

***MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA***

**Study Protocol**

**Study Title: The SPARC App: A Smartphone Application for the Management of Sarcoidosis-Associated Fatigue**

**PI: Dr. W. Ennis James**

**Date and Version of Protocol:**  
V6, 9/21/21

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>                | The SPARC App: A Smartphone Application for the Management of Sarcoidosis-Associated Fatigue   |
| <b>Clinical Phase</b>       |  |
| <b>Objective</b>            | To develop, refine, and test a smartphone app intended to decrease fatigue in sarcoidosis patients   |
| <b>Endpoints</b>            | Primary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility and usability</li> </ul> Secondary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes in SAF, self-efficacy, stress, autonomous motivation, and QoL.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Study Population</b>     | Sarcoidosis patients with significant sarcoidosis associated fatigue   |
| <b>Number of Subjects</b>   | 50   |
| <b>Number of sites</b>      | 1  |
| <b>Study Duration</b>       | 12 months  |
| <b>Study Design</b>         | Conduct a 12 week, 2-arm (SPARC vs. enhanced Standard Care) feasibility RCT in 50 SPs with SAF. Evaluations will occur at baseline, weeks 4 & 12 and a post-trial follow-up at week 24. The SPARC App will include stress and fatigue management tools previously developed using subject input. Standard of care includes education on stress and fatigue in addition to offering referral to outpatient pulmonary rehab. <p>2a: Primary outcomes of feasibility benchmarks: <math>\geq 80\%</math> recruitment, <math>\geq 80\%</math> retention rates &amp; usability; <math>\geq .70</math> adherence to twice daily BAM sessions; <math>\geq 75\%</math> of sample will score above average on usability &amp; satisfaction questionnaires (SUS <math>&gt;68</math>, uMARS <math>&gt;64</math> &amp; TSUQ <math>&gt;60</math>).</p> <p>2b: Secondary outcomes of changes in SAF, self-efficacy, stress, autonomous motivation, and QoL.</p> |
| <b>Assessments</b>          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility and usability: <math>\geq 80\%</math> recruitment, <math>\geq 80\%</math> retention rates &amp; usability; <math>\geq .70</math> adherence to twice daily BAM sessions; <math>\geq 75\%</math> of sample will score above average on usability &amp; satisfaction questionnaires (SUS <math>&gt;68</math>, uMARS <math>&gt;64</math> &amp; TSUQ <math>&gt;60</math>).</li> <li>• Efficacy (exploratory): Changes in SAF, self-efficacy, stress, autonomous motivation, and QoL.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Statistical Analyses</b> | Data will be summarized descriptively for all measures and variables. Analysis of feasibility and usability measures, as well as preliminary efficacy measures will be described in detail in additional sections included in this protocol  |

## SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENTS

Table 1: Schedule of Assessments

| Trial Week                       |  | Clinical Trial Period |   |    | Follow-up |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|----|-----------|
|                                  |  | 0<br>(Screening)      | 4 | 12 | 24        |
|                                  | Demographics <sup>a</sup>                                  | X                     |   |    |           |
|                                  | Weight   | X                     |   | X  |           |
|                                  | Height   | X                     |   |    |           |
|                                  | Medical History  | X                     |   |    |           |
|                                  | Concomitant Medications                                    | X                     | X | X  | X         |
|                                  | Eligibility Review   | X                     |   |    |           |
|                                  | Percent Recruitment and Drop-out Rate                      |                       |   | X  |           |
|                                  | System Usability Scale (SUS)                               |                       |   | X  |           |
|                                  |  |                       |   |    |           |
| Feasibility and System Usability | uMARS <sup>b</sup>   |                       |   | X  |           |
|                                  | TSUQ <sup>c</sup>  |                       |   | X  |           |
|                                  | Adherence <sup>d</sup>                                     |                       |   | X  |           |
|                                  | FSE <sup>e</sup>   | X                     |   | X  |           |
|                                  | TSRQ <sup>f</sup>  | X                     |   | X  |           |
| SDT Constructs                   | VBG <sup>g</sup>   | X                     |   | X  |           |
|                                  | Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) <sup>h</sup>                | X                     | X | X  | X         |
|                                  | King's Sarcoidosis Health Questionnaire (KSQ) <sup>i</sup> | X                     | X | X  | X         |
| Exploratory Outcomes             | Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) <sup>j</sup>                  | X                     | X | X  | X         |
|                                  | International Physical Activity Questionnaire <sup>k</sup> | X                     | X | X  | X         |

<sup>a</sup> Age, sex, race, income, education level, employment<sup>b</sup> User Version of Mobile App Rating Scale (uMARS;  $\alpha = .90$ , test-retest [2,3 mos] .66, .70)<sup>c</sup> Patient/Provider SPARC Treatment Satisfaction & Usability Scale (TSUQ;  $\alpha = .82-.96$ , test- retest [1wk] .98)<sup>d</sup> % adherence to SPARC meditation dose(10min BID for 12 weeks)<sup>e</sup> Fatigue Self-Efficacy Scale (FSE;  $\alpha = 0.89-0.94$ )<sup>f</sup> 94 Autonomous Self-Motivation (TSRQ;  $\alpha = .81- .84$ )<sup>g</sup> 80, Values, Beliefs, Goals Questionnaire (VBG;  $\alpha = .72-.93$ ; Test-retest (2 week) .52-.89)<sup>h</sup> Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS;10 items,  $\alpha = 0.8-0.89$ ; Test-retest 0.89)<sup>63</sup><sup>i</sup> King's Sarcoidosis Health Questionnaire (KSQ)<sup>115</sup><sup>j</sup> Stress (PSS-10 items;  $\alpha = 0.78$ )<sup>64</sup><sup>k</sup> International Physical Activity Questionnaire: (test-retest (8-10days) .8)

**4 TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>1 Title Page</b>                        | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2 Protocol Synopsis</b>                 | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>3 Schedule of Assessments</b>           | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>4 Table of Contents</b>                 | <b>4</b>  |
| List of Tables                             | 5         |
| <b>5 List of Abbreviations</b>             | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>6 Introduction</b>                      | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>7 Study Objectives</b>                  | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>8 Study Endpoints</b>                   | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>9 Study Design</b>                      | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>10 Selection of Study Population</b>    | <b>15</b> |
| <b>11 Assessments</b>                      | <b>16</b> |
| <b>12 Risks to Subjects</b>                | <b>16</b> |
| <b>13 Subject Withdrawal</b>               | <b>19</b> |
| <b>14 Statistical and Analytical Plans</b> | <b>19</b> |
| <b>16 References</b>                       | <b>21</b> |

**List of Tables**

|         |   |    |
|---------|---|----|
| Table 1 | Schedule of Assessments                                       | 3  |
| Table 2 | Measurement/Instruments and Time Points for Feasibility Trial | 11 |
| Table 3 | Classifications for Outcome of an Adverse Event               | 14 |

## 5

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Definition  |
|--------------|---|
| SAF          | Sarcoidosis Associated Fatigue                        |
| BAM          | Breathing Awareness Meditation                        |
| TT           | Tension Tamer   |
| SPARC        | Sarcoidosis Patient Assessment and Resource Companion |
| RCT          | Randomized Control Trial                              |
| SP           | Sarcoidosis Patients                                  |
| SC           | Standard of Care                                      |
| QOL          | Quality of life                                       |
| PSS          | Perceived stress scale                                |

## 6 INTRODUCTION

### Overview of Sarcoidosis and Sarcoidosis associated fatigue

Sarcoidosis is a systemic granulomatous inflammatory disease that disproportionately impacts African Americans. Sarcoidosis associated fatigue (SAF) is the most commonly reported symptom (80%) and considered the most important predictor of quality of life (QoL) due to its negative effects on physical and psychological health.<sup>6-9</sup> Pharmacologic therapy for SAF is unreliable, and while several formal group-based exercise/rehabilitation programs conducted in Europe have been efficacious in reducing SAF, dissemination of such programs is limited by availability and costs in an American sarcoidosis population with high poverty rates and reduced healthcare access.<sup>42</sup> Strong associations (r range: 0.54 to 0.89) have been observed between SAF and stress levels, stress-induced negative affective states (anxiety, depression) and reduced QoL.<sup>22-29</sup> Stress levels and associated negative affective states have been managed in other chronic diseases characterized by high fatigue (e.g., cancer, HIV, COPD) using cognitive behavioral stress management tactics, including various types of relaxation exercise such as breathing meditation.<sup>32-40</sup>

Mobile health technology-based (mHealth) solutions are increasingly being used to help patients improve healthcare knowledge, increase health-promoting behaviors and adherence to medical regimens. Our work and that of others using theory based, patient/provider guided iterative design have found high patient receptivity to mHealth self-management programs.<sup>44-50, 62</sup> To date, no mHealth apps have been developed to assist sarcoidosis patients (SPs) in managing SAF.

