NCT05513391

Title Page

Protocol Title:

Immunogenicity and Safety of Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine Compared with Egg-Based Standard-Dose Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine in Children 3 to 8 Years of Age.

Study Code: VAP00026

Amendment Number: Amendment 2

Compound: Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine (RIV4)

Brief Title:

Study with Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine (RIV4) Compared with Egg-Based Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine (IIV4) in Participants Aged 3 to 8 Years

Study Phase: Phase III

Sponsor Name and Legal Registered Address:

Sanofi Pasteur Inc. Discovery Drive, Swiftwater, PA 18370-0187, USA

Manufacturer:

Protein Sciences Corporation Meriden, CT 06450 USA

Regulatory Agency Identifier Number(s):

BB-IND: 015784 EudraCT: 2022-000576-19 WHO UTN: U1111-1256-8841

Protocol Version Number: 3.0

Approval Date: 22 March 2023

Responsible medical officer (RMO) and pharmacovigilance (PV) representative names and contact information are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

The study centers, the Investigators at each center, and the Coordinating Investigator(s) are listed in a separate document.

Previous Version(s)	Date	Comments
1.0	24 February 2022	Version submitted to the IECs/IRBs
2.0	22 September 2022	Version submitted to the IECs/IRBs

Document History and Protocol Amendment Rationale

IEC: Independent Ethics Committee; IRB: Institutional Review Board

• Amendment 1

This amendment is considered to be non-substantial based on the criteria set forth in Article 10(a) of Directive 2001/20/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union because it neither significantly impacts the safety or physical/mental integrity of participants nor the scientific value of the study.

Overall Rationale for the Amendment 1:

Changes were implemented following requests for clarification from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) dated 13 July 2022, mainly:

- Stratification of randomized groups by site, in addition to age group and previous influenza vaccination status
- The scheduled interim analysis

Change was also implemented following request of the pediatric investigation plan (PIP) from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) dated 18 March 2022, mainly the addition of subgroup analyses by serostatus at baseline.

Additional information regarding influenza virus neutralization assay method is provided.

• Amendment 2

This amendment is considered to be substantial based on the criteria set forth in Article 10(a) of Directive 2001/20/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union because it impacts the conduct of the study. However, nor the safety, the physical/mental integrity of participants or the scientific value of the study are impacted.

Overall Rationale for the Amendment 2

An interim analysis for futility is being introduced at the end of the 2022-2023 influenza season.

The End of Study will be defined as the last visit last subject or the date of last immunology sample analysis (whichever comes later). The immunology blood sample analysis is considered part of the data collection process and is key to the study primary endpoint.

Blood visit window is increased at statistical analysis level to address operational constraints whilst maintaining clinical relevance of the immunology read-outs.

Table of Contents

Docun	ment History and Protocol Amendment Rationale	2
List of	f Tables	7
List of	f Figures	8
1	Protocol Summary	9
1.1	Synopsis	9
1.2	Schema	14
1.3	Schedule of Activities (SoA)	16
2	Introduction	
2.1	Study Rationale	
2.2	Background	
2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Benefit/Risk Assessment Risks from Study Participation Benefits from Study Participation Overall Benefit-Risk Conclusion.	
3	Objectives and Endpoints	
4	Study Design	
4.1	Overall Design	
4.2	Scientific Rationale for Study Design	
4.3	Justification for Dose	
4.4	End of Study Definition	
5	Study Population	
5.1	Inclusion Criteria	
5.2	Exclusion Criteria	
5.3	Lifestyle Considerations	
5.4	Screen Failures	
5.5	Criteria for Temporarily Delaying Enrollment/Randomization/Administr Study Intervention	

6	Study Interventions and Concomitant Therapy	35
6.1	Study Intervention(s) Administered	35
6.2	Preparation/Handling/Storage/Accountability	37
6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2	Measures to Minimize Bias: Randomization and Blinding Randomization and Allocation Procedures Blinding and Code-breaking Procedures	38
6.4	Study Intervention Compliance	41
6.5	Dose Modification	41
6.6	Continued Access to Study Intervention After the End of the Study	41
6.7	Treatment of Overdose	41
6.8 6.8.1	Concomitant Therapy Rescue Medicine	
7	Discontinuation of Study Intervention and Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal	43
7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2	Discontinuation of Study Intervention Temporary Contraindications Definitive Contraindications	43
7.2	Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal from the Study	43
7.3	Lost to Follow-up	44
8	Study Assessments and Procedures	45
8.1 8.1.1 8.1.2	Efficacy and Immunogenicity Assessments Efficacy Assessments Immunogenicity Assessments	45 45
 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.2.7 	Safety Assessments Medical History Influenza Vaccination History Physical Examinations Vital Signs Clinical Safety Laboratory Assessments Pregnancy Testing Viremia/Vaccinemia	47 47 47 47 47 47
8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4	Adverse Events (AEs), Serious Adverse Events, and Other Safety Reporting Time Period and Frequency for Collecting AE and SAE Information Method of Detecting AEs and SAEs Follow-up of AEs and SAEs Regulatory Reporting Requirements for SAEs	48 48 49 49
8.3.5 8.3.6	Pregnancy Adverse Events of Special Interest	50

8.3.7 8.3.8	Medically Attended Adverse Events Early Safety Data Review	
8.4	Pharmacokinetics	. 51
8.5	Genetics	. 51
8.6	Biomarkers	. 51
8.7	Immunogenicity Assessments	. 51
8.8	Medical Resource Utilization and Health Economics	. 51
8.9	Leftover Biological Samples and Use of Data	. 52
9	Statistical Considerations	. 53
9.1	Statistical Hypotheses	. 53
9.2	Sample Size Determination	. 54
9.3	Analysis Sets	. 55
9.4	Statistical Analyses	
9.4.1	General Considerations	
9.4.2	Primary Endpoint(s)	
9.4.3 9.4.4	Secondary Endpoints	
	Exploratory Endpoint(s)	
9.5	Interim Analyses	. 59
10	Supporting Documentation and Operational Considerations	. 60
		()
10.1	Appendix: Regulatory, Ethical, and Study Oversight Considerations	. 60
10.1 10.1.1	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations	. 60
10.1.1 10.1.2	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure	. 60
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process	. 60 . 61 . 61
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 10.1.4	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection	. 60 . 61 . 61 . 63
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 10.1.4 10.1.5	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure	. 60 . 61 . 61 . 63 . 65
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 10.1.4 10.1.5 10.1.6	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data	. 60 . 61 . 61 . 63 . 65 . 65
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance	. 60 . 61 . 61 . 63 . 65 . 65 . 65
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 10.1.4 10.1.5 10.1.6 10.1.7 10.1.8	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents	. 60 . 61 . 61 . 63 . 65 . 65 . 65 . 66
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance	60 61 63 65 65 65 66 67
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7 \\ 10.1.8 \\ 10.1.9$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy. Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting	60 61 63 65 65 65 66 67 67 ng, 68
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7 \\ 10.1.8 \\ 10.1.9 \\ 10.1.10$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting Definition of AE	60 61 63 65 65 65 65 66 67 67 ng, 68 68
10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 10.1.4 10.1.5 10.1.6 10.1.7 10.1.8 10.1.9 10.1.10 10.2 10.2.1 10.2.2	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting Definition of SAE	60 61 63 65 65 65 66 67 67 ng, 68 68 70
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7 \\ 10.1.8 \\ 10.1.9 \\ 10.1.10 \\ 10.2 \\ 10.2.1 \\ 10.2.2 \\ 10.2.3 \\ 10$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting Definition of SAE Recording and Follow-Up of AE and/or SAE	60 61 63 65 65 65 66 67 67 ng, 68 68 70 72
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7 \\ 10.1.8 \\ 10.1.9 \\ 10.1.10 \\ 10.2 \\ 10.2.1 \\ 10.2.2 \\ 10.2.3 \\ 10.2.4 \\ 10.2.4 \\ 10.2.4 \\ 10.2.4 \\ 10.1.10 \\ 10.$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy. Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting Definition of AE Definition of SAE Recording and Follow-Up of AE and/or SAE Reporting of SAEs.	60 61 63 65 65 65 65 66 67 67 67
$10.1.1 \\ 10.1.2 \\ 10.1.3 \\ 10.1.4 \\ 10.1.5 \\ 10.1.6 \\ 10.1.7 \\ 10.1.8 \\ 10.1.9 \\ 10.1.10 \\ 10.2 \\ 10.2.1 \\ 10.2.2 \\ 10.2.3 \\ 10$	Regulatory and Ethical Considerations Financial Disclosure Informed Consent Process Data Protection Committees Structure Dissemination of Clinical Study Data Data Quality Assurance Source Documents Study and Site Start and Closure Publication Policy Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluati Follow-up, and Reporting Definition of SAE Recording and Follow-Up of AE and/or SAE	60 61 63 65 65 65 65 66 67 67 67

10.2.5.1.	1 Solicited AR Intensity Grading Scale	75
10.2.5.1.	2 Unsolicited AE Intensity Grading Scale	80
10.3	Appendix: Contingency measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency	81
10.4	Appendix: Risk-based Approach	81
10.5	Appendix: Abbreviations	82
11	References	84
12	Sponsor Signature Page	86

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Schedule of activities for previously vaccinated participants 3-8 years of age	.16
Table 1.2: Schedule of activities for previously unvaccinated participants 3-8 years of age	.19
Table 2.1: Potential risks of clinical significance and risk management	.26
Table 3.1: Objectives and endpoints	.29
Table 4.1: Overall design	.31
Table 6.1: Identity of study intervention(s)	.36
Table 6.2: Participants disposition by group	.38
Table 6.3: Participants disposition in the subset	.39
Table 9.1: Descriptive statistics produced	.53
Table 10.1: Solicited injection site reactions: terminology, definitions, and intensity scales – Children aged 2 through 11 years	.76
Table 10.2: Solicited systemic reactions: terminology, definitions, and intensity scales – Children aged 2 through 11 years, adolescents or adults aged \geq 12 years	.78

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 – Graphical study design for participants previously vaccinated14
Figure 1.2 – Graphical study design for participants previously unvaccinated

1 Protocol Summary

1.1 Synopsis

Protocol Title:

Immunogenicity and Safety of Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza vaccine compared with Egg-Based Standard-Dose Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine in Children 3 to 8 Years of Age.

Brief Title:

Study with Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine (RIV4) Compared with Egg-Based Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine (IIV4) in Participants Aged 3 to 8 Years

Rationale:

The proposed study is a Phase III, randomized, modified, double-blind, active-controlled (standard-dose quadrivalent influenza vaccine, IIV4), multi-center study in approximately 1412 children aged 3 to 8 years of age in USA and Europe to demonstrate the non-inferiority of RIV4 compared to IIV4 (assessed by hemagglutination inhibition [HAI] geometric mean titers [GMTs] and seroconversion [SC] rates), to describe the HAI antibody response and the neutralizing antibody response induced by RIV4 compared to IIV4, in all participants and a subset of participants respectively, and to assess the safety of both vaccines.

Objectives	and	Endpoints:
-------------------	-----	------------

Objectives	Endpoints
Primary	
• To demonstrate the non-inferior (NI) HAI immune response of RIV4 vs licensed IIV4 for the 4 strains based on the egg-derived antigen in all participants aged 3 to 8 years	 Individual HAI titer 28 days after last vaccination (Day [D]29 or D57) Seroconversion (SC) (titer < 10 [1/dil] at D01 and post-injection titer ≥ 40 [1/dil] at D29 or D57, or titer ≥ 10 [1/dil] at D01 and a ≥ 4-fold-rise in titer [1/dil] at D29 or D57)
Key Secondary	
 Immunogenicity To summarize the HAI immune response induced by RIV4 and IIV4 for the 4 strains based on the egg-derived antigen in participants aged 3 to 8 years 	 Individual HAI titer on D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) Detectable HAI titer, ie, with a titer ≥ 10 (1/dil) at D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57)

	 Individual HAI titer ratio: 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) / D01 Seroconversion (titer < 10 [1/dil] at D01 and post-injection titer ≥ 40 [1/dil] at D29 or D57, or titer ≥ 10 [1/dil] at D01 and a ≥ 4-fold-rise in titer [1/dil] at D29 or D57) Participants with titers ≥ 40 (1/dil) on D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57)
 Safety To assess the safety profile of each vaccine in all participants and by age group 	 Occurrence of any unsolicited systemic adverse events (AEs) reported in the 30 minutes after each vaccination Occurrence of solicited (pre-listed in the participant's diary card [DC]/electronic DC [eDC] and case report book [CRB]) injection site reactions and systemic reactions occurring up to 8 days after each vaccination Occurrence of unsolicited AEs up to 28 days after each vaccination Occurrence of medically attended adverse event (MAAEs) up to 28 days after each vaccination Occurrence of SAEs (including AESIs) throughout the study

*Depending on vaccination schedule/influenza previous vaccination: 1 dose received during the study = D29 and 2 doses received during the study = D57

Note: All AESIs will be collected in the PV database, regardless of the seriousness

Overall Design

Type of design	Parallel, multi-center
Phase	Phase III
Control method	Active-controlled Control Product = Fluarix® Quadrivalent (or other commercial names), Egg-based Influenza vaccine (split virion, inactivated) (IIV4) (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals)

Study population	Healthy children aged 3 to 8 years
Countries	USA and Europe
Level and method of blinding	Modified double-blind (participant, Sponsor, and Investigator blinded)
Study intervention assignment method	Randomization

Brief Summary:

The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the non-inferior HAI immune response of RIV4 vs licensed IIV4 for the 4 strains based on the egg-derived antigen in all participants aged 3 to 8 years and to describe the immunogenicity and safety profile of RIV4 compared to IIV4 in participants aged 3 to 8 years.

Participants will be enrolled on the day of their first vaccination to receive 1 or 2 doses 28 days apart of either RIV4 or IIV4; depending on if they were previously vaccinated against influenza or previously unvaccinated against influenza, respectively; and will be followed for 6 months after the last vaccination.

Number of Participants:

Approximately 1412 participants 3 to 8 years of age (approximately 50% participants in the age group 3-5 years and 50% participants in the age group 6-8 years) is expected to be randomized. Approximately 50% of participants randomized will be previously unvaccinated against influenza and 50% of participants will be previously vaccinated against influenza.

Intervention Groups and Duration:

Eligible participants 3-8 years of age will receive intramuscular (IM) injection(s) of either RIV4 or IIV4 at D01.

Participants will receive either 1 or 2 doses 28 days apart of either RIV4 or IIV4 depending on whether they were previously vaccinated against influenza or previously unvaccinated against influenza, respectively.

In order to maintain consistent vaccination schedule rules across all countries and sites for the classification of children considered previously influenza vaccinated and previously influenza unvaccinated, all study sites will follow the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommendations for influenza vaccine (1).

• Previously unvaccinated participants are defined as participants who have not received at least 2 doses of seasonal influenza vaccine in prior influenza seasons. These participants will receive 2 doses of the study vaccine 28 days apart after enrolling in the study. Participants who have received only one dose of any influenza vaccine in the past or participants whose vaccination history is unknown will also be considered as previously unvaccinated participants when enrolling and receive 2 doses of the study vaccine 28 days apart.

• Previously vaccinated participants are defined as participants who have received at least 2 doses of seasonal influenza vaccine in prior influenza seasons. These participants will receive only 1 dose of the study vaccine after enrolling in the study.

	RI	V4			II	V4		Total
	(N=	706)			(N=	706)		(N=14 12)
against i	vaccinated nfluenza ose	unvaccina influ	ously ted against enza days apart	against i	vaccinated nfluenza ose	unvaccina influ	ously ted against enza days apart	
Approxim ately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxim ately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	
3:	53	35	53	3:	53	3:	53	1412

The participation duration will be approximately 6 months for participants previously vaccinated against influenza and approximately 7 months for participants previously unvaccinated against influenza.

Study interventions

Investigational medicinal product 1: Quadrivalent Recombinant influenza vaccine (RIV4) <year season> NH

- Form: solution for injection
- Composition: 45 µg of HA of each of the following strains per dose: A/H1N1 strain, A/H3N2 strain, B/Victoria lineage strain, and B/Yamagata lineage strain
- Route of administration: IM

Investigational medicinal product 2: Quadrivalent influenza vaccine (IIV4) season <year season> NH

- Form: suspension for injection
- Composition: 15 µg of HA of each of the following strains per dose: A/H1N1 strain, A/H3N2 strain, B/Victoria lineage strain, and B/Yamagata lineage strain
- Route of administration: IM

Statistical considerations:

The number of participants enrolled and their age at enrollment, sex, race, and ethnic origin will be summarized for each vaccine group, as well as the number and description of protocol deviations. In general, categorical variables will be summarized and presented by frequency counts, percentages, and confidence intervals (CIs). The 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using the normal approximation for quantitative data and the exact binomial distribution (Clopper-Pearson method) for percentages. For GMTs, 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using normal approximation of log-transformed titers.

Primary endpoints:

The primary objective includes 8 endpoints (geometric mean titers [GMTs] and seroconversion [SC] rates for each of the 4 strains).

For each strain, the non-inferiority methodology will be applied to compare the post-vaccination GMTs and the SC rates between the groups using a 1-sided Type I error rate of 0.025 with the given individual hypothesis.

The primary analysis will be conducted in 2 steps starting with testing for NI of GMTs between RIV4 and IIV4. If NI of GMTs based on the 4 strains is demonstrated, then NI for SC will be also tested.

Since all 8 NI hypotheses should be rejected at 0.025 significance level, no formal adjustment for multiplicity is necessary.

To keep the overall study power above 80%, the sample size was increased accordingly, to have an overall type II error < 20% for the 8 NI tests.

