely to benefit from treatment interventions.

Specific Aims and Hypotheses

Specific Aims and Hypotheses

We hypothesize that treatment with levodopa (L-DOPA) will improve depressive symptoms in LLD by enhancing striatal dopamine release and improving cognitive/motor slowing. In the R61 Phase, 60 adults aged > 60 years with (1) a DSM 5 depressive disorder, (2) significant depressive symptoms (CES-D > 10), (3) decreased processing speed (1 SD below age-adjusted norms on the Digit Symbol Test) and decreased gait speed (average walking speed over 15' course < 1m/s) will receive 3 weeks of treatment with L-DOPA up to 450mg. A 300mg test dose will be tested for engagement of molecular target by determining whether L-DOPA displaces [¹¹C]-raclopride on positron emission tomography (PET). If L-DOPA increases dopamine release and improves slowing at our proposed thresholds, we will proceed to compare the dose of L-DOPA exhibiting optimal target engagement to placebo in a subsequent R33 Phase.

R61 Phase.

Aim 1: To determine whether L-DOPA administration increases dopamine release.

Hypothesis 1: 300mg L-DOPA will reduce [¹¹C]-raclopride binding potential (BP) in the caudate and putamen.

Aim 2: To determine whether L-DOPA increases processing and gait speed in depressed older adults.

Hypothesis 2: 150mg, 300mg, and 450mg L-DOPA will increase processing and gait speed, with greatest improvement occurring at the 450mg dosage level.

Exploratory aims: To obtain information on the dosing and tolerability of L-DOPA in our patient population as well as identify PET and MRI biomarkers associated with slowing.



Description of Subject Population

Sample #1

Specify subject population

adults aged >59 years with a depressive disorder, decreased processing speed, and decreased gait speed

Number of completers required to accomplish study aims

48

Projected number of subjects who will be enrolled to obtain required number of completers

60

Age range of subject population

60 years or older

Gender, Racial and Ethnic Breakdown

On the basis of previous depression studies conducted in the Adult and Late Life Depression Research Clinic, it is anticipated that the sample will be composed of 60% women and 40% men as well as approximately 75% Caucasian, 15% African American, and 10% Hispanic subjects.

On the basis of previous depression studies conducted in the Adult and Late Life Depression Research Clinic, it is anticipated that the sample will be composed of 60% women and 40% men.

Description of subject population

This phase will enroll 60 outpatients who (1) are aged ≥ 60 years, (2) diagnosed with Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) 5 (107) MDD, Dysthymia, or Depression Not Otherwise Specified (NOS), (3) have Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Rating scale (CES-D) (108) score ≥ 10 , (4) have decreased gait speed (defined as average walking speed over 15' course $< 1\text{m/s}$), and (5) are willing to and capable of providing informed consent and complying with study procedures.

Exclusion criteria are (1) diagnosis of substance abuse or dependence (excluding Tobacco Use Disorder) within the past 12 months, (2) history of psychosis, psychotic disorder, mania, or bipolar disorder, (3) diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's Disease, Vascular Dementia, or PD, (4) Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE) ≤ 24 (109), (5) HRSD suicide item > 2 or Clinical Global Impressions (CGI)-Severity (110) score of 7 at baseline, (6) current or recent (within the past 4 weeks) treatment with antidepressants, antipsychotics, or mood stabilizers, (7) history of allergy, hypersensitivity reaction, or severe intolerance to L-DOPA, (8) any physical or intellectual disability adversely affecting ability to complete assessments, (9) acute, severe, or unstable medical or neurological illness, (10) mobility limiting osteoarthritis of any lower extremity joints, symptomatic lumbar spine disease, mobility limiting history of joint replacement surgery, or history of spine surgery, (11) having contraindication to MRI scanning (such as metal in body) or unable to tolerate the scanning procedures, (12) history of significant radioactivity exposure (nuclear medicine studies or occupational exposure), and (13) presence of a clinically significant brain abnormality, significant anemia, insulin dependent diabetes, a history of cardiovascular disease, or uncontrolled/untreated risk factors for coronary artery disease.



Recruitment Procedures

Describe settings where recruitment will occur

We will be specifically recruiting via advertisements for patients who feel depressed as well as slowed down physically and mentally. Advertisements will include research flyers and brochures posted around CUMC, advertisements in local newspapers and on radio stations, information posted on departmental websites, Facebook ads, and flyer mailings. For direct clinical or research referrals, a clinical staff member known to the patient will approach him/her and raise the possibility of study participation. We would also like to implement Columbia University's RecruitMe website as a recruitment method.

How and by whom will subjects be approached and/or recruited?

If the patient expresses a potential interest in participating, he/she will then be scheduled for a full evaluation with a study clinician. The nature of the study will be thoroughly reviewed with its risks, benefits, and alternatives to participation, and subjects' questions regarding the study will be answered. Subjects will be notified that they may leave the study at any time. Informed consent will be obtained in a private research office. A study clinician will review study procedures and the consent form with each potential participant. Each individual may take as much time as they like to decide if they do or do not wish to participate. The consent form specifies (and the study coordinator emphasizes) that participation is voluntary and withdrawal after signing consent will not affect future care. Subjects will be given a copy of the consent form, and the original will become part of the clinical record.

How will the study be advertised/publicized?

Advertisements will include research flyers and brochures posted around CUMC, advertisements in local newspapers and on radio stations, Facebook ads, and information posted on departmental websites. Advertisements will also include research flyers mailed out individuals whom will primarily come from consumer marketing databases, which are compiled using public information surveys, subscription information, home owner information, and phone directory information.

Do you have ads/recruitment material requiring review at this time?

Yes

Does this study involve a clinical trial?

Yes

Please provide the NCT Registration Number

NCT02744391

Concurrent Research Studies

Will subjects in this study participate in or be recruited from other studies?

Yes

Describe concurrent research involvement

Subjects completing IRB #6836 (Rutherford PI) who meet the selection criteria for this study will be offered participation. Additionally, subjects who are currently participating in #7289R, #7409, #7360, #7489, and/or #7379 and meet the selection criteria for this study will be offered participation. Only an investigator not directly involved with an eligible subject's care will approach the subject to describe this protocol and have an informed consent discussion.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Name the subject group/sub sample

Patients

Create or insert table to describe the inclusion criteria and methods to ascertain them

Criterion	Method of Ascertainment
1. Age >59 years	1. Interview
2. DSM 5 non-psychotic Major Depressive Disorder, Dysthymia, or Depression Not Otherwise Specified	2. Clinical interview and SCID
3. Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) Rating Scale >9	3. CES-D
4. decreased gait speed (defined as average walking speed over 15' course < 1m/s)	4. timing of walking speed
5. willing to and capable of providing informed consent and complying with study procedures	5. Clinical interview
6. prefer not to be treated with a standard treatment for MDD, Dysthymia, or Depression NOS (e.g., antidepressant medication or psychotherapy).	6. Clinical interview.

Create or insert table to describe the exclusion criteria and methods to ascertain them

Criterion	Method of Ascertainment
1. diagnosis of substance abuse or dependence (excluding Tobacco Use Disorder) within the past 12 months	1. Clinical interview and SCID
2. history of or current psychosis, psychotic disorder, mania, or bipolar disorder	2. Clinical interview and SCID
3. diagnosis of	3. Clinical interview, MMSE for AD and VD. PD will be

probable
 Alzheimer's
 Disease,
 Vascular
 Dementia, or
 PD

ruled out by satisfying (1) and (2) and (3 or 4) below:
 (1) patient gives no history of PD during clinical interview
 (2) patient's primary doctor gives no history of PD
 (3) there are no signs of PD on physical exam in the LLDC
 (e.g., absence of asymmetric resting tremor, decreased arm
 swing, soft voice, decreased facial expression, difficulty
 rising from chair, dystonia)
 (4) If a patient does have one or more signs of
 possible PD on exam as per (3), Dr. Ted Huey
 will come examine the patient and comment on whether PD
 can be ruled out or whether PD is possible and patient needs
 further neurologic work up. If Dr. Huey cannot conclusively
 make a determination, Dr. Pietro Mazzoni will
 evaluate the patient and make the
 determination.

4. Mini Mental
 Status Exam
 (MMSE) < 25

4. MMSE

5. HRSD \geq 25

or the

presence of
 significant
 suicide risk

5. Clinical interview, HRSD

6. current or
 recent (within
 the past 4
 weeks)

treatment with
 antidepressants,
 antipsychotics,
 dopaminergic
 agents, or mood
 stabilizers

6. Clinical interview

7. history of
 allergy,
 hypersensitivity
 reaction, or
 severe
 intolerance to
 L-DOPA

7. Clinical interview

8. acute,
 severe, or
 unstable
 medical or

8. Clinical interview, physical exam

neurological
illness
9. mobility
limiting
osteoarthritis of
any lower
extremity
joints,
symptomatic
lumbar spine
disease,
mobility
limiting history
of joint
replacement
surgery, or
history of spine
surgery

9. Clinical interview, physical exam

FOR
SUBJECTS
RECEIVING
PET SCANS
ONLY:

10. having
contraindicatio
n to MRI
scanning (such
as metal in
body) or unable
to tolerate the
scanning
procedures
11. history of
significant
radioactivity
exposure
(nuclear
medicine
studies or
occupational

10. MRI safety screening form

11. Clinical interview

exposure)

Waiver of Consent/Authorization

Indicate if you are requesting any of the following consent waivers

Waiver of consent for use of records that include protected health information (a HIPAA waiver of Authorization)

No

Waiver or alteration of consent

No

Waiver of documentation of consent

No

Waiver of parental consent

No

Consent Procedures

Is eligibility screening for this study conducted under a different IRB protocol?