## 7 STUDY OBJECTIVE

The objective of this proposal is to conduct a randomized control trial evaluating the Sarcoidosis Patient Assessment and Resource Companion (SPARC) App, including assessing the feasibility of our study methodology, and estimating variability of outcomes and treatment effect on stress and fatigue and QOL measures. We hypothesize the SPARC App will be deemed a feasible, acceptable tool with high usability among sarcoidosis patients. Additionally, we expect to experience preliminary signals of clinical efficacy and obtain estimates of variability of changes in secondary outcomes such as perceived stress, fatigue, quality of life and self-efficacy due to engagement in the SPARCs breathing awareness meditation module.

Specific aims of this proposal are:

Specific Aim 1. Conduct a 12 week feasibility RCT with a follow-up at 24 weeks with 50 SPs with SAF. They will be randomly assigned to SPARC or an enhanced attention control group stratified by healthcare provider. They will be evaluated at baseline, 4, 12 and 24 weeks.

2a. Demonstrate feasibility of SPARC (benchmarks:  $\geq 80\%$  recruitment,  $\geq 80\%$  retention rates) and usability ( $\geq .70$  adherence to twice daily SPARC sessions); usability and treatment satisfaction scores:  $\geq 75\%$  will score above average on usability & satisfaction questionnaires (SUS  $>68$ , uMARS  $>64$  & TSUQ  $>60$ ). Back-end analytics will assess uptake of SPARC App (total engagement time) and its features (e.g., frequency of provider service line use, FAQ, video clips- sarcoidosis, fatigue & stress educational modules, sarcoidosis patient testimonials, etc.).

2b. Obtain estimates of variability of changes in secondary outcomes: stress (PSS), SAF,

QoL, fatigue self-efficacy (FSE) & autonomous motivation (TSRQ).

## 8 STUDY ENDPOINTS

- The primary measures will be feasibility and usability:  $\geq 80\%$  recruitment,  $\geq 80\%$  retention rates & usability;  $\geq .70$  adherence to twice daily SPARC sessions;  $\geq 75\%$  of sample will score above average on usability & satisfaction questionnaires (SUS  $>68$ , uMARS  $>64$  & TSUQ  $>60$ ).
- Secondary/exploratory efficacy endpoints: Changes in SAF, self-efficacy, stress, autonomous motivation, and QoL.

## 9 STUDY DESIGN

### 9.1 Overview of Study Design

SPs with SAF will then be recruited to participate in a 12-week randomized control trial testing the feasibility and preliminary efficacy of the App to reduce sarcoidosis associated fatigue and impact other QoL measures. All subjects in the SPARC App group will be instructed on the use of the App and instructed to use the SPARC meditation module twice daily. Those in the standard of care group will receive in-clinic education on stress and fatigue in sarcoidosis, and will be offered a referral to pulmonary rehab (current standard of practice in sarcoidosis clinic)

#### 9.1.1 Synopsis of Our Research Pertinent to the Study

**Mobile phone & mHealth Attitudes Survey:** Out of 194 SPs with SAF (mean age 47 yrs, 65% AAs), 98% owned a cell phone and 84% owned a smart phone with internet activation. They were facile in use of cell phones (e.g., 98% sent/received SMS, 36% browsed internet, 34% sent/received email, 42% downloaded apps). SPs reported high acceptability of using apps to manage their stress & fatigue. Following a video demo of the TT app (Fig. 1a,1b) and a Fitbit Walking app, all SPs with “significant” SAF (Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) score  $\geq 22$ ) either “agreed” or “strongly agreed” both apps would help them manage stress and fatigue and would be willing to use the apps as directed if they were free. SPs liked the overall design and ease of use of the TT app over the Fitbit walking app (4.1 vs 3.9 on 5-point scale).<sup>129</sup>

**Associations between stress, fatigue and self-efficacy for coping with SAF.** The mean FAS score was 31.0, with “significant” fatigue defined as a score  $\geq 22$ .<sup>64</sup> Using the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-4), 50% reported significant stress, defined as a score of  $\geq 8$ .<sup>19, 64</sup> Similar results were reported by Cox et. al., who found 55% of SPs reported PSS-4 scores  $\geq 8$ .<sup>19</sup> Fatigue levels (FAS score) were moderately correlated ( $r=0.65$ ) with perceived stress levels. We also assessed fatigue self-efficacy in coping with SAF. We relabeled the 8 item Likert-type Multiple Sclerosis[MS]-Fatigue Self-Efficacy Scale (FSE, internal consistency: Cronbach  $\alpha$  0.89-0.94).<sup>65</sup> SPs confirmed its applicability to SAF, no comprehension issues were identified, and Cronbach  $\alpha$  was .97. Mean scores/standard deviations on the FSE indicated low self-efficacy in coping with SAF (e.g., mean scores SPs: 47.3 vs MS data: 46.8; range 0-100 with 100 representing

SPARC

high FSE).<sup>65,66</sup> FSE scores were highly correlated to both PSS (-0.89) and FAS (-0.97) scores.<sup>16</sup>

**SPARC proof of concept trial:** Based upon our survey responses indicating overall slightly higher preference for the the SPARC prototype app over the Fitbit app in its design, ease of use & self-efficacy of using if free service assistance provided, a 3 mth. proof of concept trial was conducted with SPs with SAF (n=18). The SPARC group engaged in 10 min sessions twice per day and the other group received standard of care (SC). We observed high acceptability (100% participation: 5.5% attrition), self- efficacy for following the SPARC regimen (mean 4.6 on 5-point Likert scale) and adherence over the 3 months (.mo1:81%, mo2:72%, mo3:65%-1 subject began chemotherapy during mth3). As shown in Fig1a&b, the SPARC group reported greater reductions in stress (PSS) and fatigue (FAS) compared to the SC group at months 1 & 3 (all ps <.05- .07). We received helpful suggestions from the participants for further adapting the SPARC app for SPs (e.g., video module having a SP engaging in BAM, several video testimonials by SPs stating how it helps increase energy levels, reduces stress, fatigue and improves quality of sleep; MD give overview of sarcoidosis & role of stress & fatigue).



### 9.1.2 Screening

All SPs will be recruited at MUSC's Sarcoidosis Clinic. In the last year 924 unique sarcoidosis patients were seen at the clinic (over 2,000 patient encounters). Dr. James is present in clinic 5 days/week, and sees a relatively high number of new sarcoidosis patients each week (20% of scheduled sarcoidosis patients). All sarcoidosis patients seen at MUSC complete the Fatigue Associated with Sarcoidosis (FAS) scale as part of their standard of care. Sarcoidosis patients with "significant" fatigue, defined by a FAS score  $\geq 22$ , will be offered the opportunity for screening to participate in the trial (see Inclusion and Exclusion criteria below). Screening for eligibility for participation in the trial will be conducted via a combination of chart review and direct self-report from patients on defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, outlined below. Based on the demographics of MUSC's sarcoidosis patient population, we estimate enrolled subjects will be 65% African American and 63% female.

### 9.1.3 Randomized Control Trial

**Primary outcomes:** feasibility of enrolling & retaining SPs (benchmarks:  $\geq 80\%$  recruitment,  $\geq 80\%$  retention) and usability (benchmarks:  $\geq 70\%$  adherence to bid SPARC meditation sessions; usability and

## SPARC

treatment satisfaction ( $\geq 75\%$  of sample will score above average on SUS(>68), uMARS (>64), TSUQ (>60)).

**Secondary outcomes:** Differences between the SPARC & SC groups in changes in fatigue (SAF), fatigue self-efficacy (FSE), stress (PSS), autonomous motivation (TSRQ) and QoL (KSQ). Any negative effect of SPARC on patients QOL will be recorded as part of the secondary outcomes.