It was decided to add to this study's primary objective an interim analysis at the end of 2022-2023 season, to calculate predictive power of success (PPoS) of all NI statistical tests defined in the primary objective and assess safety. And thus, determining if the stopping of this study is warranted.

More details are given in the interim analysis section below, and complete details will be included in the statistical analysis plan (SAP) of this study.

Secondary endpoints (immunogenicity):

Immunogenicity parameters by HAI measurement method will be described by study group with 95%CI.

Reverse cumulative distribution curves (RCDCs) of pre-vaccination titer at D01 and post-vaccination titer 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) will be generated for each study group.

Subgroup analyses will be performed; in particular, immunogenicity will be described according to age subgroups, sex, race, season, previous influenza vaccination status, and baseline seropositivity status, as appropriate according to number of participants in the respective subgroups.

Secondary endpoints (Safety):

For the main safety parameters, 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using exact binomial method (Clopper-Pearson method) for single proportions and using the normal approximation for quantitative data.

Analysis will be conducted for each study group, by strata of age and according to the number of doses received.

Data Monitoring/Other Committee:

No independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) is planned.

Participant safety data will be continuously monitored by the Sponsor's internal safety management team (SMT), led by the Global Safety Officer, to detect any safety signals during the study period.

In addition, this study will include ESDR.

1.2 Schema

The graphical design of VAP00026 study is presented in Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2.

Figure 1.1 – Graphical study design for participants previously vaccinated







PC: phone call

Sanofi Pasteur 529 – Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine

VAP00026 Protocol Version 3.0

1.3 Schedule of Activities (SoA)

Visit procedures are detailed in the Operating Guidelines.

Table 1.1: Schedule of activities for previously vaccinated participants 3-8 years of age

Phase III Study. 2 Visits. 1 Vaccination. 2 Blood Samples. 6 Months' Duration Per Particinant

Visit/Contact	Collection of information in the CRF	Visit 1	Phone Call 1*	Visit 2	Phone Call 2 6-month follow-up†
Study timelines (Days)		D01	D09	D29	D181
Time interval (Days)		NA	V01+8D	V01 + 28D	V01 + 180D
Time windows (Days)		NA	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+14 D]
Visit procedures:					
Informed consent	Х	Х			
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Х	Х			
Collection of demographic data§§	Х	Х			
Collection of medical history*** (Significant medical history)	Х	Х			
Collection of concomitant medications	X Reportable concomitant medications	28 da	28 days after vaccination	nation	
History of Influenza vaccination	Х	Х			
Physical examination §		Х		Х	
Pre-vaccination temperature		Х			
	•				

Confidential/Proprietary Information Page 16 of 86

Sanofi Pasteur 529 – Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine

VAP00026 Protocol Version 3.0

Visit/Contact	Collection of information in the CRF	Visit 1	Phone Call 1*	Visit 2	Phone Call 2 6-month follow-up†
Study timelines (Days)		D01	D09	D29	D181
Time interval (Days)		NA	V01+8D	V01 + 28D	V01 + 180D
Time windows (Days)		NA	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+14 D]
Visit procedures:					
Randomization Contact Interactive Response Technology (IRT) system for randomization, participant number, dose number and SN subset	Х	Х			
Blood sampling (BL) (5 mL)	Х	BL0001**		BL0002	
Vaccination (vac)	X	Х			
Immediate surveillance (30 min)	Х	Х			
Diary card (DC) / electronic DC [eDC] / Memory Aid (MA) provided		DC/eDC		MA	
DC/eDC Reviewed and collected				DC/eDC††	
MA Reviewed					MA
Collection of solicited injection site and systemic reactions	Х	7 day vaccii	7 days after vaccination		
Collection of unsolicited adverse events (AEs)	Х	28 day	28 days after vaccination	ination	
Collection of medically attended AEs (MAAEs)	Х	28 day	28 days after vaccination	ination	
Collection of information on SAEs, including AESIs	Х	To be re	sported at an	To be reported at any time during the study	ng the study
End of Active Phase participation record	Х			Х	
Six months follow-up participant record	Х				X

Confidential/Proprietary Information Page 17 of 86

Sanofi Pasteur 529 – Quadrivalent <u>R</u>ecombinant Influenza Vaccine

VAP00026 Protocol Version 3.0

Table 1.2: Schedule of activities for previously unvaccinated participants 3-8 years of age

		`	-				
Visit	Collection of information in the CRF	Visit 1	PC 1*	Visit 2	PC 2*	Visit 3	PC3 6-month safety follow-up†
Study timelines (Days)		D01	D09	D29	D37	D57	D209
Time interval (Days)			V01 + 8D	V01+28D	V02 + 8D	V02 + 28D	V02 + 180D
Time windows (Days)		NA	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+14 D]
Visit procedures:							
Informed consent	X	Х					
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	X	Х					
Collection of demographic data††	X	Х					
Collection of medical history [‡]	X	Х					
(Significant medical history)							
	X						
Collection of concomitant medications	Reportable concomitant medication		28 day	28 days after each vaccination	accination		
History of Influenza vaccination	X	Х					
Physical examination [‡]		Х		Х		X	
Pre-vaccination temperature		Х		Х			
Randomization	X	Х					

• F 6 • Ju 2 Minite 2 DL Ē

Confidential/Proprietary Information Page 19 of 86

Sanofi Pasteur 529 – Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine

VAP00026 Protocol Version 3.0

Visit	Collection of information in the CRF	Visit 1	PC 1*	Visit 2	PC 2*	Visit 3	PC3 6-month safety follow-up†
Study timelines (Days)		D01	D09	D29	D37	D57	D209
Time interval (Days)			V01 + 8D	V01+28D	V02 + 8D	V02 + 28D	V02 + 180D
Time windows (Days)		NA	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+14 D]
Visit procedures:							
Contact IRT system for randomization, participant number, dose number and SN subset							
Blood sampling (BL) (5 mL)	X	BL0001§				BL0002	
Definitive contraindications	X			Х			
Vaccination (VAC)	Х	VAC1		VAC2			
Immediate surveillance (30 min)	Х	Х		Х			
DC / eDC /MA provided		DC/eDC 1		DC/eDC 2		MA	
DC reviewed				DC/eDC 1**	DC/eDC 2**		
DC collected				DC/eDC 1		DC/eDC 2	
MA reviewed							MA
Collection of solicited injection site and systemic reactions	Х	7 days after vaccination	after ation	7 days after vaccination	vaccination		
Collection of unsolicited AEs	Х		28 day	28 days after each vaccination	accination		
Collection of MAAEs	Х		28 day	28 days after each vaccination	accination		
Collection of information on SAEs, including AESIs	Х		То	To be reported at any time during the study	any time dur	ing the study	
End of Active Phase participation record	Х					X	

Confidential/Proprietary Information Page 20 of 86

529 - Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine Sanofi Pasteur

VAP00026 **Protocol Version 3.0**

Visit	Collection of information in the CRF	Visit 1	PC 1*	Visit 2	PC 2*	Visit 3	PC3 6-month safety follow-up†
Study timelines (Days)		D01	D09	D29	D37	D57	D209
Time interval (Days)			V01 + 8D	V01+28D	V02 + 8D	V02 + 8D V02 + 28D	V02 + 180D
Time windows (Days)		NA	[+2 D]	[+2 D] [-2, +7 D] [+2 D]	[+2 D]	[-2, +7 D]	[+14 D]
Visit procedures:							
Six months follow-up participant record	X						Х
CRF: case report form							

* The Investigator or an authorized designee will remind the participant's parent(s) or legally acceptable representative(s) to bring back the DC/eDC at the next visit and will answer any questions.

The Investigator or an authorized designee will interview the participant's parent(s) or legally acceptable representative(s) to collect the information recorded in the MA, and will attempt to clarify anything unclear

Complete physical examination to be performed at Visit 1 and symptom directed examination to be performed at Visit 2 and Visit 3

§ Blood sample to be drawn before vaccination

** The Investigator or an authorized designee will interview the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative

† To comply with US Food and Drug Administration expectations, Sponsors are to enroll participants who reflect the demographic for clinically relevant populations with regards to age, gender, race, and ethnicity (FDA. Collection of race and ethnicity data in clinical trials: Guidance for industry and Food and Drug Administration staff [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/75453/download)

Including history of laboratory-confirmed influenza illness over the 3 previous influenza seasons

2 Introduction

Sanofi Pasteur's RIV4 vaccine is a vaccine that is being developed for protection of the pediatric population against influenza.

2.1 Study Rationale

The proposed study is a Phase III, randomized, modified double-blind, active-controlled (standard-dose quadrivalent influenza vaccine, IIV4), multi-center study to assess the NI of RIV4 compared to IIV4, the hemagglutination inhibition (HAI) antibody response induced by RIV4 compared to the HAI antibody response induced by IIV4, the immunogenicity of RIV4 in terms of HAI titer, the immunogenicity of RIV4 in terms of neutralization titer and to document the safety of RIV4 compared to IIV4 in children. It is planned to enroll 1412 participants aged 3-8 years in USA and Europe.

IIV4 vaccine licensed in Europe and in the USA will be used in the control arm.

2.2 Background

Influenza is a contagious, acute viral respiratory disease caused by influenza type A and type B viruses. The virus is transmitted easily from person to person via droplets and small particles produced when infected people cough or sneeze. Members of high-risk groups, such as infants and younger children as well as children with underlying medical conditions, are at increased risk of influenza and its complications. Complications in the pediatric population include secondary bacterial pneumonia, acute otitis media, bronchitis, febrile seizures, Reye's syndrome, myositis, neurologic conditions, and exacerbations of underlying conditions (2) (3) (4). One study assessing the impact of influenza infection on young children, their family, and the health care system found that 28.6% of children with influenza had a secondary complication (pneumonia/chest infection, febrile convulsion, otitis media, croup), 40.9% were prescribed antibiotics, 65.4% of children missed school/day care, and 53.4% of parents missed work (5).

In adults, influenza is typically characterized by the rapid onset of fever, myalgia, sore throat, and non-productive cough, and can also cause severe malaise lasting for several days. The clinical manifestations in children, especially in young children less than 5 years of age, are less characteristic and may be more diverse than the clinical symptoms seen in adults (3). Besides symptoms of non-productive cough, nasal congestion, rhinitis, and sore throat, gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea, vomiting, and abdominal pain can occur for 10–30% of children with influenza (6) (7).

The burden of influenza disease has been estimated in several modeling studies. In a 2018 study, Iuliano et al. estimated the number of global annual influenza-associated respiratory deaths using country-specific influenza-associated excess respiratory mortality estimates from 1999–2015 and reported that 9243 to 105 690 influenza-associated respiratory deaths occur in children younger than 5 years each year (8). Matias et al. investigated the average seasonal burden of influenza-

attributable hospitalizations in the United States (US) from 1997 to 2009 and found that children 0-4 and 5-17 years of age were estimated to have an annual mean rate of 128 and 20 per 100 000 population respectively (9). About half of the cases in children were due to influenza A infections and the other half were due to influenza B infections.

Vaccination currently represents the most effective medical intervention against influenza and its severe complications. Thus, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that people who are most at risk for severe seasonal influenza, including children less than 5 years of age (10) (11), should receive an annual vaccination against influenza because it has been shown to be effective in reducing influenza-associated morbidity and mortality (12) (13). The effectiveness of the influenza vaccine in preventing or attenuating illness depends in part on the age and immune competence of the vaccine recipient. Infants and young children remain at increased risk for influenza because of their maturing immune system and lack of prior exposure and thus lack of immunity. Furthermore, during 3 recent influenza seasons in the US (US 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 seasons), vaccine effectiveness in children 6 months to 8 years of age was 51%, 57%, and 68% respectively (14).

Background of the Study Intervention

Protein Sciences Corporation (PSC), now a Sanofi Company, has initially developed a trivalent recombinant influenza vaccine (RIV3) consisting of purified recombinant HA (15) produced from a proprietary technology that is based on the insect cell – baculovirus system referred to as the Baculovirus Expression Vector System (BEVS).

RIV3 contains 135 μ g of recombinant hemagglutinin (15) derived from 3 influenza strains (2 A subtypes and 1 B lineage) 45 μ g of each of A strains (A/H1N1 and A/H3N2) and 45 μ g of B strain (from Victoria or Yamagata lineages). The vaccine contains no egg proteins, preservatives, antibiotics, or adjuvant.

Data from multiple Phase II and Phase III studies with RIV3 showed the vaccine was well tolerated, immunogenic, and 44.6% (95% confidence interval [CI], 18.8% - 62.6%) effective in preventing culture-confirmed influenza in adults from 18 to 49 years of age (16). Furthermore, studies in adults 50 - 64 years (17) of age and in adults 65 years of age and older (18) showed HAI antibody responses induced by RIV3 met the pre-specified seroconversion (SC) criterion of the lower bound of the 95% CI \geq 40% for adults < 65 years and \geq 30% for adults \geq 65 years of age for all three vaccine strains. The pre-specified criterion for the proportion of subjects with post-vaccination HAI titers of \geq 1:40 ("seroprotection") with the lower bound of the 95% CI \geq 70% for adults < 65 years and \geq 60% for adults \geq 65 years of age were also met for both influenza A antigens. Responses to the B antigen were commonly less robust among recipients of RIV3 and the comparator trivalent influenza vaccine but were of similar magnitude in recipients of both vaccines (17) (18).

RIV3 was licensed under trade name Flublok[®] in the US for active immunization for the prevention of influenza disease for adults 18-49 years of age in 2013, followed by approval for adults 50 years of age and older in 2014.

To mitigate the risk posed by the potential widespread circulation of a strain from the alternate B lineage not contained in the trivalent formulation, quadrivalent recombinant influenza vaccine

(RIV4) containing 45 μ g of each of A strains (A/H1N1 and A/H3N2) and B strains (from Victoria and Yamagata lineages) has been developed based on the same manufacturing process used for RIV3.

Clinical data generated with RIV4 in 2 subsequent Phase III studies showed RIV4 provided 30% to 43% better protection against influenza disease compared to a quadrivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (IIV4) in adults 50 years of age and older (19) during a season characterized by predominantly antigenically drifted strains of influenza A (H3N2) and was non-inferior to the same IIV4 comparator vaccine for 3 of 4 influenza vaccine strains as assessed by SC rates and geometric mean titers (GMT) in adults 18 to 49 years of age (20).

Vaccination with RIV4 was found to be safe and well tolerated among 5326 adults \geq 18 years participating in these 2 studies, with no safety concerns identified. The safety profile of RIV4 showed comparable reactogenicity (solicited injection site reactions and solicited systemic reactions) to IIV4 and no notable difference in occurrence of unsolicited adverse events (AEs), serious adverse events (SAEs), adverse events of special interest (AESIs) and deaths.

RIV4 was licensed in the USA in 2016 under the trade name Flublok[®] Quadrivalent and in Mexico in March 2018 under the trade name Flublok Tetravalent. In November 2020, marketing authorization was granted for RIV4 in the European Union (EU) under the trade name Supemtek[®]. Marketing authorization has also been approved in Brazil (May 2019, trade name Flublok Quadrivalent), Argentina (January 2020, trade name Virublok[®]), Canada (January 2021, trade name Supemtek), Hong-Kong (April 2021, trade name Flublok Quadrivalent), Australia (May 2021, trade name Flublok Quadrivalent), and Switzerland (October 2021, trade name Supemtek).

With approximately 21 334 843 doses of RIV4 and RIV3 distributed cumulatively and after postmarketing surveillance data collected up to August 2021, the safety profile of the recombinant influenza vaccine in humans is consistent with the established clinical safety profile and has been confirmed to be well tolerated with no safety concerns.

After registration of RIV4 for an indication in adults aged 18 years and older, Sanofi Pasteur plans to extend the indication to the pediatric population.

Two randomized, active-controlled trials of recombinant influenza vaccine were conducted by PSC in the pediatric age group in the US. The clinical data from pediatric studies utilizing either RIV3 or RIV4 demonstrated very satisfactory safety and reactogenicity profiles.

A Phase I clinical trial (21) was conducted with RIV3 in 156 children aged 6 months – 5 years (98 subjects received RIV3 [among them 61 received the full-dose of 45 μ g per antigen] and 58 received a licensed IIV3 [Fluzone]).

A Phase II clinical trial (22) was conducted in 219 children and adolescents 6 - 17 years of age who received either RIV4 or a licensed IIV4 (Fluarix Quadrivalent).

The clinical data from pediatric studies showed an acceptable safety and reactogenicity profiles of RIV3 or RIV4.

By evaluating a series of age cohorts ranging from 6 months to 17 years, it was shown that HAI assay immunity appears to be induced to a greater degree as the participants become older. Some

of this apparent diminished immune response in younger children may have been reflective of the relatively small sample sizes.

Therefore, Sanofi Pasteur proposes to conduct a Phase III study (VAP00026) to assess the immunogenicity and safety of RIV4 compared with IIV4 in large numbers of participants aged 3 to 8 Years.

2.3 Benefit/Risk Assessment

More detailed information about the known and expected benefits and risks, reasonably expected adverse events, the potential risks, and uncertainties of RIV4 may be found in the Investigator's Brochure (IB).

2.3.1 Risks from Study Participation

The potential risks of clinical significance and risk management are summarized in Table 2.1.