Yes

Indicate NYSPI IRB #

6395R

Describe Study Consent Procedures

Following the study screening procedures, a study clinician authorized to obtain patient consent will explain the study procedures along with the attendant risks, benefits, and alternatives, including the anticipated outcome of doing nothing. The study clinician will then leave the room while the potential subject reads the consent form and return to answer any questions the subject has. Subjects who wish to participate will sign the consent form, while those who do not wish to participate will receive appropriate referrals.

Indicate which of the following are employed as a part of screening or main study consent procedures

✓ Consent Form

Persons designated to discuss and document consent

Select the names of persons designated to obtain consent/assent

Broft, Allegra, MD

No

Rutherford, Bret, MD

Type in the name(s) not found in the above list



Study Procedures

Describe the procedures required for this study

Evaluation

1. Every subject evaluated for this protocol will receive a clinical interview by a psychiatrist or psychologist, be administered the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and the 24-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), and have a SCID performed by a trained rater. If a subject has a diagnosis of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) or Dysthymia, he/she will be informed of this and educated about the availability of treatments for depression. If a subject is not interested in depression treatment and/or prefers to begin with an experimental treatment for slowing and depression, he/she will be offered participation in the present study provided their HRSD < 25 and there is no suicidal ideation present. Severe MDD (i.e., HRSD ≥ 25) and/or the presence of significant suicide risk (e.g., suicidal ideation, clinician judgement that there is significant risk of suicide) are exclusions for this study.

Patients with Depression NOS will be educated that as yet, there are no FDA-approved treatments for their condition. Based on the extant data supporting the efficacy of antidepressants for MDD and Dysthymia, they will be informed that antidepressant treatment would be a very reasonable option for their condition. These potential subjects will be offered the option of being referred out for depression treatment, and it will be clarified that L-DOPA is not as yet a treatment for MDD. Thus, all potential participants in this study must state their preference not to be treated with a standard treatment for depression.

2. Participants signing informed consent will have documentation of vital signs, medical history (including history of head injury, stroke, hypertension, cardiac disease, thyroid disease, other medical conditions, surgeries, hospitalizations, and current medications), physical exam, urine drug screen, CBC, chemistries and electrolytes, thyroid profile, vitamin B12 and folate levels, urine analysis, standing/supine systolic/diastolic BP, and ECG.

3. Next, processing speed will be assessed using Digit Symbol test from the WAIS-III, the Trail Making Test Part A, the Pattern Comparison Test, and the Letter Comparison Test. Previous research established that these tests were all reliable and valid (moderate to high loadings on the latent speed factor). Digit Symbol or Trails A will be used as a selection criterion, with patients included in this study if they scored 0.5 SD below the age-adjusted norms on Digit Symbol or Trails A. A latent factor (and subsequent factor score) will be extracted from the 3-test battery pre- and post-treatment and used as the main outcome in this pilot study. By extracting a latent factor and factor loadings we will utilize a more pure measure of processing speed for pre- post-testing than if we used the raw scores from an individual test or a sum total score from the three raw scores combined.

4. Patients' gait will be assessed as walking speed in m/s on a 15' walking course. Patients are instructed to walk at their usual or normal speed for a total of 27' (starting and ending at a point 6 feet prior to and after the 15' course to eliminate acceleration and deceleration effects). Two trials will be completed, and gait speed will be based on the average of 2 trials. Gait speed will be used as a selection criterion, with patients included in this study if they have a gait speed < 1 m/s.



5. Subjects will return the following week to review the results of the above testing. If subjects continue to be eligible for the study after review of their processing speed and gait speed, they will continue as below. Subjects who do not meet selection criteria after processing and gait speed measurement will be referred out.

MRI Scanning

6. Half of the total sample will undergo neuroimaging (N=30 of total N=60). Subjects will undergo a pre-treatment MRI and PET scan and a post-treatment MRI and PET scan (i.e., 2 total MRIs, 2 total PET scans, separated by the 3 week clinical trial). Subjects who do not meet imaging selection criteria or who do not wish to undergo scanning will be allowed to participate in the clinical trial portion of the study only. MRI and PET scanning may occur on the same day if this can be scheduled (MRI occurring first, followed by PET scan). However, due to the tightness of scheduling for these scanners, it is more likely that the MRI and PET scans will occur on different days--one day for MRI scan and one day for the PET scan. Typically the MRI scanning day will come before the PET scanning day.

7. MRI of the brain will be acquired using a GE MR750 3.0T System. At the start of the session, a 3-Plane localizer (scout) will be acquired to determine patient position. Subjects will then receive T1-weighted 3D SPGR (Spoiled Gradient Echo), T2 FLAIR, and EPI scans. Acquisition parameters for the EPI scans will be: TE/TR (ms) 20/2000; Flip Angle (deg) 72°; in-plane resolution (voxels) 112x112; slice thickness/gap (mm) 3/0; slices 41.

8. For the MRI procedures, participants are instructed to lie as still as possible within the magnet. The MRI scan is completed in one session, and lasts for a total of 60 minutes. All precautions and protections are given to the participant to ensure that they are as safe and as comfortable as possible. For participants' comfort within the scanner, they lie on a padded table with a pillow to rest their heads on. A blanket is also provided to keep participants warm during the procedure. If the participant appears nervous or anxious, a trained member of the research staff remains with them inside the scanning suite for the duration of the scan. The participant is given a squeeze ball to terminate the scan at any time. If he/she squeezes the ball, he/she will be removed from the scanner immediately. Participants may decline the MRI scans at any time. If the participant chooses not to be scanned, his/her participation in the study will not be affected. Of course, a structural MRI scan would be required to participate in the PET scans. Any subject who cannot have at least a structural MRI scan would not be able to participate in this protocol. All of the MRI procedures are conducted on the 3-Tesla MRI scanner at the New York State Psychiatric Institute. Conducting these procedures will be an accredited Magnetic Resonance Technologist and one member of the research staff (Bachelor's Level or Higher), or Dr Rutherford or Broft, present.

PET Scanning

9. The neuroimaging subset of depressed subjects will have 2 [11C]-raclopride PET scans: (1) pre-treatment and (2) post-treatment (at the end of the 3 week duration trial).



10. PET experiments will be conducted with the mCT scanner in the PET Suite on the R1 level of the Public Health Building. Subjects participating in the study will be escorted on PET scan day by a research staff member to the R1 level of the Public Health Building where the PET scanner is located. A research staff person will stay with the subject at all times throughout the procedure and during transportation to and from the PET Center. The preparation of the subject will include the placement of a venous catheter.

11. The radiochemistry laboratory and PET suite staff will be in frequent communication regarding the status of preparation of the research subject (such as placement of venous line) and the progress of the radiotracer synthesis. As scan time approaches, the subject will be placed in a supine position on the camera table and will have vital signs (blood pressure and heart rate) obtained. Head will be positioned and a headholder will be used to decrease head movement during the scan.

12. Baseline scan: A low dose CT transmission scan is then obtained prior [11C] raclopride administration. At the end of the transmission scan, a maximum of 14 mCi of [11C] raclopride will be injected intravenously. The dose of [11C] raclopride, diluted in a 10 cc syringe, will be given as a single bolus over a period of 30 seconds. [11C] raclopride will be prepared by the central radio-ligand staff of the PET Center and will be administered by an approved Nuclear Medicine physician (Drs. Arif Sheikh, Esther Coronel, or Randy Yeh). Study physicians will be present for all radiotracer injections. All study physicians have New York State Medical license and have had extensive training and experience with these types of PET studies. [11C] raclopride will be synthesized and tested for purity and sterility according to our standard procedure. The injected dose of [11C]-raclopride for each scan will not exceed 14 mCi, and lower amounts will be permitted. The study physician or Nuclear Medicine physician will evaluate the reconstructed PET image in order to ensure tracer uptake in the brain and will inform the radiochemist if there is a lack of expected uptake in the brain. All subjects will be monitored by the study physician at the time of injection and a study physician or nurse will be present in the PET suite.

13. Post-treatment scan: As close to the end of the 3 week study as possible (based on PET scheduling), subjects will undergo their post treatment scan. We will follow standard procedures for measuring L-DOPA-induced changes in synaptic dopamine levels set forth in PET studies of PD patients. Subjects will be given 75mg carbidopa/300mg L-DOPA approximately 1.5 hours before scanning. Subjects in week 3 of the protocol are taking 450mg LDOPA per day (150mg three times daily), so the 300mg administered prior to the post-treatment PET scan will constitute 300mg of their usual 450mg daily dose on the scan day. A low dose CT transmission scan is obtained prior to intravenous administration of 14mCi [11C] raclopride (or less). The start of tracer injection will be timed to coincide with the time of onset of L-DOPA effects (approximately 60-90 minutes following oral dose). Thus, the 60 minute PET scanning session will occur from 90 minutes to 150 minutes following L-DOPA dosing in all subjects. At the completion of the scan the IV catheter will be removed, and the subject will be evaluated (including mental status and vital signs) by a study physician. Vital signs, and physical exam will be performed prior to discharge from the PET suite.

14. We are aware that the scanning dose of 300mg given at once approximates but may not match the CNS effects of subchronic dosing with 150mg tid. However, this method has the advantages of being consistent with imaging studies of PD patients in which L-DOPA doses are typically 250-300mg and avoiding confounding of Δ BPND estimates by downregulation of D2 receptors occurring with subchronic administration. Since nausea is a known side effect of L-DOPA, we will be particularly vigilant about monitoring for it. When nausea occurs patients generally feel warm and sick to their stomachs. It will likely



occur after PET scanning, but if vomiting were necessary, the MD would remove the subject from the scanner so that vomiting does not occur while supine. Removal from the scanner can occur immediately.

15. PET data will be reconstructed into images using the appropriate reconstruction protocols and filters. PET images will be coregistered to the MRI and regional time activity curves will be measured. Data will be fitted to pharmacokinetic models, and relevant pharmacokinetic parameters, including the percent of receptors engaged by dopamine, will be estimated based on the model fitting procedures.