**Procedures:** Consent will be obtained either on paper or eConsent will be obtained using Redcap. Following informed consent, SPs will be randomly assigned to SPARC or enhanced standard of care (SC) control group using the randomization module of the web-based REDCap study database. Standard of care includes education on stress and sarcoidosis fatigue, as well as recommending referral to pulmonary rehabilitation. Those in SPARC group will have SPARC app downloaded on their phone by the research coordinator. Use of the App will be reviewed and the subject will demonstrate ability to navigate the app, use components without assistance prior to leaving clinic. The research coordinator will assist in ensuring the SP have performed the first SPARC BAM session properly and completed the appropriate questionnaires prior to leaving the clinic.

A review of concomitant medications will be collected at the screening visit and reviewed at all study visits for changes. There are no patient-safety related adverse events possible, but any negative effect of SPARC on patients QOL will be recorded as part of the secondary outcomes. All SPs will return to clinic at weeks 4, 12 and 24 for routine clinical care. During those visits, questionnaires will be given, according to the Schedule of Assessments (Table 1-2). Questionnaires will be given orally or read on their own, based on their preference.

**SPARC App Use:** SPs who randomize into the SPARC group will engage in BAM sessions for 10 min twice daily. The SPARC app is developed based on reviews of the SAF literature, and adaptation of the original TT app using SP & healthcare provider input with low literacy-based strategies and guided by the principles of Self-Determination Theory (SDT).<sup>76, 79</sup> SDT focuses on developing competence (akin to self-efficacy in Social Cognitive Theory) and autonomous regulation.<sup>71</sup> Consistent strong effects of these SDT mediators have been observed for various health behavior changes (e.g., physical activity, smoking cessation, diet).<sup>66,77,78,80-83</sup> We will promote autonomous motivation by sending tailored motivational/social reinforcement messages linking subjects' behavioral changes (e.g., adherence to BID BAM sessions) to their personal values, beliefs and short/long term goals derived from a branch logic questionnaire (Values, Beliefs, Goals Questionnaire).<sup>96</sup> Domains identified include family, faith, friendships, community activities-membership/volunteer work; attendance and/or participation in leisure, sports & recreational activities and work related events. Domains frequently reported by subjects to be important drivers of behavior were "family, faith and friends." For example, God was often linked to having guided them to a "good doctor" or "pills that work and don't make me as tired." Power of prayer was often noted in conjunction with "praising God and showing thanks for the continued gift of life by treating my body as his temple." Family and community cohesiveness and support, especially activities with friends and family members were primary adherence motivators related to short-term life goals (e.g., increased time gardening, fishing, playing with grandchildren, attending church functions, etc.). Responses will guide delivery of >900 different automated motivational/social encouragement messages based upon levels of adherence to their SPARC regimen. A sample SMS feedback for an adherence score of 1.00 is: "*Way to go! Every day of Taming your tension keeps you on track for (patient identified values, e.g., "many more years watching those grandkids grow up").* If partially or completely non-adherent, he/she might receive: "*You must have been really busy yesterday. Get back on track with Tamer to keep reducing stress & fatigue and plan some special time with your grandkids.*"

**SPARC**

With each use subjects place their finger over the phone's camera, which serves as a plethysmograph to collect the subject's real-time heart rate in response to deep breathing meditation. Compliance with the SPARC Meditation module use will be monitored based on heart rate data collected during a subject's use of the App. Viewing the heart rate graph also serves as a form of positive feedback for subjects. App usage analytics will assess SPARC App uptake (total engagement time) and its features (e.g., frequency of provider service line use, video modules: sarcoidosis & stress educational modules, BAM demo, SP testimonials, etc.).

Subjects will be provided \$50 compensation for time and effort at each evaluation. The App will have 2 links to address any issues with the app or their clinical care. Clinical care questions will be routed to the sarcoidosis nurse coordinator. Technical questions will be routed to the TACHL tech service line.

**Measures:** **Table 2** presents all outcome variables, questionnaires and timing of administration. Most scales have been used with 21-59 yr old AAs and Non-Hispanic Whites and have established psychometrics. We provide brief psychometric information (e.g., internal consistency, test-retest reliability).

**Table 2. Measurement/Instruments and Time Points for Feasibility Trial**

| Outcomes                                    | Psychometrics  | Time               |
|---|--|--------------------|
| <b>Primary Outcomes</b>                     |  |                    |
| Feasibility and System Usability            | % recruitment, drop-out rate; System Usability Scale(SUS); <sup>108,109</sup> User Version of Mobile App Rating Scale (uMARS; $\alpha=.90$ , test-retest [2,3 mos] .66, .70); <sup>110,111</sup> Patient/Provider SPARC Treatment Satisfaction & Usability Scale (TSUQ; $\alpha=.82-.96$ , test- retest [1wk] .98); <sup>112,113</sup> % adherence to BAM dose(10min BID for 12 weeks) | Week 12            |
| <b>Secondary &amp; Exploratory Outcomes</b> |  |                    |
| SDT Constructs:                             | Competence/self efficacy: Fatigue Self-Efficacy Scale (FSE; $\alpha=0.89-0.94$ ); <sup>94</sup> Autonomous Self-Motivation (TSRQ; $\alpha=.81-.84$ ); <sup>80,114</sup> Values, Beliefs, Goals Questionnaire (VBG; $\alpha=.72-.93$ ; Test-retest (2 week) .52-.89)  | Week 0 & 12        |
| Fatigue, QoL, Stress, Physical activity     | Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS;10 items, $\alpha = 0.8-0.89$ ; Test-retest 0.89) <sup>63</sup> ; QoL scale in King's Sarcoidosis Health Questionnaire (KSQ) <sup>115</sup> ; Stress (PSS-10 items; $\alpha = 0.78$ ) <sup>64</sup> ,International Physical Activity Questionnaire: test-retest (8-10days) .8) <sup>116</sup>  | Week 0, 4, 12 & 24 |
| Demographic Variables                       | Age, sex, race, income, education level, employment  | Week 0             |

**Data Management:** The Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) system will be used for data collection and as the primary database, including assignment of variable names and coding, design of data entry forms, automated data entry error checks, quality control checks, and database access and locking. All assessments and clinical data will be entered into a standardized password-protected database behind MUSC's firewall. Data will be reviewed on a twice-monthly basis. Outlying, inconsistent data values, as well as missing data, will be targets of the data quality review.

## 10 SELECTION OF STUDY POPULATION

Patients with sarcoidosis with “significant” fatigue, defined by a FAS score  $\geq 22$ , will be offered the opportunity for screening to participate in the trial.

Screening for eligibility for participation in the trial will be conducted via a combination of chart review and direct self-report from patients on defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, outlined below.

### 10.1 Inclusion Criteria

- Must have a diagnosis of sarcoidosis based on established criteria (diagnosis in medical

chart)

- Must have “significant” fatigue, defined as a Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) score  $\geq 22$
- Must be 18 years of age or older
- Must report current or recent daily use of a smartphone
- Able to speak, hear, and understand English
- Owns smartphone with current data plan
- Willingness and ability to use app to engage in BAM
- Must be able to read and provide informed consent as assessed by study staff

## **10.2 Exclusion Criteria**

Subjects will be excluded if they have any of the following:

- Women who self-report being pregnant, currently nursing, or plan to become pregnant during the course of the study
- Self-reported or chart indicated history of psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, eating disorder, narcolepsy, cancer diagnosis or treatment in past 12 months
- Any history of, or past positive screening for, major depression per medical chart or self-report on diagnosis
- Untreated sleep apnea
- Sarcoidosis exacerbation in past 3 months requiring increase in sarcoidosis medications as determined by medical team
- $>2$  hr travel distance to medical center
- Self-report of active substance abuse or binge drinking( $>21$  drinks/week)
- Presence of ongoing or unstable hematologic, endocrine, cardiovascular, renal, gastrointestinal, or neurologic disease (including but not limited to sickle cell disease with recent pain crises, unstable heart failure or peripheral vascular disease, adrenal insufficiency, unstable chronic kidney disease (stage 3 or higher), unstable inflammatory bowel disease, or stroke resulting in permanent neurologic deficits)

# **11 ASSESSMENTS**

## **11.1 Timing of Assessments**

The timing of assessments is shown in Tables 1-2 and 2

## **11.2 Clinical Assessments**

### **11.2.1 Subject and Disease Characteristics**

Demographic information (see table 1-2) will be captured at the screening visit. Relevant medical history, including history of current disease, other pertinent respiratory history, and information regarding underlying diseases will be recorded. Specific to sarcoidosis, organ involvement and diagnostic history (biopsy confirmation) will be recorded.