Potential Risk of Clinical Significance	Summary of Data/Rationale for Risk	Risk Management
	Investigated Vaccine: RIV4	
Anaphylaxis	Anaphylaxis is an identified risk for RIV4 (see IB (23) for more information regarding the data from previous experience with RIV4). No case of anaphylaxis was reported as related to RIV3 or RIV4 during the clinical development.	Exclusion criterion E02 for those at increased risk. Observation period after vaccination for early detection and treatment. Addressed in IB (23) (administration precautions, potential adverse events).
	Adverse events (immune system disorders) have been spontaneously reported during post-approval use of RIV3 or RIV4.	Each site must have measures to treat Anaphylaxis available at the time of vaccination
	Post-marketing observational study of safety comparing RIV3 with licensed IIV in adults using adjusted logistic regression analyses, showed that there was no significant difference in acute hypersensitivity reactions and fever during post-vaccination days 0-2 in the outpatient, emergency department and inpatient settings (24).	
	Background incidence rate: Using health care data from the Vaccine Safety Datalink, the rate of anaphylaxis was estimated to 1.31 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.90-1.84) per million vaccine doses (25).	

Potential Risk of Clinical Significance	Summary of Data/Rationale for Risk	Risk Management
Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS)	No cases of GBS were reported as related to RIV3 or RIV4 during the vaccine's clinical development. Two cases of GBS were reported during the post-marketing experience for RIV4. A causal association between these cases of GBS and RIV4 vaccine has not been established	Exclusion criterion E07 for those at increased risk. During the informed consent process, the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative will be informed of this potential risk and the need to attend the clinic if the participants are unwell. GBS is an AESI and will be collected until study end. Addressed in IB (23) (administration precautions,
		potential adverse events)
Injection site reactions and systemic reactions	Most commonly solicited adverse reaction in children 6 to 8 years of age reported during the clinical trial PSC08 were injection site pain (43%), muscle pain (21%) and headache (18%). Most reactions usually were mild grade, occurred within the first 3 days following vaccination, and resolved spontaneously within 1 to 3 days after onset	During the informed consent process, the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative will be informed of these potential reactions, the need to attend the clinic if the participants are unwell, and the possibility to take analgesic / antipyretic drug
	Comparator: IIV4	
Anaphylaxis	All vaccines have the potential to cause allergic reactions or anaphylaxis in individuals who may be sensitized to components of the vaccine.	Exclusion/inclusion criteria take into account contraindications, warnings and precautions as defined in the product label. Observation period after vaccination for early detection and treatment Each site should have measures to treat Anaphylaxis available at the time of vaccination
GBS	Guillain-Barré Syndrome is considered a potential risk for	Exclusion criterion E07 for those at increased risk.

Potential Risk of Clinical Significance	Summary of Data/Rationale for Risk	Risk Management
	influenza vaccines since the 1976 mass vaccination campaign against H1N1 influenza strain in the USA was suspended due to an excess of GBS cases in the vaccinated population.	During the informed consent process the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative will be informed of this potential risk and the need to attend the clinic if the participants are unwell. GBS is an AESI and will be collected until study end.
Injection site reactions and systemic reactions	In subjects aged 6 to 17 years, the most frequently reported local adverse reaction after vaccination was injection site pain (>10%) and the most frequently reported systemic reactions after vaccination were fatigue (12.6%), myalgia (10.9%) and headache (8.0%). In adults 18 years of age and above, the most frequently reported local adverse reaction after vaccination was injection site pain (>10%) and the most frequently reported systemic reactions after vaccination were fatigue (11.1%), headache (9.2%) and myalgia (11.8%).	During the informed consent process, the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative will be informed of these potential reactions, the need to attend the clinic if the participants are unwell, and the possibility to take analgesic / antipyretic drug
	Study Procedures	
Vasovagal reactions (syncope), or psychogenic reactions to needle (vaccine injection or blood sampling)	Anxiety-related reactions can occur following, or even before, any vaccination as a psychogenic response to the needle injection or blood draw, and may be accompanied by several neurological signs such as transient visual disturbance, paresthesia or seizure-like activity, vasovagal syncope etc.	Observation period after vaccination for early detection and treatment

Potential Risk of Clinical Significance	Summary of Data/Rationale for Risk	Risk Management
Infection in rare instances at the injection site		Early detection, observation, and appropriate treatment.
	Other	
Not applicable		

2.3.2 Benefits from Study Participation

All participants in the present study will receive an influenza vaccination with either the investigational RIV4 or the control vaccine IIV4. Participants will most likely benefit from coverage against influenza and may be less likely to catch influenza or develop complications.

However, as with all vaccines, vaccination with the study intervention may not protect individuals 100%. Protection generally lasts 6 to 12 months after vaccination, depending on the participant's response to the study intervention.

2.3.3 Overall Benefit-Risk Conclusion

Considering the measures taken to minimize risk to participants enrolled in this study, the potential risks that may result from study participation are balanced by the anticipated benefits that may be afforded to participants.

3 Objectives and Endpoints

The study objectives and the corresponding endpoints are described in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1	Objectives	and endpoints
-----------	-------------------	---------------

	Objectives	Endpoints	
Priz	mary		
•	To demonstrate the non-inferior HAI immune response of RIV4 vs licensed IIV4 for the 4 strains based on the egg-derived antigen in all participants aged 3 to 8 years	 Individual HAI titer 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57^a) Seroconversion (titer < 10 [1/dil] at D01 and post-injection titer ≥ 40 [1/dil] at D29 or D57, or titer ≥ 10 [1/dil] at D01 and a ≥ 4-fold-rise in titer [1/dil] at D29 or D57) 	

^a Depending on vaccination schedule/influenza previous vaccination: 1 dose received during the study = D29 and 2 doses received during the study = D57

Objectives		Endpoints	
	Secondary		
Im •	<i>munogenicity</i> To summarize the HAI immune response induced by RIV4 and IIV4 for the 4 strains based on the egg-derived antigen in participants aged 3 to 8 years	 Individual HAI titer on D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) Detectable HAI titer, ie, with a titer ≥ 10 (1/dil) at D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) Individual HAI titer ratio: 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) / D01 Seroconversion (titer < 10 [1/dil] at D01 and post-injection titer ≥ 40 [1/dil] at D29 or D57, or titer ≥ 10 [1/dil] at D01 and a ≥ 4-fold rise in titer [1/dil] at D29 or D57) Participants with titers ≥ 40 (1/dil) on D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) 	
Sa.	fety To assess the safety profile of each vaccine in all participants and by age group	 Occurrence of any unsolicited systemic adverse events reported in the 30 minutes after each vaccination Occurrence of solicited (pre-listed in the participant's diary card [DC]/electronic DC [eDC] and case report book [CRB]) injection site reactions and systemic reactions occurring up to 8 days after each vaccination Occurrence of unsolicited AEs up to 28 days after each vaccination Occurrence of medically attended adverse event (MAAEs) up to 28 days after each vaccination Occurrence of SAEs (including AESIs) throughout the study Occurrence of AESIs throughout the study 	
•	Exploratory To describe the neutralizing antibody immune response based on the egg- derived antigen in a randomized subset of participants ^b	 Individual seroneutralization (SN) antibody (Ab) titer on D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) Individual SN Ab titer ratio (fold increase in post-vaccination titer relative to D01) at 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) 	

^b At least 400 participants from each vaccine group (RIV4 and IIV4) will be randomized to be included in the subset. Among the participants randomized in the subset, approximately 50% of them will be in the 3 to 5 years subgroup and 50% of them in the 6 to 8 years subgroup. In addition, approximately 50% of participants will be previously vaccinated against influenza and 50% of participants will be previously unvaccinated against influenza.

Objectives	Endpoints	
	 Participants with SN Ab titers ≥ 20 (1/dil), ≥ 40 (1/dil), ≥ 80 (1/dil) at 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) 	
	• Fold-increase in SN Ab titer (post/pre) ≥ 2 and ≥ 4 at 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57)	
	• Detectable SN Ab (SN Ab titer ≥ 10 [1/dil]) at D01 and 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57)	

4 Study Design

4.1 Overall Design

The design of the study is summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Overall design

Type of design	Parallel, multi-center
Phase	Phase III
Control method	Active-controlled Control Product = Fluarix [®] Quadrivalent (or other commercial names), Egg-based Influenza vaccine (split virion, inactivated) (IIV4) (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals)
Study population	Healthy children aged 3 to 8 years
Level and method of blinding	Modified double-blind (participant, Sponsor, and Investigator blinded)
Study intervention assignment method	Randomization and stratification by site, age, group and previous vaccinal status for influenza
Number of participants	Approximately 1412 participants 3 to 8 years of age (approximately 50% participants in the age group 3-5 years and 50% participants in the age group 6-8 years, and approximately 50% participants previously vaccinated and 50% participants previously unvaccinated in each age group)
Intervention groups	Randomization in a 1:1 ratio to receive either RIV4 or IIV4. Participants will receive either 1 or 2 doses 28 days apart of either RIV4 or IIV4 depending on whether they were previously vaccinated against influenza or previously unvaccinated against influenza, respectively

Total duration of study participation	Approximately 6 months for participants previously vaccinated against influenza and approximately 7 months for participants previously unvaccinated against influenza
Countries/Region	USA and Europe
Use of an Independent Data Monitoring Committee, Dose Escalation Committee, or similar review group	Safety Management Team (SMT)

4.2 Scientific Rationale for Study Design

RIV4 is already marketed in several countries for use in adult population. The current study aims to show that RIV4 elicits a non-inferior immune response vs licensed IIV4 in all participants aged 3 to 8 years, in order to extend marketing authorization to the pediatric population.

4.3 Justification for Dose

The dose (45 μ g of HA for each strain), and the administration schedule of RIV4 have been established previously (Studies PSC12 and PSC16).

4.4 End of Study Definition

A participant is considered to have completed the study if he/she has completed the last contact planned in the SoA.

Immunogenicity completer is a participant who has provided blood sample 28 days after the last vaccination.

The end of the study is defined as the date of the last visit last participant in the study or the date of last immunology sample analysis (whichever comes later).

However, for periodic safety reports, the study is considered completed when the clinical study report is finalized.

5 Study Population

Prospective approval of protocol deviations to recruitment and enrollment criteria, also known as protocol waivers or exemptions, is not permitted.

5.1 Inclusion Criteria

Participants are eligible for the study only if all of the following criteria are met:

Age

I01: Aged 3 to 8 years on the day of inclusion^c

Informed Consent

I02: Assent form has been signed and dated by the participant (according to local regulations), and informed consent form has been signed and dated by the parent(s) or another legally acceptable representative and by an independent witness, if required by local regulations

Other inclusions

I03: Participant and parent/legally acceptable representative are able to attend all scheduled visits and to comply with all study procedures

104: Covered by health insurance if required by local regulations

5.2 Exclusion Criteria

Participants are not eligible for the study if any of the following criteria are met:

Medical conditions

E01: Known or suspected congenital or acquired immunodeficiency; or receipt of immunosuppressive therapy, such as anti-cancer chemotherapy or radiation therapy, within the preceding 6 months; or long-term systemic corticosteroid therapy (prednisone or equivalent for more than 2 consecutive weeks within the past 3 months)

E02: Known systemic hypersensitivity to any of the vaccine components, or history of a life-threatening reaction to the vaccines used in the trial or to a vaccine containing any of the same substances^d.

E03: Thrombocytopenia, or known thrombocytopenia, as reported by the parent(s)/legally acceptable representative, contraindicating intramuscular vaccination based on the Investigator's judgment

E04: Bleeding disorder, or receipt of anticoagulants in the 3 weeks preceding inclusion, contraindicating intramuscular vaccination based on the Investigator's judgment

E05: Chronic illness that, in the opinion of the Investigator, is at a stage where it might interfere with study conduct or completion

E06: Moderate or severe acute illness/infection (according to Investigator judgment) or febrile illness (temperature $\geq 38.0^{\circ}$ C [$\geq 100.4^{\circ}$ F]) on the day of study intervention administration. A prospective participant should not be included in the study until the condition has resolved or the febrile event has subsided

E07: Personal or family history of Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS)

^c "3 to 8 years" means from the day of the 3rd birthday to the day before the 9th birthday

^d The components of study interventions are listed in Section 6.1 of the protocol and in the Investigator's Brochure

E08: Personal history of clinically significant development delay (at the discretion of the Investigator), neurologic disorder, or seizure disorder

Prior/concomitant therapy

E09: Receipt of any vaccine in the 4 weeks preceding the first study intervention administration or planned receipt of any vaccine in the 4 weeks following the study intervention administration except for COVID-19 vaccination, which may be received at least 2 weeks before study intervention^e

E10: Previous vaccination against influenza (in the previous 6 months) with an investigational or marketed vaccine

E11: Receipt of immune globulins, blood or blood-derived products in the past 3 months

Prior/concurrent clinical study experience

E12: Participation at the time of study enrollment (or in the 4 weeks preceding the first study vaccination) or planned participation during the present study period in another clinical trial investigating a vaccine, drug, medical device, or medical procedure

Other exclusions

E13: Any condition which, in the opinion of the Investigator, might interfere with the evaluation of the study objectives, including planning to leave the area of the study before the end of the study

E14: In an emergency setting or hospitalized involuntarily

E15: Identified as a natural or adopted child of the Investigator or employee with direct involvement in the proposed study

If the participant has a primary physician who is not the Investigator, the site should contact this physician with the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative's consent to inform him / her of the participant's participation in the study. In addition, the site should ask this primary physician to verify exclusion criteria relating to previous therapies, such as receipt of blood products or previous vaccines.

^e If the participant is enrolled and parent(s)/legally acceptable representative seek vaccination of COVID-19 vaccine outside of the study for the participant, they will be encouraged to discuss this intention proactively with the study investigator and the participant will be permitted to receive the authorized vaccine at the earliest 28 days after last study vaccination and at any time thereafter. If the participant receives the authorized influenza or COVID 19 vaccine, this information will be collected.

5.3 Lifestyle Considerations

No other restrictions than the ones listed in the exclusion criteria or in the contraindications for subsequent vaccinations are required.

5.4 Screen Failures

Screen failures are defined as participants who consent to participate in the clinical study but are not subsequently randomly assigned to study intervention. Screening information is recorded in the source documents.

Individuals who do not meet the criteria for participation in this study (screen failure) cannot be rescreened.

5.5 Criteria for Temporarily Delaying Enrollment/Randomization/Administration of Study Intervention

During a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency, if the site is unable to adequately follow protocol mandated procedures, contingency measures proposed in Appendix 10.3: Contingency measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency should be considered for enrollment/randomization/administration of study intervention.

6 Study Interventions and Concomitant Therapy

Study intervention is defined as any investigational intervention, marketed product, placebo, or medical device intended to be administered to a study participant according to the study protocol.

Note: Vaccines or products administered outside of study protocol are not considered as study interventions and are reported in the CRF as reportable medications (see Section 6.8). Study procedures (eg, blood sampling) are also not considered as study interventions.

6.1 Study Intervention(s) Administered

Study interventions are described in Table 6.1.

		۱
Intervention Name	RIV4 <year season=""> NH</year>	IIV4 <year season=""> NH</year>
Use	Experimental	Active-comparator
IMP and NIMP	IMP	IMP
Туре	Vaccine	Vaccine
Dose Form	Solution for injection in a pre-filled syringe	Suspension for injection in a pre-filled syringe
Unit Dose Strengths	 45 μg of HA of each of the following strains per dose: A/H1N1 strain A/H3N2 strain B/Victoria lineage strain B/Yamagata lineage strain 	 15 μg of HA of each of the following strains per dose: A/H1N1 strain A/H3N2 strain B/Victoria lineage strain B/Yamagata lineage strain
Excipients/Diluent	Each 0.5 mL dose of RIV4 will contain: Sodium chloride 4.4 mg Monobasic sodium phosphate 0.2-mg ^f Dibasic sodium phosphate 0.5 mg ^g Polysorbate 20 (Tween [®] 20) 27.5 μ g Octylphenol ethoxylate (Triton X-100 [®]) $\leq 100 \mu$ g Water for injection Preservative is not used in the manufacture or formulation of RIV4	Each 0.5 mL dose of IIV4 will contain: Octylphenol-10 (Triton X-100) ≤ 0.115 mg α -Tocopheryl hydrogen succinate ≤ 0.135 mg Polysorbate 80 (Tween 80) ≤ 0.550 mg Preservative is not used in the manufacture or formulation of IIV4
Dosage Level	0.5 mL per dose	0.5 mL per dose

Table 6.1: Identity of study intervention(s)

 $^{^{\}rm f}$ Calculated from the anhydrous form

^g Calculated from the anhydrous form
Number of Doses / Dosing Interval	1 or 2 doses 28 days apart 1 or 2 doses 28 days apart		
Route of Administration	IM injection	IM injection	
Site of Administration	Deltoid muscle in the upper arm Deltoid muscle in the upper arm		
Sourcing	Provided by the Sponsor Provided by the Sponsor		
Packaging and Labeling	Each study intervention will be provided in an individual box. Each study intervention (pre-filled syringe) will bear one fixed label and each box will bear detachable labels and one fixed label containing the dose number. All will be labeled as required per country requirement.		
Current/Former Name(s) or Alias(es)	Supemtek/Flublok Quadrivalent	Fluarix Tetra (or other commercial brand name), Fluarix [®] Quadrivalent (or other commercial names)	
Batch Number	TBD	TBD	
Storage Conditions	Study interventions will be stored in a refrigerator at a temperature ranging from +2°C to +8°C. The study interventions must not be frozen.		