16. Drs. Abi-Dargham and Slifstein continue to be Co-Investigators responsible for neuroimaging as part of a subcontract executed between RFMH and SUNY-Stony Brook. They will lead analyses of neuroimaging data. In terms of on-site execution of PET and MRI scans, these are supervised by the study PI (Bret Rutherford) and/or Dr. Allegra Broft, who works with the Aging Program as a study clinician and has a research background in PET neuroimaging.

Clinical treatment

16. An effort will be made for all subjects to be titrated up to 450mg L-DOPA over a 3 week-duration study. We chose 450mg as the target dose because it is higher than doses known to affect cognition (i.e., 150-300mg) but lower than levels used for PD (i.e., 600-1200mg) that may have greater side effects. Each subject will start taking 37.5mg carbidopa/150 mg levodopa (1.5 25/100 sinemet tablets) once daily for one week, then increase to 75mg carbidopa/300mg levodopa (1.5 25/100 sinemet tablets twice daily) for one week, and finally increase to 112.5mg carbidopa/450mg levodopa (1.5 25/100 sinemet tablets three times daily) for one week. Each subject will be titrated to 450mg L-DOPA unless they cannot tolerate higher doses, in which case subjects will have their dosage reduced to the maximum tolerable dose.

17. Although each subject is titrated up to 450mg L-DOPA if it is tolerated, the study design allows us to assess the effects and tolerability of three different L-DOPA doses (150mg, 300mg, and 450mg). Based on published work using L-DOPA for PD, we expect effects on cognition and gait to be apparent at each dosing level approximately 5 days after dosage increases. By performing assessments weekly, we will thus obtain measures of L-DOPA's effect on processing and gait speed at each dosing level. We carefully reviewed the literature and consulted our Co-Investigator Dr. Pietro Mazzoni (neurologist and PD expert) for evidence of carry-over effects of L-DOPA dosing (such that prior experience with 150mg and 300mg may influence a subject's experience of receiving 450mg). We found little evidence to suggest there are significant carry-over effects from previous L-DOPA doses, so each dose will be able to be assessed on its own merits. Similarly, we do not anticipate that the repeated assessments of processing and gait speeds will bias the study results.

18. In selecting L-DOPA doses, we balanced appropriate safety concerns regarding the use of this medication in a novel patient population with the goal of causing a measurable effect. L-DOPA is an extremely well tolerated medication at doses < 600mg, which is substantially less than the doses often reached in the treatment of Parkinson's disease (900-1200mg). L-DOPA has been administered to healthy subjects in single dose studies and found to be well-tolerated. In Parkinson's disease, a recent clinical trial published in the New England Journal of Medicine randomized patients to receive 150mg, 300mg, or 600mg L-DOPA for 40 weeks. In the 150mg and 300mg L-DOPA treatment groups, the only side effects



observed that were different from placebo were headache and nausea. No dyskinesias or other neuropsychiatric effects were observed that were greater than the placebo group. In terms of effects, single-dose studies have reported significant effects on cognition and neural activation with L-DOPA doses as low as 100mg. Thus, we believe the 150-450mg dose range to be used in this study will be both safe and likely to produce an observable effect.

Assessments

19. Subjects are expected to have a screening/evaluation visit, PET/MRI scanning day (for those receiving imaging), and weekly visits from Week 0-3.

20. At baseline, we will record each subject's chief complaint, referral source, age of onset of mood and/or cognitive decline, number prior depressive episodes, age, sex, marital status, race and ethnicity, years of education, employment status and income, years of education, family history. We also will document medical history, physical exam, urine drug screen, CBC, chemistries and electrolytes, thyroid profile, vitamin B12 and folate levels, urine analysis, and ECG. Vital signs will be measured at baseline and monitored weekly throughout the study. The Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric (CIRS-G) (112) will be filled out at baseline to measure chronic medical illness burden. Subjects' current physical pain will be assessed weekly using a 100mm Pain Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and used as a covariate in analyses of gait speed (113).

21. The following measures will be collected weekly throughout the study: 24-item HRSD, CGI Severity and Improvement, Structured Pill Count Interview, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) (questions 32 and 33 will be used in this study to assess the duration and disability of dyskinesias caused by L-DOPA), Treatment Emergent Side Effect Scale, and Inventory of Depressive Symptoms—Self Report (IDS-SR).

22. In addition, processing and gait speed assessments will be performed weekly at 1pm to control for time of day effects and the duration since the last morning L-DOPA dose (anticipated to be 4 hours). Processing speed will be assessed using the Digit Symbol test from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III (WAIS-III) (119) and the Pattern and Letter Comparison tests (120). These tests are all reliable and valid, with moderate to high loadings on the latent speed factor. A latent factor (and subsequent factor score) will be extracted from the 3-test battery pre- and post-treatment and used as the main outcome in the R61. By extracting a latent factor and factor loadings we will utilize a more pure measure of processing speed than if we used the raw scores from an individual test or a sum total score from the three raw scores combined (121-124).

23. Gait will be assessed as both a single and dual task (ST, DT) on a 15' walking course in the LLDC. For the ST, patients are instructed to walk at their usual or normal speed over the 15' walking course. For the DT, patients are instructed to walk at their usual pace while simultaneously verbally listing as many animals as possible (fluency DT). In addition, a counting DT will be used in which patients are instructed to walk at their usual pace while simultaneously performing serial subtractions by threes starting at 100 (125). Patients will start and end at a point 2 meters from beginning of the 15' course to eliminate acceleration and deceleration effects. Each ST and DT will be assessed two times with the average used in the analyses. The ST assessment of walking speed in m/s will be used as the primary outcome measure for this study.



End of study procedures

24. Following the 3 week duration treatment study, endpoint assessments will be made. Patients will enter 3 month open treatment period provided free of charge as described below.

You can upload charts or diagrams if any

Criteria for Early Discontinuation

Criteria for Early Discontinuation

The risk of non-response or adverse events to L-DOPA during the study period is addressed by having close clinical follow up of study subjects and stringent withdrawal criteria. These criteria are (1) participant withdraws his or her consent; (2) significant clinical worsening as defined by a slowing assessment rating using the CGI-Improvement scale of 6 (worse) or 7 (much worse) for 2 consecutive visits; or (3) development of significant side effects or an adverse event. Any subjects meeting any of these criteria will be withdrawn from the study and treated clinically. Furthermore, subjects may be withdrawn if they repeatedly miss scheduled appointments or clinical worsening necessitates more intensive treatment. No treatment is currently available for slowing, so there is not a standard of care treatment to offer patients withdrawn from the study. Thus, withdrawn patients will be followed in the open treatment period, offered appropriate psychiatric treatments if they have any conditions requiring treatment (e.g., depression), and be referred to their internist for close medical follow up.

Blood and other Biological Samples

Please create or insert a table describing the proposed collection of blood or other biological specimens
A 20cc blood sample will be drawn at baseline. General medical tests will be performed, such as CBC, Chem 7, LFTs, TSH, cholesterol, B12, and folate.

Assessment Instruments

Create a table or give a brief description of the instruments that will be used for assessment

Structured Clinical Interview Diagnostic for DSM 5 (SCID) (114): this semi-structured diagnostic interview will allow determination of whether subjects meet selection criteria.

Center for Epidemiologic Studies—Depression Scale (CES-D): depression screening measure chosen given its ease of administration and wide use in epidemiological studies.

MMSE: standard means of assessing global cognition. The SCID, CES-D, and MMSE will be measured at baseline for the purpose of subject selection, while the following measures will be collected weekly throughout the study.

24-item HRSD (115): standard measure of depression severity that measures changes in depressive symptoms, though L-DOPA effects on depression are not the focus of the R61 Phase.

CGI Severity and Improvement: scales measuring the clinician's view of subjects' global functioning that will provide a clinical assessment of subjects at each visit and help maintain safety by identifying clinical worsening.

Structured Pill Count Interview: assessment of study medication compliance accounting for each dose of prescribed study medication during the study period.

Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) (116): standardized, reliable, and valid instrument for assessing the severity of the clinical features of PD; questions 32 and 33 will be used in this study to assess the duration and disability of dyskinesias caused by L-DOPA. While we include this measure, we are not expecting to observe dyskinesias in healthy subjects or at the L-DOPA doses being used in this study. Typically, such L-DOPA side effects emerge only in patients who have had Parkinson's disease for a number of years and then only if the LDOPA dose is raised to 600 mg or more.

Treatment Emergent Side Effect Scale: standardized general checklist used in our clinic for monitoring side effects associated with medication treatment.

Inventory of Depressive Symptoms—Self Report (IDS-SR) (117): rating scale for depressive symptoms based on DSM criteria that has been increasingly used in antidepressant studies due to its equivalent weightings for each item, understandable anchor points, and inclusion of all DSM criteria (118).

Please attach copies, unless standard instruments are used

Off label and investigational use of drugs/devices

Choose from the following that will be applicable to your study

- ✓ Drug
- ✓ Radiolabeled drug/compound

Select the number of drugs used in this study

1

Drug #1

Name of the drug
carbidopa-levodopa



Manufacturer and other information

We will be using generic sinemet 25/100 tablets in this study. We will purchase them through the pharmacy, using whichever generic manufacturer is recommended by the NYSPI pharmacy.