### **11.2.2 Concomitant Medications**

Information regarding all medications at the time enrollment and through the last study visit will be recorded.

### **11.2.3 Feasibility/Usability Measures**

See table 1.2 and 2

### **11.2.4 Exploratory Efficacy Measures**

See table 1.2 and 2

## **12 RISKS TO THE SUBJECTS**

### **12.1 Human Subjects Involved and Characteristics**

Admission into the study is open to men and women 18 years of age or older, and to all racial and ethnic groups. A total of 50 subjects will be enrolled. The primary source of recruitment will be through screening of sarcoidosis patients seen in the Sarcoidosis Clinic at the Susan Pearlstine Sarcoidosis Center of Excellence at MUSC. We will also advertise the study through MUSC's sarcoidosis website, the Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research's website, and word of mouth among subjects.

### **12.2 Sources of Materials**

- 1) Research material obtained from individuals will include socio-demographic and clinical data, questionnaires completed using a laptop computer or by hard copy and heart rate data transmitted to the server via the SPARC App. To ensure confidentiality, all subject data will be coded using a number system, and only key investigators will have access to the master list of codes.
- 2) The research material will be obtained specifically for the purposes of this research project. All paper documents and questionnaires will be stored in a locked file cabinet in the principal investigators office in the Pulmonary and Critical Care Division, which remains locked when not in use. PHI and patient data obtained for research purposes will be entered into an online standardized database (REDCap) that is password protected behind the MUSC firewall.

### **12.3 Potential Risks**

Risks to subjects in this study include disclosure of protected health information (PHI). In theory participation in this study could lead to increased stress from the requirements of the intervention, but the study is designed to minimize this possibility.

### **12.4 ADEQUACY OF PROTECTION AGAINST RISKS**

#### **12.4.1 Recruitment and Informed Consent**

## SPARC

Subjects will primarily be recruited from the Sarcoidosis Clinic at MUSC. Medical records will not be routinely reviewed to identify potential subjects, except when providers suggest evaluating specific individuals who they feel may be candidates. All patients attending the Medical University of South Carolina Pulmonary Clinics who may be eligible will be recruited for participation in the study. In addition, a chart review will be conducted by the research coordinator and/or the PI for research purposes for all patients seen at MUSC who may meet study eligibility. The initial approach to the prospect to ascertain the patient's level of interest will be done by their treating physician (i.e., no cold calling). Interested patients will be offered participation in the study during a routine or previously scheduled clinic visit; or contact by a study team member. The study will also be advertised using flyers in waiting areas, sarcoidosis patient support group meetings (hosted at MUSC quarterly), and the MUSC website. All other patients (outside of MUSC) will be contacted through their providers to be informed of the study if the provider feels it is appropriate.

Eligibility for the study of screened patients will be tracked, and we will keep a record of number of potential participants screened, including reasons for exclusion/refusal. For each potential participant, we will review all eligibility criteria rather than stopping at the first reason for exclusion encountered. Those deemed eligible based on criteria will proceed to consent.

Consent will be obtained by the study PI, a Co-I, or other qualified study staff. The informed consent process will include a detailed description of study procedures and the SPARC app. Subjects will be clearly informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences. The informed consent form will be written at an eighth grade reading level and will be explained in easy-to-understand language. Subjects will be instructed and encouraged to read the form carefully prior to signing it. The subject placing their signature on the informed consent form in addition to the signature of the individual obtaining consent will document confirmation of consent.

#### **12.4.2 Protection Against Risk**

All study visits will be conducted under the supervision of experienced personnel. Subjects will be instructed on the appropriate use of the “request clinical care provider communication” feature of the SPARC App to ensure subjects do not use this feature to seek help for urgent or emergent reasons. In addition, the SPARC App will have a built-in reminder to ensure appropriate use of this feature, including a prompt to call 911 for urgent or emergent health issues.

To prevent PHI disclosure and ensure confidentiality, all subject data will be coded and only key investigators will have access to the master list of codes. All paper documents containing PHI will be kept in a locked file cabinet in the principal investigators office, which remains locked when not in use. All personnel involved in this study understand the importance of maintaining confidentiality of PHI.

#### **12.5 POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH TO THE SUBJECTS AND OTHERS**

There is no direct benefit for participating in this study but the information gathered in this study may help develop a useful tool for the management of fatigue and stress, and increase quality of life in patients with sarcoidosis. This study may also provide us with important information about sarcoidosis and fatigue.

## **12.6 IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE TO BE GAINED**

This study may lead to development of a valuable management tool that can decrease stress and sarcoidosis- associated fatigue, improve quality of life, and provide important insight into the complex interactions contributing to fatigue that could result in future breakthroughs.

## **12.7 Adverse Events**

There are no patient-safety related adverse events possible, but any negative effect of the App on patients QOL will be recorded as part of the secondary outcomes.

## **12.8 DATA SAFETY MONITORING PLAN**

### **12.8.1 Summary of the Protocol**

This application proposes to test and optimize an mHealth app for self-management of sarcoidosis associated fatigue (the SPARC App). Subjects will be required to engage in the SPARC Apps BAM module and to report fatigue levels on a bi-weekly basis. The primary outcomes will be feasibility/usability of the SPARC App. Secondary outcomes will include changes in fatigue self-efficacy, autonomous motivation, stress, fatigue and quality of life(QoL).

### **12.8.2 Overall Framework for Safety Monitoring**

The primary means for monitoring safety in the proposed investigation will include monitoring of subject- reported adverse events. To screen for safety concerns not volunteered by subjects, the research coordinator will contact all subjects on 2 separate occasions separated by at least 4 weeks during the study period to specifically ask if the subjects have identified any potential safety concerns related to the study intervention. In addition, the principal investigator will conduct weekly meetings with study personnel to review subject communications from study clinic visits, research coordinator screening calls, and the SPARC App to identify any potential concerns.

A qualified consultant external to the project and with no conflicts of interest will be selected to serve as an external safety monitor for the study. They will monitor subject participation and safety issues with a focus on study enrollment process, safety and data integrity. They will meet via conference call with the PI and research team at four weekly meetings (weeks 0, 4, 8, 12) to discuss any concerns. Prior to those meetings they will be sent de-identified tables of subject enrollment data and all reported safety concerns for their review.

### **12.8.3 Data Management and Analysis.**

The participants will enter responses to questionnaire and demographic data forms on a laptop using REDCaps or by hard copy. If hard copy, research assistant and key personnel will enter

all data obtained in the course of the study into a standard password-protected database behind the MUSC firewall (REDCaps). The data analysis plan is outlined in the Research Plan. Quality assurance will be ensured through bi-monthly data audits.

#### **12.8.4                   Definition of UPIRSOs, AE, and SAE.**

An unanticipated problem involving risks to subjects or others (UPIRSOs) is any experience or outcome that is unexpected, related or possibly related to the subject's participation in the study, and suggests that the research study places subjects or others at a greater risk of harm related to study procedures than was previously known or recognized. An Adverse Event (AE) is defined as any untoward medical occurrence experienced by a study subject participating in a clinical trial that may not have a causal relationship with the trial intervention. Any unwanted physical, psychological or behavioral change experienced by a study subject during their participation in the trial is considered an adverse event. For the purposes of this study, a Serious Adverse Event (SAE) is defined as an adverse event that results in death, is life threatening, requires hospitalization or prolongs an existing hospitalization, results in persistent or significant disability, or requires intervention to prevent one of the previously listed outcomes.