IMP: Investigational Medicinal Product; NIMP: Non-Investigational Medicinal Product; TBD: to be determined

6.2 Preparation/Handling/Storage/Accountability

Detailed guidance and information are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

- 1) The investigator or designee must confirm appropriate temperature conditions have been maintained during transit for all study intervention received and any discrepancies are reported and resolved before use of the study intervention.
- 2) Only participants enrolled in the study may receive study intervention and only authorized site staff may supply or administer study intervention. All study intervention must be stored in a secure, environmentally controlled, and monitored (manual or automated) area in accordance with the labeled storage conditions with access limited to the investigator and authorized site staff.
- 3) The investigator, institution, or the head of the medical institution (where applicable) is responsible for study intervention accountability, reconciliation, and record maintenance (ie, receipt, reconciliation, and final disposition records).
- 4) Further guidance and information for the final disposition of unused study interventions are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

6.3 Measures to Minimize Bias: Randomization and Blinding

6.3.1 Randomization and Allocation Procedures

On the day of enrollment, participants aged 3 to 8 years who meet all the inclusion criteria but none of the exclusion criteria and sign the assent form (according to local regulations) and whose parent(s)/legally acceptable representative sign the ICF will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by season, site, age subgroup and by vaccination status for influenza, such as each study randomized vaccine group will have approximately 50% of participants aged 3 to 5 years and 50% of participants aged 6 to 8 years, to receive either RIV4 or IIV4. Age subgroup will be stratified by vaccination status for influenza, such as each age subgroup will have approximately 50% of participants previously vaccinated against influenza and 50% of participants previously unvaccinated against influenza. Participants will receive either 1 or 2 doses 28 days apart depending on whether they were previously vaccinated against influenza, respectively (see Table 6.2).

RIV4 (N=706)		IIV4 (N=706)				Total (N=1 412)		
against i	vaccinated nfluenza ose	influ	ted against	against i	vaccinated nfluenza ose	unvaccina influ	ously ted against enza days apart	
Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	
353 353		353 353			1412			

Table 6.2: Participants disposition by group

In addition, at least 400 participants per vaccine group will be randomized to be part of the subset population to assess the immunogenicity based on the SN method. Subset randomization will be stratified by vaccination status against influenza subgroup and age subgroups (3 to 5 years and 6 to 8 years) in order to have approximately 25% of subset participants in each stratum (see Table 6.3).

RIV4			IIV4				Total	
(N=400)			(N=400)				(N=800)	
against i	vaccinated nfluenza ose	Previously unvaccinated against influenza 2 doses 28 days apart		Previously vaccinated against influenza 1 dose		Previously unvaccinated against influenza 2 dose 28 days apart		
Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxima tely 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxima tely 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 6 to 8 years	Approxi mately 50% aged 3 to 5 years	Approxima tely 50% aged 6 to 8 years	
200 200		200		200		800		

Table 6.3: Participants disposition in the subset

Site staff will connect to the Interactive Response Technology (IRT), enter the identification and security information, and confirm a minimal amount of data in response to IRT prompts. The IRT will then provide the group assignment and have the staff confirm it. The full detailed procedures for group allocation are described in the Operating Guidelines. If the participant is not eligible to participate in the study, then the information will only be recorded on the participant recruitment log.

Participant numbers that are assigned by the IRT will consist of a 12-digit string (a 3-digit country identifier, a 4-digit study center identifier, and a 5-digit participant identifier). For example, Participant 840000100005 is the fifth participant enrolled in Center Number 1 in the US (840 being the USA country code). In addition, the first of the 5-digit participant identifier will identify if the participant is part of the subset for exploratory objective (number 1) or not (number 2). For example, Participant 840000210003 is the third participant enrolled in Center Number 2 in the US and is part of the subset for exploratory objectives.

Participant numbers should not be reassigned for any reason. The randomization codes will be kept securely in the IRT.

6.3.2 Blinding and Code-breaking Procedures

The study will be performed in a modified double-blind fashion:

• Participant, participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative, Investigators, and study staff who conduct the safety assessment and immunogenicity assays will not know which study vaccine is administered

• Only the study staff who prepare and administer the study intervention and are not involved with the safety evaluation will know which study intervention is administered

The Investigator responsible for safety assessment will not attend the vaccination session but will be available in case of emergency (anaphylactic shock).

Treatment ID numbers will be used to identify each vaccine syringe for the purpose of randomization, vaccination and the recording of the study intervention administered. Treatment numbers will be randomly assigned to study interventions syringes. The IRT vendor will be responsible for assigning the treatment group identification and treatment number to be received by the enrolled participant. The participant, the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative, the Investigator, and the study staff members who collect the safety data and laboratory personnel who analyze the blood samples will all be blinded to the group assignment. The individual responsible for preparing / administering study intervention will not be authorized to collect any safety / serology data.

The code may be broken in the event of an AE only when the identification of the study intervention received could influence the treatment of the participant. Code-breaking should be limited to the participant(s) experiencing the AE.

The blind can be broken by the Investigator or a designee through the IRT system, as explained in the code-breaking procedures described in the Operating Guidelines. Once the emergency has been addressed by the site, the Investigator or a designee must notify the Sanofi Pasteur responsible medical officer (RMO) if a participant's code was broken. All contact attempts with the Sponsor prior to unblinding are to be documented in the source documents, and the code-breaking case report form (CRF) is to be completed.

The Independent Ethics Committees (IEC) / Institutional Review Board (IRB) must be notified of the code-breaking, in accordance with local regulations. All documentation pertaining to the event must be retained in the site's study records and in the Sanofi Pasteur files. Any intentional or unintentional code-breaking must be reported, documented, and explained, and the name of the person who requested it must be provided to the Sponsor.

A request for the code to be broken may also be made:

- by the Global Pharmacovigilance (GPV) Department through an internal system for reporting to Health Authorities in the case of an unexpected SAE considered causally related, as described in International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) E2A^h. In this case, the code will be broken only for the participant(s) in question. The information resulting from code-breaking (ie, the participant's study intervention or group assignment) will not be communicated to either the Investigator or the immediate team working on the study, except for the GPV representative.
- By the SMT if needed during the early safety data review (ESDR)

An interim analysis will be performed at the end of the 2022-2023 vaccination season. Safety summaries and the calculation of separate PPoS for each of the 8 NI statistical tests included in

^h All unexpected and related SAEs submitted to European Union competent authorities must be unblinded.

the primary objective will be assessed. An unblinded independent statistician will be in charge of breaking the blind of the enrolled participants in the 2022-2023 season after database lock, conducting the interim analysis and communicating the results to a firewall Internal Committee (FIC) who will make the decision of recommending or not recommending the stopping of this study.

A final analysis will be conducted once the 6-months safety data has been collected and the final database lock has occurred.

The code-breaking procedures are described in the Operating Guidelines.

6.4 Study Intervention Compliance

The following measures will ensure that the study intervention is administered as planned (see Table 6.1), and that any non-compliance is documented so that it can be accounted for in the data analyses:

- All study interventions will be administered by qualified and trained study personnel
- The person in charge of study intervention management at the site will maintain accountability records of study intervention delivery to the study site, study intervention inventory at the site, dose(s) given to each participant, and unused or wasted doses

For a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency that results in travel restrictions, confinement, or restricted site access, contingency measures are included in Appendix 10.3: Contingency Measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency.

6.5 Dose Modification

Not applicable.

6.6 Continued Access to Study Intervention After the End of the Study

Not applicable.

6.7 Treatment of Overdose

Since the study intervention is administered by a health care professional, it is unlikely that overdose by injection occurs.

However, in the event of an overdose, the investigator should:

- 1) Contact the RMO immediately.
- 2) Evaluate the participant to determine, in consultation with the RMO, whether study intervention should be interrupted

- 3) Closely monitor the participant for any AE/SAE.
- 4) Document the quantity of the excess of the overdose in the source documents.

6.8 Concomitant Therapy

Reportable medications include medications that may affect the interpretation of safety data (eg, an antipyretic or analgesic that could have reduced the intensity or frequency of an adverse event) or may interfere with the development or measurement of the immune response (eg, the use of immune-suppressors, immune-modulators, or some antibiotics that can affect certain bioassays). Some medications such as steroids can affect both the evaluation of the safety and the immune response to a vaccine.

This may include medications of interest that were started prior to the day of vaccination, and even stopped prior to enrollment if there is a reasonable possibility that they may have an impact on safety and / or immune assessment during study participation.

The following reportable medications are defined:

• medications impacting or that may have an impact on the evaluation of the safety (eg, antipyretics, analgesics, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs], systemic steroids/corticosteroids)

Note: Topical analgesics should NOT be applied at the injection site of study intervention; however, if they are applied inadvertently, they should be recorded.

- medications impacting or that may have an impact on the immune response (eg, other vaccines, blood products, antibiotic classes that may interfere with bioassays used by Sanofi Pasteur laboratory or other testing laboratories, systemic steroids/corticosteroids, immune-suppressors, immune-modulators with immunosuppressive properties, anti-proliferative drugs such as DNA synthesis inhibitors)
- medications impacting or that may have an impact on both the safety and the immune response (eg, systemic steroids/corticosteroids)

Reportable medications will be collected in the CRF until the end of the solicited and unsolicited follow-up period.

Dosage and administration route, homeopathic medication, topical and inhaled steroids, as well as topical, ophthalmic, and ear treatments will not be recorded (except topical analgesics applied at the injection site of study intervention).

Medications given in response to an AE will be captured in the "Action Taken" section of the AE CRF only. No details will be recorded in the concomitant medication Form of the CRF unless the medication(s) received belongs to the reportable medication list. Medications will be coded.

6.8.1 Rescue Medicine

Appropriate medical equipment and emergency medications, including epinephrine (1:1000), must be available at the study site in the event of an anaphylactic, vasovagal, or other immediate allergic reaction.

7 Discontinuation of Study Intervention and Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal

7.1 Discontinuation of Study Intervention

7.1.1 Temporary Contraindications

Should a participant experience one of the conditions listed below, the Investigator will postpone further vaccination until the condition is resolved. Postponement must still be within the timeframe for vaccination indicated in the SoA.

TCI01:Febrile illness (temperature $\geq 38.0^{\circ}$ C [$\geq 100.4^{\circ}$ F]) or moderate or severe acute illness/ infection on the day of vaccination, according to Investigator judgment

Temporary intervention discontinuation may be considered by the Investigator because of suspected AEs or disruption of the clinical trial due to a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency (Appendix 10.3: Contingency Measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency). For all temporary intervention discontinuations, duration should be recorded by the Investigator in the source documents.

7.1.2 Definitive Contraindications

Participants will permanently discontinue (definitive discontinuation) study intervention for the reasons listed below. These participants must not receive any additional dose of study intervention but should continue to be followed for safety and immunogenicity. Additional unscheduled visits may be performed for safety reasons and information will be reported in the source documents.

Should a participant experience at least one of the conditions listed below, the Investigator will discontinue vaccination:

DCI01: An anaphylactic or other significant allergic reaction to the previous dose of vaccine

In the event of a local or national immunization program with any other vaccine as needed, participants who receive the vaccine mentioned above at any time during the study will not be withdrawn from the study.

7.2 Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal from the Study

- A participant may be withdrawn from the study at any time at his/her parent(s)/legally acceptable representative own request or may be withdrawn at any time at the discretion of the investigator for safety, behavioral, or compliance reasons. This is expected to be uncommon.
- The reason for withdrawal should be clearly documented in the source documents and in the CRF: Adverse Event, Lost to Follow-up, Protocol Deviation, or Withdrawal by Parent / Legally Acceptable Representative.

- The participant will be permanently discontinued both from the study intervention and from the study at that time.
- If the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative withdraw(s) consent for disclosure of future information, the Sponsor may retain and continue to use any data collected before such a withdrawal of consent.
- If a participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative withdraw(s) consent, they may request destruction of any biological samples taken (unless local law requires not to destroy them), and the investigator must document this in the site study records.
- Withdrawn participants will not be replaced.

Follow-up of Discontinuations

For participants who have prematurely terminated the study, the site should attempt to contact them and complete all scheduled safety follow-ups, except if they specified that they do not want to be contacted again and it is documented in the source document.

For participants where the reason for early termination is lost to follow-up, the site will not attempt to obtain further safety information. See Section 7.3 for definition of "lost to follow-up".

7.3 Lost to Follow-up

A participant will be considered lost to follow-up if he or she repeatedly fails to return for scheduled visits and is unable to be contacted by the study site.

The following actions must be taken if a parent/legally acceptable representative fails to return to the site for a required study visit or cannot be contacted as planned in the SoA:

- The site must attempt to contact the parent(s)/legally acceptable representative and reschedule the missed visit as soon as possible and counsel the the parent(s)/legally acceptable representative on the importance of maintaining the assigned visit schedule and ascertain whether or not the participant wishes to and/or should continue in the study.
- Before a participant is deemed lost to follow-up, the investigator or designee must make every effort to regain contact with the parent(s)/legally acceptable representative (where possible, 3 telephone calls and, if necessary, a certified letter to the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative last known mailing address or local equivalent methods). These contact attempts should be documented in the participant's medical record.
- Should the participant continue to be unreachable, he/she will be considered lost to follow-up.
- Site personnel, or an independent third party, will attempt to collect the vital status of the participant within legal and ethical boundaries for all participants randomized, including those who did not get study intervention. Public sources may be searched for vital status

information. If vital status is determined as deceased, this will be documented and the participant will not be considered lost to follow-up. Sponsor personnel will not be involved in any attempts to collect vital status information.

Discontinuation of specific sites or of the study as a whole are handled as part of Appendix 10.1.

8 Study Assessments and Procedures

- Study procedures and their timing are summarized in the SoA. Protocol waivers or exemptions are not allowed.
- Immediate safety concerns should be discussed with the Sponsor immediately upon occurrence or awareness to determine if the participant should continue or discontinue study intervention.
- Adherence to the study design requirements, including those specified in the SoA, is essential and required for study conduct.
- All screening evaluations must be completed and reviewed to confirm that potential participants meet all eligibility criteria. The investigator will maintain a screening log to record details of all participants screened and to confirm eligibility or record reasons for screening failure, as applicable.
 - Safety/Laboratory results that could unblind the study will not be reported to investigative sites or other blinded personnel until the study has been unblinded.

Blood samples will be collected as described in the SoA table (Section 1.3).

A volume of blood of 5 mL will be collected at each visit. The maximum amount of blood collected for each participant over the duration of the study will not exceed 10 mL and 15 mL for participants previously unvaccinated and previously vaccinated against influenza, respectively.

Guidance and information for the sample collection, preparation, storage, and shipment are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

For a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency, contingency measures are included in Appendix 10.3: Contingency Measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency.

8.1 Efficacy and Immunogenicity Assessments

Planned time points for all immunogenicity assessments are provided in the SoA.

8.1.1 Efficacy Assessments

No clinical efficacy data will be obtained in the study.

8.1.2 Immunogenicity Assessments

HAI assay

The immunogenicity of influenza vaccines RIV4 and IIV4 will be assessed using the HAI assay on sera samples of blood collected at D01, D29, and D57 (for participants previously unvaccinated against influenza). Test serum samples and quality control sera (sheep, ferret, and/or human sera) will be incubated with Sigma Type III neuraminidase (NA) from *Vibrio cholerae* to eliminate non-specific inhibitors. Adsorption of spontaneous anti-species agglutinins will then be performed by incubating the test serum samples and quality control sera with a red blood cell (RBC) suspension. Following this, the mixtures will be centrifugated and the supernatants containing the treated sera will be collected for testing. Ten 2-fold dilutions (starting at 1:10) of the treated test serum samples and quality control sera will be incubated with a previously titrated influenza antigen at a concentration of 4 hemagglutination units (HAU)/25 μ L. influenza antigens will not be added to the serum control wells containing only serum and RBCs. The mixture will be then incubated and a RBC suspension added. Following incubation, the results will be read. The endpoint of the assay is the highest serum dilution in which complete inhibition of hemagglutination occurred.



The SN assay measures Abs directed against the viral neutralization epitopes of the influenza virus, which may be different from the hemagglutination epitopes; therefore, the SN titers may be different from the HAI titers.

To measure SN, serially diluted, heat-inactivated human serum samples are pre-incubated with a fixed amount of challenge virus prior to the addition of Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. After overnight incubation, the viral nucleoprotein production in infected MDCK cells is measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), using monoclonal Ab specific to either influenza A nucleoprotein or influenza B nucleoprotein. Since serum neutralizing Abs to the influenza virus inhibit the viral infection of MDCK cells, the ELISA optical density results are inversely proportional to the titers of neutralizing Ab present in the serum. The LLOQ is set at the reciprocal of the lowest dilution used in the assay (ie, 10 [1/dil]).

Assays will be performed by Sanofi Pasteur laboratory (Swiftwater, PA, USA) or an external testing laboratory under Sanofi Pasteur laboratory responsibility. The address is provided in the Operating Guidelines.

8.2 Safety Assessments

This section presents safety assessments other than adverse events which are presented in Section 8.3.

Planned time points for all safety assessments are provided in the SoA (Section 1.3).

8.2.1 Medical History

Prior to enrollment, participants will be assessed for pre-existing conditions and illnesses, both past and ongoing. Any such conditions will be documented in the source document. Significant (clinically relevant) medical history (reported as diagnosis) including conditions/illnesses for which the participant is or has been followed by a physician or conditions/illnesses that could resume during the course of the study or lead to an SAE or to a repetitive outpatient care will be collected in the CRF. Collected information will be coded.

8.2.2 Influenza Vaccination History

Participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative will be questioned regarding participant's prior influenza vaccination over the 3 previous influenza seasons. Information will be collected in the CRF.

8.2.3 Physical Examinations

At each visit, the Investigator or a designee will perform a clinical or medically-driven physical examination. Information will be recorded in the source document.

8.2.4 Vital Signs

Oral pre-vaccination temperature will be systematically collected by the investigator on the source document. Tympanic, skin, and temporal artery thermometers must not be used.

8.2.5 Clinical Safety Laboratory Assessments

Not applicable.