L-DOPA is currently approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of the symptoms of idiopathic Parkinson's disease, post-encephalitic parkinsonism, and symptomatic parkinsonism. This project proposes off-label use of L-DOPA in individuals with significant cognitive/motor slowing. When we submitted an NIMH grant application Oct 2014 for this study, we contacted the Division of Neurology Products at the FDA to inquire whether an IND was required for LDOPA use in this project. We had a phone conversation with Cathleen Michaloski (Sr. Regulatory Project Manager, Division of Neurology Products), during which she listened to our description of the study methods and stated that an IND was not required. She later sent us an email after discussion with Dr. David Podskalny (Team Leader, Division of Neurology Products) confirming that in their assessment an IND was not needed for a project such as this. Text of email follows:

Dear Dr. Brown [Co-Investigator on submission],

Thank you for your time by phone. As we discussed by phone and after consulting with our Team Leader, Dr. Dave Podskalny, believes an IND is not required (unless your IRB stipulates otherwise). The information provided in your email suggests that the indication is covered in labeling. Also, as you may know we do not regulate off label, "practice of medicine" usage.

Thank you.

Cathleen Michaloski, BSN, MPH, RAC

Sr. Regulatory Project Manager

Division of Neurology Products

FDA / CDER / OND / ODEI /DNP

White Oak Building 22 room 4342

301-796-1123

Cathleen.michaloski@fda.hhs.gov

Approval Status

No IND is required

Choose one of the following options

FDA has determined that IND is not required

Off label and investigational use of radiolabeled drugs/compounds

Radiolabeled Drug/Compound #1

Name of the radiolabeled drug/compound

[11C] raclopride

Manufacturer and other information

[11C]raclopride or

[C-11]-(S)-3,5-dichloro-N-(1-ethyl-pyrrolidin-2-yl-methyl)-2-hydroxy-6-methoxy-benzamide)

[11C]raclopride is manufactured onsite in the Radioligand lab

Approval Status

IND is approved

IND#

115,349

Who holds the IND/IND sponsor?

Other

Enter Name

E. Alexander Wills

Research Related Delay to Treatment

Will research procedures result in a delay to treatment?

No

Treatment to be provided at the end of the study

We will provide 3 months of additional free clinic visits following the end of this project. At the conclusion of the 3 week study, a non-study clinician in our research clinic will be given the data on the subject's response to L-DOPA. This clinician will discuss with each subject on a case-by-case basis the risks and benefits of continuing L-DOPA treatment as well as other treatment options if warranted. Those who have benefited from the treatment and have not had significant side effects may elect to continue receiving LDOPA after receiving an explanation of the potential risks of chronic administration. If they do not want to continue L-DOPA, it will be discontinued after a 3 day step-down withdrawal of the drug. Transferring after-study care to a non-study clinician protects against the development of bias in the study clinicians and offers optimal clinical care to the subjects at the study conclusion.

Clinical Treatment Alternatives

Clinical treatment alternatives

The alternative to participating in this study is to seek treatment outside the research project. Patients who would rather receive treatment elsewhere will be given referrals to appropriate and affordable care.

Risks/Discomforts/Inconveniences

Risks that could be encountered during the study period

1. Interview, emergencies, and possible suicidal ideation. Subjects may experience discomfort during the clinical interview and evaluations when discussing symptoms and current life events. The study coordinators are experienced and skilled in interviewing depressed subjects. Half-way through the initial assessment, the coordinator will ask the subject if they would like to take a break, and this will be provided if desired. A study clinician will be available during all aspects of the assessment if there are any questions or problems. In addition, should the subject express suicidal ideation at any time during the interview, the



study clinician will be contacted immediately to assess the subject and to determine the appropriate course of action. Options for addressing suicidal ideation will include contacting the individual's mental health caregiver, referring for urgent (same day) evaluation and treatment in an outpatient clinic, or emergency room evaluation and hospitalization. Similar practices will be used for other emergencies, including but not limited to psychosis, homicidal or violent thoughts, or an acute change in a subject's physical status.

2. L-DOPA Side Effects: Side effects will be assessed at each planned visit and if needed through additional or unscheduled contacts. We will attempt to minimize side effects by slow dosage titration and allowance for dose reduction if needed. We will withdraw subjects from the study if they cannot tolerate the lowest dose of carbidopa/levodopa (L-DOPA) 37.5mg/150mg daily.

L-DOPA is a well-tolerated medication at the doses we will be using in this study. Older (i.e., 1960s-70s era) case studies report administering L-DOPA doses of 400-1200mg and in some cases much higher to depressed, non-PD patients over subacute time periods (4-12 weeks). Variable clinical results were observed, but few side effects were reported and no dyskinesias. More recently, a double-blind study (conducted by Co-Investigator Yaakov Stern and colleagues) of a single dose of L-DOPA 200mg vs. placebo investigated the role of dopamine in the impaired interval timing abilities observed in older adults. Thirty two healthy aged participants aged 71.2 ± 7.6 years were trained to produce two target time intervals (6 and 17 seconds in duration) in separate blocks corresponding to drug/placebo administration. Of the 16 elderly subjects who took 200mg L-DOPA, no severe side effects were noted, and no individuals discontinued participation in the study. Forty-four percent reported mild nausea at some point during the experiment, which was the only side effect noted. There are sporadic other reports in the literature examining the effects of single-dose 200mg L-DOPA on cognitive outcomes in healthy controls (e.g., Rihet et al, Psychopharmacology 2002; Hasbroucq et al, Psychopharmacology 2003), but no other recent (i.e., post 2000) studies have been performed of subacute L-DOPA dosing in healthy controls.

There are many studies of chronic L-DOPA administration for the treatment of PD, since this drug has been used since the 1960s. Perhaps the most relevant recent study was a clinical trial published in the New England Journal of Medicine in 2004 (72). In this study 361 patients with PD were randomized to receive 150mg, 300mg, or 600mg L-DOPA for 40 weeks. In the 150mg and 300mg L-DOPA treatment groups, which are similar to the 100mg and 300mg treatment groups being used in the R61 Phase of this study, the only side effects observed that were different from placebo were headache and nausea. No dyskinesias or other neuropsychiatric effects were observed that were greater than the placebo group, and this study lasted 40 weeks as opposed to 3 weeks in the R61 Phase of this study. Despite these reassuring data, and recognizing that we include a different patient population, we will carefully assess subjects with new complaints to determine if they may be related to study drug and if there is a need for closer monitoring or change in study drug dosing.

a. L-DOPA common side effects: In patients taking L-DOPA for the management of bradykinesia and freezing associated with PD, the most common side effects are dyskinesias (i.e., choreiform, dystonic, and other involuntary movements) and nausea.

b. Other L-DOPA side effects: blood pressure changes, orthostasis, anorexia, dyspepsia, constipation, psychotic episodes (e.g., delusions, hallucinations), vivid dreams, and nightmares.



c. Discontinuation Syndrome: A neuroleptic malignant-like syndrome (fever, akinetic crisis, rigidity, autonomic disturbances) has been reported following withdrawal of levodopa in patients with PD.

3. PET imaging—Radiation Exposure: The dose of radiation will be submitted for approval to the Columbia University Medical Center Joint Radiation Safety Committee (JRSC). All scans will be done in the presence of medical supervision and trained nursing staff in an institution specifically designed to support imaging studies. In the event of serious medical complications, the PET scan facilities have immediate access to, or consultation with, specialized medical units at the Columbia University Medical Center (CUMC). Preparation of radiopharmaceuticals and performance of PET scans will be by radiochemists, physicians, and technologists of the Department of Radiology, Columbia University Medical Center. These professionals are qualified by training and experience in the safe use and handling of radiopharmaceuticals. Subjects will be asked about their previous radiation exposure and those who have had research exposure within the past year will be excluded if their cumulative annual exposure (including the present study) exceeds FDA limits. The study doctor will be informed regarding subjects' previous radiation exposure.

Dose estimates indicate that the maximum, permissible single study dosage of [11C]-raclopride in human subjects, to remain below the CFR 361.1 dose limits for research subjects, is 42.8 mCi (i.e., calculation based upon gallbladder as the critical organ; 5 rads per single study limit and 0.117 rads per mCi to the gallbladder). Thus, a dose of max 14 mCi per injection, and the dose associated with participation to this study (2 injections: 28 mCi) will be within this limit. Subjects will be instructed not to participate in any other research studies that include radiation exposure during the year starting on the day of the first study. Subjects exposed to radiation in the work place are excluded, as well as subjects exposed to nuclear medicine procedures during the previous year, including research protocols.

4. PET imaging—The dose of raclopride used in this study is negligible (equal or below 6.94 µg per administration) and is expected to induce less than 5% occupancy of D2 receptors. [11C]raclopride is a radiotracer that has been extensively used to measure striatal D2 receptors both in the US and in Europe. Side effects have never been reported at the tracer doses used in PET studies. In addition, unlabeled raclopride has been tested at pharmacological doses in humans, as a potential antipsychotic drug. Its safety and tolerability have been well characterized (Farde et al., 1989; Farde et al., 1988; Cookson et al., 1989; The British Isles Raclopride Study Group, 1992) Therefore, we do not anticipate any pharmacological effects from the radiotracer used in the proposed studies. As with any drug, the possibility of idiosyncratic reaction exists and is mentioned in the consent forms. A physician is present at each experiment.

5. Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Although this procedure is generally low-risk, there are particular concerns. Individuals will be screened for the presence of implanted metal (including but not limited to medical devices, shrapnel, tattoos or permanent makeup). Those who screen positive will be excluded from the study. Claustrophobia is also an issue for many potential subjects. During the MRI, subjects will have voice contact with a radiology technician, and they may request the scan be stopped at any time.

6. Incidental Findings: Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Another risk is the occurrence of incidental findings on MRI. All scans are reviewed at time of acquisition and concerning findings are discussed with an attending neuroradiologist. Should any concerning findings be seen, the site PI will convey these findings to



the subject along with recommendations for further evaluation, and facilitate referrals for such evaluation and treatment.

