

1

2

3

4

5     **Title: Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Clinical and Radiological Outcomes**  
6       **Between C3 Laminectomy with C4-C6 Laminoplasty and C3-C6 Laminoplasty**

7

8       **Study protocol (date: 2017-01-05)**

9

1 **Background**

2 C3 laminectomy in cervical laminoplasty is a modified laminoplasty technique that can  
3 preserve semispinalis cervicis muscle attached to the C2 spinous process. Several previous  
4 studies have shown that this technique can lead to better outcomes of postoperative axial neck  
5 pain and C2-C3 range of motion (ROM) than conventional cervical laminoplasty. However,  
6 there is still a lack of understanding of total and proportional postoperative cervical sagittal  
7 alignment outcomes.

8

9 **Study design**

10 A randomized clinical trial will be conducted on patients with cervical laminoplasty for  
11 treating CSM or OPLL at a single tertiary referral university hospital. Patients will be  
12 randomly assigned to either the LN group (C4-6 laminoplasty with C3 laminectomy) or the  
13 LP group (C3-6 laminoplasty) at a 1:1 ratio. The sample size will be calculated to achieve a  
14 target of 80% power with a two-tailed significance level of 0.05, with a medium effect size  
15 (Cohen's  $d = 0.50$ ), resulting in 63 patients per each group. The trial will enrolled consecutive  
16 patients who underwent cervical laminoplasty from March 2017. Participants' ages will  
17 ranged from 20 to 80 years. Patients with metastatic tumors, combined fractures, or previous  
18 posterior cervical surgeries will be excluded from this study. Patients with a diagnosis of  
19 CSM or OPLL will be assessed prior to randomization by a neurosurgical specialist through a  
20 combination of history-taking, clinical examination, and radiological workup, including  
21 dynamic radiographs and cervical spine computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance  
22 image (MRI) scans. Diagnosis of CSM will be based on symptoms of myelopathy and  
23 stenosis at two or more cervical levels on MRI. Diagnosis of OPLL will be made based on  
24 CT findings. The randomization process, surgical group options, and required follow-up  
25 evaluations and imaging will be fully explained to eligible patients by a neurosurgical

1 specialist. After obtaining informed consent, patients will be randomized using a computer-  
2 generated block design in 1:1 ratio with concealed assignment managed by an independent  
3 research nurse. The attending surgeons, patients, and staff members in the operating room  
4 will be blinded to the surgical method until the day of surgery. A concealed paper indicating  
5 the patient's assigned group will be provided in the operating room, and the surgeon will  
6 verify the patient's group just before commencing the surgery. This study was approved by  
7 the hospital's Institutional Review Board (IRB number: H-1610-136-804).

8

9 **Data collection and outcomes**

10 Data will be collected using patient-reported outcome questionnaires and plain x-rays taken at  
11 the outpatient clinic before surgery and at 1, 2, and 3 years postoperatively. Patients will  
12 record their outcomes directly without assistance of the surgeon or other study participants.  
13 Radiological parameters will be assessed using 150% magnified images by one of the authors  
14 (a fellow). Three measurements will be performed, and the median value will be selected as  
15 the final measurement. The measurements will be conducted utilizing the measuring tools  
16 within the institution's Picture Archiving and Communication System (M6, Infinit  
17 Healthcare, Seoul, Korea) operating on a Microsoft Windows environment (Microsoft Corp.,  
18 Redmond, WA, USA). We selected C2-C7 Cobb angle (C2-C7 CA) as the primary outcome  
19 measure, considering the importance of postoperative kyphotic changes in cervical  
20 laminoplasty, which have been associated with long-term recurrent myelopathy and chronic  
21 neck pain. Additionally, we chose the Neck Disability Index (NDI) as another primary  
22 outcome measure to evaluate axial neck pain, as it is commonly acknowledged as a potential  
23 advantage of C3 laminectomy. Secondary outcome measures included other radiologic  
24 parameters such as C2-C3 Cobb angle (C2-C3 CA), C4-C7 Cobb angle (C4-C7 CA), cervical  
25 sagittal vertical axis (cSVA), T1 slope (T1S) and T1 slope minus cervical lordosis (T1S-CL),

1 as well as presence of C2-C3 interlaminar fusion. Other clinical outcomes, such as numerical  
2 rating scores for neck (NRS-N) and limb (NRS-L) and the Euro-Quality of Life-5 Dimension  
3 (EQ-5D), will be also assessed. We will examine operation-related factors, including open  
4 side, presence of dome-like laminectomy at the C2 or C7 level, operative time, estimated  
5 blood loss, and complications such as hinge fracture, neural injury, dural injury, and wound  
6 infection.

7

## 8 **Statistical analysis plan**

9 Outcomes will be analyzed using the modified intention-to-treat (mITT) strategy. The mITT  
10 population will consist of all participants with randomly assigned surgery and have available  
11 at least a year of postoperative data from 1 to 3 years. Baseline and operation-related  
12 characteristics of the LN and LP groups will be compared using independent t-tests for  
13 continuous variables, and chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables.  
14 Postoperative clinical outcomes and radiological parameters will be compared between  
15 groups and over time using linear mixed-effects models, with fixed effects including  
16 preoperative values of tested variables, group, time, interaction between group and time,  
17 other factors with possible relation with outcomes such as age, BMI and disease, and a  
18 random effect of subjects. Least squares mean for postoperative clinical outcomes and  
19 radiological parameters will be estimated. Comparisons will be made between the treatment  
20 groups. For variables with a significant difference in the interaction between time and group,  
21 post-hoc analysis will be performed for each time point and adjusted p-values will be  
22 evaluated using the Bonferroni method. All data will be analyzed using SPSS software  
23 version 27.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA), with statistical significance defined at  $p < 0.05$  (two-  
24 sided).