8.2.6 Pregnancy Testing

Not applicable.

8.2.7 Viremia/Vaccinemia

Not applicable.

8.3 Adverse Events (AEs), Serious Adverse Events, and Other Safety Reporting

The definitions of an AE, SAE, and the different categories of AEs can be found in Appendix 10.2.

AEs will be reported by the participants' parents / legally acceptable representatives to the investigator, then by the investigator to the Sponsor.

The investigator and any qualified designees are responsible for detecting, documenting, and recording events that meet the definition of an AE or SAE and remain responsible for following up AEs that are serious, considered related to the study intervention or study procedures, or that caused the participant to discontinue the study (see Section 7).

The method of recording, evaluating, and assessing causality of AEs and SAEs and the procedures for completing and transmitting SAE reports are provided in Appendix 10.2.

8.3.1 Time Period and Frequency for Collecting AE and SAE Information

Immediate Post-vaccination Observation Period

Participants will be kept under observation for 30 minutes after each vaccination to ensure their safety. The post-vaccination observation should be documented in the source document.

Reactogenicity

Solicited injection site reactions will be collected for 7 days after each.

Solicited systemic reactions will be collected for 7 days after each vaccination.

The solicited injection site reactions and systemic reactions that are pre-listed in the diary cards and CRF, together with the intensity scales, are presented in Appendix 10.2.5.1.1.

Reactogenicity is to be reported only for IMPs. Refer to Table 6.1 to know which study intervention are considered as IMPs.

Unsolicited Non-serious Adverse Events

Unsolicited non-serious adverse events will be collected for 28 days after each.

The intensity grading scale for unsolicited non-serious adverse events is presented in Appendix 10.2.5.1.2.

Medically Attended Adverse Events (MAAEs)

MAAEs will be collected for 28 days after each vaccination.

Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESIs)

AESIs will be collected at any time during the study.

See Section 8.3.6 for the list of AESIs.

SAEs

Information on SAEs will be collected and assessed throughout the study, from the first dose until 6 months after the last vaccination. However, before the first study intervention administration,

only SAEs related to study procedures are to be collected in the case report form (CRF) (eg, SAEs related to blood sampling).

All SAEs will be recorded and reported to the Sponsor or designee immediately and under no circumstance should this exceed 24 hours, as indicated in Appendix 10.2. The investigator will submit any updated SAE data to the Sponsor within 24 hours of it being available.

Investigators are not obligated to actively seek information on AEs or SAEs after conclusion of the study participation. However, if the investigator learns of any SAE, including a death, at any time after a participant has been discharged from the study, and he/she considers the event to be reasonably related to the study intervention or study participation, the investigator must promptly notify the Sponsor.

8.3.2 Method of Detecting AEs and SAEs

Individual diary card/electronic diary cards, specifically designed for this study by the Sponsor and provided to the study sites, will be given to study parents / legally acceptable representatives for the recording of daily safety information. These diary cards will include pre-listed terms and intensity scales as well as areas for free text to capture additional safety information or other relevant details. Parents / legally acceptable representatives will also be provided with rulers for measuring the size of injection site reactions, and with standard digital thermometers for measuring daily temperatures. To ensure consistency of reporting, the study sites will instruct parents / legally acceptable representatives on how to correctly use these tools.

At specified intervals, the Investigator or a designee will interview the parents / legally acceptable representatives to collect the information recorded in the diary card/electronic diary card, and will attempt to clarify anything that is incomplete or unclear. All clinical study information gathered by the study site will be reported electronically by the Investigator or designee using a web-based CRF. Any information that was not documented in the diary card/electronic diary card will first be captured in the source document and then reported electronically.

The 6-month follow-up will be done by interviewing parents / legally acceptable representatives either during a visit or over the telephone using a questionnaire to capture SAEs and AESIs, if applicable.

Care will be taken not to introduce bias when detecting AEs and/or SAEs. Open-ended and non-leading verbal questioning of the participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative is the preferred method to inquire about AE occurrences.

8.3.3 Follow-up of AEs and SAEs

After the initial AE/SAE report, the investigator is required to proactively follow each participant at subsequent visits/contacts, unless a participant or parents / legally acceptable representatives refuses further contact. All AEs that are considered by the investigator as serious, or related to the study intervention administered, or that led to study or vaccination discontinuation, or AEs of special interest (as defined in Section 8.3.6), will be followed during the conduct of the study until resolution, stabilization, or the participant is lost to follow-up (as defined in Section 7.3). For related SAEs ongoing at last study visit, such follow-up may need to continue after the end of the study.

Further information on follow-up procedures is provided in Appendix 10.2.

8.3.4 Regulatory Reporting Requirements for SAEs

- Prompt notification by the investigator to the Sponsor of an SAE is essential so that legal obligations and ethical responsibilities towards the safety of participants and the safety of a study intervention under clinical investigation are met.
- The Sponsor has a legal responsibility to notify both the local regulatory authority and other regulatory agencies about the safety of a study intervention under clinical investigation. The Sponsor will comply with country-specific regulatory requirements relating to safety reporting to the regulatory authority, Institutional Review Boards (IRB)/Independent Ethics Committees (IEC), and investigators.
- An investigator who receives an investigator safety report describing a SAE or other specific safety information (eg, summary or listing of SAEs) from the Sponsor will review and then file it and will notify the IRB/IEC, if appropriate according to local requirements.
- Investigator safety reports must be prepared for suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions (SUSAR) according to local regulatory requirements and Sponsor policy and forwarded to investigators as necessary.

8.3.5 Pregnancy

Not applicable as the study does not include women of childbearing potential.

8.3.6 Adverse Events of Special Interest

AESIs will be captured as SAEs. These include new onset of GBS, encephalitis/myelitis, including transverse myelitis, Bell's palsy, optic neuritis, brachial neuritis, thrombocytopenia, vasculitis, and anaphylaxis.

8.3.7 Medically Attended Adverse Events

MAAEs will be collected using the same process as other AEs. See Appendix 10.2.1 for definition of MAAEs.

8.3.8 Early Safety Data Review

- An ESDR conducted by the SMT will be performed, the goal of which is to allow for a cautious, stepwise approach to vaccine administration. An initial safety review for this study is planned when approximately 10% of participants aged 3 to 8 years are vaccinated and have provided safety data for 7 days after administration of the first dose.
- The safety data collected will be entered into the CRB and will be summarized and reviewed by the Sponsor. It is understood that this review will be based on preliminary data that would not have been subject to validation and database lock.

- The following safety parameters collected from D01 to D08 will be assessed as part of the ESDR review in a blinded manner by the Sponsor:
 - Immediate reactions
 - Solicited injection site and systemic reactions
 - Unsolicited AEs reported as related by the investigator
 - SAEs (including AESIs)
- Enrollment will not be paused during the Sponsor review. Case unblinding may occur if necessary.
- If any of the following criteria are met, a decision will be made by the Sponsor as to whether enrollment in the study will be paused and will be allowed to resume later:
 - An SAE (including AESI) considered as related to the vaccination by the investigator and Sponsor
 - > 10% of participants experiencing any Grade 3 AEs within 7 days after vaccination
- After the ESDR will be conducted, the team will continue to monitor the safety of all participants via SMTs and/or data review meetings during the conduct of the study. SMT periodicity will be detailed in the SMT charter.

8.4 Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetics parameters are not evaluated in this study.

8.5 Genetics

Genetics are not evaluated in this study.

8.6 Biomarkers

No other biomarkers than those described in the immunogenicity assessments section (Section 8.1.2) are evaluated in this study.

8.7 Immunogenicity Assessments

See Section 8.1.2.

8.8 Medical Resource Utilization and Health Economics

Medical Resource Utilization and Health Economics parameters are not evaluated in this study.

8.9 Leftover Biological Samples and Use of Data

Any unused part of the biological samples collected for this study (blood samples) are being retained in long-term storage to support answers to regulatory questions related to the product's licensure and the potential revalidation of the study results.

In addition, parents / legally acceptable representatives will be asked to indicate in the ICF whether they will permit the future use of any unused stored biological samples for other tests and the corresponding data, unless prohibited by local laws or IRBs/IECs (in such case, consent for future use of any unused biological samples will not be included in the site-specific ICF). If they refuse permission, the biological samples will not be used for any testing other than that directly related to this study. If they agree to this future use, they will not be paid for giving permission. Data and samples will be used in compliance with the information provided to participants in the ICF Part 2 (future research). The aim of any possible future research is unknown today, and may not be related to this particular study. It may be to improve the knowledge of vaccines and their mechanism of action, the knowledge of infectious diseases, or to improve existing tests or develop new tests to assess vaccines, or to help identify new vaccine targets or biomarkers that predict participant response to the vaccine. Such research may also include, but is not limited to, performing assessments on DNA, RNA, proteins or metabolites. If future research on genetic material is performed, a specific individual consent will be obtained.

All study participant data and biological samples will be coded such that no direct identifiers will be linked to participants. Coded data and biological samples may be transferred to a Sponsor site (or a subcontractor site), which may be located outside of the country where the study is conducted. The Sponsor adopts safeguards for protecting participant confidentiality and personal data (see Section 10.1.4).

The biological samples will be securely stored at the Sanofi Pasteur laboratory up to 25 years after the end of the study. Any samples remaining at the end of retention period will be destroyed. If a participant requests destruction of his/her samples before the end of the retention period, the investigator must notify the Sponsor (or its contract organization) in writing. In such case, samples will be destroyed and sample related coded data will be anonymized unless otherwise required by applicable laws.

Study participant coded data will be stored for future research for up to 25 years after the end of the study. If data are still considered of important scientific value after this period, coded data already available will be anonymized unless otherwise required by applicable laws (the same will apply to the data of a study participant who has requested the destruction of his/her samples).

Participant's coded data sets provided to researchers for a specific research project will be available to the researchers for a maximum of 2 years after the end of their specific project (end of project is defined by publication of the results or finalization of the future research project report).

Note: The other biological samples collected to qualify the participant for inclusion in the study or to monitor his/her health during the study are dedicated for immediate use. If any of these biological samples are not completely used up, they will be destroyed at the latest at the end of the study or after the time requested by local law.

9 Statistical Considerations

All statistical analyses will be performed under the responsibility of the Sponsor's Biostatistics Platform using the SAS® software, Version 9.4 or above.

The core SAP will be written, and peer reviewed before the initiation of the study. In accordance with the protocol, the SAP will describe all analyses to be performed by the Sponsor and all the conventions to be taken.

It was decided to add to the statistical analysis initially planned, an interim analysis at the end of 2022-2023 season, to evaluate safety and calculate separate PPoS for each of the 8 NI statistical tests included in the primary objective. Creating consequently the possibility of stopping this study if warranted.

More details are given in the interim analysis section below, and complete details will be included in the SAP of this study.

For descriptive purposes, the following statistics will be presented:

Baseline characteristics and follow-up description	Categorical data	Number and percentage of participants		
	Continuous data	Mean, standard deviation, quartiles, minimum, and maximum		
Safety results	Categorical data	Solicited and biological safety: Number and percentage (95% CIs) of participants		
		Unsolicited: Number and percentage (95% CIs) of participants, and number of events		
	Continuous data	At least mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum		
Immunogenicity results Categorical data (seroprotection, seroconversion, cutoff)		Number and percentage (95% CIs) of participants		
	Continuous data	Log10: Mean and standard deviation		
	(titer / data)	Anti-Log ₁₀ (work on Log ₁₀ distribution, and anti-Log ₁₀ applied): Geometric mean, 95% CI of the geometric mean, quartiles, minimum, and maximum		
		Graphical representation by reverse cumulative distribution curve (RCDC)		

Table 9.1: Descriptive statistics produced

9.1 Statistical Hypotheses

Primary Objective:

Statistical Methodology for analyzing the primary 8 endpoints of GMTs and SC rates.

Non-inferiority of RIV4 as compared to IIV4 in participants 3 to 8 years of age will be conducted for GMTs and SC rates.

Since all 8 hypotheses have to be rejected at 0.025 significance level, no formal adjustment for multiplicity is necessary.

For each strain, the non-inferiority methodology will be applied to compare the post-vaccination GMTs and the SC rates between the groups using a 1-sided Type I error rate of 0.025 with the given individual hypothesis.

The primary analysis will be conducted into 2 steps starting with testing for NI of GMTs between RIV4 and IIV4. If NI of GMTs based on the 4 strains is demonstrated, then NI for SC will be also tested.

Step1: Geometric Mean Titers

For each of the 4 strains, the hypotheses are as follows. Each of the 4 individual H0 need to be rejected to demonstrate NI in GMTs.

H₀: GMT _{RIV4} /GMT _{IIV4} \leq 0.667 H_A: GMT _{RIV4} /GMT _{IIV4} > 0.667

or equivalently,

H₀: $\log_{10} (GMT_{RIV4}) - \log_{10} (GMT_{IIV4}) \le \log_{10} (0.667)$ H_A: $\log_{10} (GMT_{RIV4}) - \log_{10} (GMT_{IIV4}) \ge \log_{10} (0.667)$

Step 2: Seroconversion

For each of the 4 strains, the hypotheses are as follows. Each of the 4 individual H0 need to be rejected to demonstrate NI in SCs.

H₀: P _{RIV4} - P _{IIV4} \leq -10% H_A: P _{RIV4} - P _{IIV4} > -10%

Secondary Objectives:

There are no statistical hypotheses to be tested in the secondary objectives.

9.2 Sample Size Determination

A total of approximately 1200 evaluable participants 3 to 8 years of age will be assessed (approximately 50% participants enrolled in the age group 3-5 years and 50% participants enrolled in the age group 6-8 years) in this study. Approximately 50% of participants enrolled within age group will be primed, and the other 50% will be unprimed.

Assuming similar GMTs in both groups, a one-sided alpha of 0.025 and a standard deviation of log_{10} titers of 0.6 with a NI margin of 1.5 (or 1/1.5 = 0.667); NI for GMTs would be demonstrated with a study power of approximately 99.6%.

Assuming in each vaccine group the same expected SC rates (0.5, 0.5, 0.7, 0.7) for each of the 4 strains (A/H1N1, A/H3N2, B/Yamagata and B/Victoria), based on conservative estimates from historical data, and a NI margin of 10%, the NI for SC can be demonstrated with a study power of approximately 82.0% (93.7%, 93.7%, 96.7% and 96.7% for each strain, respectively).

Hence, the overall study power is estimated to be approximately 82.0% (82.0% = 99.6%[GMTs] x 82.0%[SC]).

As shown above, to keep the study power above 80%, the sample size was increased accordingly, to have an overall Type II error < 20% for the 8 NI tests.

Assuming 15% attrition rate in this age group; a total of approximately 1412 participants 3 to 8 years of age will be enrolled in this study.

9.3 Analysis Sets

Participant Analysis Set	Description
Randomized	All participants randomized by study IRT to one of the study groups.
Safety Analysis Set (SafAS)	Participants who have received at least one dose of the study vaccine. All participants will have their safety analyzed after each dose according to the vaccine they actually received, and after any dose according to the vaccine received at the 1st dose.
	Safety data recorded for a vaccine received out of the protocol design will be excluded from the analysis (and listed separately).
Full analysis set (FAS)	Subset of randomized participants who received at least 1 dose of the study vaccine and had a post-vaccination blood sample. For the assessment of the immune response by SN assay, the analysis will be performed on the participants from FAS who were randomized in the exploratory subset (FAS-SN).
	Participants will be analyzed according to the intervention to which they were randomized.
Per-protocol analysis set (PPAS)	Subset of the FAS. Participants presenting with at least one of the following criteria will be excluded from the PPAS:
	Participant did not meet all protocol-specified inclusion criteria or met at least one of the protocol-specified exclusion criteria
	Participant did not complete the vaccination schedule
	Participant received a vaccine other than the one that he / she was randomized to receive
	Preparation and / or administration of vaccine was not done as per-protocol
	Participant did not receive vaccine in the proper time window
	Participant did not provide the post-dose serology sample at visit 2 or at visit 3 in the proper time window ([D26, D39] or VAC2+[D26, D39] respectively) or a post-dose serology sample was not drawn at visit 2 or visit 3

For the purposes of analysis, the following analysis sets are defined:

Participant received protocol-prohibited medications impacting or that may have an impact on the immune response as described in Section 6.8
The above criteria leading to exclusion from PPAS may be detailed and completed if necessary in the SAP, following the review of protocol deviations during the study conduct. PPAS(s) definition(s) will be finalized before the first database lock.
For the assessment of the immune response by SN assay, the analysis will be performed on the participants from PPAS who were randomized in the exploratory subset (PPAS-SN).
In the event of a local or national immunization program with any other vaccine as needed, participants who receive 1 or more doses of the vaccine listed above at any time during the study will not be withdrawn from the study.

9.4 Statistical Analyses

The SAP will be amended and finalized prior to any database lock (such as for interim and final analysis) and it will include a more technical and detailed description of the statistical analyses described in this section. This section is a summary of the planned statistical analyses of the most important endpoints including primary and key secondary endpoints.

9.4.1 General Considerations

The statistical analysis will be conducted as follows:

- At the end of the vaccination season of 2022-2023, after cleaning the database and fulfilling a partial database lock, the data in this study will be unblinded by an independent statistician to conduct an interim analysis. Safety summaries will be generated and separate PPoS of each of the 8 statistical tests will be calculated. The independent statistician will communicate and present the results to the FIC, who will make the decision of recommending or not recommending the stopping of the study. If the study continues the unblinded information from the 2022-2023 season will not be shared with the study team and the independent statistician will not be involved in the preparation and conduct of the study completion.
- The final database lock will occur after the 6-month follow-up period is completed for all subjects randomized and vaccinated in the study, or after stopping the study to write the final CSR.