7. Breach of confidentiality: There is the potential risk of breach of confidentiality of clinical, genetic, and laboratory information. Dr. Rutherford has extensive experience as a clinical investigator in dealing with sensitive information and assuring that data is adequately protected. Safeguards to protect confidentiality include locked records and firewalls around password-protected electronic data, and all study data being coded, with the key linking the code with a subject's identity being kept in a separate, locked file.

8. a. Risks of placing an intra-venous catheter. Drawing blood from and inserting an intravenous line (IV) into an arm vein are safe and standard medical procedures. Sometimes a bruise will occur at the puncture site and rarely a blood clot or infection will occur in the vein. Certain individuals may feel light-headed during venipuncture. The volume of blood collected during this study, include screening laboratories, will be approximately 2-3 tablespoons. These are not expected to have any serious negative effects on the study participants. b. Risks of blood draw: In the obtaining a 20 cc blood sample, patients can experience side effects that include pain, fainting, bruising, light-headedness, and, on rare occasions, infection. The staff will take every precaution to avoid these difficulties. The staff members are all certified at the hospital to be drawing blood from patients, and are instructed to keep the comfort and welfare of our patients as their primary priority.

9. Gait speed assessment: During the gait speed assessment, patients may feel unsteady and as such their risk of falls may increase. To mitigate these risks, patients are accompanied by research coordinators and/or doctors during each of the performance-based assessments. Coordinators walk slightly behind and alongside the patients during the gait assessment, providing support for the patients should they become unsteady during the procedure.

Describe procedures for minimizing risks

1. The study coordinators are experienced and skilled in interviewing subjects with a variety of mental health issues. Half-way through the initial assessment, the coordinator will ask the subject if they would like to take a break, and this will be provided if desired. A study clinician will be available during all aspects of the assessment if there are any questions or problems. In addition, should the subject express suicidal ideation at any time during the interview, the study clinician will be contacted immediately to assess the subject and to determine the appropriate course of action. Options for addressing suicidal ideation will include contacting the individual's mental health caregiver, referring for urgent (same day) evaluation and treatment in an outpatient clinic, or emergency room evaluation and hospitalization. Similar practices will be used for other emergencies, including but not limited to psychosis, homicidal or violent thoughts, or an acute change in a subject's physical status.

2. Side effects will be assessed at each planned visit and if needed through additional or unscheduled contacts. We will attempt to minimize side effects by slow dosage titration and allowance for dose reduction if needed. We will withdraw subjects from the study if they cannot tolerate the lowest dose of carbidopa/levodopa (L-DOPA) 37.5mg/150mg daily.



3. The dose of radiation will be submitted for approval to the JRSC. All scans will be done in the presence of medical supervision and trained nursing staff in an imaging center specifically designed to support imaging studies. In the event of serious medical complications, the PET scan facilities have immediate access to a consultation with specialized medical units at New York Presbyterian Hospital. Preparation of radiopharmaceuticals and performance of PET scans will be by radiochemists, physicians, and technologists of the Department of Radiology at Columbia. These professionals are qualified by training and experience in the safe use and handling of radiopharmaceuticals. Subjects will be asked about their previous radiation exposure and those who have had research exposure within the past year will be excluded if their cumulative annual exposure (including the present study) exceeds FDA limits. The information on the previous radiation exposure of study subjects will be notified to the study doctor.

4. In terms of raclopride pharmacologic effects, we do not anticipate any pharmacological effects from the radiotracer used in the proposed studies. As with any drug, the possibility of idiosyncratic reaction exists and is mentioned in the consent forms. A physician is present at each experiment.

5. To minimize MRI risks, each subject will fill out the MRI Safety Questionnaire before the study. Only subjects who fulfill the criteria by this questionnaire will be eligible for the study. In addition, subjects will remove all metal (watch, hair pins, jewelry) before entering the MRI room. If the subject has any metallic prostheses/implants they will be excluded from the study. If a subject becomes anxious during the scan they can request that the MRI scan be stopped.

6. Dr. Rutherford has extensive experience as a clinical investigator in dealing with sensitive information and assuring that data is adequately protected. Safeguards to protect confidentiality include locked records and firewalls around password-protected electronic data, and all study data being coded, with the key linking the code with a subject's identity being kept in a separate, locked file.

7. Risks of bruising, clotting, and infection during IV placement and blood draw will be minimized by having venipuncture performed by trained and experienced personnel under sterile conditions. To avoid injury due to fainting, the antecubital vein catheter will be inserted when the subjects are recumbent.

8. The staff will take every precaution to avoid difficulties with gait speed assessments. Patients are accompanied by research coordinators and/or doctors during the test of gait speed. Coordinators walk slightly behind and alongside the patients during the gait assessment, providing support for the patients should they become unsteady during the procedure.

Methods to Protect Confidentiality

Describe methods to protect confidentiality

All records of the participating subjects will be kept in a locked room with access provided only to staff members. Patients' names will be linked with code numbers in a password protected file to which only the research assistant has access. Only these code numbers will appear on all pill bottles and paper measures collected during study. All data collected will be kept confidential and used for professional purposes only.

Publications using these data will be done in a manner that protects the subjects' anonymity. All electronically stored data will be accessible by password known only to the principal investigator and research assistants for the study.

Data shared with the National Institute of Mental Health Data Archive (NDA) will maintain patient confidentiality by ensuring exclusion of all 18 identifiers (outlined by HIPAA) prior to data sharing.

Will the study be conducted under a certificate of confidentiality?

No

Direct Benefits to Subjects

Direct Benefits to Subjects

There is no direct benefit to subjects. If L-DOPA treatment is effective in ameliorating slowing, subjects may experience improved quality of life and decreased of falls and other sequelae of slowing.

Compensation and/or Reimbursement

Will compensation or reimbursement for expenses be offered to subjects?

Yes

Please describe and indicate total amount and schedule of payment(s).

Include justification for compensation amounts and indicate if there are bonus payments.

Subjects will receive reasonable reimbursement for transportation related costs associated with study involvement as long as they provide receipts.

Subjects will receive \$25 for each weekly study visit (Week 0, Week 1, Week 2, and Week 3) attended. This money will be paid by cash at the conclusion of each of these visits for a total of \$100 if all study visits are attended.

Subjects will receive \$50 for each MRI scan (\$100 total for the MRI) and \$150 for completing each PET scan (\$300 total for PET). Of note, subjects will be compensated for scheduled scans if they are brought to the MRI or PET suites and the scans are not completed that day due to chemistry failure or other similar issues.

Thus, subjects undergoing neuroimaging may earn \$400 in this study, which will be a lump sum payment mailed in the form of a check at the conclusion of the study, plus an additional \$100 cash (\$25 cash at the end of each weekly visit) if all weekly study visits are also completed. If a subject does not complete the study, payment is pro-rated to portions completed. Subjects are advised to allow 1-3 weeks for receipt of payment for neuroimaging.



References

References

1. NIH Consensus Conference. Diagnosis and treatment of depression in late life. JAMA 1992; 268:1018–1024.
2. Rothschild AJ. The diagnosis and treatment of late-life depression. J Clin Psychiatry 1996; 57:5–11.
3. Meeks TW, Vahia IV, Lavretsky H, Kulkarni G, Jeste DV. A tune in "a minor" can "b major": a review of epidemiology, illness course, and public health implications of subthreshold depression in older adults. J Affect Disord 2011; 129:126-142.
4. Kalayam B, Alexopoulos GS. Prefrontal dysfunction and treatment response in geriatric depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1999; 56:713–718.
5. Alexopoulos GS, Meyers BS, Young RC, et al. Executive dysfunction and long-term outcomes of geriatric depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000; 57:285–290.
6. Vaughan L, Giovanello K. Executive function in daily life: age-related influences of executive processes on instrumental activities of daily living. Psychol Aging 2010; 25:343-355.
7. Brown PJ, Liu X, Sneed JR, Pimontel MA, Devanand DP, Roose SP. Speed of Processing and Depression Affect Function in Older Adults with Mild Cognitive Impairment. Am J Geri Psychiatry 2013; 21:675-684.
8. Sheline YI, Barch DM, Garcia K, et al. Cognitive Function in Late Life Depression: Relationships to Depression Severity, Cerebrovascular Risk Factors and Processing Speed. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 60:58-65.
9. Seidler RD, Alberts JL, Stelmach GE. Changes in multi-joint performance with age. Motor Control 2002; 6:19–31.
10. Diggles-Buckles V. Age-related slowing. In: Stelmach GE, Homberg V (Eds.), Sensorimotor Impairment in the Elderly. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic, 1993.
11. Tang PF, Woollacott MH. Balance control in the elderly. In: Bronstein AM, Brandt T, Woollacott MH (Eds.), Clinical Disorders of Balance, Posture and Gait. London: Arnold, 1996.
12. Demakakos P, Cooper R, Hamer M, de Oliveira C, Hardy R, Breeze E. The bidirectional association between depressive symptoms and gait speed: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Aging (ELSA). PLoS One 2013; 8:e68632. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068632.
13. Sanders JB, Bremmer MA, DeeJ DJ, Beekman AT. Do depressive symptoms and gait speed impairment predict each other's incidence? A 16 year prospective study in the community. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60:1673-1680.
14. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, et al. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability:



consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000; 55:M221-M231.

15. Montero-Odasso M, Schapira M, Soriano ER, et al. Gait velocity as a single predictor of adverse events in healthy seniors aged 75 years and older. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005; 60:1304-1309.

16. Penninx BW, Ferrucci L, Leveille SG, Rantanen T, Pahor M, Guralnik JM. Lower extremity performance in nondisabled older persons as a predictor of subsequent hospitalization. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000; 55:M691-M697.

17. Kaasinen V, Vilkinen H, Hietala J, et al. Age-related dopamine D2/D3 receptor loss in extrastriatal regions of the human brain. *Neurobiol Aging* 2000; 21:683-688.