#### **12.8.5                   Documentation and Reporting.**

UPIRSOs, AEs and SAEs are documented and reported as per institutional protocol and IRB requirements. Research staff will identify any UPIRSOs or AEs and evaluate the severity, seriousness, study relatedness/expectedness, outcome and the need for change or discontinuation of the study intervention. All UPIRSOs must be reported to the MUSC IRB and include a description of the event, the date of occurrence, whether it is a local or outside report, how the event affected the rights, safety or welfare of the subject or others, current status of MUSC subjects, and any planned changes or modifications to the project as a result of the event. AEs are documented on AE logs and AE Case Report Forms. UPIRSOs and AEs must be reported to the IRB as soon as possible, but no later than 10 working days after the event or notification to the investigator that the event has occurred. Additional relevant information for UPIRSOs and AEs should be documented in a progress note in the research record to allow for monitoring and evaluation. All UPIRSOs, AEs and SAEs will be followed by research staff until resolution, stabilization or until the subject is no longer in the study.

The MUSC IRB requirements for reporting AEs includes all deaths that occur during the study or within 30 days of study termination regardless if they are expected or unrelated. Other AEs reportable to the MUSC IRB are those that are unexpected AND related or possibly related AND serious or more prevalent than expected. Unanticipated problems that do not meet the definition of an AEs require reporting to the IRB when there are: unexpected changes to the risk/benefit ratio of the research based on new findings, confidentiality breaches, participant or family member complaints, laboratory or medication errors, changes in FDA labeling or withdrawal from marketing of a drug or device, disqualification/suspension of investigators, unintentional changes to the IRB-approved protocol that may involve risks, deviation from the IRB protocol taken without prior IRB review to address apparent immediate hazard to a subject, and any deviation from the IRB-approved protocol that may increase risk or affects the participants rights, safety or welfare. The IRB meets monthly and communication with the IRB can occur via email, memos, official IRB forms and online reporting.

If an AE meets the definition of a SAE, appropriate SAE specific reporting forms are completed. Reportable SAEs must be reported to the MUSC IRB within 24 hours with AE

forms completed in conjunction with the PI. A report will also be sent to the NIH program officer assigned to the project. If complete information is not available within the 24 hour timeframe, follow-up information will be gathered to allow a complete evaluation of the event and outcome and will be forwarded to the NIH program officer as appropriate within 2 weeks. The PI will also provide a signed and dated SAE summary report.

## 13 Withdrawal from study

If for any reason a subject does not complete the study, the reason will be entered on the CRF. All subjects are free to withdraw from participation at any time, for any reason, specified or unspecified, and without prejudice. The Investigator must record the reason for the early termination.

## 14 STATISTICAL AND ANALYTICAL PLANS

Data analysis will be performed by the Dr Martina Mueller.

### 14.1 Sample Size Determination

Focus of this project lies on demonstration of feasibility (recruitment, retention and adherence rates) and usability (frequency, duration of app use; satisfaction/usability surveys-SUS, TSUQ, uMARS) rather than hypothesis-testing. Thus, sample size was determined for pragmatic reasons such as assessment of recruitment, drop-out and adherence rates.<sup>117</sup> For categorical feasibility measures, with 50 SPs (25 per group), we will be able to estimate proportions with precision  $\pm 0.08$  to  $\pm 0.13$  for true population proportion (p) values for each outcome ranging from 0.10 to 0.30 (or correspondingly, from 0.70 to 0.90). For continuous usability measures, 95% confidence intervals (CIs) can be estimated with precisions ranging from  $\pm 0.20$  to  $\pm 0.78$  corresponding to estimated standard deviations (SDs) ranging from 0.5 to 2.0, respectively. For continuous impact measures (PSS,FAS, & QoL, FSE & TSRQ change scores), in intent-to-treat analyses, 95% CI estimates of within-group change scores (pre- to post-treatment) will have precisions ranging from  $\pm 0.1.5$  to  $\pm 2.4$  corresponding to estimated SD of change scores ranging from 3.7 to 6.1, respectively; between-group change scores differences will have precision estimates from  $\pm 2.8$  to  $\pm 3.7$  for SDs of differences in scores from 5.0 to 6.7 SD units.

### 14.2 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics will be calculated for all measures and variables. We will use 95% CIs for proportions to estimate dichotomous feasibility outcomes (e.g., proportion who agree to participate out of total approached, proportion adherent to SPARC protocol, and proportion who drop out). Frequency distributions will be developed describing participants' reasons for non-adherence and discontinuation of SPARC app use and problems/issues encountered with the app. For continuous usability measures (e.g., frequency, duration of use; surveys-SUS,TSUQ,uMARS), frequency distributions & median and mean responses (with 95% CIs) will be obtained. Mean change and difference in change between the groups from pre-to-post intervention along with their 95% CIs will be reported for all continuous secondary (impact) outcomes (change in PSS-10, FAS, QoL, FSE & TSRQ scores). Preliminary analyses will

SPARC

examine a) underlying distributional properties of all outcome variables b) patterns of missing data, and c) patterns of attrition. Linear mixed-models for repeated measures will be used for the continuous impact measures to obtain estimates of intra-cluster correlation (ICC) & variance estimates along with covariance structure of longitudinal scores used as critical information for sample size calculation of a future efficacy RCT. Based upon Shieh's work,<sup>118</sup> we have inadequate sample size & lack of adequate power to run formal moderator analyses. These analyses will be run in the future appropriately powered RCT to examine impact of socio-demographic variables as potential adherence barriers, as well as potential moderating effects of disease severity (i.e. lung function), fatigue-inducing medications and SPARC meditation adherence on secondary outcomes

### 14.3 Interpretation of Results

This proposal explores the usability/feasibility of the SPARC App to assess and manage stress. The primary outcomes for this study will be usability/feasibility of the SPARC App. Based upon our proof of concept trial(n=18), we anticipate acceptable participation rates( $\geq 80\%$ ), low drop-out rates( $\leq 20\%$ ), adherence rates ( $\geq .70$  to twice daily 10 min BAM sessions), and usability scores ( $\geq 75\%$  of sample will score above average on SUS, uMARS, TSUQ). We anticipate signals of improvement in the SPARC group in secondary outcomes compared to SC group including changes in fatigue (FAS), stress (PSS), self-efficacy (FSE), autonomous motivation (TSRQ) and QOL.

## 16

## REFERENCES

1. Baughman RP, Field S, Costabel U, et al. Sarcoidosis in America. Analysis Based on Health Care Use. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016;13(8):1244-1252. PMID: 27509154
2. Gerke AK. Morbidity and mortality in sarcoidosis. *Curr Opin Pulm Med.* 2014;20(5):472-478. PMCID: PMC4326053
3. Rybicki B, Major M, Popovich J, Miliarik M, Iannuzzi M. Racial differences in sarcoidosis incidence: a 5-year study in a health maintenance organization. *Journal of Epidemiology.* 1997;145(3):234-241.
4. Rabin DL, Thompson B, Brown KM, et al. Sarcoidosis: social predictors of severity at presentation. *Eur Respir J.* 2004;24(4):601-608. PMID: 9012596
5. Kajdasz D, Judson M, Mohr LJ, Lackland D. Geographic variation in sarcoidosis in South Carolina: its relation to socioeconomic status and health care indicators. *150(3):271-278.* PMID: 10430231
6. Drent M, Lower EE, De Vries J. Sarcoidosis-associated fatigue. *Eur Respir J.* 2012;40(1):255-263. PMID: 22441750
7. Michielsen HJ, Peros-Golubicic T, Drent M, De Vries J. Relationship between symptoms and quality of life in a sarcoidosis population. *Respiration.* 2007;74(4):401-405. PMID: 16612047
8. De Vries J, Drent M. Quality of life and health status in sarcoidosis: a review of the literature. *Clin Chest Med.* 2008;29(3):525-532. PMID: 18539242
9. Marcellis RG, Lenssen AF, Elfferich MD, et al. Exercise capacity, muscle strength and fatigue in sarcoidosis. *Eur Respir J.* 2011;38(3):628-634. PMID: 21436356