The number of participants enrolled and their age at enrollment, sex, race, and ethnic origin will be summarized for each vaccine group, as well as the number and description of protocol deviations. In general, categorical variables will be summarized and presented by frequency counts, percentages, and confidence intervals (CIs). The 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using the normal approximation for quantitative data and the exact binomial distribution (Clopper-Pearson method) for percentages. For GMTs, 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using normal approximation of log-transformed titers.

Details will be provided in the SAP core body.

9.4.2 **Primary Endpoint(s)**

The immunogenicity parameters will be calculated in each study group with their 95% CIs using the exact binomial distribution (Clopper-Pearson method) for proportions and using normal approximation of log-transformed for GMTs.

Statistical Methodology for analyzing the primary 8 endpoints (GMTs and SC rates).

Non-inferiority of RIV4 as compared to IIV4 in participants 3 to 8 years of age will be conducted for GMTs and SC rates.

For each strain, the non-inferiority methodology will be applied to compare the post-vaccination GMTs and the SC rates between the groups using a 1-sided Type I error rate of 0.025 with the given individual hypothesis.

The primary analysis will be conducted into 2 steps starting with testing for NI of GMTs between RIV4 and IIV4. If NI of GMTs based on the 4 strains is demonstrated, then NI for SC will be also tested.

Step 1: Geometric Mean Titers

Assuming that log10 transformation of the data follows a normal distribution, the log10 (data) will be used for the statistical analysis, then antilog transformations will be applied to the results of calculations, in order to provide the results in terms of geometric means (GMs).

The statistical methodology is based on a 2-sided 95% CI of the ratio of the GMTs (RIV4 divided by IIV4) at 28 days after the last vaccination. Non inferiority for GMTs is demonstrated if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI of the GMT ratio is > 0.667 for each of the 4 virus strains.

ANCOVA will be conducted for each strain with a model including the terms log10 of HAI titer at D01, priming status, age subgroup, season and the treatment represented by the vaccine administered as independent factors, and log10 HAI titer of each virus strain separately of the last vaccination as a response variable. ANCOVA will be conducted using Proc Mixed in SAS (all terms in the model are fixed). In Proc Mixed, the "Estimate" statement will allow to derive the difference (RIV4 minus IIV4) of the Least Squares-means and the 95% CI in their original log10 values. By exponentiating the difference (RIV4 minus IIV4) and its 95% CI; the 95% CI of ratio (RIV4/IIV4) will be obtained and be used to derive GMTs NI final results.

Step 2: Seroconversion

The statistical methodology will be based on a 2-sided 95% CI of the difference in SC rates (RIV – IIV4) 28 days after the final vaccination. Non-inferiority for SC will be demonstrated if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI is > -10% for the 4 strains. The 95% CI of the rate difference will be computed using the Wilson Score method without continuity correction

Non-inferiority will be assessed on PPAS as the main analysis set and will be confirmed on the FAS.

As a sensitivity analysis, a generalized linear model (binomial-identity model) based on a link function named "identity link" will be used. The regression coefficient for the treatment (vaccines administered) in the binomial-identity model represent the proportions difference (difference between RIV4 and IIV4). The model will include priming status, age subgroup, season and the treatment term (represented by the vaccine administered) as independent factors. The difference (RIV4 – IIV4) and its 95% CI obtained will be used to derive the SC NI results.

In the sensitivity analysis, the statistical methodology will be based on a 2-sided 95% CI of the difference in SC rates (RIV4 – IIV4) at 28 days after last vaccination. The NI for SC rates will be demonstrated if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI is > -10% for the 4 strains.

9.4.3 Secondary Endpoints

Immunogenicity

The immunogenicity parameters will be calculated with their 95% CIs using the exact binomial distribution (Clopper-Pearson method) for proportions and using normal approximation of log-transformed for GMTs and GMTs ratio. All analyses will be conducted by study group. The main parameters will also be described by age subgroup and priming status.

RCDCs of pre-vaccination titer and post-vaccination titer 28 days after the last vaccination (D29 or D57) will be generated for each study group. The RCDCs will include the plots of the 2 study groups the same figure.

The analysis will be conducted for each immunogenicity variable on the PPAS, and on FAS if the attrition rate from FAS to PPAS is greater than 10%.

In addition, subgroup analyses will be performed; in particular, immunogenicity will be described according to season, sex, race, previous influenza vaccination status (received a seasonal influenza vaccine in the last past influenza season or not), baseline seropositivity status (seropositive and seronegative are defined as baseline antibody titer $\geq 1:10$ or < 1:10), and age subgroups (3 to 5 and 6 to 8 years) as appropriate according to number of participants in the respective subgroups.

Subgroup analyses will be performed on PPAS.

<u>Safety</u>

For the main safety parameters, 95% CIs of point estimates will be calculated using exact binomial method (Clopper-Pearson method) for single proportions and using the normal approximation for quantitative data.

All analyses will be descriptive; no hypotheses will be tested.

The number of participants with documented safety will be used as denominator of the frequencies.

For solicited reactions, the denominator will be the total number of participants who have nonmissing data for the endpoint considered.

For unsolicited AEs, the denominator will be the total number of participants who were vaccinated.

9.4.4 Exploratory Endpoint(s)

Exploratory Immunogenicity Objective:

The immunogenicity parameters will be calculated with their 95% CIs using the exact binomial distribution (Clopper-Pearson method) for proportions and using normal approximation of log-transformed titers for GMTs. All analyses will be conducted by study group. The main parameters will also de described by age subgroup and by priming status. For some parameters (eg. GMTs, 4-fold increase) difference or ratio between groups may be calculated with 95%CI. The 95% CI of ratios of GMTs will be calculated using the same method as for the primary objective.

RCDCs of pre-vaccination titer (D01) and post-vaccination titer 28 days after last vaccination (D29 or D57) will be generated for each study group. The RCDCs will include the plots of the 2 groups on the same figure.

The analysis will be conducted for each immunogenicity variable on the PPAS subset (PPAS-SN) and on the FAS subset (FAS-SN) if the attrition rate from FAS-SN to PPAS-SN is greater than 10%.

9.5 Interim Analyses

Following the planned recruitment period of 2022-2023 season, only approximately 25% out of the 1412 targeted participants aged 3 to 8 years had been enrolled and vaccinated in the study.

Thus, it was decided to conduct an interim analysis to review safety and assess the likelihood of the study success by the end of enrollment (1412 participants) based on the immunogenicity data accumulated after randomizing and vaccinating approximatively 350 younger children (approximately 25% of participants).

For this interim analysis:

- A FIC including Senior members from Clinical, Safety and Biostatistics will be set up to review the content of the results including the interim analysis and recommend if the stopping of this study is warranted
- An unblinded statistical team will be in charge of breaking the blind on the enrolled participants after database lock, conducting the interim statistical analysis and communicating unblinded results to the FIC.
- The recommendation for stopping the study will be based on:
 - The safety results of RIV4, and/or
 - The individual predictive power to meet NI for each strain and each parameter (GMT and SC rate) and/or
 - The overall PPoS of the 4 GMTs NI statistical tests based on a PPoS of less or equal **1990**.

A charter will be written to define the roles and responsibilities of every party in the conduct of the interim analysis, the FIC functioning and the issuance of recommendation for stopping the study.

More details on the calculation of PPoS and the conduct of the interim analysis will be provided in the SAP.

10 Supporting Documentation and Operational Considerations

10.1 Appendix: Regulatory, Ethical, and Study Oversight Considerations

Note: The term "participant" is used throughout this protocol. However, the term "subject" will be used in the CRF in order to comply with the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) requirements. Similarly, "legally acceptable representative" is used in the protocol whereas "guardian" is used in the CRF.

10.1.1 Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

- This study will be conducted in accordance with the protocol and with the following:
 - Consensus ethical principles derived from international guidelines including the Declaration of Helsinki and Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) International Ethical Guidelines
 - Applicable ICH Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines
 - Applicable laws and regulations (eg, data protection law as General Data Protection Regulation [GDPR])
- The protocol, informed consent form (ICF), Investigator Brochure (IB), and other relevant documents (eg, advertisements) must be submitted to an IRB/IEC by the investigator or the Sponsor (according to local regulations) and reviewed and approved by the IRB/IEC before the study is initiated
- Any amendments to the protocol will require IRB/IEC approval before implementation of changes made to the study design, except for changes necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to study participants
- Protocols and any substantial amendments to the protocol will require health authority approval prior to initiation except for changes necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to study participants.
- The investigator will be responsible for the following:
 - Providing written summaries of the status of the study to the IRB/IEC annually or more frequently in accordance with the requirements, policies, and procedures established by the IRB/IEC (in addition to summaries required from the Sponsor)
 - Determining whether an incidental finding (as per Sanofi policy) should be returned to a participant and, if it meets the appropriate criteria, to ensure the finding is returned (an incidental finding is a previously undiagnosed medical condition that is discovered unintentionally and is unrelated to the aims of the study for which the tests are being performed). The following should be considered when determining the return of an incidental finding:

• The return of such information to the study participant (and/or his/her designated healthcare professional, if so designated by the participant) is consistent with all applicable national, state, or regional laws and regulations in the country where the study is being conducted, and

• The finding reveals a substantial risk of a serious health condition or has reproductive importance, AND has analytical validity, AND has clinical validity.

• The participant in a clinical study has the right to opt out of being notified by the investigator of such incidental findings. In the event that the participant has opted out of being notified and the finding has consequences for other individuals, eg, the finding relates to a communicable disease, investigators should seek independent ethical advice before determining next steps.

• In case the participant has decided to opt out, the investigator must record in the site medical files that she/he does not want to know about such findings.

- Notifying the IRB/IEC of SAEs or other significant safety findings as required by IRB/IEC procedures
- Providing oversight of the conduct of the study at the site and adherence to requirements of 21 CFR, ICH guidelines, the IRB/IEC, European regulation 536/2014 for clinical studies (if applicable), European Medical Device Regulation 2017/745 for clinical device research (if applicable), and all other applicable local regulations

As applicable, according to Directive 2001/20/EC, the Sponsor will be responsible for obtaining approval from the Competent Authorities of the EU Member States and/or Ethics Committees, as appropriate, for any amendments to the clinical trial that are deemed as "substantial" (ie, changes which are likely to have a significant impact on the safety or physical or mental integrity of the clinical trial participants or on the scientific value of the trial) prior to their implementation.

10.1.2 Financial Disclosure

Information related to financial disclosure is described in the Investigator's contract.

10.1.3 Informed Consent Process

- The investigator or his/her representative will explain the nature of the study to the participant and their parents / legally acceptable representatives and answer all questions regarding the study.
- Participants and parent(s)/legally acceptable representative must be informed that their participation is voluntary. Participants and/or their parents / legally acceptable representative will be required to sign a statement of informed consent that meets the requirements of 21 CFR 50, local regulations, ICH guidelines, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) requirements, where applicable, and the IRB/IEC or study center.

- Depending on local regulations, a separate assent form (AF) may be required to be signed by minor participants. The assent form is in addition to, not in place of, an ICF that is signed by the parent(s)/legally acceptable representative.
- The medical record must include a statement that written informed consent was obtained before the participant was enrolled in the study and the date the written consent was obtained. The authorized person obtaining the informed consent must also sign the ICF.
- The actual Assent Form (AF) and ICF used at each center may differ, depending on local regulations and IEC / IRB requirements. However, all versions must contain the standard information found in the sample AF and ICF provided by the Sponsor. Any change to the content of the AF and ICF must be approved by the Sponsor and the IEC / IRB prior to the form being used.
- If new information becomes available that may be relevant to the participant's or parents' / legally acceptable representatives' willingness to continue participation in the study, this will be communicated to them in a timely manner. Such information will be provided via a revised AF and ICF or an addendum to the original AF and ICF.
- Participants and parent(s)/legally acceptable representative must be re-consented to the most current version of the AF(s) and/or ICF(s) during their participation in the study.
- A copy of the AF(s) and/or ICF(s) must be provided to the participant's parents / legally acceptable representatives.

Rationale for Including Participants Unable to Give Consent:

VAP00026 study will be conducted on pediatric population aged from 3 to 8 years old. Depending on local regulations some participants will not be in age to give consent and participant's parent(s)/legally acceptable representative consent will be collected instead.

The ICF will contain a specific section that addresses the use of remaining mandatory samples for optional exploratory research, unless prohibited by local laws or IRBs/IECs. The investigator or designee will explain to each participant the objectives of the exploratory research. Participants will be told that they are free to refuse to participate and may withdraw their consent at any time and for any reason during the storage period.

Recruitment Procedures

Participants will be recruited from the general population. The sites will ensure that any advertisements used to recruit participants (letters, pamphlets, posters, etc) are submitted to Sanofi Pasteur prior to submission to the IEC / IRB for approval. Detailed guidance and information are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

For a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency, contingency measures are included in Appendix 10.3: Contingency Measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency.

10.1.4 Data Protection

All personal data collected and/or processed in relation to this study will be handled in compliance with all applicable Privacy & Data Protection laws and regulations, including the GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation). The study Sponsor is the Sanofi company responsible for ensuring compliance with this matter, when processing data from any individual who may be included in the Sanofi databases, including investigators, nurses, experts, service providers, Ethics Committee members, etc.

When archiving or processing personal data pertaining to the investigator and/or to the participants, the Sponsor shall take all appropriate measures to safeguard and prevent access to this data by any unauthorized third party.

Protection of participant data

Data collected must be adequate, relevant and not excessive, in relation to the purposes for which they are collected. Each category of data must be properly justified and in line with the study objective.

Participants' race and ethnicity will be collected in this study because these data are required by regulatory agencies (eg, on African-American population for the Food and Drug Administration [FDA]).

- Participants will be assigned a unique identifier by the Sponsor. Any participant records or datasets that are transferred to the Sponsor or its service providers will be identifiable only by the unique identifier; participant names or any information which would make the participant identifiable will not be transferred to the Sponsor.
- The participant must be informed that his/her personal study-related data will be used by the Sponsor in accordance with applicable data protection law. The level of disclosure must also be explained to the participant as described in the informed consent.
- The participant must be informed that his/her medical records may be examined by Clinical Quality Assurance auditors or other authorized personnel appointed by the Sponsor, by appropriate IRB/IEC members, and by inspectors from regulatory authorities.
- Participants must be informed that their study-related data will be used for the whole "drug development program", ie, for this trial as well as for the following steps necessary for the development of the investigational product, including to support negotiations with payers and publication of results.

Protection of data related to professionals involved in the study

- Personal data (eg, contact details, affiliation(s) details, job title and related professional information, role in the study, professional resume, training records) are necessary to allow Sanofi to manage involvement in the study and/or the related contractual or pre-contractual relationship. They may be communicated to any company of the Sanofi group ("Sanofi") or to Sanofi service providers, where needed.
- Personal data can be processed for other studies and projects.

- In case of refusal to the processing of personal data by or on behalf of Sanofi, it will be impossible to involve the professionals in any Sanofi study. In case the professionals have already been involved in a Sanofi study, they will not be able to object to the processing of their personal data as long as they are required to be processed by applicable regulations. The same rule applies in case the professionals are listed on a regulatory agencies disqualification list.
- Personal data can be communicated to the following recipients:
 - Personnel within Sanofi or partners or service providers involved in the study
 - Judicial, administrative and regulatory authorities, in order to comply with legal or regulatory requirements and/or to respond to specific requests or orders in the framework of judicial or administrative procedures. Contact details and identity may also be published on public websites in the interest of scientific research transparency
- Personal data may be transferred towards entities located outside the Economic European Area, in countries where the legislation does not necessarily offer the same level of data protection or in countries not recognized by the European Commission as offering an adequate level of protection. Those transfers are safeguarded by Sanofi in accordance with the requirement of European law including, notably:
 - The standard contractual clauses of the European Commission for transfers towards our partners and service providers,
 - Sanofi's Binding Corporate Rules for intra-group transfers.
- Professionals have the possibility to lodge a complaint with Sanofi leading Supervisory Authority, the "Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés" (CNIL) or with any competent local regulatory authority.
- Personal data of professionals will be retained by Sanofi for up to thirty (30) years, unless further retention is required by applicable regulations.
- In order to facilitate the maintenance of investigators personal data, especially if they contribute to studies sponsored by several pharmaceuticals companies, Sanofi participates in the Shared Investigator Platform (SIP) and in the Transcelerate Investigator Registry (IR) project (https://transceleratebiopharmainc.com/initiatives/investigator-registry/). Therefore, personal data will be securely shared by Sanofi with other pharmaceutical company members of the Transcelerate project. This sharing allows investigators to keep their data up-to-date once for all across pharmaceutical companies participating in the project, with the right to object to the transfer of the data to the Transcelerate project.
- Professionals have the right to object to the processing, to request for access to and the rectification of their personal data, as well as their erasure (where applicable) by contacting the Sanofi Data Protection Officer: Sanofi DPO 54 rue La Boétie 75008 PARIS France (to contact Sanofi by email, visit https://www.sanofi.com/en/our-responsibility/sanofi-global-privacy-policy/contact).

10.1.5 Committees Structure

Participant safety will be continuously monitored by the Sponsor's internal safety review committee which includes safety signal detection at any time during the study. The Sponsor's internal safety review committee, led by the PV representative and the RMO, will be responsible for the blinded review, assessment, and evaluation of safety data generated from this study. This committee is empowered to recommend a pause in recruitment and/or further vaccination while it investigates any potential signal or concern.

In addition, this study will include an early safety data review (see Section 8.3.8).