18. Haycock JW, Becker L, Ang L, Furukawa Y, Hornykiewicz O, Kish SJ. Marked disparity between age-related changes in dopamine and other presynaptic dopaminergic markers in human striatum. *J Neurochem* 2003; 87:574-585.

19. Backman L, Nyberg L, Lindenberger U, Li SC, Farde L. The correlative triad among aging, dopamine, and cognition: Current status and future prospects. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2006; 30:791-807.

20. Troiano AR, Schulzer M, de la Fuente Fernandez R, et al. Dopamine Transporter PET in Normal Aging: Dopamine Transporter Decline and its Possible Role in Preservation of Motor Function. *Synapse* 2010; 64:146-151.

21. Volkow ND, Gur RC, Wang GJ, et al. Association between decline in brain dopamine activity with age and cognitive and motor impairment in healthy individuals. *Am J Psychiatry* 1998; 155, 344-349.

22. Wang Y, Chan GLY, Holden JE, et al. Age-dependent decline of dopamine D1 receptors in human brain: a PET study. *Synapse* 1998; 30:56-61.

23. Yang YK, Chiu NT, Chen CC, Chen M, Yeh TL, Lee IH. Correlation between fine motor activity and striatal dopamine D2 receptor density in patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimag* 2003; 123:191-197.

24. Servan-Schreiber D, Carter CS, Bruno RM, Cohen JD. Dopamine and the mechanisms of cognition: part II. D-amphetamine effects in human subjects performing a selective attention task. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998; 43:723-729.

25. Ramaekers JG, Louwerens JW, Muntjewerff ND, et al. Psychomotor, cognitive, extrapyramidal and affective functions of healthy volunteers during treatment with an atypical (amisulpiride) and a classic (haloperidol) antipsychotic. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1999; 19:209-221.

26. Penninx B, Leveille S, Ferrucci L, van Eijk J, Guralnik J. Exploring the effect of depression on physical disability: Longitudinal evidence from the Established Populations for Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly.

Am J Publ Health 1999; 89:1346–1352.

27. Callahan CM, Wolinsky FD, Stump TE, Nienaber NA, Hui SL, Tierney WM. Mortality, symptoms, and functional impairment in late-life depression. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998; 13:746–752.
28. Blazer D, Bachar J, Manton K. Suicide in late life: review and commentary. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1986; 34:519–526.
29. Conwell Y, Lyness J, Duberstein P, Cox C, Seidlitz L, DiGiorgio A. Completed suicide among older patients in primary care practices: a controlled study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000; 48:23–29.
30. Alexopoulos GS, Meyers BS, Young RC, Kakuma T, Feder M, Einhorn A, Rosedahl E. Recovery in geriatric depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1996; 53:305–312.
31. Sneed JR, Rutherford BR, Rindskopf D, Roose SP. Design makes a difference: antidepressant response rates in placebo-controlled versus comparator trials in late life depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2008; 16:65–73.
32. Butters MA, Whyte E, Nebes RD, et al. The nature and determinants of neuropsychological functioning in late-life depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; 61:587–595.
33. Pimontel MA, Culang-Reinlieb ME, Morimoto SS, Sneed JR. Executive dysfunction and treatment response in late life depression. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2012; 27:893–899.
34. Salthouse TA. The Processing-Speed Theory of Adult Age Differences in Cognition. *Psychol Rev* 1996; 103:403–428.
35. Lindenberger U, Mayr U, Kliegl R. Speed and intelligence in old age. *Psychol Aging* 1993; 8:207–220.
36. Sliwinski M, Buschke H. Processing speed and memory in aging and dementia. *J Gerontol Psychol Sci* 1997; 52B:308–318.
37. Rapp MA, Reischies FM. Attention and executive control predict Alzheimer disease in late life: results from the Berlin Aging Study (BASE). *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005; 13:134–141.
38. Iwasa H, Gondo Y, Yoshida Y, et al. Cognitive performance as a predictor of functional decline among the non-disabled elderly dwelling in a Japanese community: A 4-year population-based prospective cohort study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2008; 47:139–149.
39. Edwards JD, Bart E, O'Connor ML, Cissell G. Ten years down the road: Predictors of driving cessation. *Gerontologist* 2000; 50:393–399.
40. Barak Y, Wagenaar RC, Holt KG. Gait characteristics of elderly people with a history of falls: a dynamic approach. *Phys Ther* 2006; 86:1501–1510.

-
41. Morita M, Takamura N, Kusano Y, et al. Relationship between falls and physical performance measures among community-dwelling elderly women in Japan. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2005; 17:211-216.
42. Verghese J, Holtzer R, Lipton RB, Wang C. Quantitative Gait Markers and Incident Fall Risk in Older Adults. *J Gerontol Med Sci* 2009; 64:896-901.
43. Van Kan AG, Rolland Y, Andrieu S, et al. Gait speed at usual pace as a predictor of adverse outcomes in community-dwelling older people: An International Academy on Nutrition and Aging (IANA) Task Force. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2009; 13:881-889.
44. White DK, Neogi T, Nevitt MC, et al. Trajectories of Gait Speed Predict Mortality in Well-Functioning Older Adults: The Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2013; 68:456-464.
45. Dumurgier J, Elbaz A, Ducimetiere P, Tavernier B, Alperovitch A, Tzourio C. Slow walking speed and cardiovascular death in well-functioning older adults: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2009; 339:b4460.
46. Brown PJ, Roose SP, Zhang J, et al. Inflammation, Depression, and Slow Gait: A High Mortality Phenotype in Later Life. Under review, *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*.
47. Eckart C, Bunzeck N. Dopamine modulates processing speed in the human mesolimbic system. *Neuroimage* 2013; 66:293-300.
48. Hickie IB, Naismith SL, Ward PB, et al. Psychomotor slowing in older patients with major depression: Relationships with blood flow in the caudate nucleus and white matter lesions. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimag* 2007; 155:211-220.
49. Cham R, Perera S, Studenski SA, Bohnen NI. Striatal dopamine denervation and sensory integration for balance in middle-aged and older adults. *Gait Posture* 2007; 26:516-525.
50. Cham R, Studenski SA, Perera S, Bohnen NI. Striatal dopaminergic denervation and gait in healthy adults. *Exp Brain Res* 2008; 185:391-398.
51. Bohnen NI, Albin RL, Koeppe RA, Wernette KA, Kilbourn MR, Minoshima S, Frey KA. Positron emission tomography of monoaminergic vesicular binding in aging and Parkinson disease. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2006; 26:1198-1212.
52. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Parallel loss of presynaptic and postsynaptic dopamine markers in normal aging. *Ann Neurol* 1998; 44:143-147.
53. Volkow ND, Logan J, Fowler JS, et al. Association between age-related decline in brain dopamine activity and impairment in frontal and cingulate metabolism. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; 157:75-80.
54. Castner SA, Goldman-Rakic PS. Enhancement of working memory in aged monkeys by a sensitizing regimen of dopamine D1 receptor stimulation. *J Neurosci* 2004; 24:1446-1450.



55. Gierski F, Peretti CS, Ergis AM. Effects of the dopamine agonist piribedil on prefrontal temporal cortical network function in normal aging as assessed by verbal fluency. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2007; 31: 262–268.
56. Peretti CS, Gierski F, Harris S. Cognitive skill learning in healthy older adults after 2 months of double-blind treatment with piribedil. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2004; 176:175–181.
57. Floel A, Vomhof P, Lorenzen A, Roesser N, Breitenstein C, Knecht S. Levodopa improves skilled hand functions in the elderly. *Eur J Neurosci* 2008; 27:1301–1307.
58. Klerman GL, Schildkraut JJ, Hasenbush LL, Greenblatt VL, Friend DG. Clinical experience with dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) in depression. *J Psychiatr Res* 1963; 1:289-297.
59. Sanghvi I, Urquiaga X, Gershon S. Exploration of the Anti-Depressant Potential of L-DOPA. *Psychopharmacologia* 1971; 20:118-127.
60. Matnssek N, Benkert O, Schneider K, Otten H, Pohlmeier H. L-DOPA plus decarboxylase inhibitor in depression. *Lancet* 1970; 2:660-661.
61. Bunney WE, Janowsky DS, Goodwin FK, Davis JM, Brodie HKH, Murphy DL, Chase TN. Effect of L-DOPA on depression. *Lancet* 1969; 292:885-886.
62. Kashihara K, Imamura T, Ohno M. Effect of levodopa on depression in de novo patients with Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disord* 2013, 28(Suppl 1):407.
63. Lavretsky H, Reinlieb M, St Cyr N, et al. Citalopram, methylphenidate, or their combination in geriatric depression: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015; 172:561–569.
64. Satel SL, Nelson JC. Stimulants in the treatment of depression: a critical overview. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1989; 50:241–249.
65. Costa A, Peppe A, Dell'Agnello G, Carlesimo G, Murri L, Bonuccelli U, Caltarigone C. Dopaminergic modulation of visual-spatial working memory in Parkinson's disease. *Dem Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2003; 15:55–66.
66. Hayes AE, Davidson MC, Keele SW. Towards a functional analysis of the basal ganglia. *J Cogn Neurosci* 1998; 10:178–198.
67. Lange KW, Robbins TW, Marsden CD, James M, Owen AM, Paul GM. L-Dopa withdrawal in Parkinson's disease selectively impairs cognitive performance in tests sensitive to frontal lobe dysfunction. *Psychopharmacol* 1992; 107:394–404.
68. Brusa L, Pavino V, Massimetti MC, Bove R, Iani C, Stanzione P. The effect of dopamine agonists on cognitive functions in non-demented early-mild Parkinson's Disease patients. *Func Neurol* 2013; 28:13-17.