10. Aggarwal AN, Sahu KK, Gupta D. Fatigue and health-related quality of life in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis treated by oral Corticosteroids. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 2016;33(2):124-129. PMID: 27537714
11. Judson M, Chaudhry H, Louis A, Lee K, Yucel R. The effect of corticosteroids on quality of life in a sarcoidosis clinic: the results of a propensity analysis. *Respiratory Medicine.* 2015;109(4):526-531. PMCID: PMC4447298
12. Atkins C, Wilson AM. Managing fatigue in sarcoidosis - A systematic review of the evidence. *Chron Respir Dis.* 2017;14(2):161-173. PMCID: PMC5720218
13. Cohen S, Kessler R, Underwood G. Strategies for measuring stress in studies of psychiatric and physical disorders. In: *Measuring Stress.* Vol 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
14. Glanz K, Schwartz M. Stress, coping, and health behavior. *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice.* 2008;4:211-236.
15. Strookappe B, De Vries J, Elfferich M, Kuijpers P, Knevel T, Drent M. Predictors of fatigue in sarcoidosis: The value of exercise testing. *Respir Med.* 2016;116:49-54. PMID: 27296820
16. Brasher B, James W. Preliminary Evaluation of a Fatigue Self-Efficacy Scale in Sarcoidosis. In: Americas Association of Sarcoidosis and Other Granulomatous Disorders National Conference, Hershey PA2017.
17. Elfferich MD, De Vries J, Drent M. Type D or 'distressed' personality in sarcoidosis and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 2011;28(1):65-71. PMID: 21796893
18. Yamada Y, Tatsumi K, Yamaguchi T, et al. Influence of stressful life events on the onset of sarcoidosis. *Respirology.* 2003;8(2):186-191. PMID: 12753534
19. Cox CE, Donohue JF, Brown CD, Kataria YP, Judson MA. Health-related quality of life of persons with sarcoidosis. *Chest.* 2004;125(3):997-1004. PMID: 15006960
20. De Vries J, Drent M. Relationship between perceived stress and sarcoidosis in a Dutch patient population. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 2004;21(1):57-63. PMID: 15127976
21. Spruit MA, Thomeer MJ, Gosselink R, et al. Skeletal muscle weakness in patients with sarcoidosis and its relationship with exercise intolerance and reduced health status. *Thorax.* 2005;60(1):32-38. PMCID: PMC1747159
22. Michielsen H, De Vries J, Van Heck G. Psychometric qualities of a brief self-rated fatigue measure: The Fatigue Assessment Scale. *J Psychosom Res.* 2003;54(4):345-352. PMID: 12670612
23. de Kleijn WP, Drent M, De Vries J. Nature of fatigue moderates depressive symptoms and anxiety in sarcoidosis. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2013;18(2):439-452. PMID: 22988824
24. De Vries J, Rothkrantz-Kos S, van Diejen-Visser MP, Drent M. The relationship between fatigue and clinical parameters in pulmonary sarcoidosis. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 2004;21(2):127-136. PMID: 15281434

## References Cited

Page 82 Contact PD/PI: James, W. Ennis

25. Bosse-Henck A, Koch R, Wirtz H, Hinz A. Fatigue and Excessive Daytime Sleepiness in Sarcoidosis: Prevalence, Predictors, and Relationships between the Two Symptoms. *Respiration.* 2017;94(2):186- 197. PMID: 28609770
26. Hendriks C, Drent M, De Kleijn W, Elfferich M, Wijnen P, De Vries J. Everyday cognitive failure and depressive symptoms predict fatigue in sarcoidosis: A prospective follow-up study. *Respir Med.* 2018;138S:S24-S30. PMID: 29239767
27. Goracci A, Fagiolini A, Martinucci M, et al. Quality of life, anxiety and depression in sarcoidosis. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2008;30(5):441-445. PMID: 18774427
28. Korenromp IHE, Heijnen CJ, Vogels OJM, van den Bosch JMM, Grutters JC. Characterization of chronic fatigue in patients with sarcoidosis in clinical remission. *Chest.* 2011;140(2):441-447. PMID: 21330380
29. Barroso J, Voss J. Fatigue in HIV and AIDS: an analysis of evidence. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care.* 2013;24(1 Suppl):5. PMID: 23290377

30. Scott-Sheldon L, Kalichman S, Carey M, Fielder R. Stress management interventions for HIV+ adults: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, 1989 to 2006. *Health Psychol.* 2008;27(2):129-139. PMCID: PMC2409585

31. Stagl J, Bouchard L, Lechner S, et al. Long-term psychological benefits of cognitive-behavioral stress management for women with breast cancer: 11-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer.* 2015;121(11):1873-1881. PMID: 25809235

32. Ekman I, Kjellström B, Falk K, Norman J, Swedberg K. Impact of device-guided slow breathing on symptoms of chronic heart failure: a randomized, controlled feasibility study. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2011;13(9):1000-1005. PMID: 21803755

33. Carson JW, Carson KM, Jones KD, Bennett RM, Wright CL, Mist SD. A pilot randomized controlled trial of the Yoga of Awareness program in the management of fibromyalgia. *Pain.* 2010;151(2):530-539. PMCID: PMC5568071

34. Franek J. Self-management support interventions for persons with chronic disease: an evidence-based analysis. *Not Health Technol Assess Ser.* 2013;13(9):1-60. PMCID: PMC3814807

35. Perna FM, Antoni MH, Kumar M, Cruess DG, Schneiderman N. Cognitive-behavioral intervention effects on mood and cortisol during exercise training. *Ann Behav Med.* 1998;20(2):92-98. PMID: 12581938

36. Rush S, Sharma M. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction as a Stress Management Intervention for Cancer Care: A Systematic Review. *J Evid Based Complimentary Med.* 2016. PMID: 27489233

37. Kim SD, Kim HS. Effects of a relaxation breathing exercise on fatigue in haemopoietic stem cell transplantation patients. *J Clin Nurs.* 2005;14(1):51-55. PMID: 15656848

38. Bhavanani A, Ramanathan M, Madanmohan. Single session of integrated "silver yoga" program improves cardiovascular parameters in senior citizens. *J Intercult Ethnopharmacol.* 2015;4(2):134-137. PMCID: PMC4566779

39. Nield M. Dyspnea self-management in African Americans with chronic lung disease. *Heart Lung.* 2000;29(1):50-55. PMID: 10636957

40. Sgalla G, Cerri S, Ferrari R, et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction in patients with interstitial lung diseases: a pilot, single-centre observational study on safety and efficacy. *BMJ Open Respir Res.* 2015;2(1):e000065. PMCID: PMC4360722

41. Saketkoo L, Young J, Adell R, Karpinski A, Walker M, Russel A. Perceptions Of A Modified Mindfulness Training (mt) Program In Sarcoidosis. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.* 2016;193:A2688.

42. Chang B, Steimel J, Moller DR, et al. Depression in sarcoidosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2001;163(2):329-334. PMID: 11179101

43. Lorig KR, Mazonson PD, Holman HR. Evidence suggesting that health education for self-management in patients with chronic arthritis has sustained health benefits while reducing health care costs. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1993;36(4):439-446. PMID: 8457219

44. Lavery K, O'Neill B, Elborn J, Reilly J, Bradley J. Self-management in bronchiectasis: the patients' perspective. *29(3):541-547.* PMID: 17079260

SPARC

45. McGillicuddy JW, Weiland AK, Frenzel RM, et al. Patient attitudes toward mobile phone-based health

monitoring: questionnaire study among kidney transplant recipients. *J Med Internet Res.*

2013;15(1):e6. PMID: PMC3636312

References Cited

Page 83

Contact PD/PI: James, W. Ennis

46. McGillicuddy J, Gregoski M, Weiland A, et al. Mobile Health Medication Adherence and Blood Pressure Control in Renal Transplant Recipients: A Proof-of-Concept Randomized Controlled Trial. *JNIR Res Protoc.* 2013;2(2):-e32. PMID: PMC3786124

47. McGillicuddy JW, Taber DJ, Mueller M, et al. Sustainability of improvements in medication adherence through a mobile health intervention. *Prog Transplant.* 2015;25(3):217-223. PMID: 26308780

48. Jenkins C, Burkett NS, Ovbiagele B, et al. Stroke patients and their attitudes toward mHealth

monitoring to support blood pressure control and medication adherence. *Mhealth.* 2016;2.