10.1.6 Dissemination of Clinical Study Data

Study participants

Sanofi shares information about clinical trials and results on publicly accessible websites, based on company commitments, international and local legal and regulatory requirements, and other clinical trial disclosure commitments established by pharmaceutical industry associations. These websites include clinicaltrials.gov, EU clinicaltrialregister (eu.ctr), and sanofi.com, as well as some national registries.

In addition, results from clinical trials in patients are required to be submitted to peer-reviewed journals following internal company review for accuracy, fair balance, and intellectual property. For those journals that request sharing of the analyzable data sets that are reported in the publication, interested researchers are directed to submit their request to clinicalstudydatarequest.com.

Individual participant data and supporting clinical documents are available for request at clinicalstudydatarequest.com. While making information available we continue to protect the privacy of participants in our clinical trials. Details on data sharing criteria and process for requesting access can be found at this web address: clinicalstudydatarequest.com.

Professionals involved in the study or in the drug development program

Sanofi may publicly disclose, and communicate to relevant authorities/institutions, the funding, including payments and transfers of value, direct or indirect, made to healthcare organizations and professionals and/or any direct or indirect advantages and/or any related information or document if required by applicable law, by regulation or by a code of conduct such as the "EFPIA Code on Disclosure of Transfers of Value from Pharmaceutical Companies to Healthcare Professionals and Healthcare Organisations".

10.1.7 Data Quality Assurance

- All participant data relating to the study will be recorded on electronic CRF unless transmitted to the Sponsor or designee electronically (eg, laboratory data). The investigator is responsible for verifying that data entries are accurate and correct by physically or electronically signing the CRF.
- Guidance on completion of CRFs will be provided in the CRF Completion Instructions.

- The investigator must permit study-related monitoring, audits, IRB/IEC review, and regulatory agency inspections and provide direct access to source data documents.
- Quality tolerance limits (QTLs) will be predefined to identify systematic issues that can impact patient safety and/or reliability of study results. These predefined parameters will be monitored during the study, and important deviation from the QTLs and remedial actions taken will be summarized in the clinical study report.
- Monitoring details describing strategy (eg, risk-based initiatives in operations and quality such as Risk Management and Mitigation Strategies and Analytical Risk-Based Monitoring), methods, responsibilities and requirements, including handling of noncompliance issues and monitoring techniques (central, remote, or on-site monitoring) are provided in the Monitoring Plan.
- The Sponsor or designee is responsible for the data management of this study including quality checking of the data.
- The Sponsor assumes accountability for actions delegated to other individuals (eg, Contract Research Organizations).
- Records and documents, including signed ICFs, pertaining to the conduct of this study must be retained by the investigator for 25 years after the signature of the final study report unless local regulations or institutional policies require a longer retention period. No records may be destroyed during the retention period without the written approval of the Sponsor. No records may be transferred to another location or party without written notification to the Sponsor.

10.1.8 Source Documents

"Source data" are the data contained in source documents. Source documents are original documents or certified copies, and include, but are not limited to, diary cards, medical and hospital records, screening logs, informed consent / assent forms, telephone contact logs, and worksheets.

- Source documents provide evidence for the existence of the participant and substantiate the integrity of the data collected. Source documents are filed at the investigator's site.
- Data entered in the CRF that are transcribed from source documents must be consistent with the source documents or the discrepancies must be explained. The investigator may need to request previous medical records or transfer records, depending on the study. Also, current medical records must be available.
- The investigator must maintain accurate documentation (source data) that supports the information entered in the CRF.
- Study monitors will perform ongoing source data verification to confirm that data entered into the CRF by authorized site personnel are accurate, complete, and verifiable from source documents; that the safety and rights of participants are being protected; and that the study is being conducted in accordance with the currently approved protocol and any other study agreements, ICH GCP, and all applicable regulatory requirements.

Detailed guidance and information are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

10.1.9 Study and Site Start and Closure

Details on which clinical supplies are provided by the Sponsor or the site are described in the Operating Guidelines.

The study start date is considered the date of the first visit planned in the SoA of the first participant.

The Sponsor or designee reserves the right to close the study site or terminate the study at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of the Sponsor. Study sites will be closed upon study completion. A study site is considered closed when all required documents and study supplies have been either destroyed or returned to the Sponsor, all samples are shipped to the appropriate laboratories, the center study-site has all the documents necessary for archiving and a study-site closure visit has been performed along with a termination report.

The investigator may initiate study-site closure at any time, provided there is reasonable cause and sufficient notice is given in advance of the intended termination.

Reasons for the early closure of a study site by the Sponsor or investigator may include but are not limited to:

For study termination:

- Discontinuation of further study intervention development
- Information on the study intervention leads to doubt as to the benefit/risk ratio

For site termination:

- Failure of the investigator to comply with the protocol, the requirements of the IRB/IEC or local health authorities, the Sponsor's procedures, or GCP guidelines
- Inadequate or no recruitment (evaluated after a reasonable amount of time) of participants by the investigator
- Total number of participants included earlier than expected

If the study is prematurely terminated or suspended, the Sponsor shall promptly inform the investigators, the IECs/IRBs, the regulatory authorities, and any contract research organization(s) used in the study of the reason for termination or suspension, as specified by the applicable regulatory requirements. The investigator shall promptly inform the participant and should assure appropriate participant therapy and/or follow-up.

10.1.10 Publication Policy

Information related to publication policy is described in the Investigator's contract.

10.2 Appendix: AEs and SAEs: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluating, Follow-up, and Reporting

10.2.1 Definition of AE

AE Definition

- An AE is any untoward medical occurrence in a clinical study participant, temporally associated with the use of study intervention, whether or not considered related to the study intervention.
- NOTE: An AE can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease (new or exacerbated) temporally associated with the use of study intervention.

Events Meeting the AE Definition

- Any abnormal laboratory test results (hematology, clinical chemistry, or urinalysis) or other safety assessments (eg, ECG, radiological scans, vital signs measurements), including those that worsen from baseline, considered clinically significant in the medical and scientific judgment of the investigator (ie, not related to progression of underlying disease).
- Exacerbation of a chronic or intermittent pre-existing condition including either an increase in frequency and/or intensity of the condition.
- New conditions detected or diagnosed after study intervention administration even though it may have been present before the start of the study.
- Signs, symptoms, or the clinical sequelae of a suspected intervention-intervention interaction.
- Signs, symptoms, or the clinical sequelae of a suspected overdose of either study intervention or a concomitant medication. Overdose per se will not be reported as an AE/SAE unless it is an intentional overdose taken with possible suicidal/self-harming intent. Such overdoses should be reported regardless of sequelae.

Events NOT Meeting the AE Definition

- Any clinically significant abnormal laboratory findings or other abnormal safety assessments which are associated with the underlying disease, unless judged by the investigator to be more severe than expected for the participant's condition.
- Medical or surgical procedure (eg, endoscopy, appendectomy): the condition that leads to the procedure is the AE.
- Situations in which an untoward medical occurrence did not occur (social and/or convenience admission to a hospital).
- Anticipated day-to-day fluctuations of pre-existing disease(s) or condition(s) present or detected at the start of the study that do not worsen.

Other Definitions

Adverse Reaction:

An adverse reaction (AR) is any noxious and unintended response to a study intervention related to any dose.

Immediate Event/Reaction:

Immediate events are recorded to capture medically relevant unsolicited systemic AEs which occur within the first 30 minutes after vaccination.

Reactogenicity / Solicited Reactions

The **reactogenicity** of a vaccine refers to the property of such vaccine to be able to produce common "expected" adverse reactions (either systemic or at the injection site) and its associated signs and symptoms.

A solicited reaction is an "expected" adverse reaction (sign or symptom) observed and reported under the conditions (nature and onset) pre-listed in the protocol and CRF (eg, injection site pain or headache occurring between the day of vaccination and the next 7 days).

By definition, solicited reactions are considered as being related to the corresponding IMP administered.

For injectable vaccines, solicited reactions can either be solicited injection/administration site reactions or solicited systemic reactions

Injection / Administration Site Reactions:

An injection/administration site reaction is an AR at and around the injection/administration site of the IMP. Injection/administration site reactions are commonly inflammatory reactions.

Solicited injection / administration site reactions are reactions at and around the injection / administration site of the IMP observed and reported under the conditions (nature and onset) pre-listed in the protocol and CRF. It is considered by default as being related to the IMP administered at that site.

Note: « Administration site reaction » term is only to be used for vaccines that are not intended to be administered by injection.

Systemic AR:

Systemic ARs are all ARs that are not injection or administration site reactions. They therefore include systemic manifestations such as headache, fever, as well as localized or topical manifestations that are not associated with the injection or administration site (eg, erythema that is localized but that is not occurring at the injection site).

Solicited systemic reactions are systemic AEs observed and reported under the conditions (nature and onset) pre-listed in the protocol and CRF. Solicited systemic reactions occurring

during the specified collection period are always considered related to the IMP even if there is evidence of alternative etiology.

Unsolicited AE/AR

An unsolicited AE is an observed AE that does not fulfill the conditions of solicited reactions, ie, pre-listed in the CRF in terms of diagnosis and onset window post-vaccination. For example, varicella or a solicited term such as headache starting after the solicited observation period (eg, headache starting on Day 10 post-vaccination in the case where headache occurring between the day of vaccination and the next 7 days is pre-listed in the protocol and CRF as a solicited reaction).

An unsolicited AR is an unsolicited AE that is considered related to an IMP.

Unsolicited AEs includes both serious (SAEs) and non-serious unsolicited AEs.

All unsolicited AEs occurring at and around the IMP injection/administration site are to be considered by default as related to the IMP administered at that site and are therefore referred as unsolicited injection/administration site ARs.

All unsolicited AEs which are not at and around the IMP injection/administration site, are referred as systemic unsolicited AE. For each unsolicited systemic AE, the investigator assesses the relationship to the IMP. Systemic AEs assessed as related to IMP are referred as systemic ARs.

Adverse Event of Special Interest (AESI):

An adverse event of special interest (serious or non-serious) is one of scientific and medical concern specific to the Sponsor's study intervention or program, for which ongoing monitoring and rapid communication by the investigator to the Sponsor can be appropriate. Such an event might warrant further investigation in order to characterize and understand it. Depending on the nature of the event, rapid communication by the study Sponsor to other parties (eg, regulators) might also be warranted.

Medically Attended AE (MAAE)

An MAAE is a new onset or a worsening of a condition that prompts the participant or participant's parent/legally acceptable representative to seek unplanned medical advice at a physician's office or Emergency Department. Physician contact made over the phone or by e-mail will be considered a physician office visit for the purpose of MAAE collection. This includes medical advice seeking during the study visit or routine medical care. This definition excludes pediatric check-ups, follow-up visits of chronic conditions with an onset prior to entry in the study, and solicited reactions.

10.2.2 Definition of SAE

An SAE is defined as any adverse event that, at any dose:

- a. Results in death
- b. Is life-threatening

The term 'life-threatening' in the definition of 'serious' refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event. It does not refer to an event, which hypothetically might have caused death, if it were more severe.

- c. Requires inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
- In general, hospitalization signifies that the participant has been admitted (usually involving at least an overnight stay) at the hospital or emergency ward for observation and/or treatment that would not have been appropriate in the physician's office or outpatient setting. Complications that occur during hospitalization are AEs. If a complication prolongs hospitalization or fulfills any other serious criteria, the event is serious. When in doubt as to whether "hospitalization" occurred or was necessary, the AE should be considered serious.
- Hospitalization for elective treatment of a pre-existing condition that did not worsen from baseline is not considered an AE.

d. Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity

- The term disability means a substantial disruption of a person's ability to conduct normal life functions.
- This definition is not intended to include experiences of relatively minor medical significance such as uncomplicated headache, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, influenza, and accidental trauma (eg, sprained ankle) which may interfere with or prevent everyday life functions but do not constitute a substantial disruption.
- e. Is a congenital anomaly/birth defect

f. Is other medically important event

- The term "Other medically important events" refers to events which do not meet any of the above seriousness criteria, but which are considered as serious based on investigator medical judgment
- Medical or scientific judgment should be exercised by the investigator in deciding whether expedited reporting is appropriate in other situations such as significant medical events that may jeopardize the health of the participant or may require intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in the above definition. These important medical events should also usually be considered serious.
- Examples of such events include invasive or malignant cancers, intensive treatment in an emergency room or at home for allergic bronchospasm, blood dyscrasias, convulsions, or development of intervention dependency or intervention abuse, new-onset diabetes or autoimmune disease, or suspected transmission of any infectious agent via an authorised medicinal product.

Note: <u>Serious and severe</u> are not synonymous. The term *severe* is often used to describe the intensity of a specific event as corresponding to Grade 3. This is not the same as *serious*, which is based on participant / event outcome or action criteria usually associated with events that pose a threat to a participant's life or functioning.

10.2.3 Recording and Follow-Up of AE and/or SAE

AE and SAE Recording

- When an AE/SAE occurs, it is the responsibility of the investigator to review all documentation (eg, hospital progress notes, laboratory reports, and diagnostics reports) related to the event.
- The investigator will then record all relevant AE/SAE information.
- It is **not** acceptable for the investigator to send photocopies of the participant's medical records to the Sponsor in lieu of completion of the CRF pages.
- There may be instances when copies of medical records for certain cases are requested by the Sponsor. In this case, all participant identifiers, with the exception of the participant number, will be redacted on the copies of the medical records before submission to the Sponsor.
- The investigator will attempt to establish a diagnosis of the event based on signs, symptoms, and/or other clinical information. Whenever possible, the diagnosis (not the individual signs/symptoms) will be documented as the AE/SAE.

Assessment of Causal Relationship

By convention, all AEs reported at the injection site (either solicited or unsolicited) and all solicited systemic AEs are considered to be related to the IMP (see definition in Section 6) and therefore are referred to as reactions and do not require the investigator's opinion on relatedness.

- Causal relationship of unsolicited systemic AEs and SAEs will be recorded as follows:
 - For non-serious unsolicited systemic AEs (except for non-serious AESIs), relationship to study intervention will usually be assessed by the investigator only.
 - For SAEs and non-serious AESIs, relationship to study intervention will be assessed by both the investigator and the Sponsor (except for injection site reactions which will be related by default). Sponsor assessment is entered in the GPV database only.
 - For SAEs only, the causal relationship to study procedures (related/not related to study procedures) will be assessed by both the investigator and the Sponsor. Sponsor assessment is entered in the GPV database only.
- The investigator will assess the *causal relationship* between each unsolicited systemic AE and the study intervention administered as either *not related* or *related*, based on the following definitions:
 - Not related The AE is clearly / most probably caused by other etiologies such as
 participants' underlying condition, therapeutic intervention, or concomitant therapy; or
 the delay between vaccination and the onset of the AE is incompatible with a causal
 relationship; or the AE started before the first vaccination (screening phase, if
 applicable)
- Related There is a "reasonable possibility" that the AE was caused by the study intervention administered, meaning that there are facts (evidence) or arguments to suggest a causal relationship
- The investigator will use clinical judgment to determine the relationship.
- Alternative causes, such as underlying disease(s), concomitant therapy, and other risk factors, as well as the temporal relationship of the event to study intervention administration will be considered and investigated.
- The investigator will also consult the IB and/or Product Information, for marketed products, in his/her assessment.
- For each AE/SAE, the investigator **must** document in the medical notes that he/she has reviewed the AE/SAE and has provided an assessment of causal relationship.
- There may be situations in which an SAE has occurred and the investigator has minimal information to include in the initial report to the Sponsor. However, it is very important that the investigator always makes an assessment of causal relationship for every event before the initial transmission of the SAE data to the Sponsor.
- The investigator may change his/her opinion of causal relationship in light of follow-up information and send an SAE follow-up report with the updated causal relationship assessment.
- The causal relationship assessment is one of the criteria used when determining regulatory reporting requirements.

Follow-up of AEs and SAEs

- The investigator is obligated to perform or arrange for the conduct of supplemental measurements and/or evaluations as medically indicated or as requested by the Sponsor to elucidate the nature and/or causal relationship of the AE or SAE as fully as possible. This may include additional laboratory tests or investigations, histopathological examinations, or consultation with other health care professionals.
- If a participant dies during participation in the study or during a recognized follow-up period, when available the investigator will provide the Sponsor with a copy of any post-mortem findings including histopathology.
- New or updated information will be recorded in the originally submitted documents.
- The investigator will submit any updated SAE data to the Sponsor within 24 hours of receipt of the information.
- Serious adverse events likely to be related to the study intervention, that persist at the end of the study will be followed up by the investigator until their complete disappearance or the stabilization of the participant's condition. The investigator will inform the Sponsor of the date of final disappearance of the event or the date of "chronicity" establishment.

10.2.4 Reporting of SAEs

SAE Reporting to the Sponsor via an Electronic Data Collection Tool

- The primary mechanism for reporting an SAE to the Sponsor will be the electronic data collection tool.
- If the electronic system is unavailable, then the site will use the paper SAE data collection tool (see next section) to report the event within 24 hours. The site will enter the SAE data into the electronic system as soon as it becomes available.
- After the study is completed at a given site, the electronic data collection tool will be taken off-line to prevent the entry of new data or changes to existing data.
- If a site receives a report of a new SAE from a study participant or receives updated data on a previously reported SAE after the electronic data collection tool has been taken off-line, then the site can report this information on a paper SAE form (see next section).
- Details regarding SAE reporting can be found in the Operating Guidelines.

SAE Reporting to the Sponsor via Paper CRF

- The SAE paper CRF can be sent to the Sponsor by one of the following means:
 - In PDF format to the following e-mail address, using a method of transmission that includes password protection: CL-CPV-receipt@sanofi.com
 - By fax in case of e-mail failure: +33 1 60 49 70 70 By express mail, to the following address: Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology, Sanofi Pasteur Inc. 1 Discovery Drive, Swiftwater, PA, 18370-0187, US

Using a Verbal Autopsy Questionnaire to Aid in Determining the Cause of Death

• In case of the absence or inadequacy of health information that would allow a thorough evaluation of the causes of the death of a study participant, the verbal autopsy procedure may be triggered by either the investigator or the Sponsor. Detailed instructions on the use of the verbal autopsy questionnaire, as well as the questionnaire itself, are provided in the Operating Guidelines.

Safety Emergency Call

If, as per the investigator's judgment, a participant experiences a medical emergency, the investigator may contact the Sponsor's RMO for advice on how to address any study-related medical question or problem. If the RMO is not available, then the investigator may contact the Call Center—available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week—that will forward all safety emergency calls to the appropriate primary or back-up Sanofi Pasteur contact, as needed. The toll-free contact information for the Call Center is provided in the Operating Guidelines.

This process does not replace the need to report an SAE. The investigator is still required to follow the protocol-defined process for reporting SAEs to the GPV Department.

In case of emergency code-breaking, the investigator is required to follow the code-breaking procedures described in Section 6.3.2.

10.2.5 Assessment of Intensity

The investigator will make an assessment of intensity for each AE reported during the study. An intensity grade will be assigned to each AE. The intensity grading scales used in this study are adapted from the "FDA Guidance for Industry: Toxicity Grading Scale for Healthy Adult and Adolescent Volunteers Enrolled in Preventive Vaccine Clinical Trials, September 2007".

10.2.5.1 Tables for Clinical Abnormalities

10.2.5.1.1 Solicited AR Intensity Grading Scale

CRF term (MedDRA lowest level term [LLT])	Injection site pain	Injection site erythema	Injection site swelling	Injection site induration	Injection site bruising
Diary card term	Pain	Redness	Swelling	Hardening	Bruising
Definition	Pain either present spontaneously or when the injection site is touched or injected limb is mobilized	Presence of a redness including the approximate point of needle entry	Swelling at or near the injection site Swelling or edema is caused by a fluid infiltration in tissue or cavity and, depending on the space available for the fluid to disperse, swelling may be either soft (typically) or firm (less typical) to touch and thus can be best described by looking at the size of the swelling	Hardening at or near the injection site. Hardening is caused by a slow diffusion of the product in the tissue leading to a thick or hard area to touch at or near the injection site and thus can be best described by looking at the size of the hardening.	Bruising is the result of the diffusion of blood in the skin from ruptured blood vessels that forms a purple or black and blue spot on the skin. It can be best described by looking at its size.

Table 10.1: Solicited injection site reactions: terminology, definitions, and intensity scales – Children aged 2 through 11 years

scale* Gr Ea tol Gr Su dis to wii bel act Gr Inc un per act Di Gr So int wii Gr So int wii	CRF: Grade 1:	Grade 1: > 0 to < 25 mm Grade 2: $\ge 25 \text{ to}$ < 50 mm Grade 3: $\ge 50 \text{ mm}$	Grade 1: > 0 to < 25 mm	Grade 1: > 0 to < 25 mm	Grade 1: > 0 to < 25 mm
	Easily tolerated		Grade $2: \ge 25$ to < 50 mm	Grade 2: ≥ 25 to < 50 mm	Grade 2: ≥ 25 to < 50 mm
	Grade 2: Sufficiently discomforting to interfere with normal behavior or activities		Grade 3: ≥ 50 mm	Grade 3: ≥ 50 mm	Grade 3: ≥ 50 mm
	Grade 3: Incapacitating, unable to perform usual activities				
	Diary card:				
	Grade 1: No interference with activity				
	Grade 2: Some interference with activity				
	Grade 3: Significant; prevents daily activity				

MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities

* For pain, the scale will be provided in the CRF and the intensity will be transcribed from the diary card. For other injection site reactions (erythema and swelling), the classification as Grades 1, 2, or 3 will be applied at the time of statistical analysis; the scale is provided for information purposes only. The actual size of the reaction will be reported in the CRF.

CRF term (MedDRA	Fever	Headache	Malaise	Myalgia	Chills
lowest level term [LLT])					
Diary card term	Temperature	Headache	Feeling unwell	Muscle aches and pains	Chills
Definition	Elevation of temperature to ≥°38.0°C (≥ 100.4°F)	Pain or discomfort in the head or scalp. Does not include migraine.	General ill feeling. Malaise is a generalized feeling of discomfort, illness, or lack of well-being that can be associated with a disease state. It can be accompanied by a sensation of exhaustion or inadequate energy to accomplish usual activities.	Muscle aches and pains are common and can involve more than one muscle at the same time. Muscle pain can also involve the soft tissues that surround muscles. These structures, which are often referred to as connective tissues, include ligaments, tendons, and fascia (thick bands of tendons). Does not apply to muscle pain at the injection site which should be reported as injection site pain.	Cold feeling
Intensity scale*	Grade 1: $\geq 38.0^{\circ}$ C to $\leq 38.4^{\circ}$ C, or $\geq 100.4^{\circ}$ F to $\leq 101.1^{\circ}$ F	CRF: Grade 1: A type of adverse event that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily	CRF: Grade 1: A type of adverse event that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily	CRF: Grade 1: A type of adverse event that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily	CRF: Grade 1: A type of adverse event that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily

Table 10.2: Solicited systemic reactions: terminology, definitions, and intensity scales – Children aged 2 through 11 years, adolescents or adults aged \geq 12 years

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Grade 2: & \geq 38.5^\circ C \ to & \leq 38.9^\circ C, \\ \hline or & \geq 101.2^\circ F \\ to & \leq 102.0^\circ F \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$	Grade 2: A type	Grade 2: A type of	Grade 2: A type of	Grade 2: A type
	of adverse event	adverse event that	adverse event that	of adverse event
	that is usually	is usually	is usually	that is usually
	alleviated with	alleviated with	alleviated with	alleviated with
	additional	additional	additional	additional
	therapeutic	therapeutic	therapeutic	therapeutic
	intervention. The	intervention. The	intervention. The	intervention. The
	event interferes	event interferes	event interferes	event interferes
	with usual	with usual	with usual	with usual
	activities of daily	activities of daily	activities of daily	activities of daily
	living, causing	living, causing	living, causing	living, causing
	discomfort but	discomfort but	discomfort but	discomfort but
	poses no	poses no	poses no	poses no
	significant or	significant or	significant or	significant or
	permanent risk of	permanent risk of	permanent risk of	permanent risk of
	harm to the	harm to the	harm to the	harm to the
	research	research	research	research
	participant.	participant.	participant.	participant.
	Grade 3: A type	Grade 3: A type of	Grade 3: A type of	Grade 3: A type
	of adverse event	adverse event that	adverse event that	of adverse event
	that interrupts	interrupts usual	interrupts usual	that interrupts
	usual activities of	activities of daily	activities of daily	usual activities of
	daily living, or	living, or	living, or	daily living, or
	significantly	significantly	significantly	significantly
	affects clinical	affects clinical	affects clinical	affects clinical
	status, or may	status, or may	status, or may	status, or may
	require intensive	require intensive	require intensive	require intensive
	Diary card:	Diary card:	Diary card:	Diary card:
	Grade 1: No	Grade 1: No	Grade 1: No	Grade 1: No
	interference with	interference with	interference with	interference with
	activity	activity	activity	activity
	Grade 2: Some	Grade 2: Some	Grade 2: Some	Grade 2: Some
	interference with	interference with	interference with	interference with
	activity	activity	activity	activity
	Grade 3:	Grade 3:	Grade 3:	Grade 3:
	Significant;	Significant;	Significant;	Significant;
	prevents daily	prevents daily	prevents daily	prevents daily
	activity	activity	activity	activity

MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities

* For all reactions (except fever), the scale will be provided in the CRF and the intensity will be transcribed from the diary card. For fever, the body temperature will be recorded, and the classification as Grade 1, 2, or 3 will be assigned at the time of the statistical analysis based on the unit used to measure the temperature and the intensity scale.

Important notes for the accurate assessment of temperature:

Participants or parents / legally acceptable representatives are to measure body temperature once per day, preferably always at the same time. The optimal time for measurement is the evening, when body temperature is the highest. Temperature is also to be measured at the time of any apparent fever. The observed daily temperature and the route of measurement are to be recorded in the DC / eDC / MA, and the highest temperature will be recorded by the site in the CRF. The preferred route for this study is oral.

10.2.5.1.2 Unsolicited AE Intensity Grading Scale

For measurable unsolicited AEs that are part of the list of solicited reactions, the corresponding scale for solicited reactions will be used (see Section 10.2.5.1.1).

All other unsolicited AEs will be classified according to the following intensity scale:

- Grade 1
 - CRF: A type of adverse event that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily living.
 - Diary card: No interference with activity.
- Grade 2
 - CRF: A type of adverse event that is usually alleviated with additional therapeutic intervention. The event interferes with usual activities of daily living, causing discomfort but poses no significant or permanent risk of harm to the research participant.
 - Diary card: Some interference with activity.
- Grade 3
 - CRF: A type of adverse event that interrupts usual activities of daily living, or significantly affects clinical status, or may require intensive therapeutic intervention.
 - Diary card: Significant; prevents daily activity.

10.3 Appendix: Contingency measures for a regional or national emergency that is declared by a governmental agency

Continuation of the study in the event of a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency:

A regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency (eg, public health emergency, natural disaster, pandemic, terrorist attack) may prevent access to the clinical trial site.

Contingency procedures are suggested below and in Section 5.5, Section 6.4, Section 7.1.1, Section 8, and Section 10.1.3 for an emergency that prevents access to the study site, to ensure the safety of the participants, to consider continuity of the clinical study conduct, protect trial integrity, and assist in maintaining compliance with Good Clinical Practice in Conduct of Clinical Trials Guidance. Sponsor agreement MUST be obtained prior to the implementation of these procedures for the duration of the emergency.

During the emergency, if the site will be unable to adequately follow protocol mandated procedures, alternative treatment outside the clinical trial should be proposed, and [screening/enrollment/randomization/administration of study intervention] may be [temporarily delayed/halted] (see also Section 5.5).

Attempts should be made to perform all assessments in accordance with the approved protocol to the extent possible. In case this is not possible due to a temporary disruption caused by an emergency, focus should be given to assessments necessary to ensure the safety of participants and those important to preserving the main scientific value of the study.

Procedures to be considered in the event of a regional or national emergency declared by a governmental agency:

- If onsite visits are not possible, remote visits (eg, with home nurses, home health vendor, etc.) may be planned for the collection of possible safety and/or efficacy data
- If onsite visits are not possible visit windows may be extended for assessment of safety and/or efficacy data that cannot be obtained remotely
- Use of local clinic or laboratory locations may be allowed

Contingencies implemented due to emergency will be documented.

10.4 Appendix: Risk-based Approach

ICH E6-R2 guideline for GCP is introducing the « risk-based approach » concept which permits to focus efforts on what is critical for a study and most specifically on Critical Data and Critical Processes. Critical data and processes are defined for the study with associated risks in the Study Risk Management Plan.

10.5 Appendix: Abbreviations

AE	Adverse Events
AESI	Adverse events of special interest
AF	assent form
AR	Adverse reactions
CI	confidence interval
CRB	case report book
CRF	Case report form
D	day
DC	diary card
eDC	electronic diary card
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ESDR	early safety data review
FAS	Full analysis set
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FIC	firewalled Internal Committee
GBS	Guillain-Barré Syndrome
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
GMT	geometric mean titer
GPV	Global Pharmacovigilance
HAI	hemagglutination inhibition
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability
IB	Investigator's Brochure
ICF	informed consent form
ICH	International Council for Harmonisation
IDMC	Independent Data Monitoring Committee
IEC	Independent Ethics Committees
IM	intramuscular
IMP	Investigational Medicinal Product
IRB	Institutional Review Boards
IRT	Interactive Response Technology

IIV3	Egg-Based Trivalent Influenza Vaccine
IIV4	Egg-Based Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine
LLOQ	lower limit of quantitation
MAAE	medically attended adverse event
MDCK	Madin-Darby canine kidney
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
NI	non-inferior
NIMP	Non- Investigational Medicinal Product;
PPAS	Per-protocol analysis set
PPoS	predictive power of success
PSC	Protein Sciences Corporation
PV	pharmacovigilance
QTL	quality tolerance limit
RCDC	reverse cumulative distribution curve
RIV4	quadrivalent inactivated influenza vaccine
RMO	Responsible Medical Officer
SAE	Serious adverse events
SafAS	Safety Analysis Set
SAP	Statistical analysis plan
SC	seroconversion
SMT	safety management team
SN	seroneutralization
SoA	Schedule of Activities
TBD	to be determined
WHO	World Health Organization

11 References

1. Grohskopf LA, Alyanak E, Broder KR, Walter EB, Fry AM, Jernigan DB. Prevention and Control of Seasonal Influenza with Vaccines: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices - United States, 2019-20 Influenza Season. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2019;68(3):1-21.

Munoz FM. The impact of influenza in children. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis. 2002;13(2):72 8.

3. Peltola V, Ziegler T, Ruuskanen O. Influenza A and B virus infections in children. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;36(3):299-305.

4. Antonova EN, Rycroft CE, Ambrose CS, Heikkinen T, Principi N. Burden of paediatric influenza in Western Europe: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:968.

5. Willis GA, Preen DB, Richmond PC, Jacoby P, Effler PV, Smith DW, et al. The impact of influenza infection on young children, their family and the health care system. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2019;13(1):18-27.

6. Kondrich J, Rosenthal M. Influenza in children. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2017;29(3):297-302.

7. Kumar V. Influenza in Children. Indian J Pediatr. 2017;84(2):139-43.

8. Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, Muscatello DJ, Palekar R, Tempia S, et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. Lancet. 2018;391(10127):1285-300.

9. Matias G, Taylor R, Haguinet F, Schuck-Paim C, Lustig R, Shinde V. Estimates of hospitalization attributable to influenza and RSV in the US during 1997-2009, by age and risk status. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):271.

10. World Health Organization. Vaccines against influenza - WHO position paper - November 2012 - Weekly epidemiological record 2012 [461-76]. Available from: https://www.who.int/wer/2012/wer8747/en/.

11. World Health Organization. Global influenza strategy 2019-2030 2019 [Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/311184.

12. Demicheli V, Jefferson T, Ferroni E, Rivetti A, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;2(2):Cd001269.

13. Thompson WW, Shay DK, Weintraub E, Brammer L, Cox N, Anderson LJ, et al. Mortality associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in the United States. Jama. 2003;289(2):179-86.

14. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD). Past Seasons Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates 2019 [Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccines-work/past-seasons-estimates.html.

15. Ruat C, Caillet C, Bidaut A, Simon J, Osterhaus AD. Vaccination of macaques with adjuvanted formalin-inactivated influenza A virus (H5N1) vaccines: protection against H5N1 challenge without disease enhancement. J Virol. 2008;82(5):2565-9.

16. Treanor JJ, El Sahly H, King J, Graham I, Izikson R, Kohberger R, et al. Protective efficacy of a trivalent recombinant hemagglutinin protein vaccine (FluBlok®) against influenza in healthy adults: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Vaccine. 2011;29(44):7733-9.

17. Baxter R, Patriarca PA, Ensor K, Izikson R, Goldenthal KL, Cox MM. Evaluation of the safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of FluBlok® trivalent recombinant baculovirus-expressed hemagglutinin influenza vaccine administered intramuscularly to healthy adults 50-64 years of age. Vaccine. 2011;29(12):2272-8.

18. Keitel WA, Treanor JJ, El Sahly HM, Gilbert A, Meyer AL, Patriarca PA, et al. Comparative immunogenicity of recombinant influenza hemagglutinin (rHA) and trivalent inactivated vaccine (TIV) among persons > or =65 years old. Vaccine. 2009;28(2):379-85.

19. Dunkle LM, Izikson R, Patriarca P, Goldenthal KL, Muse D, Callahan J, et al. Efficacy of Recombinant Influenza Vaccine in Adults 50 Years of Age or Older. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(25):2427-36.

20. Dunkle LM, Izikson R, Patriarca PA, Goldenthal KL, Muse D, Cox MMJ. Randomized Comparison of Immunogenicity and Safety of Quadrivalent Recombinant Versus Inactivated Influenza Vaccine in Healthy Adults 18-49 Years of Age. J Infect Dis. 2017;216(10):1219-26.

21. King JC, Jr., Cox MM, Reisinger K, Hedrick J, Graham I, Patriarca P. Evaluation of the safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of FluBlok trivalent recombinant baculovirus-expressed hemagglutinin influenza vaccine administered intramuscularly to healthy children aged 6-59 months. Vaccine. 2009;27(47):6589-94.

22. Dunkle LM, Izikson R, Patriarca PA, Goldenthal KL, Cox M, Treanor JJ. Safety and Immunogenicity of a Recombinant Influenza Vaccine: A Randomized Trial. Pediatrics. 2018;141(5).

23. Quadrivalent Recombinant Influenza Vaccine. Investigator's Brochure. Version 8.0 dated 16 December 2021.

24. Hansen J, Goddard K, Timbol J, Zhang L, Lewis N, Dunkle L, et al. Safety of Recombinant Influenza Vaccine Compared to Inactivated Influenza Vaccine in Adults: An Observational Study. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020;7(6).

25. McNeil MM, Weintraub ES, Duffy J, Sukumaran L, Jacobsen SJ, Klein NP, et al. Risk of anaphylaxis after vaccination in children and adults. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;137(3):868-78.

12 Sponsor Signature Page

Signature Page for VV-CLIN-0624784 v3.0 vap00026-protocol

Approve & eSign