69. Brusa L, Bassi A, Stefani A, et al. Pramipexole in comparison to l-dopa: a neuropsychological study. *J Neural Transm* 2003; 110:373–380.
70. Brusa L, Tiraboschi P, Koch G, et al. Pergolide effect on cognitive functions in early-mild Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm* 2005; 112:231–237.
71. Halliday R, Callaway E, Naylor H, Gratzinger P, Prael R. The effects of stimulant drugs on information processing in elderly adults. *J Gerontol* 1986; 41:748-757.
72. The Parkinson Study Group. Levodopa and the Progression of Parkinson's Disease. *New Engl J Med* 2004; 351:2498-2508.
73. Nestler EJ, Barrot M, DiLeone RJ, Eisch AJ, Gold SJ, Monteggia LM. Neurobiology of Depression. *Neuron* 2002; 34:13-25.
74. Salamone JD, Cousins MS, Bucher S. Anhedonia or anergia? Effects of haloperidol and nucleus accumbens dopamine depletion on instrumental response selection in a T-maze cost/benefit procedure. *Behav Brain Res* 1994; 65:221–229.
75. De la Fuente Fernandez R, Sossi V, Huang Z, et al. Levodopa-induced changes in synaptic dopamine levels increase with progression of Parkinson's Disease: implications for dyskinesias. *Brain* 2004; 127:2747-2754.
76. Cools R. Dopaminergic modulation of cognitive function-implications for L-DOPA treatment in Parkinson's disease. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2006; 30:1-23.
77. Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, et al. Research Domain Criteria (RDoC): Toward a New Classification Framework for Research on Mental Disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010; 167:748-751.
78. Eckert MA, Keren NI, Roberts DR, Calhoun VD, Harris KC. Age-related changes in processing speed: unique contributions of cerebellar and prefrontal cortex. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2010; 4:10. doi: 10.3389/neuro.09.010.2010.
79. Chee MW, Chen KH, Zheng H, et al. Cognitive function and brain structure correlations in healthy elderly East Asians. *Neuroimage* 2009; 46:257–269.
80. Schiavone F, Charlton RA, Barrick TR, Morris RG, Markus HS. Imaging age-related cognitive decline: a comparison of diffusion tensor and magnetization transfer MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2009; 29:23–30.
81. Fjell AM, Walhovd KB. Structural brain changes in aging: courses, causes and cognitive consequences. *Rev Neurosci* 2010; 21:187–221.
82. Cabeza R, Anderson ND, Locantore JK, McIntosh AR. Aging gracefully: compensatory brain activity in high-performing older adults. *Neuroimage* 2002; 17:1394–1402.



83. Weinshilboum RM, Otterness DM, Szumlanski CL. Methylation pharmacogenetics: catechol-O-methyltransferase, thiopurine methyltransferase, and histamine N-methyltransferase. *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1999; 39:19–52.
84. Mannisto PT, Kaakkola S. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): biochemistry, molecular biology, and clinical efficacy of the new selective COMT inhibitors. *Pharmacol Rev* 1999; 51:593–628.
85. Lotta T, Vidgren J, Tilgmann C, Ulmanen I, Melen K, Julkunen I, Taskinen J. Kinetics of human soluble and membrane-bound catechol-O-methyltransferase: a revised mechanism and description of the thermolabile variant of the enzyme. *Biochemistry* 1995; 34:4202–4210
86. Liljequist R, Haapalinna A, Ahlander M, Li YH, Mannisto PT. Catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitor tolcapone has minor influences on performance in experimental memory models in rats. *Behav Brain Res* 1997; 82:195–202.
87. Joobar R, Gauthier J, Lal S, et al. Catechol-O-methyltransferase Val-108/158-Met gene variants associated with performance on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002; 59:662–663.
88. Malhotra AK, Kestler LJ, Mazzanti C, Bates JA, Goldberg T, Goldman D. A functional polymorphism in the COMT gene and performance on a test of prefrontal cognition. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002; 159:652–654.
89. Bilder RM, Volavka J, Czobor P, et al. Neurocognitive correlates of the COMT Val158Met polymorphism in chronic schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 2002; 52:701–707.
90. Rosano C, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, et al. Association between physical and cognitive function in healthy elderly: the health, aging and body composition study. *Neuroepidemiol* 2005; 24:8–14.
91. Inzitari M, Newman AB, Yaffe K, et al. Gait Speed Predicts Decline in Attention and Psychomotor Speed in Older Adults: The Health Aging and Body Composition Study. *Neuroepidemiol* 2007; 29:156–162.
92. Verghese J, Lipton RB, Hall CB, Kuslansky G, Katz MJ, Buschke H. Abnormality of gait as a predictor of non-Alzheimer's dementia. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347:1761–1768.
93. Camicioli R, Howieson D, Oken B, Sexton G, Kaye J. Motor slowing precedes cognitive impairment in the oldest old. *Neurology* 1998; 50:1496–1498.
94. Marquis S, Moore MM, Howieson DB, Sexton G, Payami H, Kaye JA, Camicioli R. Independent predictors of cognitive decline in healthy elderly persons. *Arch Neurol* 2002; 59:601–606.
95. Longstreth WT Jr, Arnold AM, Beauchamp NJ Jr, et al. Incidence, manifestations, and predictors of worsening white matter on serial cranial magnetic resonance imaging in the elderly: The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Stroke* 2005; 36:56–61.
96. Brown PJ, Roose SP, Fieo R, et al. Frailty and Depression in Older Adults: A High-Risk Clinical

Population. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2014; 22:1083-1095.

97. Rakitin BC, Scarmeas N, Tina L, Chariklia M, Stern Y. Single-dose Levodopa Administration and Aging Independently Disrupt Time Production. *J Cog Neurosci* 2006; 18:376-387.

98. Martinez D, Slifstein M, Broft A, et al. Imaging human mesolimbic dopamine transmission with positron emission tomography. Part II: amphetamine-induced dopamine release in the functional subdivisions of the striatum. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2003; 23:285-300.

99. Martinez D, Gil R, Slifstein M, et al. Alcohol dependence is associated with blunted dopamine transmission in the ventral striatum. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 58:779-786.

100. Martinez D, Narendran R, Foltin RW, et al. Amphetamine-induced dopamine release: markedly blunted in cocaine dependence and predictive of the choice to self-administer cocaine. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007; 164:622-629.

101. Thompson J L, Urban N, Slifstein M, et al. Striatal dopamine release in schizophrenia comorbid with substance dependence. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2013; 18:909-915.

102: Broft A, Shingleton R, Kaufman J, et al. Striatal Dopamine in Bulimia Nervosa: a PET Imaging Study. *Int J Eat Disord* 2012; 45:648-656.

103. Urban NB, Kegeles LS, Slifstein M, et al. Sex differences in striatal dopamine release in young adults after oral alcohol challenge: a positron emission tomography imaging study with [11C]-raclopride. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 68:689-696.

104. Kegeles LS, Abi-Dargham A, Frankle WG, et al. Increased Synaptic Dopamine Function in Associative Regions of the Striatum in Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010; 67:231-239.

105. Stern Y, Habeck C, Steffener J, et al. The Reference Ability Neural Network Study: Motivation, design, and initial feasibility analyses. *Neuroimage* 2014; 103:139-151.

106. Habeck C, Steffener J, Barulli D, et al. Making Cognitive Latent Variables Manifest: Distinct Neural Networks for Fluid Reasoning and Processing Speed. *J Cog Neurosci* 2014; 27:1249-1258.

107. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Press, 2013.

108. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977; 1:385-401.

109. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; 12:189-198.

110. Guy W. Clinical Global Impressions. New Clinical Drug Evaluation Unit (ECDEU) Assessment

Manual for Psychopharmacology. 1976. Rockville, MD: National Institute of Mental Health, 1976, p. 218-222.

111. Kelly C, de Zubizaray G, Di Martino A, et al. L-dopa modulates functional connectivity in striatal cognitive and motor networks: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *J Neurosci* 2009; 29:7364-7368.
112. Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. *Psychiatry Res* 1992; 41:237-248.
113. Huskisson EC. Measurement of pain. *J Rheumatol* 1982; 9:768-769.
114. First MB, Williams JBW, Karg RS, Spitzer RL. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5—Research Version (SCID-5 for DSM-5, Research Version; SCID-5-RV). Arlington, VA, American Psychiatric Association, 2015.
115. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1960; 23:56-62.
116. Fahn S, Elton R, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale Development Committee. Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. In: Fahn S, Marsden CD, Calne D, eds. *Recent developments in Parkinson's disease*. New York: MacMillan, 1987:153-63.
117. Rush AJ, Giles DE, Schlesser MA, Fulton CL, Weissenburger JE, Burns CT. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Preliminary findings. *Psychiatry Res* 1986; 18:65-87.
118. Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, Jarrett RB, Trivedi MH. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Psychometric properties. *Psychol Med* 1996; 26:477-486.
119. Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale – Third Edition. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 1997.
120. Salthouse TA, Babcock RL. Decomposing adult age differences in working memory. *Devel Psychol* 1991; 27:763-776.
121. Salthouse TA, Pink JE, Tucker-Drob EM. Contextual analysis of fluid intelligence. *Intelligence* 2008; 36:464-486.
122. Salthouse TA. Effects of age and ability on components of cognitive change. *Intelligence*. 2013; 41:501-511.
123. Salthouse TA. Does the meaning of neurocognitive change change with age? *Neuropsychology* 2010; 24:273-278.
124. Salthouse TA. Implications of within-person variability in cognitive and neuropsychological functioning on the interpretation of change. *Neuropsychology* 2007; 21:401-411.