PMCID:

PMC4916920

49. Davidson TM, McGillicuddy J, Mueller M, et al. Evaluation of an mHealth Medication Regimen Self-

Management Program for African American and Hispanic Uncontrolled Hypertensives. *J Pers Med.*

2015;5(4):389-405. PMID: PMC4695862

50. Ovbiagele B, Jenkins C, Patel S, et al. Mobile health medication adherence and blood pressure control

in recent stroke patients. *J Neurol Sci.* 2015;358(1-2):535-537. PMID: 26463572

51. James W, Chandler J, Brunner-Jackson B, et al. Acceptability and Efficacy of a mHealth App for

Sarcoidosis Associated Fatigue. In:2018.

52. Cingi C, Yorgancioglu A, Oguzulgen K, et al. The "physician on call patient engagement trial" (POPET):

measuring the impact of a mobile patient engagement application on health outcomes and quality of life

in allergic rhinitis and asthma patients. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2015;5(6):487-497. PMID: 25856270

53. Cook KA, Modena BD, Simon RA. Improvement in Asthma Control Using a Minimally Burdensome and

Proactive Smartphone Application. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2016;4(4):730-737.e731.

PMCID:

PMC5501988

54. Liu WT, Wang CH, Lin HC, et al. Efficacy of a cell phone-based exercise programme for COPD. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;32(3):651-659. PMID: 18508824

55. Thomas S, Yingling L, Adu-Brimpong J, et al. Mobile Health Technology Can Objectively Capture

Physical Activity (PA) Targets Among African-American Women Within Resource-Limited Communities-the Washington, D.C. Cardiovascular Health and Needs Assessment. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities.* 2016. PMID: PMC5457361

56. Whitehead L, Seaton P. The Effectiveness of Self-Management Mobile Phone and Tablet Apps in Long-term Condition Management: A Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2016;18(5):-e97. PMID: 27185295

57. Sieverdes JC, Treiber F, Jenkins C. Improving diabetes management with mobile health technology. *Am J Med Sci.* 2013;345(4):289-295. PMID: 23531961

58. Militello L, Kelly S, Melnyk B. Systematic review of text-messaging interventions to promote healthy behaviors in pediatric and adolescent populations: implications for clinical practice and research. *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs.* 2012;9(2):66-77. PMID: 22268959

59. Free C, Phillips G, Watson L, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-health technologies to improve health care service delivery processes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2013;10(1):- e1001363. PMCID: PMC3566926

60. de Jongh T, Gurol-Urganci I, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Car J, Atun R. Mobile phone messaging for facilitating self-management of long-term illnesses. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;12:CD007459. PMID: 23235644

61. Poushter J. Smartphone Ownership and Internet Usage Continues to Climb in Emerging Economies. Published 2016. Accessed Dec, 2016.

62. Center PR. Pew Research Center: Mobile Fact Sheet. Pew Research Center Internet & Technology. <http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/mobile/>. Published 2018. Accessed 11/5/18, 2018.

63. De Vries J, Michielsen H, Van Heck G, Drent M. Measuring fatigue in sarcoidosis: the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS). *Br J Health Psychol.* 2004;9(Pt 3):279-291. PMID: 15296678

64. Cohen S, Williamson G, Strecher VJ, DeVellis BM, Becker MH, Rosenstock IM. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States. In: S. Spacapan & S. Oskamp (Eds.), *The Claremont Symposium on Applied Social Psychology. The social psychology of health.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1988:31-67.

65. Thomas S, Kersten P, Thomas P. The Multiple Sclerosis-Fatigue Self- Efficacy (MS-FSE) scale: initial validation. *Clin Rehabil.* 2015;29(4):376-387. PMCID: PMC4390524

66. Deci EL, Koestner R, Ryan RM. A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychol Bull.* 1999;125(6):627-668; discussion 692-700. PMID: 10589297

## References Cited

## Page 84

Contact PD/PI: James, W. Ennis

67. Barroso J, Burrage J, Carlson J, Carlson BW. Salivary cortisol values in HIV-positive people. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care.* 2006;17(3):29-36. PMID: 16829360

68. Barroso J, Pence BW, Salahuddin N, Harmon JL, Leserman J. Physiological correlates of HIV-related fatigue. *Clin Nurs Res.* 2008;17(1):5-19. PMID: 18184975

69. Barroso J, Carlson JR, Meynell J. Physiological and psychological markers associated with HIV-related fatigue. *Clin Nurs Res.* 2003;12(1):49-68. PMID: 12583499

70. Barroso J, Leserman J, Harmon JL, Hammill B, Pence BW. Fatigue in HIV-Infected People: A Three- Year Observational Study. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2015;50(1):69-79. PMCID: PMC4492863

71. Harmon JL, Barroso J, Pence BW, Leserman J, Salahuddin N. Demographic and illness-related variables associated with HIV-related fatigue. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care.* 2008;19(2):90-97. PMCID: PMC2287376

72. Leserman J, Barroso J, Pence BW, Salahuddin N, Harmon JL. Trauma, stressful life events and depression predict HIV-related fatigue. *AIDS Care.* 2008;20(10):1258-1265. PMID: 18608079

73. Bandura A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.* New York, NY: WH Freeman/Times Books/Henry Holt & Co; 1997.

74. Bandura A. Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2001;52:1-26. PMID: 11148297

75. Bandura A. Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. 1986.

76. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *Am Psychol.* 2000;55(1):68-78. PMID: 11392867

77. Ryan R, Kuhl J, Deci E, Magnusson AE, Nias DK, White PD. Nature and autonomy: an organizational view of social and neurobiological aspects of self-regulation in behavior and development. *Dev Psychopathol.* 1997;9(4):701-728. PMID: 9449002

78. Ryan R, Patrick H, Deci E, Williams G. Facilitating health behaviour change and its maintenance: Interventions based on self-determination theory. *The European Health Psychologist.* 2008;10(1):2-5.

79. Markland D, Ryan R, Tobin V, Rollnick S, Zingmond DS, Wenger NS. Motivational Interviewing and Self Determination Theory. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology.* 2005;24(6):811-831.

80. Williams GC, Rodin GC, Ryan RM, Grolnick WS, Deci EL. Autonomous regulation and long-term medication adherence in adult outpatients. *Health Psychol.* 1998;17(3):269-276. PMID: 9619477

81. Williams GC, Gagne M, Ryan RM, Deci EL. Facilitating autonomous motivation for smoking cessation. *Health Psychol.* 2002;21(1):40-50. PMID: 11846344

82. Ng J, Ntoumanis N, Thøgersen-Ntoumani C, et al. Self-determination theory applied to health contexts: A meta-analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science.* 2012;7(4):325-340. PMID: 26168470

83. Ajzen I, Muller NL, Mawson JB, et al. The theory of planned behavior. *Organ Behavioral Hum Decis Proces.* 1991;50(2):179-211.

84. Beynon-Davies P, Holmes S, Wagner EH, et al. Design breakdowns, scenarios and rapid application development. *Information and Software Technology.* 2002;44(10):579-592.

85. Huis in 't Veld RM, Widya IA, Bults RG, Sandsjö L, Hermens HJ, Vollenbroek-Hutten MM. A scenario guideline for designing new teletreatments: a multidisciplinary approach. *J Telemed Telecare.* 2010;16(6):302-307. PMID: 20798423

86. Adams ZW, Sieverdes JC, Brunner-Jackson B, et al. Meditation smartphone application effects on prehypertensive adults' blood pressure: Dose-response feasibility trial. *Health Psychol.* 2018;37(9):850- 860. PMCID: PMC6107378

87. Gregoski M, Vertegel A, Treiber F. Photoplethysmograph (PPG) derived heart rate (HR) acquisition using an Android smart phone.

88. Gregoski MJ, Mueller M, Vertegel A, et al. Development and validation of a smartphone heart rate acquisition application for health promotion and wellness telehealth applications. *Int J Telemed Appl.* 2012;2012:696324. PMCID: PMC3261476

89. Gregoski MJ, Vertegel A, Shaporev A, Treiber FA. Tension Tamer: delivering meditation with objective heart rate acquisition for adherence monitoring using a smart phone platform. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2013;19(1):17-19. PMCID: PMC3546412

90. Barnes VA, Davis HC, Murzynowski JB, Treiber FA. Impact of meditation on resting and ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate in youth. *Psychosom Med.* 2004;66(6):909-914. PMID: 15564357

91. Barnes VA, Pendergrast RA, Harshfield GA, Treiber FA. Impact of breathing awareness meditation on ambulatory blood pressure and sodium handling in prehypertensive African American adolescents. *Ethn Dis.* 2008;18(1):1-5. PMCID: PMC3216041

References Cited

Page 85

Contact PD/PI: James, W. Ennis

92. Wright L, Gregoski M, Tingen M, Barnes V, Treiber F. Impact of stress reduction interventions on hostility and ambulatory systolic blood pressure in African American adolescents. *J Black Psychol.* 2011. PMCID: PMC3319013

93. Gregoski MJS. Smartphone Breathing Meditation Application Proof of Concept: Linkage Between Nighttime Blood Pressure Reduction and Salivary Alpha-Amylase Awakening Response. 45(S2):-s240.

SPARC

94. Hunninghake GW, Costabel U, Ando M, et al. ATS/ERS/WASOG statement on sarcoidosis. American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society/World Association of Sarcoidosis and other Granulomatous Disorders. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis.* 1999;16(2):149-173. PMID: 10560120

95. Kroenke K, Strine TW, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Berry JT, Mokdad AH. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. *J Affect Disord.* 2009;114(1-3):163-173. PMID: 18752852

96. Lukwago SN, Kreuter MW, Bucholtz DC, Holt CL, Clark EM. Development and validation of brief scales to measure collectivism, religiosity, racial pride, and time orientation in urban African American women. *Fam Community Health.* 2001;24(3):63-71. PMID: 11563945

97. Benyon D. *Designing Interactive Systems: People, Activities, Contexts, Technologies.* Addison Wesley2005.

98. Nemeth L, Nietert P, Ornstein S, et al. Trauma, stressful life events and depression predict HIV-related fatigue. *AIDS Care.* 2008;22(2):141-146.

99. Nemeth LS, Feifer C, Stuart GW, Ornstein SM. Implementing change in primary care practices using electronic medical records: a conceptual framework. *Implement Sci.* 2008;3:3. PMID: 18199330

100. Nemeth L, Ornstein S, Jenkins R, et al. Implementing and evaluating electronic standing orders in primary care practice: a PPRNet study. *Implementation Science.* 2008;3(1):3. PMID: 22956695

101. Sieverdes JC, Raynor PA, Armstrong T, Jenkins CH, Sox LR, Treiber FA. Attitudes and perceptions of patients on the kidney transplant waiting list toward mobile health-delivered physical activity programs. *Prog Transplant.* 2015;25(1):26-34. PMCID: PMC4751589

102. Sieverdes JC, Nemeth LS, Magwood GS, et al. African American kidney transplant patients' perspectives on challenges in the living donation process. *Prog Transplant.* 2015;25(2):164-175. PMCID: PMC4929989

103. Strauss A, Corbin J. *Basics of qualitative research.* Vol 15. Newberry Park, CA: Sage; 1990.

104. Glaser B, Strauss A, Goddard M, Bartos M, Blereau RP, Weingarten CM. *The discovery of grounded theory: strategies for qualitative research.* New York: Aldine; 1967.

105. Foster C, Grimmett C, May CM, et al. A web-based intervention (RESTORE) to support self-management of cancer-related fatigue following primary cancer treatment: a multi-centre proof of concept randomised controlled trial. *Support Care Cancer.* 2016;24(6):2445-2453. PMCID: PMC4846690

106. Conte KP, Odden MC, Linton NM, Harvey SM. Effectiveness of a Scaled-Up Arthritis Self-Management Program in Oregon: Walk With Ease. *Am J Public Health.* 2016;106(12):2227-2230. PMID: 27736216

107. Kirakowski J. The software usability measurement inventory: background and usage. *Usability Evaluation in Industry.* 1996:169-178.

108. Brooke J, Everett KD, Brantley PJ, Sletten C, Jones GN, McKnight GT. SUS-A quick and dirty usability scale. *Usability evaluation in industry.* 1996;189(194):4-7.

109. Lewis J, Brown J, Mayes D. Psychometric Evaluation of the EMO and the SUS in the Context of a Large-Sample Unmoderated Usability Study. *Int J Hum-Comput Interact*. 2015;31(8):545-553.

110. Stoyanov SR, Hides L, Kavanagh DJ, Zelenko O, Tjondronegoro D, Mani M. Mobile app rating scale: a new tool for assessing the quality of health mobile apps. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2015;3(1):e27. PMCID: PMC4376132

111. Stoyanov S, Hides L, Kavanagh D, Wilson H. Development and Validation of the User Version of the Mobile Application Rating Scale (uMARS). *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2016;4(2):e27. PMID: 27287964

112. Demiris G, Speedie S, Finkelstein S. A questionnaire for the assessment of patients' impressions of the risks and benefits of home telecare. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2000;6(5):278-284. PMID: 11070589

113. Bakken S, Grullon-Figueroa L, Izquierdo R, et al. Development, validation, and use of English and Spanish versions of the telemedicine satisfaction and usefulness questionnaire. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2006;13(6):660-667. PMCID: PMC1656962

114. Williams GC, Grow VM, Freedman ZR, Ryan RM, Deci EL. Motivational predictors of weight loss and weight-loss maintenance. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1996;70(1):115-126. PMID: 8558405

References Cited

Page 86

Contact PD/PI: James, W. Ennis

115. Patel AS, Siegert RJ, Creamer D, Larkin G, Maher TM, Renzoni EA, Wells AU, Higginson IJ, Birring SS. The development and validation of the King's Sarcoidosis Questionnaire for the assessment of health status. *Thorax*. 2013 Jan;68(1):57-65. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-201962. Epub 2012 Oct 12. PMID: 23065052.

116. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;35(8):1381-1395. PMID: 12900694

117. Leon AC, Davis LL, Kraemer HC. The role and interpretation of pilot studies in clinical research. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2011;45(5):626-629. PMID: 21035130

118. Shieh G. Sample size determination for confidence intervals of interaction effects in moderated multiple regression with continuous predictor and moderator variables. *Behav Res Methods*. 2010;42(3):824- 835. PMID: 20805605

119. Borkan J, Williams GC, Rodin GC, Ryan RM, Grolnick WS, Deci EL. Immersion/Crystallization. In: Miller, WC; Crabtree B. F: *Doing Qualitative Research*. 1998.

120. Patton M. *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. San Francisco: Sage; 2001.

121. Creswell J, Plano Clark V. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2011.

122. Riley W, Rivera D, Atienza A, et al. Health behavior models in the age of mobile interventions: are our theories up to the task? *Translational behavioral medicine*. 2011;1(1):53-71. PMCID: PMC3142960

123. Resnicow K, Strecher V, Couper M, et al. Methodologic and design issues in patient-centered e-health research. *Am J Prev Med*. 2010;38(1):98-102. PMCID: PMC5413301

124. Judson MA, Baughman RP, Costabel U, et al. Safety and efficacy of ustekinumab or golimumab in patients with chronic sarcoidosis. *Eur Respir J*. 2014;44(5):1296-1307. PMID: 25034562

125. Lau AY, Arguel A, Dennis S, Liaw ST, Coiera E. "Why Didn't it Work?" Lessons From a Randomized Controlled Trial of a Web-based Personally Controlled Health Management System for Adults with

SPARC

Asthma. *J Med Internet Res.* 2015;17(12):e283. PMCID: PMC4704895

126. Jerant AF, von Friederichs-Fitzwater MM, Moore M. Patients' perceived barriers to active self-management of chronic conditions. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2005;57(3):300-307. PMID: 15893212

127. Zarski A, Lehr D, Berking M, Riper H, Cuijpers P, Ebert D. Adherence to Internet-Based Mobile-

Supported Stress Management: A Pooled Analysis of Individual Participant Data From Three Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Med Internet Res.* 2016;18(6):-e146. PMCID: PMC4945816

128. Gregoski M, Sieverdes J, Brunner-Jackson B, Davidson L, Egan B, Treiber F.

Smartphone Breathing

Meditation Application Proof of Concept: Linkage Between Nighttime Blood Pressure Reduction and

Salivary Alpha-Amylase Awakening Response. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine.* 2013;45(S2):-s240.

129. James WE, Chandler JL, Kellam K, Neely A, Mount R, Treiber FA. Sarcoidosis Patients' Acceptability of Smartphone Application for Managing Stress and Fatigue. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine.* 2018 (under review).