-
125. Baetens T, De Kegel A, Palmans T, Oostra K, Vanderstraeten G, Cambier D. Gait analysis with cognitive-motor dual tasks to distinguish fallers from nonfallers among rehabilitating stroke patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013; 94:680-686.
126. Tedroff J, Pedersen M, Aquilonius SM, Hartvig P, Jacobsson G, Langstrom B. Levodopa-induced changes in synaptic dopamine in patients with Parkinson's disease as measured by [¹¹C]-raclopride displacement and PET. *Neurology* 1996; 46:1430-1436.
127. Pavese N, Evans AH, Tai YF, Hotton G, Brooks DJ, Lees AJ, Piccini P. Clinical correlates of levodopa-induced dopamine release in Parkinson's disease: A PET study. *Neurology* 2006; 67:1612-1617.
128. Lammertsma AA, Hume SP. Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *NeuroImage* 1996; 4:153-158.
129. Innis RB, Cunningham VJ, Delforge J, et al. Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2007; 27:1533-1539.
130. Sulzer D, Chen TK, Lau YY, Kristensen H, Rayport S, Ewing A. Amphetamine redistributes dopamine from synaptic vesicles to the cytosol and promotes reverse transport. *J Neurosci* 1995; 15:4102-4108.
131. Sulzer D, Maidment NT, Rayport S. Amphetamine and other weak bases act to promote reverse transport of dopamine in ventral midbrain neurons. *J Neurochem* 1993; 60:527-535.
132. Sulzer D, Sonders MS, Poulsen NW, Galli A. Mechanisms of neurotransmitter release by amphetamines: A review. *Progr Neurobiol* 2005; 75:406-433.
133. Laruelle M, Iyer RN, Al-Tikriti MS, et al. Microdialysis and SPECT measurements of amphetamine-induced dopamine release in nonhuman primates. *Synapse* 1997; 25:1-14.
134. Endres CJ, Kolachana BS, Saunders RC, et al. Kinetic modeling of [¹¹C]raclopride: Combined PET-microdialysis studies. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1997; 17:932-942.
135. Laruelle M, DSouza CD, Baldwin RM, et al. Imaging D-2 receptor occupancy by endogenous dopamine in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997; 17:162-174.
136. Abi-Dargham A, Gil R, Krystal J, et al. Increased striatal dopamine transmission in schizophrenia: confirmation in a second cohort. *Am J Psychiatry* 1998; 155:761-767.
137. Carson RE, Breier A, deBartolomeis A, et al. Quantification of amphetamine-induced changes in [¹¹C]-raclopride binding with continuous infusion. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1997; 17:437-447.
138. Cropley VL, Innis RB, Nathan PJ, et al. Small effect of dopamine release and no effect of dopamine depletion on [¹⁸F]fallypride binding in healthy humans. *Synapse* 2008; 62:399-408.
139. Riccardi P, Li R, Ansari MS, et al. Amphetamine-induced displacement of [¹⁸F] fallypride in striatum

and extrastriatal regions in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006; 31:1016-1026.

140. Slifstein M, Kegeles L, Xu X, et al. Striatal and extrastriatal dopamine release measured with PET and [18F] fallypride. *Synapse* 2010; 64:350-362.

141. Breier A, Su T P, Saunders R, et al. Schizophrenia is associated with elevated amphetamine-induced synaptic dopamine concentrations: Evidence from a novel positron emission tomography method. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997; 94:2569-2574.

142. Abi-Dargham A, Kegeles L, Zea-Ponce Y, et al. Amphetamine-induced dopamine release in patients with schizotypal personality disorders studied by SPECT and [123I]IBZM. *Biol Psychiatry* 2004; 55:1001-1006.

143. Martinez D, Broft A, Foltin R W, et al. Cocaine dependence and D-2 receptor availability in functional subdivisions of the striatum: Relationship with cocaine-seeking behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004; 29:1190-1202.

144. Martinez D, Greene K, Broft A, et al. Lower Level of Endogenous Dopamine in Patients With Cocaine Dependence: Findings From PET Imaging of D-2/D-3 Receptors Following Acute Dopamine Depletion. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009; 166:1170-1177.

145. Abi-Dargham A, van de Giessen E, Slifstein M, Kegeles LS, Laruelle M. Baseline and Amphetamine-Stimulated Dopamine Activity Are Related in Drug-Naive Schizophrenic Subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 65:1091-1093.

146. Walhovd KB, Westlye LT, Amlien I, et al. Consistent neuroanatomical age-related volume differences across multiple samples. *Neurobiol Aging* 2011; 32:916-932.

147. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, et al. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* 2002; 33:341-355.

148. Fischl B, van der Kouwe A, Destrieux C, et al. Automatically Parcellating the Human Cerebral Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 2004; 14:11-22.

149. Kennedy KM, Erickson KI, Rodriguez KM, et al., Age-related differences in regional brain volumes: a comparison of optimized voxel-based morphometry to manual volumetry. *Neurobiol Aging* 2009; 30:1657-1676.

150. Buckner RL, Head D, Parker J, Fotenos AF, Marcus D, Morris JC, Snyder AZ. A unified approach for morphometric and functional data analysis in young, old, and demented adults using automated atlas-based head size normalization: reliability and validation against manual measurement of total intracranial volume. *Neuroimage* 2004; 23:724-738.

151. Franceschi C, Campisi J. Chronic inflammation (inflammaging) and its potential contribution to age associated diseases. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014; 69:S4-S9.



152. Felger JC, Miller AH. Cytokine effects on the basal ganglia and dopamine function: the subcortical source of inflammatory malaise. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2012; 33:315-327.
153. Wechsler DA: Manual for the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–Revised. New York: Psychological Corporation, 1981.
154. Kramer JH, Mungas D, Possin KL, et al. NIH EXAMINER: conceptualization and development of an executive function battery. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. Jan 2014;20(1):11-19.
155. Possin KL, LaMarre AK, Wood KA, Mungas DM, Kramer JH. Ecological validity and neuroanatomical correlates of the NIH EXAMINER executive composite score. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2014; 20:20-28.
156. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol* 1994; 49:M85-94.
157. Organization WH. Measuring Health and Disability: Manual for WHO Disability Assessment Schedule (WHODAS 2.0). Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2010.
158. Farias ST, Mungas D, Reed BR, et al. The measurement of everyday cognition (ECog): scale development and psychometric properties. *Neuropsychology* 2008; 22:531-544.
159. Greenberg SA. Analysis of measurement tools of fear of falling for high-risk, community-dwelling older adults. *Clin Nursing Res* 2012; 21:113-130.
160. Tinetti ME, Richman D, Powell L. Falls efficacy as a measure of fear of falling. *J Gerontol* 1990; 45:P239-243.
161. Blair SN, Haskell WL, Ho P, et al. Assessment of habitual physical activity by a seven-day recall in a community survey and controlled experiments. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985; 122:794–804.
162. US Department of Health and Human Services. Physical activity and health: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: National Technical Information Service; 1996.
163. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Depression: management of depression in primary and secondary care. Clinical practice guideline No 23. London: National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2004.
164. Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB. Initial Severity and Antidepressant Benefits: A Meta-Analysis of Data Submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. *PLoS Medicine* 2008; 5:260-268.
165. Fournier JC, DeRubeis RJ, Hollon SD, et al. Antidepressant Drug Effects and Depression Severity: A Patient-Level Meta-Analysis. *JAMA* 2010; 303:47-53.

166. Panel on Handling Missing Data in Clinical Trials. The Prevention and Treatment of Missing Data in Clinical Trials. Washington, DC: National Research Council, 2010.

167. Little RJ, Wang Y. Pattern-mixture models for multivariate incomplete data with covariates. *Biometrics* 1996; 52:98-111.

168. Herrman H, Patrick DL, Diehr P, Martin ML, Fleck M, Simon GE, Buesching DP. Longitudinal investigation of depression outcomes in primary care in six countries: the LIDO Study. Functional status, health service use and treatment of people with depressive symptoms. *Psychol Med* 2002; 32:889-902.

169. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Kokmen E. Mild cognitive impairment: Clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol* 1999; 56:303-308.

170. Aisen PS, Petersen RC, Donohue MC, et al. Clinical Core of the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative: progress and plans. *Alzheimers Dement* 2010; 6:239-246.

171. Verghese J, Wang C, Holtzer R. Relationship of clinic-based gait speed measurement to limitations in community-based activities in older adults. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011; 92:844-846.

172. Slifstein M, Hwang D, Martinez D, et al. Biodistribution and Radiation Dosimetry of the Dopamine D2 Ligand 11C-Raclopride Determined from Human Whole Body PET. *J Nuc Med* 2006; 47:313-319.

173. Oquendo M, Stanley B, Ellis S, Mann JJ. Protection of humans in intervention research for suicidal behavior. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161:1558-1563.

174. Paykel ES, Ramana R, Cooper Z, Hayhurst H, Kerr J, Barocka A. Residual symptoms after partial remission: an important outcome in depression. *Psychol Med* 1995; 25:1171-1180.

175. Kennedy N, Paykel ES. Residual symptoms at remission from depression: impact on long-term outcome. *J Affect Disord* 2004; 80:135-144.

Uploads

Upload the entire grant application(s)

Upload copy(ies) of unbolded Consent Form(s)

Unified_PSF_AMEND-A_Study_of_L-

DOPA_for_Depression_and_Slowing_in_Older_Adults_(07_23_2018-YH).pdf

Upload copy(ies) of bolded Consent Form(s)

Upload copy(ies) of recruitment materials/ads to be reviewed

Upload evidence of FDA Radiolabeled Drug approval(s)

Upload copy(ies) of JRSC approval(s)

Upload copy(ies) of the HIPAA form

HIPAA 2018.pdf

7270 Spanish HIPAA 2018.pdf

Statistical Analysis plan

Descriptive statistics are expressed as means and standard deviations or percentages. Chi-square analyses and independent samples t-tests were used to analyze dose dependent increases in processing and gait speed. Also the changes in Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression.