Statistical Analysis Plan: I1F-MC-RHBW (Version 4)

A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- Controlled 16-Week Study Followed by Long-Term Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of Ixekizumab (LY2439821) in TNFi-Experienced Patients With Radiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis

NCT02696798

Approval Date: 28-Feb-2019

## 1. Statistical Analysis Plan: I1F-MC-RHBW: A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled 16-Week Study Followed by Long-Term Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of Ixekizumab (LY2439821) in TNFi-Experienced Patients with Radiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis

#### **Confidential Information**

The information contained in this document is confidential and the information contained within it may not be reproduced or otherwise disseminated without the approval of Eli Lilly and Company or its subsidiaries.

Note to Regulatory Authorities: This document may contain protected personal data and/or commercially confidential information exempt from public disclosure. Eli Lilly and Company requests consultation regarding release/redaction prior to any public release. In the United States, this document is subject to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Exemption 4 and may not be reproduced or otherwise disseminated without the written approval of Eli Lilly and Company or its subsidiaries.

#### Ixekizumab (LY2439821) Axial Spondyloarthritis



Eli Lilly and Company Indianapolis, Indiana USA 46285

Statistical Analysis Plan Version 1 electronically signed and approved by Lilly 07 April 2016 Statistical Analysis Plan Version 2 electronically signed and approved by Lilly 12 June 2018 Statistical Analysis Plan Version 3 electronically signed and approved by Lilly 18 June 2018

Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4 electronically signed and approved by Lilly on date provided below

Approval Date: 28-Feb-2019 GMT

## 2. Table of Contents

Secti	on		Page
1. S F F L F	Statistic Randon Sollowe xekizu Radiogi	cal Analysis Plan: 11F-MC-RHBW: A Multicenter, nized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled 16-Week Study ed by Long-Term Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of mab (LY2439821) in TNFi-Experienced Patients with raphic Axial Spondyloarthritis	1
2. Т	able o	f Contents	2
3. F	Revisio	n History	8
4. S	study C	Dbjectives	11
5. S	study D	Design	14
5.1.	Sum	mary of Study Design	14
5.2.	Meth	hod of Assignment to Treatment	16
5.3.	Dete	ermination of Sample Size	16
6. A	A Prior	i Statistical Methods	17
6.1.	Gen	eral Considerations	17
6.1	1.1.	General Considerations for Analyses during the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2)	17
6.1	1.2.	General Considerations for Analyses during the Extended Treatment Period (Period 3)	21
6.1	1.3.	General Considerations for Analyses during Combined Blinded Treatment Dosing Period and Extended Treatment Period (Combined Periods 2 and 3)	21
6.1	1.4.	General Considerations for Analyses during the Post- Treatment Follow-Up Period (Period 4)	22
6.1	1.5.	Analysis Populations	
6.2.	Adju	stments for Covariates	
6.3.	Han	dling of Dropouts or Missing Data	
6.3	3.1.	Nonresponder Imputation	27
6.3	3.2.	Modified Baseline Observation Carried Forward	27
6.3	3.3.	Last Observation Carried Forward	
6.3	3.4.	Placebo Multiple Imputation	
6.3	3.5.	Tipping Point Analyses	
6.4.	Mult	ticenter Studies	
6.5.	Mult	tiple Comparisons/Multiplicity	
0.6.	Patie	ent Disposition	
0./.	Patie 7 1	Demographies and Pagalina Characteristics	
0.7	.1.	Demographics and Dasenne Characteristics	

6.7.2.	Historical Illness and Pre-existing Conditions	
6.8. Tre	eatment Compliance	
6.9. Pre	vious and Concomitant Therapy	41
6.9.1.	Previous Therapy	41
6.9.2.	Concomitant Therapy	41
6.10. Effi	icacy Analyses	
6.10.1.	Primary Outcome and Methodology	
6.10.2.	Major Secondary Efficacy Analyses	
6.10.3.	Additional Analyses of the Primary Outcome	71
6.10.4.	Other Secondary Efficacy Analyses	
6.10.4	.1. Analyses on NSAID Intake	
6.10.5.	Sensitivity Analyses	74
6.10.5	5.1. pMI	74
6.10.5	5.2. Tipping Point Analysis	
6.10.5	5.3. Analysis Including Efficacy Data Post Treatment	
	Discontinuation	
6.10.6.	Health Outcomes/Quality-of-Life Analyses	
6.11. Bio	analytical and Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Methods	
6.12. Safe	ety Analyses	
6.12.1.	Extent of Exposure	
6.12.2.	Adverse Events	
6.12.2	.1. Common Adverse Events	
6.12.3.	Deaths, Other Serious Adverse Events, and Other Notable	
	Adverse Events	
6.12.3	.1. Special Safety Topics including Adverse Events of	
	Special Interest	
6.12.4.	Clinical Laboratory Evaluation	
6.12.4	.1. Leukocytes (WBC) and Platelets	
6.12.4	.2. Neutrophil Follow-Up	
6.12.5.	Vital Signs and Other Physical Findings	
6.12.6.	Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self	100
(12.7	Report 16 items (QIDS-SR16)	
6.12.7.	Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS)	
6.12.8.		
6.12.8	Definitions and Terms	
6.12	2.8.1.1. Sample Category Definitions	
6.12	2.8.1.2. Patient Category Definitions	
6.12	2.8.1.3. Definitions for Clinical Interpretation of Assay	112

#### I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4

6.12.8.2. In	munogenicity Analyses	116
6.12.8.2.1.	Analyses of Characteristics of ADA Immune	
	Response	118
6.12.8.2.2.	Analyses of ADA Effects on Efficacy	
6.12.8.2.3.	Analyses of Treatment-Emergent ADA Effects on	
	Specific Adverse Events	119
6.13. Subgroup A	Analyses	
6.13.1. Efficacy Subgroup Analyses		
6.13.2. Safety	y Subgroup Analyses	121
	CCI	
6.14. Protocol De	eviations	



6.1	7. Annual Report Analyses	.139
6.1	8. Clinical Trial Registry Analyses	.139
7.	Unblinding Plan	. 140
8.	References	. 141

CCI

#### **Table of Contents**

Table		Page
Table RHBW.6.1.	Major Analysis Purposes Intended for Each Analysis Population	24
Table RHBW.6.2. A	Treatment Groups and Comparisons for Each Study Period and nalysis Population	25
Table RHBW.6.3.	Geographic Regions for Statistical Analysis	27
Table RHBW.6.4. O	Description and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy utcomes	44
Table RHBW.6.5.	Description of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Analyses	57
Table RHBW.6.6. M	Description and Derivation of Health Outcomes and Quality-of-Life leasures	76
Table RHBW.6.7.	Description of Health Outcomes and Quality-of-Life Analyses	81
Table RHBW.6.8.	Definitions and Analyses of Special Safety Topics including dverse Events of Special Interest	93
Table RHBW.6.9. Pr C	Categorical Criteria for Abnormal Treatment-Emergent Blood ressures and Pulse Measurement, and Categorical Criteria for Weight hanges for Adults	108
Table RHBW.6.10.	Baseline Definition for Immunogenicity Analyses for Extended reatment Period	113
Table RHBW.6.11.	TE-ADA Status Dichotomous Variables for AE Analysis	117
Table RHBW.6.12.	Identification and Action of Important Protocol Deviations	123
Table RHBW.6.13.	Categorization of Clinical Outcomes	135
Table RHBW.6.14. Q	Description and Derivation of Exploratory Health Outcomes and uality-of-Life Measures	136
Table RHBW.6.15.	Description of Exploratory Health Outcomes and Quality-of- ife Analyses	138

Table of Contents		
Figure		Page
Figure RHBW.5.1. R	Illustration of study design for Clinical Protocol I1F-MC- HBW	15
Figure RHBW.6.1.	Illustration of graphical multiple testing procedure with initial $\alpha$ llocation and weights.	32
Figure RHBW.6.2. o	Graphical multiple testing scheme used within the Tier 1 group f endpoints.	33
Figure RHBW.6.3. o	Graphical multiple testing scheme used within the Tier 2 group f endpoints.	34
Figure RHBW.6.4.	Sample definitions.	112
Figure RHBW.6.5.	Patient categories (evaluable/unevaluable) based on sample tatus at baseline and postbaseline.	113
Figure RHBW.6.6. fo	Relationship of terms for clinical interpretation of assay results or evaluable patients.	114
Figure RHBW.6.7. v	Flow chart of ADA assessment with clinical interpretation of the arious result possibilities.	116

Appendix		Page
Appendix 1.	Scientific Justification of the Placebo Multiple Imputation Method	146
Appendix 2.	Scientific Justification of the Tipping Point Method	148
Appendix 3.	Algorithm for Determining ASAS Response	149
Appendix 4.	Algorithm for Calculating Joint Counts	151
Appendix 5.	ASAS-NSAID Equivalent Score	152
Appendix 6.	Derivation of SF-36v2® Health Survey, Acute Version Scores	153
Appendix 7.	Anti-Infective Medications and Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Code List and Programming Guide	159
Appendix 8.	MedDRA Preferred Terms for Each Category Associated with Criterion 2 for Anaphylactic Allergic Reactions/Hypersensitivity Events	165
Appendix 9.	Allergic Reactions/Hypersensitivity MedDRA Preferred Terms Excluded from Non-Anaphylaxis	167
Appendix 10.	Lilly-Defined MedMRA V21.0 Preferred Terms for Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)	169
Appendix 11.	Lilly-Defined MedDRA V21.0 Preferred	170
Appendix 12.	Definition of DMARDs, Oral Corticosteroids, NSAIDs (including COX-2 inhibitors) and Opioids	179
Appendix 13.	Clinical Meaningful Conmed Changes	184

#### **Table of Contents**

## 3. Revision History

Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) Version 1 was approved on April 07, 2016, prior to first patient visit.

SAP Version 2 was approved on June 12, 2018.

SAP Version 3 was approved prior to first unblinding on June 19, 2018.

SAP Version 4 was approved prior to Week 52 database lock on Febrary 28, 2019

Changes from Version 3:

Section	Action
Section 6.1.2, 6.1.3	Updated analysis for Period 3 and the combined periods 2 and 3
Section 6.1.5	Added All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population
Table 6.1	Added two columns for the ITT (Safety) Population Who are Initially
	Randomized to Ixekizumab at Week 0 and All Ixekizumab Exposures
	Safety Population
Table 6.2 and other sections	Updated the text according to the updates in Section 6.1.2, 6.1.3 and 6.1.5.
Appendix 6.	Updated norm for Week 52 DBL to use 2009 US population, and updated
	the term for the domain scores from 'raw' to 'transformed'
Table 6.4	Updated the derivation of ASDAS major improvement by adding the
	restriction of the minimum score of 0.6361.

Changes from Version 2:

Section	Action
Section 6.10 Table 6.4	Two rows were shifted from MASES all the way down to the bottom. Those
	were fixed in Version 3.
Section 6.14 Protocol Deviation	Fixed format of Table 12. When deleting a column from Version 1 to
Table 6.12	Version 2, some rows were accidently deleted. Those were brought back in
	Version 3
Entire document	Fixed some minor formatting issues

The overall changes and rationale for the changes incorporated in Version 2 from Version 1 are as follows:

Section	Action
Section 4 Objectives	Updated per protocol amendment (d)
	Changed the major secondary endpoint of ASDAS inactive disease to
	ASDAS <2.1
	Changed the major secondary endpoint of BASDAI50 to BASDAI CFB
Section 5	Clarified stratification factor
Section 6.1.1 General	Clarified analysis for CRP, ASDAS disease states, included analysis for
Considerations for Period 2	ASDAS <2.1

Section	Action
Section 6.1.2 General	Clarified baseline definition for TEAE for Period 3 per program safety
Considerations for Period 3	analysis plan (PSAP 8.0 language; added additional safety analyses for
	combined period 2 and 3
Section 6.2. Adjustments for	Modified geographic regions for statistical analysis. Polished languages.
Covariates	
Section 6.3.5 Tipping Point Analysis	Updated methodology to 2-D analysis
Section 6.5 multiple comparison	Revised weights for graphical multiplicity testing procedure
Section 6.6 Patient disposition	Adding log-rank test for time to discontinuation
Section 6.7 Patient characteristics	Updated/clarified some baseline variables, adding spine SPARCC per protocol amendment
Section 6.7.2 Historical Illness and Preexisting Conditions	Updated analyses for pre-existing conditions for Period 2 and 3
Section 6.8 Treatment compliance	Updated population for treatment compliance analyses
Section 6.9.1 Previous Therapy	Removed Previous therapy due to redundancy to previous axSpA therapy
Section 6.9.2 Concomitant therapy	Removed summary of concomitant therapy for PSFU period
Section 6.10 Efficacy analyses	Changed the major secondary endpoint of ASDAS ID to ASDAS <2.1
Table 6.4	activity
	Added change from Baseline for BASDAI 6 individual items
	Added variable for Good ASAS HI and improvement from Baseline $\geq 3$
	updated calculation for BASMI tragus to wall distance;
	clarified how to handle 'non-evaluable' for MASES;
	added additional descriptions and analysis for TJC/SJC;
	updated MRI related scores per protocol amendment;
	updated analysis for anterior uveitis;
Section 6.10 Efficacy analyses Table	Change major secondary endpoint of ASDAS ID to ASDAS <2.1 and
6.5	updated the analysis
	Changed the major secondary endpoint of BASDAI50 to BASDAI CFB and
	updated the analysis
	Added additional analyses for TIC/SIC:
	Added additional analyses for TJC/SJC,
	Devised analysis for ASDAS disease activity states
	Undeted analysis non-ulation for anthesitis and TIC/SIC ASAS NSAID
	score
	Added analysis for BASDAL6 individual items
	Removed % improvement analysis for CRP fatigue and ISEO
	Removed time of 1st event analysis
Section 6 10 2 Major Secondary	Undated MRI score per protocol amendment a
Efficacy Analyses	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Section 6.10.4.1 Analyses on	Added NSAID equivalent scoring system from reference and from medical
NSAID Intake	input
Section 6.10.6 Health Outcome	moved description of QIDS from Section 6.17.4 to this section
Tables 6.6 and 6.7	
Section 6.11 Bioanalytical and PK	Updated text for PK analyses
methods	
Section 6.12.1 and 6.12.2.	Added exposure and AE for combined period 2 and 3 analysis

Section	Action
6.12.3.1 AESI Table 6.8	Text updates for definition/derivation of AESIs to be consistent with PSAP
	Removed duplicated or unnecessary analyses
	Changed Covance to performing lab reference range
	Wording updates per most recent PSAP for hepatic (T.Bili), infections,
	Allergic Reactions/Hypersensitivities, injection-site reactions, CV,
	malignancies, IBD)
6.12.4 Clinical Laboratory	Added Grade 1-4 designations to each of the WBC parameters
Evaluation	Clarified the reference ranges for WBC parameters and hepatic parameters
6.12.8 Immunogenicity	Updated text to be consistent with PSAP, clarified analyses for combined
	periods 2 and 3
6.13 and 6.14	Removed due to redundance in PKPD section
6.14 Subgroup analyses	Added subgroup analysis for TNFi, Baseline ASDAS, Baseline BASDAI
	and more
6.15 Protocol Deviations	Updated category/subcat/study spec for INC8, 12, 14, 35 due to protocol
	amendment
	Updated condition for exclusion from PPS for INC6, 30, 31, 37, EX-CM
	Removed statistical programming guidance to an external document
6.17.4 Planned Exploratory Health	Minor wording update
outcome analyses	
6.17.4 Tables 6.14 and 6.15	Moved the QIDS descriptions and analyses to Tables 6.6 and 6.7,
	respectively
Appendices 7-10	Updated per most recent PSAP
Appendix 11	Added per PSAP
Appendix 12, 13	Added ATC codes/terms for drug of specified interest; medical guidance on
	clinically meaningful change
Entire document	Made minor grammatical or formatting changes as needed

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; AESI = adverse events of special interest; ASDAS = Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; ASDAS ID = ASDAS Inactive Disease ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; ASAS HI = ASAS Health Index; ASAS-NSAID = Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug; ATC = anatomical therapeutic chemical; axSpA = radiographic axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASDAI50 = an improvement of ≥50% of the BASDAI score from baseline (that is, if the value of % improvement from baseline is ≥50, BASDAI50 is met); BASMI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CFB = change from baseline; CRP = C-reactive protein; CV = cardiovascular; EX-CM = Excluded Conmeds ; IBD = Inflammatory Bowel Disease; JSEQ = Jenkins Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire; MASES = Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score ; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PD = pharmacodynamics; PK -= pharmacokinetics; PSAP = program safety analysis plan ; QIDS = Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self Report; SJC = swollen joint count; SPARCC = Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada; T.Bili = total bilirubin; TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event; TJC = tender joint count; TNFi = tumor necrosis factor inhibitor.

|--|

Objectives	Endpoints
<b>Primary</b> The primary objective is to compare both ixekizumab regimens (80 mg Q2W or 80 mg Q4W) versus placebo in patients with active radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (rad-axSpA) <u>at Week 16.</u>	• Proportion of patients achieving an Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society 40 (ASAS40) response
Secondary <u>The major secondary objective is:</u> <ul> <li>To compare both ixekizumab regimens (80 mg Q2W or 80 mg Q4W) to placebo <u>at Week 16</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Proportion of patients achieving an ASAS20 response</li> <li>Change from baseline in Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS)</li> <li>Change from baseline in Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI)</li> <li>Change from baseline in Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI)</li> <li>Proportion of patients achieving ASDAS &lt;2.1</li> <li>Change from baseline in Short Form 36 (SF-36) physical component score (PCS)</li> <li>Change from baseline in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the spine (Spondyloarthritis</li> </ul>
	Research Consortium of Canada [SPARCC] score). (This endpoint applies to MRI addendum only.)

Objectives	Endpoints	
To determine if the effect of either ixekizumab regimen is maintained through Week 52	All endpoints assessed at Week 16 (above) and during the 16-week placebo-controlled period (above) will continue to be assessed through Week 52 (with the exception of MRI-related endpoints).	
To explore effect of starting dose (160 mg	<ul> <li>NSAID intake (ASAS-NSAID score and % of patients taking NSAIDs)</li> <li>Onset of action and treatment response (ASAS and</li> </ul>	
compared to 80 mg)	CRP) during the placebo-controlled period	
To evaluate the incidence of anti-ixekizumab antibodies and their relationship to the efficacy of ixekizumab	<ul> <li>Efficacy response rates listed below at Weeks 16 and 52 by treatment-emergent anti-drug antibody (TE-ADA) status and by neutralizing anti-drug antibody (NAb) status:         <ul> <li>Proportion of patients achieving ASAS40</li> <li>Proportion of patients achieving ASAS20</li> <li>Proportion of patients achieving ASAS20</li> <li>Proportion of patients achieving ASAS4</li> <li>2.1</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
• To measure ixekizumab exposure and assess the	Serum trough concentrations of ixekizumab	
relationship between exposure and efficacy, and exposure and immunogenicity	<ul> <li>Model parameters for the exposure-response relationship between ixekizumab serum trough concentrations and efficacy endpoints (for example, ASAS20, ASAS40) at Weeks 16 and/or 52</li> <li>Ixekizumab serum trough concentrations associated</li> </ul>	
CCI		

Abbreviations: CRP = C-reactive protein; DNA – deoxyribonucleic acid; mRNA = messenger ribonucleic acid; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks; TE-ADA = treatment-emergent anti-drug antibody.

## 5. Study Design

This section contains the summary of study design, the method of treatment assignment, and the sample size determination from the protocol for Study I1F-MC-RHBW (RHBW).

## 5.1. Summary of Study Design

Study RHBW is a Phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallelgroup, outpatient study examining the efficacy and safety of 2 ixekizumab treatment regimens (80 mg every 2 weeks [Q2W] and 80 mg every 4 weeks [Q4W] subcutaneous [SC]), as compared to placebo SC in patients with active radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (rad-axSpA) who are tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor-experienced, during a double-blind, 16-week treatment period (Period 2). Starting doses of 80 mg and 160 mg (at Week 0) will be evaluated for each ixekizumab regimen.

Study RHBW will also evaluate long-term efficacy and safety of ixekizumab during the Extended Treatment Period (Period 3) for a total treatment duration of 1 year (52 weeks). Patients who complete Study RHBW may be eligible to enroll into a long-term study (Study I1F-MC-RHBY [RHBY]) for up to 2 additional years. Patients who do not enroll into Study RHBY will complete the Post-Treatment Follow-Up Period (Period 4) in Study RHBW.

Figure RHBW.5.1 illustrates the study design.



Abbreviations: LV = last visit; LY = ixekizumab; n = number of patients; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks; SC = subcutaneous; V = study visit; W = study week.

<sup>a</sup> All patients will receive 2 injections at baseline, as detailed in Protocol Section 6.1. Patients randomized to an ixekizumab regimen will be randomized to a 160 mg starting dose or 80 mg starting dose at a 1:1 ratio (within each ixekizumab regimen).

<sup>b</sup> All patients will receive 2 injections at Week 16, as detailed in Protocol Section 6.1. Patients randomized to placebo at Week 0 will begin ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W or ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W at Week 16 with a 160 mg starting dose (Protocol Section 6.1).

Patients who discontinue from study treatment for any reason and who have received at least 1 dose of investigational product will continue to the early termination visit (ETV) before entering the Post-Treatment Follow-Up Period. V801 and V802 are required for all patients; V803 may be needed depending on neutrophil counts (Protocol Section 6.1).

#### Figure RHBW.5.1. Illustration of study design for Clinical Protocol I1F-MC-RHBW.

#### 5.2. Method of Assignment to Treatment

Patients who meet all criteria for enrollment will be randomized to double-blind treatment at Week 0 (Visit 2). Assignment to treatment groups will be determined by a computer-generated random sequence using an interactive web-response system (IWRS). The IWRS will be used to assign double-blind investigational product to each patient. Site personnel will confirm that they have located the correct assigned investigational product package by entering a confirmation number found on the package into the IWRS.

To achieve between-group comparability, the randomization will be stratified by country, baseline C-reactive protein (CRP) (nonelevated or elevated, elevated defined as >5.00 mg/L) and number of prior tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor used (1 or 2). Due to operational feasibility, stratification by CRP is based on the most recent CRP before randomization, (that is, screening CRP). The study will enroll approximately 60% of patients with elevated screening CRP (>5.00 mg/L) and approximately 40% of patients with nonelevated screening CRP ( $\leq$ 5.00 mg/L), and approximately 60% of patients with 1 prior TNF inhibitor and approximately 40% of patients with 2 prior TNF inhibitors.

#### 5.3. Determination of Sample Size

Approximately 300 patients will be randomized at a 1:1:1 ratio in the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2) to ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W, ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W, and placebo. With 100 patients per treatment group, CCI



clinical studies in rad-axSpA (etanercept, adalimumab, infliximab, certolizumab, and golimumab [Davis et al. 2003; van der Heijde et al. 2005, 2006; Inman et al. 2008; Landewé et al. 2014]) and recent secukinumab data in patients who are TNF inhibitor experienced (Baeten et al. 2014; Sieper et al. 2014).

## 6. A Priori Statistical Methods

#### 6.1. General Considerations

Statistical analysis of this study will be the responsibility of Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly). The statistical analyses will be performed using SAS<sup>®</sup> Version 9.2 or higher.

Continuous data will be summarized in terms of the number of observations, mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum, median, and maximum. The minimum and maximum will be reported to the same number of decimal places as the raw data recorded in the database. The mean and median will be reported to 1 more decimal place than the raw data recorded in the database. The SD will be reported to 2 more decimal places than the raw data recorded in the database. In general, the maximum number of decimal places reported shall be 4 for any summary statistic.

Categorical data will be summarized in terms of the number of patients in the analysis population, the number of patients providing data at the relevant time point, frequency counts, and the percentages corresponding to the appropriate method. Percentages will be presented to 1 decimal place. Percentages will not be presented for zero counts.

All confidence intervals (CIs) and statistical tests will be 2-sided unless otherwise specified. P-values which are  $\geq 0.001$ , and  $\leq 0.999$ , will be presented to 3 decimal places. All other p-values which are <0.001 will be presented as <0.001, while p-values greater than 0.999 will be presented as >0.999. Confidence intervals (CIs) will be presented to 1 more decimal place than the raw data.

Age, sex, and race will be reported on all by-patient listings unless otherwise specified. Sex will be abbreviated as follows: female (F) and male (M). Race will be abbreviated as follows: American Indian or Alaska Native (AI), Asian (AS), Black or African American (BL), Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (NH), White (WH), and Multiple (MU).

### 6.1.1. General Considerations for Analyses during the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2)

Comparisons between each ixekizumab regimen (80 mg Q2W or 80 mg Q4W) and placebo will be performed for all analyses in Period 2.

Period 2 starts at the first injection of study treatment at Week 0 (Visit 2) and ends before the first injection of study treatment at Week 16 (Visit 8) or the early termination visit (ETV) (between Weeks 0 and 16).

Baseline will be defined as the last available value before the first injection for efficacy, health outcome, and safety analyses. In most cases, this will be the measure recorded at Week 0 (Visit 2). For efficacy measures, if the patient does not take any injection, the last available value on or before randomization date will be used. Change from baseline will be calculated as the visit value of interest minus the baseline value. For safety analyses using a baseline period, the baseline period is defined as the time from Visit 1 to the date/time of the first injection.

The randomization to treatment groups is stratified by country, screening CRP status (nonelevated versus elevated), and number of prior TNF inhibitors used (1 or 2) as described in Section 5.2. The countries will be categorized into geographic regions for analysis. Geographic regions are defined in Section 6.2. Unless otherwise specified, the statistical analysis models will adjust for geographic region, baseline CRP status, and number of prior TNF inhibitors used.

Unless otherwise specified, treatment groups of ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and Q4W groups will be analyzed without regard to starting dose.

The primary analysis method for treatment comparisons of categorical efficacy and health outcomes variables at specific time points will be made using a logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region (Europe and non-Europe), number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model using PROC Logistic with a Wald test. The odds ratio and 95% CIs will be reported; treatment difference and 95% CI will also be reported. Secondary analysis will be conducted using a Fisher's exact test. In the case when the logistic regression model does not produce statistical results due to sparse data, Fisher's exact test will be used.

As a secondary analysis for the primary and major secondary categorical efficacy measures, a categorical, pseudo-likelihood based mixed-effects model of repeated measures (categorical mixed-effects model of repeated measures [MMRM]) estimating the percentage of patients achieving response across postbaseline visits may be used. The model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, visit, and treatment-by-visit as fixed factors. The binomial distribution and the logit link will be used. The restricted maximum likelihood (REML) will be used. An unstructured covariance matrix will be used to model the within-patient variance-covariance errors. The Kenward-Roger approximation will be used to estimate the denominator degrees of freedom. The Newton-Raphson with ridging optimization technique will be used to aid with convergence. The probability of response, the corresponding 2-sided 95% CI, and the p-value for treatment comparisons at Week 16 (Visit 8) and all other postbaseline visits will be reported.

If the unstructured covariance matrix results in a lack of convergence, the heterogeneous Toeplitz covariance structure, followed by the heterogeneous autoregressive covariance structure, followed by the compound symmetry will be used. This order is specified according to a decreasing number of covariance parameters in the structure. The sandwich estimator (Diggle et al. 1994) for the covariance estimation will be used by specifying the EMPIRICAL option in SAS PROC MIXED. When sandwich estimation is used, the Kenward-Roger approximation for denominator degrees of freedom cannot be used. Instead, DDFM= BETWITHIN option will be used to estimate denominator degrees of freedom.

The primary analyses for continuous efficacy and health outcomes variables will be made using MMRM, except for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) endpoints, which will be made using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). A secondary analysis for continuous efficacy and health outcomes variables will be made using ANCOVA.

When the MMRM is used, the model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, and

treatment-by-visit interaction as fixed factors (except for the analysis of CRP; see paragraph below). The covariance structure to model the within-patient errors will be unstructured. The REML will be used. The Kenward-Roger method will be used to estimate the denominator degrees of freedom. Type III tests for the least-squares (LS) means will be used for the statistical comparison; the 95% CI will also be reported. Treatment group comparisons with placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8) and all other visits will be reported. If the unstructured covariance matrix results in a lack of convergence, the similar approach specified above for categorical MMRM will be used.

For the analysis of CRP, the MMRM model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, visit, and treatment-by-visit interaction as fixed factors. Note, for the ratio of postbaseline to baseline in CRP, analysis will be performed on the natural log transformed ratio. When the ANCOVA is used, the model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, and baseline value (except for the analysis of CRP; see paragraph below). Type III sums of squares for the LS means will be used for the statistical comparison; the 95% CI will also be reported.

For the analysis of CRP, the ANCOVA model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status. Note, for the ratio of postbaseline to baseline in CRP, analysis will be performed on the natural log transformed ratio.

For the analysis of Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) disease activity states (that is, inactive, low, high, and very high disease states), the repeated measures proportional odds model will include treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, visit, and treatment-by-visit interaction.

The impact of ixekizumab starting dose of 160 mg versus 80 mg on treatment response at Week 16 and time to onset of action will be summarized and evaluated. Response rates in categorical variables (including ASAS40 and ASAS20) and LS mean change in continuous efficacy measure of CRP at Week 16 will be presented for patients randomized to ixekizumab Q2W or Q4W treatment regimen with ixekizumab 160-mg starting dose and with ixekizumab 80-mg starting dose.

For response rates, starting dose comparisons within ixekizumab Q2W or Q4W treatment regimens will be based on a logistic regression model with treatment, starting dose, and treatment-by-starting-dose interaction (only ixekizumab Q2W and Q4W will be included in the analyses). Starting dose comparison combining ixekizumab Q2W and Q4W treatment regimens will be based on a logistic regression model with only starting dose in the model.

For mean change analysis, starting dose comparisons within ixekizumab Q2W or Q4W treatment regimens will be based on a MMRM model with treatment, starting dose, baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, treatment-by-starting dose, starting dose-by-visit, and treatment-by-starting dose-by-visit interactions as fixed factors. Starting dose comparison combining ixekizumab Q2W and Q4W treatment regimens will be based on a MMRM model with starting dose, baseline value, visit, baseline value, visit, and starting dose, baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, and starting dose of a MMRM model with starting dose, baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, and starting dose.

interaction as fixed factors. The differences in response rates and LS mean changes between starting doses and the corresponding 95% CI will be reported as well.

Time-to-onset analyses will focus on earlier time points (for example, Weeks 1, 2, 4, and so on) for abovementioned response variables in a similar fashion. For time-to-first-clinical-response analysis, starting dose comparisons within and across ixekizumab Q2W and Q4W treatment regimens will be based on a log-rank test.

For variables that are not collected at each postbaseline visit, data may exist at visits where the variable was not scheduled to be collected, due to early discontinuation visits. In these situations, data from the early discontinuation visit that do not correspond to the planned collection schedule will be excluded from the MMRM and categorical MMRM analyses (Andersen and Millen 2013). However, the data will still be used in other analyses, including shift analyses, change from baseline to last-observation carried forward (LOCF) or modified baseline observation carried forward (mBOCF) endpoint analyses, and other categorical analyses.

For selective continuous efficacy variables, percent improvement will be calculated as 100 x (baseline score – observed scores)/baseline score, unless specified otherwise. If a patient has experienced an improvement, this measure will be positive. If a patient has experienced a worsening, this measure will be negative.

Figures showing the proportion of patients achieving a categorical clinical response at each scheduled visit within each treatment group may be provided.

Time to first clinical response (for example, ASAS40) will be assessed based on the intent-totreat (ITT) population in Period 2. Unless specified otherwise, time to first clinical response (for example, ASAS40) is defined as:

# *Time to first clinical response (days)* = *Date of first clinical response during Period* 2 - Date *of Week* 0 *randomization* + 1

If a patient has not met the criteria for response by completion or early discontinuation of Period 2, the patient will be censored at the date of their last visit during Period 2.

The number of patients at risk and experiencing a response by each scheduled visit during Period 2 will be presented by treatment group. The Kaplan-Meier estimate of the proportion of patients achieving the clinical response will be presented for each visit. Treatment group comparisons will be performed using the log-rank test and the log-rank test stratified by geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status. A Kaplan-Meier plot of the time to first clinical response by treatment group will also be provided.

Fisher's exact test will be used for all adverse events (AE), baseline, discontinuation, and other categorical safety data. Continuous vital sign and laboratory values will be analyzed by an ANCOVA with treatment and baseline value in the model.

### 6.1.2. General Considerations for Analyses during the Extended Treatment Period (Period 3)

Unless otherwise specified, Period 3 starts at the first injection of study treatment at Week 16 (Visit 8) and ends on the date of Week 52 (Visit 15) or the ETV (between Weeks 16 and 52).

For the efficacy and health outcomes analyses, baseline is defined as the last available value before the first injection in Period 2 and, in most cases, will be the value recorded at Week 0 (Visit 2).

Unless otherwise specified, for the safety analyses during Period 3, baseline is defined as the last available value before first injection of ixekizumab in Period 3. In most cases, this will be the measure recorded at Week 16 (Visit 8). For treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), baseline is considered as those events that are ongoing before the first injection of the study treatment at Week 16.

The number and percentage of patients having a categorical efficacy response (for example, ASAS40) will be summarized by treatment group for all scheduled visits (nonresponder imputation [NRI]), including Week 52 (Visit 15) during Period 3.

In addition, the number and percentage of patients achieving response on ASAS20 for those patients who did not achieve it at Week 16, and the number and percentage of patients maintaining response for those who achieved response at Week 16 will be summarized by treatment group for all scheduled visits (NRI), including Week 52 (Visit 15) during Period 3. Similar summary will be provided for ASAS40.

Each continuous efficacy and health outcomes score and change from baseline (or percent improvement) will be summarized by treatment group at all scheduled visits, including Week 52 (Visit 15) using descriptive statistics (n, mean, SD, median, minimum, and maximum). Missing data will be imputed using mBOCF method (Section 6.3.2).

The categorical safety measures will be summarized with incidence rates. The mean change of the continuous safety measures will be summarized at all scheduled visits.

## 6.1.3. General Considerations for Analyses during Combined Blinded Treatment Dosing Period and Extended Treatment Period (Combined Periods 2 and 3)

Selective efficacy and health outcomes analyses will be performed for Combined Periods 2 and 3 for the ITT population who are randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0 (Visit 2). These analyses include the primary endpoint, all major secondary endpoints, as well as BASDAI50, ASDAS inactive disease, ASDAS clinically important improvement and major improvement.

Unless otherwise specified, Combined Periods 2 and 3 starts at the first injection of study treatment at Week 0 (Visit 2) and ends on the date of Week 52 (Visit 15) or the ETV (between Weeks 0 and 52).

#### **I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4**

For the efficacy and health outcomes analyses, baseline is defined as the last available value before the first injection in Period 2 and, in most cases, will be the value recorded at Week 0 (Visit 2). For efficacy measures, if the patient does not take any injection, the last available value on or before randomization date will be used.

The number and percentage of patients achieving a categorical response (for example, ASAS40) will be summarized by treatment group for all scheduled visits (NRI), including Week 52 (Visit 15).

Continuous measure score and change from baseline will be summarized by treatment group at all scheduled visits, including Week 52 (Visit 15) using descriptive statistics (n, mean, SD, median, minimum, and maximum). Missing data will be imputed using mBOCF method (Section 6.3.2).

Selected safety analyses will be performed for Combined Periods 2 and 3 for the Safety Population who are randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0 (Visit 2).

For the above safety analyses, baseline will be defined as the last available value before the first injection at Week 0. In most cases, this will be the measure recorded at Week 0 (Visit 2). For TEAEs, baseline is defined as the time from Visit 1 to the date/time of the first injection.

Additional categorical safety analyses will be conducted in the All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population (defined in Section 6.1.5), for each patient, and will include only periods in which ixekizumab is administrated. Exposure-adjusted incidence rates of AEs during Week 0-52 timeframe will be provided. For these safety analyses, baseline is defined as follows:

- If ixekizumab is dispensed at Week 0, baseline will be defined as the last available value before the first injection at Week 0. In most cases, this will be the measure recorded at Week 0 (Visit 2). For TEAEs, baseline is defined as the time from Visit 1 to the date/time of the first injection.
- If placebo is dispensed at Week 0, then the baseline is the last nonmissing value up to visit (V8) that the patient receives first injection of ixekizumab.

#### 6.1.4. General Considerations for Analyses during the Post-Treatment Follow-Up Period (Period 4)

For the safety analyses during Period 4, baseline is defined as the last nonmissing assessment on or before entering Period 4, that is, on or before Week 52 (Visit 15), or ETV.

Safety data collected will be summarized using descriptive statistics.

Efficacy data collected during Period 4 will be summarized as described in Section 6.10.5.

#### 6.1.5. Analysis Populations

The following major analysis populations will be used (additional analysis populations for specific analysis will be defined in the corresponding analysis section):

**Intent-to-Treat Population (ITT Population):** Unless otherwise specified, efficacy and health outcomes analyses for Period 2 will be conducted on the ITT Population, defined as all randomized patients, even if the patient does not take the assigned treatment, does not receive the correct treatment, or otherwise does not follow the protocol. Patients will be analyzed according to the treatment to which they were assigned.

**Per-Protocol Set (PPS):** In addition, the primary efficacy analysis will be repeated using the PPS, which is defined as all randomized patients who do not have a subset of important protocol deviations that impact the primary efficacy endpoint (Section 6.14). For example, patients who are not compliant with therapy during the double-blind period will be excluded from the PPS. Compliance with therapy is defined to be missing no more than 20% of expected doses, not missing 2 consecutive doses (all injections in an injection week are counted as 1 dose), and not have any occurrence of over-dosing (that is, took more injections at the same time point than specified in the protocol) during Period 2.

Patients will be analyzed according to the treatment to which they were assigned.

**Safety Population:** Safety analyses for Period 2 will be conducted on the Safety Population, defined as all randomized patients who received at least 1 dose of study treatment. Patients will be analyzed according to the treatment to which they were assigned in that period.

**Extended Treatment Period Population:** Efficacy, health outcomes, and safety analyses for Period 3 will be conducted on the Extended Treatment Period Population, defined as all patients who received at least 1 dose of ixekizumab treatment during Period 3.

**All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population:** Safety analyses for combined Blinded Treatment Dosing Period and Extended Treatment Period will be conducted on the All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population, defined as all patients who received at least 1 dose of ixekizumab during the study. For each patient, only periods in which ixekizumab is administrated are included.

**Follow-Up Population:** Safety analyses for Period 4 will be conducted on the Follow-Up Population, defined as all randomized patients who received at least 1 dose of study treatment and have entered Period 4. Patients will be analyzed according to the treatment they received before entering the Follow-up Period. Table RHBW.6.1 summarizes the major analysis purposes intended for each analysis population.

Table RHBW.6.2 describes the treatment groups and the comparisons for each study period and analysis population.

		Per-		Extended Treatment	ITT Safety Population Who are Initially	All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety	
		Protocol	Safety	Period	Randomized to	Population	Follow-Up
	ITT Population	Set	Population	Population	Ixekizumab at Week 0		Population
Disposition	For Period 2			For Period 3			For Period 4
Baseline Characteristics a	For baseline			For baseline			
Treatment Compliance			For Period 2	For Period 3			
Concomitant Medication	For Period 2			For Period 3			
Protocol Deviation	For Period 2			For Period 3			
Exposure			For Period 2	For Period 3	For Combined Periods 2 and 3	For Periods 2 and 3, on ixekizumab treatment only	
Efficacy and Health Outcomes Analyses	For Period 2; For Period 4, only ASAS40	For ASAS40, ASAS20 in Period 2		For Period 3	For primary and major secondary objectives, and selective measures in Combined Periods 2 and 3		
Safety Analyses			For Period 2	For Period 3	For Combined Periods 2 and 3	For Periods 2 and 3, on ixekizumab treatment only	For Period 4
Subgroup Analyses on Efficacy Outcome	For Period 2						
Subgroup Analyses on Safety Outcome			For Period 2				

 Table RHBW.6.1.
 Major Analysis Purposes Intended for Each Analysis Population

Abbreviations: ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; ITT = intent-to-treat.

<sup>a</sup> including patient demographics and other baseline characteristics, historical illness, pre-existing conditions, prespecified medical history, previous therapy.

Study Period	Analysis Population	Treatment Group	Abbreviation	Comparison
Blinded Treatment	Intent-to-Treat	Placebo	PBO	IXE80Q4W vs. PBO
Dosing Period	Population;	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W	IXE80Q2W vs. PBO
(Period 2)		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W	Overall <sup>a</sup>
	Per Protocol Set;	Total Ixekizumab	Total IXE	
		Total	Total	
	Safety Population			
		Add the following treatment groups for analyses		
		evaluating the impact of ixekizumab starting dose:		
		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W/80 mg Starting Dose	IXE80Q4W/80S <sup>b</sup>	IXE80Q4W/80S <sup>b</sup> vs.
		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W/160 mg Starting Dose	IXE80Q4W/160S <sup>b</sup>	IXE80Q4W/160S <sup>b</sup>
		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W/80 mg Starting Dose	IXE80Q2W/80S <sup>b</sup>	IXE80Q2W/80S <sup>b</sup> vs.
		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W/160 mg Starting Dose	IXE80Q2W/160S <sup>b</sup>	IXE80Q2W/160S <sup>b</sup>
		Total Ixekizumab/80 mg Starting Dose	Total IXE/80S <sup>b</sup>	Total IXE/80S <sup>b</sup> vs.
		Total Ixekizumab/160 mg Starting Dose	Total IXE/160S <sup>b</sup>	Total IXE/160S <sup>b</sup>
Extended	Extended Treatment	Placebo/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	PBO/IXE80Q4W	No Between-Group or
Treatment Period	Period Population	Placebo/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	PBO/IXE80Q2W	Overall Comparisons
(Period 3)		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W/IXE80Q4W	
		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W/IXE80Q2W	
		Placebo/Ixekizumab 80 mg	PBO/IXE	
		Ixekizumab 80 mg/Ixekizumab 80 mg	IXE/IXE	
		Total	Total	
Combined Periods	Intent-to-Treat	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W	No Between-Group or
2 and 3	Population Who are	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W	Overall Comparisons
	Initially Randomized	Total Ixekizumab	Total IXE	
	to Ixekizumab			
Combined Periods	Safety Population	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W	No Between-Group or
2 and 3	Who are Initially	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W	Overall Comparisons
	Randomized to	Total Ixekizumab	Total IXE	
	Ixekizumab			

 Table RHBW.6.2.
 Treatment Groups and Comparisons for Each Study Period and Analysis Population

Combined Periods	All Ixekizumab	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W	No Between-Group or
2 and 3 (on	Exposures Safety	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W	Overall Comparisons
Ixekizumab	Population e	Total Ixekizumab	Total IXE	
treatment only)				
Post-Treatment	Follow-Up	Placebo	PBO	No Between-Group or
Follow-up Period	Population	Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W	IXE80Q4W	Overall Comparisons
$(Period 4)^d$		Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W	IXE80Q2W	
		Total Ixekizumab	Total IXE	
		Total	Total	

#### Treatment Groups and Comparisons for Each Study Period and Analysis Population

Abbreviations: IXE80Q2W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 2 weeks; IXE80Q4W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 4 weeks; IXE = Ixekizumab including IXE80Q2W and IXE80Q4W; PBO = placebo.

<sup>a</sup> Overall comparison will be conducted for demographics, historical illness, medical history, pre-existing condition, and previous therapy. The between-group comparisons and the overall comparison will be conducted for concomitant therapy, compliance, disposition, and safety.

<sup>b</sup> S=Starting does; differences between starting dose groups and 95% CI will be provided.

c For Extended Treatment Period Population, 'Total Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W' is a pooled group of 'Placebo/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W', and 'Ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W'; similarly, 'Total Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W' is a pooled group of 'Placebo/Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W', and 'Ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W'.

d Treatment group refers to the treatment regimen that the patient received before entering Period 4.

e Defined as all patients who received at least 1 dose of ixekizumab during the study

#### 6.2. Adjustments for Covariates

The countries will be categorized into geographic regions for statistical analysis Table RHBW.6.3). Unless otherwise specified, the statistical analysis models will adjust for geographic region, baseline CRP status (nonelevated or elevated), and number of prior TNF inhibitors used (1 or 2).

Below are the initial country allocations.

Table RHBW.6.3.	<b>Geographic Regions</b>	for Statistical Analysis
-----------------	---------------------------	--------------------------

Geographic Region	Country or Countries
Non-Europe	United States, Canada, Mexico, Korea, Argentina, Israel, Brazil
Europe	Finland, France, Poland, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK, The Netherlands

In general, when an MMRM is to be used for analyses, baseline value and baseline-by-visit interactions will be included as covariates; when an ANCOVA is to be used for analyses, baseline value will be included as a covariate.

#### 6.3. Handling of Dropouts or Missing Data

In accordance with precedent set with other Phase 3 axSpA trials (van der Heijde et al. 2006; Inman et al. 2008), the following methods for imputation of missing data will be used:

#### 6.3.1. Nonresponder Imputation

Analysis of categorical efficacy and health outcomes variables will be assessed based on treatment success/failure. This approach yields results numerically identical to NRI, but it is interpreted differently. Patients will be considered treatment failures if they do not meet the clinical response criteria or have missing clinical response data at the primary analysis time point. Randomized patients without at least 1 postbaseline observation will also be defined as nonresponders for the NRI analysis.

With NRI, as the acronym implies, there is explicit imputation of missing ASAS40 outcomes. With treatment success/failure, discontinuation of study medication is considered a treatment failure because if patients cannot adhere to the medication, they will not have sustained benefit from it. Therefore, every patient will have an observation for treatment success/failure and there will be no missing data for this estimand, and hence inferences will not depend on missing data assumptions. These attributes also apply to other endpoints involving use of NRI.

### 6.3.2. Modified Baseline Observation Carried Forward

An mBOCF analysis will be performed on continuous efficacy and health outcomes variables in the major and other secondary objectives. For patients discontinuing study drug due to an AE, the baseline observation will be carried forward to the corresponding time point for evaluation. For patients discontinuing study drug for any other reason, the last nonmissing observation before discontinuation will be carried forward to the corresponding time point for evaluation.

Randomized patients without at least 1 postbaseline observation will not be included for evaluation with the exception of patients discontinuing study treatment because of an AE.

#### 6.3.3. Last Observation Carried Forward

An LOCF analysis will be performed on continuous efficacy and health outcomes variables in the major secondary objectives. This approach is identical to the mBOCF approach, with 1 exception: for patients discontinuing study drug because of an AE, the last nonmissing postbaseline observation before discontinuation will be carried forward to the corresponding time point for evaluation. Randomized patients without any postbaseline observation will not be included for evaluation.

### 6.3.4. Placebo Multiple Imputation

The placebo multiple imputation (pMI) method will be used for the analyses of primary efficacy endpoints ASAS40, major secondary efficacy endpoints ASAS20, and ASDAS change from baseline at Week 16 (Visit 8). Appendix 1 presents the detailed scientific justification of the pMI method.

Placebo multiple imputation assumes that the statistical behavior of drug- and placebo-treated patients after discontinuing study medication becomes that of placebo-treated patients. Multiple imputations (MIs) are used to replace missing outcomes for drug- and placebo-treated patients who discontinued, utilizing multiple draws from the posterior predictive distribution estimated from the placebo arm.

Data are processed sequentially by repeatedly calling SAS® PROC MI to impute missing outcomes at visits t=1,.., T.

- 1. *Initialization*. Set *t*=0 (baseline visit).
- Iteration. Set t=t+1. Create a dataset combining records from drug- and placebo-treated patients with columns for covariates X and outcomes at visits 1,...,t with outcomes for all drug-treated patients set to missing at visit t and set to observed or imputed values at visits 1,...,t-1.
- 3. *Imputation*. Run Bayesian regression in SAS<sup>®</sup> PROC MI on this data to impute missing values for visit t using previous outcomes for visits 1 to t-1 and baseline covariates. Note that only placebo data will be used to estimate the imputation model since no outcome is available for drug-treated patients at visit t.
- 4. Replace imputed data for all drug-treated patients at visit t with their observed values, whenever available. If t<T, then go to Step 2, otherwise proceed to Step 5. Repeat Steps 1 to 4 *m* times with different seed values to create *m* imputed complete datasets.
- 5. *Analysis*. For each completed dataset, use the model as would have been applied had the data been completed for continuous outcome. For the efficacy endpoints, ASAS20 and ASAS40, the missing binary outcomes will be imputed directly for each patient before fitting into the analysis model. A logistic regression model will be applied.

The final inference on treatment difference is conducted from the multiple datasets using Rubin's combining rules, as implemented in SAS<sup>®</sup> PROC MI ANALYZE.

Thus, in the effectiveness context, pMI assumes no pharmacological benefit of the drug after dropout but is a more reasonable approach than mBOCF because, unlike BOCF and mBOCF, pMI accounts for uncertainty of imputation, and therefore does not underestimate standard errors and limits bias by taking into account study/placebo effects. In the efficacy context, pMI is a specific form of a missing-not-at-random (MNAR) analysis expected to yield a conservative estimate of efficacy.

#### 6.3.5. Tipping Point Analyses

To evaluate the robustness of statistical analyses of key efficacy data and assumptions inherent in missing data imputation methods, tipping point analyses will be used for the missing data of ASAS40, ASAS20, as well as mean change from baseline in ASDAS at the primary time point of Week 16. Appendix 2 presents the detailed scientific justification of the tipping point method.

For continuous variable (mean change in ASDAS at Week 16), a 2-step MI method is used to impute missing data independently by treatment group.

- 1. The first step is to create a monotone missing pattern using a Markov chain Monte Carlo method (using SAS<sup>®</sup> Proc MI with MCMC option) to handle intermittent missing data.
- 2. The second step is to use a set of Bayesian regressions (using Proc MI with MONOTONE option, 20 imputed data sets) for the imputation of monotone dropouts. The regression models are fit sequentially starting from the first visit with at least 1 missing response using treatment as a fixed effect and values (observed or imputed) from the previous visits as covariates. All patients in the ITT Population with a baseline value are included in the analyses, and all observed data are utilized from each patient, regardless of adherence to randomized treatment. A delta score is added to all imputed scores (at the primary time point) for patients in any ixekizumab treatment group to evaluate a scenario in which patients treated with ixekizumab would have worse outcomes than patients treated with placebo. An independent delta score will be added to the placebo group and is capped for individual patients based on the range of the outcome measure being analyzed. The delta score will not be added to the observed values.

Analyses using the principal analysis model are aggregated across the m imputed data sets using SAS<sup>®</sup> PROC MI ANALYZE to compute a p-value for the treatment comparison for a given value of the delta score.

Sensitivity of the analysis conclusion to the choice of delta score is determined by repeating the aforementioned MI steps and analyses by gradually increasing the delta score, thus evaluating scenarios with increasingly worse imputed values for missing data for patients treated with ixekizumab. The tipping point is identified as the delta score value, which leads to a loss of statistical significance when evaluating ixekizumab relative to the placebo group.,

The tipping point analysis will be used for categorical data (ASAS40 or ASAS20 at Week 16) in a similar fashion.

- For ixekizumab groups, a range of response probability (for example, probability = 0, 0.1, 0.2, ..., respectively) will be used to impute the missing values for ASAS40 or ASAS20 (each probability is imputed based on 20 data sets). NRI will be used as the most extreme case.
- For placebo group, different response probability (for example, probability=0, 0.2, ... 1, respectively) will be used to impute the missing values for ASAS40 or ASAS20 (each probability is imputed based on *m* data sets).
- Analyses using the principal analysis model are aggregated across the *m* imputed data sets using SAS<sup>®</sup> PROC MI ANALYZE to compute a p-value for the treatment comparison for a given value of the response probability.

Sensitivity of the analysis conclusion is determined by gradually increasing the response probability value, thus evaluating scenarios with increasingly imputed response rate for patients treated with placebo. The tipping point is identified as the probability value, which leads to a loss of statistical significance when evaluating ixekizumab relative to the placebo group.

#### 6.4. Multicenter Studies

This study will be conducted by multiple investigators at multiple sites internationally. The countries will be categorized into geographic regions, as described in Section 6.2, for analysis.

For the analysis of the primary endpoint, the presence of a treatment-by-geographic region interaction will be tested at 10% significance level. Treatment group comparisons for the primary outcome will be presented separately for each geographic region. When there is evidence of an interaction (p<.10), descriptive statistics may be used to assess whether the interaction is quantitative (that is, the treatment effect is consistent in direction but not size of effect) or qualitative (the treatment is beneficial for some but not other geographic regions or countries).

### 6.5. Multiple Comparisons/Multiplicity

A multiple testing strategy for the primary and major secondary objectives will be implemented to control the family-wise type I error rate at a 2-sided  $\alpha$  level of 0.05. The primary outcome will be tested by using the primary analysis method, logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model, with NRI missing data imputation approach.

A graphical multiple testing procedure (Bretz et al. 2011) will be used (Figure RHBW.6.1). The graphical approach is a closed testing procedure; hence, it strongly controls the family-wise error rate (Alosh et al. 2014). The following is a list of primary and major secondary outcomes to be tested for both ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and Q4W regimen at Week 16:

Primary - proportion of patients achieving an ASAS40 response [ASAS40] Secondary 1 - proportion of patients achieving an ASAS20 response [ASAS20] Secondary 2 - change from baseline in ASDAS score [ASDAS change from baseline (CFB)] Secondary 3 - change from baseline in BASDAI score [BASDAI CFB] Secondary 4 - change from baseline in BASFI [BASFI CFB] Secondary 5 - change from baseline in SF-36 PCS score [SF-36 PCS CFB] Secondary 6 - proportion of patients achieving ASDAS <2.1 [ASDAS<2.1] Secondary 7 - change from baseline in ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI) [ASAS HI CFB] Secondary 8 - change from baseline in MRI of the spine [MRI spine SPARCC score CFB]

With the exception of secondary outcome 8 (MRI spine SPARCC score CFB), the remaining secondary outcomes are grouped into 2 tiers (Figure RHBW.6.1, Figure RHBW.6.2, and Figure RHBW.6.3) based on the clinical importance as well as statistical significance observed in historical axSpA studies.

Figure RHBW.6.1 shows the graphical testing scheme with initial  $\alpha$  allocation and weights, and Figure RHBW.6.2 and Figure RHBW.6.3 show the graphical testing schemes used within the Tier 1 and Tier 2 groups of endpoints respectively. The testing steps are outlined below:

Step 1: The primary outcome of ASAS40 will be tested for ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W versus placebo at a 2-sided  $\alpha$ =0.05. If the null hypothesis is not rejected, no further testing is conducted as the  $\alpha$  for that test is considered 'spent' and cannot be passed to other endpoints. If the null hypothesis is rejected, then move to Step 2.

Step 2: The primary outcome of ASAS40 will be tested for ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W versus placebo at a 2-sided  $\alpha$ =0.05. If the null hypothesis is not rejected, no further testing is conducted as the  $\alpha$  for that test is considered 'spent' and cannot be passed to other endpoints. If the null hypothesis is rejected, then move to Step 3.

Step 3:  $\alpha$ =0.025 will be distributed to Tier 1 set of secondary outcomes for ixekizumab 80 mg <u>Q2W</u> (blue circles in Figure RHBW.6.2), and the remaining  $\alpha$ =0.025 will be distributed to Tier 1 set of secondary outcomes for ixekizumab 80 mg <u>Q4W</u> (green circles in Figure RHBW.6.2).

The major secondary endpoints for both doses will be tested according to the procedure specified by the graphs.

The testing process continues for the remaining outcomes by allocating the remaining  $\alpha$  to the next set of outcomes as long as at least 1 hypothesis can be rejected. Each time a hypothesis is rejected, the graph is updated to reflect the reallocation of  $\alpha$ , which is considered "recycled" (Alosh et al. 2014). This iterative process of updating the graph and reallocating  $\alpha$  is repeated until all major secondary hypotheses have been tested or when no remaining hypotheses can be rejected at their corresponding  $\alpha$  level. The weights along the edges for  $\alpha$  allocation between ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W outcomes as well as within each of the tiers are prespecified in Figure RHBW.6.1, Figure RHBW.6.2, and Figure RHBW.6.3.



Abbreviations: ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; CFB = change from baseline; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks; SPARCC = Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada.

# Figure RHBW.6.1. Illustration of graphical multiple testing procedure with initial $\alpha$ allocation and weights.



Abbreviations: ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; ASDAS = Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; BASDAI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; CFB = change from baseline; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks; SF-36 PCS = Short Form 36 physical component score.

# Figure RHBW.6.2. Graphical multiple testing scheme used within the Tier 1 group of endpoints.



Abbreviations: ASAS HI = ASAS Health Index; ASDAS = Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; CFB = change from baseline; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks.

# Figure RHBW.6.3. Graphical multiple testing scheme used within the Tier 2 group of endpoints.

There will be no adjustment for multiple comparisons for any other analyses.

#### 6.6. Patient Disposition

The following patient disposition summaries will be provided (details of the analysis populations can be found in Section 6.1.5):

- The number and percentage (where applicable) of patients randomized at Week 0 (Visit 2), completing Week 16 (Visit 8), completing the study at Week 52 (Visit 15), and completing Follow-Up Visits 801, 802, and 803 if they are not going to enter Study RHBY, by the initial randomized treatment group (Analysis population: ITT)
- The number and percentage of patients completing Period 2 (Blinded Treatment Dosing Period) and the number and percentage of patients discontinuing from Period 2, by treatment group and primary reason for discontinuation (Analysis population: ITT)
- Fisher's exact test will be used to test for difference between treatment groups in the proportion of patients discontinuing from Period 2 and in the proportion of patients discontinuing for each reason during Period 2.
- The number and percentage of patients completing Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period) and the number and percentage of patients discontinuing from Period 3, by treatment group and primary reason for discontinuation (Analysis population: Extended Treatment Period Population)
- The number and percentage of patients completing Period 4 and the number and percentage of patients discontinuing from Period 4, by treatment group and primary reason for discontinuation (Analysis population: Follow-Up Population)

• The time to discontinuation of the treatment period due to any reason (in weeks) will be summarized by treatment group and graphically presented using Kaplan-Meier techniques, for the Blinded Treatment Dosing period. The time to discontinuation of the treatment period will be calculated as:

Date of discontinuation of period – Date of first dose in period + 1 7

Patients completing the treatment period will be censored at the date of completion (that is, the date of the last scheduled visit in the period). Patients without a date of treatment period completion or discontinuation will be censored at the latest nonmissing date out of the following dates: date of last dose in the treatment period and date of last attended visit in the treatment period (scheduled or unscheduled) (Analysis population: ITT Population). The log-rank test will be used to test for differences in the time to discontinuation between the treatment groups in Period 2.

A by-patient listing will also be provided to include the following information:

• Patient disposition during each period, including the date of randomization at Visit 2, the date of first and last dose during treatment periods, the date of completion or discontinuation of each period, and the primary reason for discontinuation if applicable. The number of days in Period 2 will also be calculated as defined above and presented in the listing (Analysis population: ITT).

#### 6.7. Patient Characteristics

#### 6.7.1. Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Patient demographic variables and baseline characteristics will be summarized for the ITT Population and Extended Treatment Period Population.

Treatment group comparisons in Period 2 will be conducted using Fisher's exact test for categorical data and an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with treatment as a factor for continuous data.

The continuous variables will be summarized using descriptive statistics (number of patients, mean, SD, minimum, median, and maximum); categorical variables will be summarized using frequency counts and percentages.

Demographics and baseline characteristics:

• Age (in years): calculated using an imputed date of birth of 01 July in the year of birth collected in the electronic case report form (eCRF). Age will be calculated as:

Age = floor((intck('month', brthdtc, rfstdtc) - (day(rfstdtc) < day(brthdtc)))/12)

where brthdtc = Imputed date of birth, and rfstdtc = subject reference start date (that is, the date when patient is first exposed to study treatment)
- Age category:
  - Age category: <40 years,  $\geq40$  years
  - Age category: <50 years,  $\ge 50$  years
  - Age category: <65 years, ≥65 years
- Sex
- Race
- Ethnicity
- Geographic region:
  - United States (US, including Puerto Rico) or non-US
  - Europe or Non-Europe
  - North America (US, including Puerto Rico sites, Canada) or Rest of the World (ROW)
  - America, Asia, Europe or ROW
- Country
- Weight (kg)
- Weight category:  $<70 \text{ kg or } \ge 70 \text{ kg}$
- Weight category:  $<70 \text{ kg}, \ge 70 \text{ to } <90 \text{ kg}, \ge 90 \text{ kg}$
- Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) will be calculated as:

$$BMI (kg / m^2) = \frac{Weight (kg)}{(Height (m) at Visit 2)^2}$$

- BMI category:
  - underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - o normal ( $\geq 18.5$  and < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - overweight ( $\geq 25$  and < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - $\circ$  obese ( $\geq$ 30 and <40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - o extreme obese ( $\geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ )
- Age of onset of axSpA (in years)
- Duration of symptoms since axSpA onset (in years) will be calculated using the date of onset of spondylitis disease (as recorded on the *Prespecified Medical History: Axial Spondyloarthritis* eCRF page) as follows:

$$Duration of symptoms(years) = \frac{Date of informed consent - Date of onset of axial spondylitis}{365.25}$$

- Duration of symptom since axSpA onset category: <10 years,  $\geq 10$  years
- Duration of symptom since axSpA onset category: <5 years,  $\geq 5$  years
- Duration of symptom since onset category: <3 years,  $\geq 3$  years
- Duration of disease since axSpA diagnosis (in years) will be calculated using the date of diagnosis of spondylitis disease (as recorded on the *Prespecified Medical History: Axial Spondyloarthritis* eCRF page) as follows:

Duration of disease since diagnosis (years)

Date of informed consent – Date of diagnosis of axial spondylitis

365.25

- Human leukocyte antigen B27 (HLA-B27) positivity: n (%)
- Inflammatory back pain: n (%)
- Current and/or history of extra-axial involvement separately for: n (%):
  - o anterior uveitis
    - o psoriasis
    - o inflammatory bowel disease (including Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis)
    - $\circ$  dactylitis
    - o arthritis
    - o enthesitis

### Baseline CRP level:

- CRP (mg/L)
- CRP categories: n (%):
  - $\circ \leq 3.00 \text{ mg/L}, > 3.00 \text{ mg/L} \leq 5.00 \text{ mg/L}, > 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$
  - $\circ \leq 10.00 \text{ mg/L}, > 10 \text{ mg/L}$
  - $\circ \leq 15.00 \text{ mg/L}, >15.00 \text{ mg/L}$

Baseline disease activity level, pain, function, and mobility:

- Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) and ASDAS <a>3.5</a>
- Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) and 4≤ BASDAI <6 or BASDAI ≥6
- Patient global assessment of disease activity (numeric rating scale [NRS])
- Inflammation (mean of questions 5 and 6 of BASDAI)
- Fatigue, spinal pain, peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, intensity of morning stiffness and duration of morning stiffness (BASDAI question 1-6)
- Pain, NRS: spinal pain at night due to AS
- Pain, NRS: spinal pain due to AS
- Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI)
- Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index–Spinal Mobility (BASMI Linear)
- Chest expansion (in cm)
- Occiput-to-wall measurement (in cm)

Baseline peripheral arthritis and enthesitis:

- Tender Joint Count (TJC) based on 46 joints
  - TJC: mean (SD)
  - $\circ$  patients with >0 tender joint: n (%)

#### **I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4**

- o patients with current or historical peripheral arthritis
- Swollen Joint Count (SJC) based on 44 joints
  - SJC: mean (SD)
  - patients with >0 swollen joint: n (%)
  - o patients with current or historical peripheral arthritis
- Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASES)
  - MASES: mean (SD)
  - patients with MASES >0: n(%)
- Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC) Enthesitis score
  - SPARCC: mean (SD)
  - patients with SPARCC score >0: n (%)

Baseline health outcomes measures:

- ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI), ASAS HI baseline  $\geq$ 3 and ASAS HI baseline>5
- Fatigue Severity NRS
- Jenkins Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire (JSEQ)
- European Quality of Life–5 Dimensions–5 Level (EQ-5D-5L) (EuroQol Group 2011 [WWW])
- Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire–Spondyloarthritis (WPAI-SpA)
- Short Form (36 items) Health Survey SF36 Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) score
- QIDS-SR16 Total Score
- QIDS-SR16 Item 12

Baseline concomitant therapy use:

- Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) use: n (%)
- Overall and separately for methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine
- Baseline dosage for methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine
- Oral corticosteroid use: n (%)

#### Previous therapy: axSpA: n (%)

- Biologic agent
  - Number of TNF inhibitor used 1 or 2, n (%) (Please refer to Appendix 12 for the medication list for TNF inhibitors.)
- Nonbiologic systemic agent
- Nonbiologic nonsystemic agent

#### <u>Habit:</u>

- Tobacco use: former, current or never
  - Cigarette use:  $\leq 10$  per day versus > 10 per day
- Alcohol consumption: former, current, or never

• Caffeine/xanthine ingestion: former, current, or never

Baseline NSAID (including COX-2 inhibitors) use:

- Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug (ASAS-NSAID) score
- Patients with NSAIDs (including COX-2 inhibitors) use: n (%)

#### Baseline Imaging of Spine

- MRI of spine SPARCC score
  - SPARCC spine MRI: mean (SD)
  - SPARCC spine score  $\geq 2$ : n (%)
- MRI of spine Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Magnetic Resonance Imaging activity– Berlin Score (ASSpiMRI-Berlin) score
  - ASSpiMRI-Berlin MRI: mean (SD)
  - ASSpiMRI-Berlin score >0, n (%)

## 6.7.2. Historical Illness and Pre-existing Conditions

Historical illnesses and pre-existing conditions will be classified using the latest version of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA).

Historical illness/condition is defined as the condition/event recorded on the *Pre-Existing Conditions and Medical History* eCRF page or on the *Prespecified Medical History* eCRF page with an end date before the date of informed consent.

Pre-existing condition for Period 2 is defined as the condition/event recorded on the *Pre-Existing Conditions and Medical History* eCRF page or on the *Prespecified Medical History* eCRF page with a start date before the date of informed consent, and no end date (that is, the event is ongoing) or an end date on or after the date of informed consent. Pre-existing condition for subsequent treatment period (Period 3) is defined as those pre-existing conditions and AEs, which are ongoing at the treatment period baseline. Notice if a pre-existing condition worsens in severity on or after the date of informed consent, it will be recorded as an AE on the *Adverse Events* eCRF page from the date of worsening onwards.

The following summaries will be provided for the ITT Population and/or Extended Treatment Period Population:

- The number and percentage of patients with historical illnesses by treatment group and overall, by System Organ Class (SOC) and preferred term (ITT Population only)
- The number and percentage of patients with pre-existing conditions and AEs before first dose by treatment group and overall, by SOC and Preferred Term (PT) (ITT Population only)
- The number and percentage of patients with pre-existing conditions by treatment group and overall, by SOC and PT (Extended Treatment Period Population only)

- The number and percentage of patients with prespecified medical history (hypertension; diabetes mellitus, Type I; diabetes mellitus, Type II insulin dependent; diabetes mellitus, Type II noninsulin dependent; coronary artery disease; history of stroke and dyslipidemia) by treatment group and overall
- The number and percentage of patients with SpA features as part of ASAS criteria for classification of axSpA by treatment group and overall

For condition/event that is gender-specific (as defined by MedDRA), the denominator and computation of the percentage will include only patients from the given gender. The comparisons among treatment groups will be conducted using Fisher's exact test.

By-patient listings of historical illnesses and pre-existing conditions, respectively, for the ITT Population will be provided.

## 6.8. Treatment Compliance

By-patient listings of randomization schedule for the ITT Population and study drug dispensed (include the CT Lot number) for the Safety Population will be provided.

Throughout treatment periods, randomized patients will record information in a Study Drug Administration Log (captured in the *Exposure as Collected* eCRF page), including the date, time, and anatomical location of administration of investigational product, syringe number, who administered the investigational product, and the reason if the investigational product was not fully administered.

Treatment compliance for each patient per period will be calculated as:

 $Treatment \ compliance \ (\%) = 100 \ \times \frac{Total \ number \ of \ injections \ administered}{Total \ number \ of \ injections \ prescribed}$ 

- For patients who complete Period 2, the number of injections prescribed (that is, expected) during Period 2 will be equal to 9 (2 injections at Week 0 and 1 injections every 2 weeks from Week 2 to Week 14).
- For patients who discontinue during Period 2, the number of injections prescribed during Period 2 can be derived from the IWRS study drug dispense dataset.
- For patients who complete Period 3, the number of injections prescribed (that is, expected) during Period 3 will be equal to 19 (2 injections at Week 16 and 1 injection every 2 weeks from Week 18 to Week 50).
- For patients who discontinue during Period 3, the number of injections prescribed during Period 3 can be derived from the IWRS study drug dispense dataset.
- The total number of injections administered will be derived using the response to the question "Was dose administered?" on the *Exposure as Collected* eCRF page.

A patient will be considered overall compliant with study treatment within each treatment period if he/she misses no more than 20% of the expected doses, does not miss 2 consecutive doses (all

injections in an injection week are counted as 1 dose), and does not over-dose (that is, take more injections at the same time point than specified in the protocol).

Patient treatment compliance by treatment week and overall will be summarized for the Safety Population for Period 2 and for the Extended Treatment Period Population for Period 3. The comparisons between treatment groups during Period 2 will be conducted using Fisher's exact test.

A by-patient listing of study treatment administration and compliance for the Safety Population will be provided.

# 6.9. Previous and Concomitant Therapy

Medication/therapy will be classified into anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) drug classes using the latest version of the World Health Organization (WHO) drug dictionary.

A by-patient listing of previous and concomitant therapy, and a by-patient listing of previous SpA therapy for the ITT Population will be provided.

# 6.9.1. Previous Therapy

Previous therapy is defined as the therapy that starts and ends before the date of first dose of study treatment in Period 2. If therapy start and/or end dates are missing or partial, the dates will be compared as far as possible with the date of first dose of study treatment in Period 2. If there is clear evidence to suggest that the therapy stopped before the first dose of study treatment in Period 2, the therapy will be assumed to be previous only.

The following summaries will be provided for the ITT Population:

- Previous SpA therapy captured in the *Prior Therapy: Axial Spondyloarthritis eCRF* page to be summarized according to type (biologic agent, nonbiologic systemic agent) and therapy. The previous biologic agent will be further classified as TNF-α inhibitor (includes infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab pegol).
- The number and percentage of patients with each reason for discontinuation of previous SpA therapy to be summarized by type and therapy

The comparisons among treatment groups in Period 2 will be conducted using Fisher's exact test.

# 6.9.2. Concomitant Therapy

Concomitant therapy for each treatment period is defined as the therapy that starts before, on, or after the first day of study treatment in the defined treatment period and before the last visit date in the treatment period, and continues into the treatment period, that is, either no end date (the therapy is ongoing) or an end date on or after the first day of study treatment in treatment period. Note that concomitant therapy will belong to a treatment period if the therapy starts and ends on the exact same day as the first day of study treatment of the treatment period.

The following summaries will be provided for the following study periods and analysis populations:

- General concomitant therapy by WHO ATC Level 4 and WHO PT for:
  - Period 2 (ITT Population)
  - Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period Population)
- Concomitant conventional DMARDs (cDMARDs), systemic corticosteroids, NSAID (including COX-2 inhibitors), and opioids use for:
  - Period 2 (ITT Population)
  - Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period Population)

The definition of above medication is provided in Appendix 12.

• The number and percentage of patients who received premedication for allergic reaction/hypersensitivity captured in the *Allergic / Hypersensitivity Reaction Follow-Up* eCRF page will be summarized for Periods 2.

Comparisons between treatment groups will be conducted in Period 2 for the ITT Population using Fisher's exact test.

If a partial or completely missing medication start date/time or end date/time is present, the following imputation rules will be utilized in the analysis:

- For the start date:
  - If year, month, and day are missing, then use the earlier of the patient's first visit date or the consent date.
  - If either month or month and day are missing, then use 01 January.
  - If only day is missing, impute the first day of the month.
- For the start time:
  - Impute as 23:59.
- For the end date:
  - If year, month, and day are missing, then use the patient's last visit date.
  - If either month or month and day are missing, then use 31 December.
  - If only day is missing, then use the last day of the month.
  - The imputed date will not be beyond the patient's last visit date.
- For the end time:
  - Impute as 23:59.
- If there is any doubt, the medication will be flagged as concomitant.

### 6.10. Efficacy Analyses

Table RHBW.6.4 includes the description and derivation of the primary and secondary efficacy outcomes.

Sections 6.10.1, 6.10.2, 6.10.3, 6.10.4, and 6.10.5 summarize the analyses for primary and secondary efficacy measures.

Table RHBW.6.5 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment group comparisons for primary and secondary efficacy analyses.

				Imputation Approach if
				with Missing
Measure	Description	Variable	Derivation / Comment	Components
ASAS40,	ASAS40, ASAS20, ASAS	ASAS40	The ASAS40 is defined as a $\geq$ 40% improvement and an	See Appendix 3
ASAS20, ASAS	Partial Remission, and	(Primary	absolute improvement from baseline of $\geq 2$ units (range 0–10)	for derivation of
Partial	ASAS5/6 are clinical responses	Outcome)	in $\geq$ 3 of the following 4 domains (Patient Global, Spinal Pain,	observed
Remission,	derived based on the following		Function, and Inflammation) without any worsening in the	response
ASAS5/6	ASAS domains (Sieper et al.		remaining domain.	
	2009, ASAS Handbook):	ASAS20	An ASAS20 response is defined as a ≥20% improvement and	
	1) Patient Global	major secondary	an absolute improvement from baseline of $\geq 1$ units (range 0–	
	2) Spinal Pain	outcome	10) in $\geq$ 3 of the following 4 domains (Patient Global, Spinal	
	3) Function		Pain, Function, and Inflammation) and no worsening of 20%	
	4) Inflammation (mean of		and $\geq 1$ unit (range 0-10) in the remaining domain.	
	BASDAI questions 5 and 6)	ASAS Partial	ASAS partial remission is defined as a value not above 2 units	
	5) CRP	Remission	(range 0-10, NRS) in each of the following 4 domains (Patient	
	6) Spinal mobility (lateral		Global, Spinal Pain, Function, and Inflammation).	
	spinal flexion)	ASAS5/6	ASAS5/6 includes assessment of all 6 individual ASAS	
			domains (Patient Global, Spinal Pain, Function, Inflammation,	
			CRP, Spinal mobility) and represents improvement of $\geq 20\%$ in	
			at least 5 domains.	
Patient Global	From the ASAS handbook	Patient Global, NRS	Range: 0 to 10	Single item,
(Assessment of	(Sieper et al. 2009), the patient		"0" (not active) and "10" (very active)	missing if the
Disease	is asked to respond to the			item score is
Activity)	following question:			missing
	"How active was your	Patient Global	Change from baseline calculated as: observed patient global –	Missing if
	spondylitis on average during	change from	baseline patient global	baseline or
	the last week?"	baseline and %	Percent improvement from baseline calculated as:	observed value is
		improvement from	$100 \times \frac{Baseline - Observed score}{100}$	missing
		baseline	Baseline	

#### Table RHBW.6.4. Description and Derivation of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Outcomes

				Imputation Approach if
Measure	Description	Variable	<b>Derivation / Comment</b>	Components
<ul> <li>Spinal Pain</li> <li>From the ASAS handbook (Sieper et al. 2009), the patient is asked to respond to the following 2 questions (on average, last week):</li> <li>1. "How much pain of your spine due to ankylosing spondylitis do you have?"</li> <li>2. "How much pain of your spine due to ankylosing spondylitis do you have at night?"</li> </ul>	Spinal Pain, NRS	Range: 0 to 10 "0" (no pain) and "10" (most severe pain) This question is used to derive response for ASAS40, ASAS20, ASAS5/6, and ASAS partial remission.	Single item, missing if the item score is missing	
	Spinal Pain change from baseline and % improvement from baseline	Change from baseline calculated as: observed spinal pain – baseline spinal pain Percent improvement from baseline calculated as: $\frac{100 \times \frac{Baseline - Observed \ score}{Baseline}}{Baseline}$	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing	
	Spinal Pain at night, NRS	Range: 0 to 10 "0" (no pain) and "10" (most severe pain)	Single item, missing if the item score is missing	
		Spinal Pain at night change from baseline and % improvement from baseline	Change from baseline calculated as: observed spinal pain at night – baseline spinal pain at night Percent improvement from baseline calculated as: $\frac{Baseline - Observed \ score}{Baseline}$	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing

Bath Ankylosing	The BASDAI is an instrument	Inflammation	Calculated as: (Q5+Q6)/2	Missing if both
Spondylitis	consisting of 6 questions that			Q5 and Q6 are
Disease Activity	relate to 5 major symptoms		Range: 0 to 10	missing; if Q6 is
Index (BASDAI)	relevant to rad-axSpA (Garrett		Q5: "0" (none) and "10" (very severe)	missing, then use
	et al. 1994; Sieper et al. 2009):		Q6: "0" (0 hours) and "10" (2 or more hours)	the Q5 as
	1) Fatigue			inflammation
	2) Spinal pain			score.
	3) Peripheral arthritis	BASDAI score	BASDAI = (Q1+Q2+Q3+Q4+inflammation) / 5	If only Q6 is
	4) Enthesitis			missing,
	5) Intensity of morning		Range: 0 to 10	BASDAI is
	stiffness		"0" (none) and "10" (very severe)	average of the
	6) Duration of morning			other 5 questions;
	stiffness			missing BASDAI
	Patients need to score each			if more missing
	item with a score from 0 to 10			than just Q6.
	(NRS).	BASDAI, 6	Change from baseline calculated as: observed score – baseline	Missing if
		individual item and	score	baseline or
		inflammation	Percent improvement from baseline calculated as:	observed value is
		change from	$100 \times \frac{Baseline - Observed  score}{100 \times 100}$	missing
		baseline and %	Baseline	
		improvement from		
		baseline		
		BASDAI50 -	Percent improvement from baseline $=$	Missing if
		major secondary	$100 \times \frac{Baseline BASDAI - Observed BASDAI}{100 \times 100}$	baseline or
		outcome	Baseline BASDAI	observed value is
				missing (note:
			BASDA150 represents an improvement of $\geq$ 50% of the	baseline
			BASDAI score from baseline, ie, if the value of %	BASDAI is part
			Improvement from baseline is $\geq 50$ , BASDA150 is met.	of inclusion
				criteria and
				therefore should
				not be missing).

Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI)	The BASFI establishes a patient's functional baseline and subsequent response to treatment (Calin et al. 1995). To complete the BASFI, a	BASFI score	BASFI score is the mean of the 10 item scores completed on a NRS Range: 0 to 10 "0" (easy) and "10" (impossible)	Missing if >20% scores (ie, >2 of the 10 item scores) are missing
	patient will be asked to rate the difficulty associated with 10 individual basic functional activities. Patients respond to each question using a NRS (range 0 to 10), with a higher score indicating worse functioning.	BASFI change from baseline – <b>major</b> <b>secondary outcome</b> Percent improvement from baseline	Change from baseline calculated as: observed BASFI – baseline BASFI Percent improvement from baseline calculated as: $\frac{Baseline - Observed \ score}{Baseline}$	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing
High Sensitivity C-Reactive	High-sensitivity CRP will be the measure of acute phase	CRP value	Lab values obtained from central lab	Missing if the value is missing
Protein (CRP)	reactant. It will be measured with a high-sensitivity assay at the central laboratory to help	CRP change from baseline	Change from baseline calculated as: observed CRP – baseline CRP	Missing if observed value is missing (note: if
	assess the effect of ixekizumab on disease activity.	CRP ratio of postbaseline to baseline	Calculated as: observed CRP/baseline CRP	V2 CRP is missing, V1 CRP will be used as baseline).

Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index—Spinal Mobility (BASMI)	<ul> <li>BASMI a combined index comprising the following 5 clinical measurements of spinal mobility in patients with rad- axSpA (Jenkinson et al. 1994).</li> <li>1) Lateral Spinal Flexion</li> <li>2) Tragus-to-wall distance</li> <li>3) Lumbar Flexion (modified Schober)</li> <li>4) Maximal intermalleolar distance</li> <li>5) Cervical rotation</li> </ul>	BASMI Linear	The BASMI includes these 5 m scaled to a score of 0-10 depend assessment (BASMI linear fund 5 assessments gives the BASM al. 2008; Sieper et al. 2009). Function S = (21.1  cm - A) / 2.1  cm S = (21.1  cm - A) / 2.1  cm S = (7.4  cm - A) / 0.7  cm S = (124.5  cm - A) / 10  cm S = (89.3 ° - A) / 8.5° The average score of the 5 asses linear result. The additional contapplied. A is the result of an ass When 2 readings are taken for 0 better of the 2 will be used. (for better; for the other 4 measurem better).	heasurements which are each ding on the result of the ction). The average score of the II linear result (van der Heijde et For Lateral Lumbar flexion (mean right/left) Tragus to wall distance Lumbar flexion (modified Schober) Maximal intermalleolar distance Cervical rotation angle (mean right/left) essments gives the BASMI ndition $0 \le S \le 10$ is always ssessment. each of above measures, the r tragus, the smaller number is nents, the bigger number is	Missing if >20% measurements (ie, >1 of the 5 clinical measurements) are missing. If only 1 of 5 measurements missing, then averaging the other 4 nonmissing ones. In some individual component (eg, lateral lumbar flexion) with left and right measurements, if 1 side (either left or right) is missing, the other nonmissing side will be used
					as the mean.
		BASMI Linear change from baseline	Change from baseline calculate baseline BASMI Linear	ed as: observed BASMI Linear–	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing
		5 individual	Change from baseline calculate	ed as: observed score – baseline	Missing if
		component change	score	annumentad to 0,10 angle for	baseline or
		nom baseline	analysis.	converted to 0-10 scale for	missing

			-	
Chest Expansion	While patients have their hands	Chest Expansion	One score measured in centimeter (cm)	Single item,
	resting on or behind the head,	score		missing if the
	the assessor will measure the		When 2 readings are taken, the better of the 2 numbers (bigger	item score is
	chest-encircled length by		one) will be used.	missing
	centimeter (cm) at the fourth	Chest Expansion	Change from baseline calculated as: observed Chest Expansion	Missing if
	intercostal level anteriorly.	change from	- baseline Chest Expansion	baseline or
	The difference between	baseline and %		observed value is
	maximal inspiration and	change from		missing
	expiration in centimeters (cm)	baseline		-
	will be recorded. Two tries			
	will be recorded in the source			
	documents. Only the better			
	(larger) difference of 2 tries			
	will be entered into CRF.			
Occiput to Wall	The patient is to make a	Occiput to Wall	One score measured in centimeter (cm)	Single item,
Distance	maximum effort to touch the	Distance score		missing if the
	head against the wall when		When 2 readings are taken, the better of the 2 numbers	item score is
	standing with heels and back		(smaller one) will be used.	missing
	against the wall. Then the	Occiput to Wall	Change from baseline calculated as: observed Occiput to Wall	Missing if
	distance from occiput to wall is	Distance change	– baseline Occiput to Wall	baseline or
	measured. The better (smaller)	from baseline and %		observed value is
	measurement of 2 tries in cm	change from		missing
	(eg, 10.2 cm) is reported.	baseline		

Descrip	tion and	Derivation	of Primary	and Secondary	y Efficacy	Outcomes

Ankylosing	The ASDAS is a composite	ASDAS <sub>crp</sub>	$ASDAS_{crp}$ (Sieper et al. 2009, pg 41): 0.121 × total back pain	Missing if any of
Spondylitis	index to assess disease activity		+ $0.110 \times$ patient global + $0.073 \times$ peripheral pain/swelling +	the component is
Disease Activity	in AS (Machado et al 2011a,		$0.058 \times duration of morning stiffness + 0.579 \times Ln(CRP+1)$	missing.
Score (ASDAS)	2011b; Zochling 2011). The		(Machado et al. 2015).	
	parameters used for the		CRP is in mg/liter, the range of other variables is from 0 to 10;	If CRP <2 mg/L
	ASDAS (with CRP as acute		Ln represents the natural logarithm.	or below the
	phase reactant) (Sieper et al.		Due to the constraint of CRP, ASDAS <sub>crp</sub> has a minimum score	limit of
	2009, pg 40):		of 0.6361.	detection, then
	1) Total back pain (BASDAI			use 2 mg/L in the
	question 2)			calculation
	2) Patient global			(Machado et al.
	3) Peripheral pain/swelling			2015).
	(BASDAI question 3)	ASDAS <sub>crp</sub> change	Calculated as: observed ASDAS - baseline ASDAS	Missing if
	4) Duration of morning	from baseline -		baseline or
	stiffness (BASDAI question	major secondary		observed value is
	6)	outcome		missing
	5) CRP in mg/L	ASDAS Disease	Four (4) disease activity states have been defined by ASAS	Missing if
		Activity States	consensus (Machado et al. 2011c, Machado 2018):	observed
		-	• ASDAS <1.3 defines inactive disease	ASDAS score is
			• $1.3 \le ASDAS \le 2.1$ defines low disease activity	missing
			• $2.1 \le ASDAS \le 3.5$ defines high disease activity	-
			ASDAS >3.5 defines very high disease activity.	
		ASDAS <2.1	Defined as ASDAS < 2.1	
		Clinical important	Defined as at least 1.1 unit change in ASDAS from baseline	
		improvement		
		Major improvement	Defined as at least 2.0 unit change in ASDAS from baseline, or	
			reached the minimum of ASDAS score (0.6361) at post-	
			baseline visit	

Maastricht	The MASES is an index used	MASES	The MASES is the sum of all site scores.	Missing if
Ankylosing	to measure the severity of		Range: 0 to 13, higher scores indicate more severe enthesitis	baseline or
Spondylitis	enthesitis (Heuft-Dorenbosch		0 = no activity and not evaluable	observed
Enthesitis Score	et al. 2003). The MASES			ASDAS score is
(MASES)	assesses 13 sites for enthesitis		1 = activity	missing
	using a score of "0" for no	MASES change	Change from baseline calculated as: observed MASES –	Missing if
	activity, or "1" for activity.	from baseline	baseline MASES	baseline ASDAS
	Sites assessed include:			score or
	costochondral 1 (right/left),			observed
	costochondral 7 (right/left),			ASDAS score is
	spinal iliaca anterior superior			missing
	(right/left), crista iliaca	MASES score = $0$	MASES score = $0$ refers to complete resolution in enthesitis	Missing if >20%
	(right/left), spina iliaca		•	(ie, 3 or more)
	posterior (right/left), processus		Analysis of MASES score = $0$ only applies to patients with	sites are missing
	spinosus L5, and Achilles		baseline enthesitis (MASES >0).	
	tendon proximal insertion			If <20%
	(right/left).			missing, then
				imputed sum =
				sum of scores
				from nonmissing
				sites x $13/no$ of
				nonmissing sites
				nonmissing sites

Spondyloarthritis	SPARCC enthesitis is an index	SPARCC enthesitis	The SPARCC is the sum of all site scores.	Missing if
Research	used to measure the severity of		Range: 0–16, higher scores indicate more severe enthesitis.	baseline or
Consortium of	enthesitis (Maksymowych et			observed value
Canada (SPARCC)	al. 2009). The SPARCC			is missing
enthesitis	assesses 16 sites for enthesitis	SPARCC enthesitis	Change from baseline calculated as: observed SPARCC	Missing if
	using a score of "0" for no	change from	enthesitis – baseline SPARCC enthesitis	observed value
	activity, or "1" for activity.	baseline		is missing
	Sites assessed include: Medial	SPARCC enthesitis	SPARCC enthesitis score = $0$ refers to complete resolution in	Missing if >20%
	epicondyle (left/right (L/R)),	score = 0	enthesitis	(ie, 4 or more)
	Lateral epicondyle (L/R),			sites are
	Supraspinatus insertion into		Analysis of SPARCC enthesitis score = 0 only applies to	missing.
	greater tuberosity of humerus		patients with baseline enthesitis (SPARCC enthesitis >0).	
	(L/R), Greater trochanter			If ≤20%
	(L/R), Quadriceps insertion			missing, then
	into superior border of patella			imputed sum =
	(L/R), Patellar ligament			sum of scores
	insertion into inferior pole of			from nonmissing
	patella or tibial tubercle (L/R),			sites x 16/ no. of
	c Achilles tendon insertion			nonmissing
	into calcaneum (L/R) and			sites.
	Plantar fascia insertion into			
	calcaneum (L/R).			

Tender Joint Count (TJC)	The number of tender and painful joints will be determined by examination of 46 joints (23 joints on each side of the patient's body). The 46 joints are assessed and	TJC total score	Adjusted sum of the pain/tenderness for all 46 joints: $\left(\frac{sum \ of \ all \ joints \ checked \ to \ be \ painful/tender}{number \ of \ evaluable \ joints}\right) \times 46$ See Appendix 4 for details.	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing
	classified as tender or not tender.	Proportion of patients with TJC = 0 when baseline TJC > $0$	TJC > 0 refers to incidence of TJC. Analysis of TJC = 0 only applies to patients whose baseline TJC > 0.	Missing if observed value is missing
		Proportion of patients with TJC = 0 for patients with current or historical peripheral arthritis at baseline	TJC > 0 refers to incidence of TJC. Analysis of $TJC = 0$ only applies to patients with current or historical peripheral arthritis at baseline.	If more than half of the joint scores are non- evaluable, the total score will be missing.
		TJC change from baseline	Calculated as: observed TJC $-$ baseline TJC only applies to patients whose baseline TJC $> 0$ .	Missing if observed value is missing
Swollen Joint Count (SJC)	The number of swollen joints will be determined by examination of 44 joints (22 joints on each side of the patient's body). The 44 joints are assessed and classified as swollen or not swollen.	SJC total score	Adjusted sum of the pain/tenderness for all 44 joints: $\left(\frac{sum \ of \ all \ joints \ checked \ to \ be \ swollen}{number \ of \ evaluable \ joints}\right) \times 44$ See Appendix 4 for details.	Missing if observed value is missing

	Proportion of	SJC > 0 refers to incidence of $SJC$ .	Missing if
	patients with SJC =	Analysis of SJC = $0$ only applies to patients with current or	baseline or
	0 for patients with	historical peripheral arthritis at baseline.	observed value
	current or historical		is missing
	peripheral arthritis		
	at baseline		
	Proportion of	SJC > 0 refers to incidence of SJC.	If more than half
	patients with SJC =	Analysis of $SJC = 0$ only applies to patients whose baseline	of the joint
	0 when baseline	SJC > 0.	scores are
	SJC > 0		nonevaluable,
			the total score
			will be missing.
	SJC change from	Calculated as: observed SJC – baseline SJC	Missing if
	baseline		observed value
			is missing

Anterior Uveitis	At each study visit, study	Incidence and	Anterior uveitis will be summarized for all patients and for	Missing if
	health care providers will	incidence rate of	patients without prior anterior uveitis, separately.	observed value
	evaluate the patient for any	anterior uveitis		is missing
	symptoms of anterior uveitis.		Anterior uveitis is identified using the preferred term	
			"iridocyclitis."	
NSAID (including	Information regarding	Proportion of	Proportion of patients taking NSAID (including COX-2	Missing if
COX-2 inhibitors)	NSAIDs intake will be	patients taking	inhibitors) at specified visit	baseline or
Intake	collected in the eCRF and the	NSAID		observed value
	ASAS-NSAID score will be			is missing
	calculated (Dougados et al.	ASAS-NSAID	See Section 6.10.4.1 and Appendix 5 for details of deriving	NA
	2011).	score	ASAS-NSAID score	
			ASAS-NSAID = 0 if no NSAID use	
		ASAS-NSAID	Calculated as: observed ASAS-NSAID score – baseline	
		score change from	ASAS-NSAID score	
		baseline		
		ASAS-NSAID50		
			$100 \times \frac{Baseline ASAS - NSAID - Observed ASAS - NSAID}{100 \times 1000}$	
			Baseline ASAS – NSAID	
			Proportion of patients with at least 50% decrease from	
			baseline in ASAS-NSAID score. Derivation only applies to	
			patients whose ASAS-NSAID is not equal to 0 at baseline.	
		ASAS-NSAID 10	Proportion of patients with ASAS-NSAID score <10	1
		ASAS-NSAID = $0$	Proportion of patients with ASAS-NSAID score = 0	1

SPARCC MRI score for Spine	All 23 disco-vertebral units (DVU) of the spine (from C2 to S1) are scored for bone marrow edema. A single DVU has 18 scoring units, and each has score of 0 or 1, bringing the maximum total score to 414, with higher scores reflecting worse disease (Maksymowych et al. 2005). Scoring will be performed by central readers.	SPARCC Spine Score	The SPARCC spine score is a sum of 414 scoring units over 23 DVUs; the sum ranges from 0 to 414.	See 'MRI Data Programming Guidance for axSpA Studies' for missing rule and imputation method.
		SPARCC spine	Calculated as: observed SPARCC Spine Score – baseline	Missing if
		baseline – <b>maior</b>	Si Aice Spile Score	observed value
		secondary		is missing
		outcome		0
Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (ASSpiMRI) Berlin Score	All 23 disco-vertebral units (DVU) of the spine (from C2 to S1) are scored for bone marrow edema. The Berlin method score range is between 0 and 3 per vertebral unit, bringing the maximum total	ASSpiMRI-Berlin Score	The ASSpiMRI-Berlin score is a sum of 23 DVUs; the sum ranges from 0 to 69.	See 'MRI Data Programming Guidance for AxSpA Studies' for how to handle missing data
	score to 69 with higher scores reflecting worse disease (Braun et al. 2003; Lukas et al. 2007). Scoring will be performed by central readers.	ASSpiMRI-Berlin change from baseline	Calculated as: observed ASSpiMRI-Berlin– baseline ASSpiMRI-Berlin	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing

Abbreviations: ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; ASSpiMRI-Berlin = Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Magnetic Resonance Imaging activity–Berlin Score; CRF = case report form; CRP = C-reactive protein; eCRF = electronic case report form; MASES = Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; no. = number; NRS = numeric rating scale; NSAID = Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug; Q = question; rad-axSpA = radiographic axial spondyloarthritis; V = visit.

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
ASAS40	ASAS40 - Primary	Logistic regression analysis with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Primary analysis is logistic regression analysis with NRI for ITT Population comparing IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 (Section 6.10.1).
		Logistic regression analysis with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Additional analyses of primary outcome (Section 6.10.3).
		Logistic regression analysis with NRI	Per Protocol Set	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Additional analyses of primary outcome (Section 6.10.3).
		Categorical MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Additional analysis of primary outcome (Section 6.10.3).
		Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Additional analyses of primary outcome (Section 6.10.3).
		Logistic regression analysis with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W Starting Dose 160 mg and 80 mg during Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses for primary outcome (Section 6.10.4).
		pMI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.1)
		Tipping point analysis	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.2)
		Descriptive statistics of ASAS40 response rate	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 (including efficacy data post treatment discontinuation)	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.3)
		Subgroup analyses	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Subgroup Analysis (Section 6.13.1)

 Table RHBW.6.5.
 Description of Primary and Secondary Efficacy Analyses

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Descriptive statistics of ASAS40 response rate	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses for primary outcome (Section 6.10.4) This summary includes Extended Treatment Period Population overall and by ASAS20 or ASAS40 response status (responder vs non-responder) at Week 16 (Visit 8) (NRI).
		Descriptive statistics of ASAS40 response rate	ITT Population Who are Initially Randomized to Ixekizumab	No treatment group comparisons during Combined Periods 2 and 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses for primary outcome (Section 6.10.4)
ASAS20	ASAS20 – Major Secondary	Logistic regression analysis with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Major secondary efficacy analysis is logistic regression analysis with NRI for ITT Population comparing IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
		Logistic regression analysis with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Logistic regression analysis with NRI	Per Protocol Set	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Categorical MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics of ASAS20 response rate	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W Starting Dose 160 mg and 80 mg during Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		pMI	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.1)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Tipping point analysis	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.2)
				placebo at Week 16	
		Subgroup analyses	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Subgroup Analysis (Section 6.13.1)
				placebo at Week 16	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of ASAS20 response	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4).
		rate	Period		This summary includes Extended
			Population		Treatment Period Population overall and
					by ASAS20 response status (responder
					vs non-responder) at Week 16 (Visit 8).
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group comparisons	(INI) Other secondary afficacy analyses
		of ASAS20 response	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		rate	Initially	during combined renous 2 and 5	
		lute	Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
ASDAS	ASDAS <sub>crp</sub>	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Major secondary efficacy analysis is
	change			placebo at Week 16	MMRM analysis for ITT Population
	(Major				comparing IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W
	Secondary)				vs. placebo at Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
		MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
				placebo at all other postbaseline	(Section 6.10.4)
				visits in Period 2	
		ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		mBOCF/LOCF		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		pMI	TTT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.1)
				placebo at Week 16	
		Tipping point analysis	TTT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Sensitivity analyses (Section 6.10.5.2)
				placebo at Week 16	

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		baseline	Period		
			Population		
		Descriptive statistics	<b>ITT</b> Population	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4).
		baseline	Initially		
			Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
ASDAS	ASDAS <2.1	Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Major secondary efficacy analysis is
	Major	analysis with NRI		placebo at Week 16	logistic regression analysis with NRI for
	Secondary				ITT Population comparing IXE80Q2W
					& IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16
					(Section 6.10.2).
		Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		analysis with NRI		placebo at all other postbaseline	(Section 6.10.4)
				visits in Period 2	
		Categorical MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
				placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Fisher's exact test with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		NRI		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of ASDAS <2.1	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4).
		response rate	Period		This summary includes Extended
			Population		Treatment Period Population overall and
					by ASAS20 or ASAS40 response status
					(responder vs .nonresponder) at Week 16
					(V1S11 8) (NRI).

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of ASDAS <2.1	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		response rate	Initially		
			Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
		Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		analysis with NRI;		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
ASDAS		Fisher's exact test with		postbaseline visits in Period 2	
	ASDAS	NRI			
	Inactive				
	Disease				
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of response rate	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
			Period		
			Population		
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of response rate	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4)
			Initially		
			Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
	ASDAS	Repeated measures	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses for
	Disease	proportional odds		placebo at all other postbaseline	primary outcome (Section 6.10.4)
	Activity States	model analysis with		visits in Period 2	
			F ( 1 1		
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of ASDAS disease	I reatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		activity states	Period		
		L ogiatio regression	ITT Dormlation	IVEQUODE & IVEQUOAN	Other appenders, office and are lines.
	ASDAS	Logistic regression	111 Population	IAEOUQ2W & IAEOUQ4W VS.	(Section 6.10.4)
	important	Eigher's event test		pracebo at week 10 and all other	(Secuoli 0.10.4)
	important	NDI		postoasenne visits in Period 2	
	improvement;	INKI			

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
	major	Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	improvement	of response rate	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
			Period		
			Population		
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of response rate	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4).
			Initially		
			Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
BASDAI	BASDAI50	Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		analysis with NRI		placebo at week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Categorical MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
				placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
		<b>T 1 1 1</b>		postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Fisher's exact test with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		NRI		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
			<b>D</b> ( 11	postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of BASDAI50	Ireatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		response rate	Period		
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Demulation	No traction and group companies of	Other accorders office as an always
		of DASDA 150	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 2	(Section 6.10.4)
		01 DASDAIS0	Initially	during Combined Periods 2 and 5	(Section 6.10.4)
		response rate	Pandomized to		
			Ixekizumah		
	BASDAI	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE8002W & IXE8004W vs	Major secondary efficacy analysis is
	change from			placebo at Week 16	MMRM mean change analysis for ITT
	baseline			F	Population comparing IXE80O2W &
	(Major				IXE8004W vs. placebo at Week 16
	Secondary)				(Section 6.10.2).

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
	and % improvement from baseline	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	(incl. 6 individual items and	ANCOVA with mBOCF/LOCF	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	inflammation)	Descriptive statistics of change from baseline	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics of change from baseline	ITT Population Who are Initially Randomized to Ixekizumab	No treatment group comparisons during Combined Periods 2 and 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
BASFI	BASFI change from baseline ( <b>Major</b> Secondary) and %	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Major secondary efficacy analysis is MMRM mean change analysis for ITT Population comparing IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
	improvement from baseline	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		ANCOVA with mBOCF/LOCF	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics of change from baseline	Extended Treatment Period	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)

Population

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Who are	during Combined Periods 2 and 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		baseline	Initially		
			Randomized to		
			Ixekizumab		
SPARCC Spine	SPARCC-	ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Major secondary efficacy analysis is
Score	Spine change	observed case analysis		placebo at Week 16	ANCOVA with observed case analysis
	from baseline				for ITT Population comparing
	Major				IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo
	Secondary				at Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
		ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		mBOCF/LOCF		placebo at Week 16	(Section 6.10.4)
ASSpiMRI-	ASSpiMRI	ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
Berlin Score	Berlin change	observed case analysis	who participate	placebo at Week 16	(Section 6.10.2)
	from baseline		in MRI		
			addendum		
		ANCOVA with	III Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		mBOCF	who participate	placebo at Week 16	(Section 6.10.4)
	AGAGE/Comit	T i.di	addendum		
ASAS	ASAS5/6 and	Logistic regression	III Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W VS.	(Section (10.4)
	ASAS partial	Eigh or's support toot with		placebo at week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	remission	NDI		posidaseline visits in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficiency analyses
		of ASAS5/6 response	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6 10 4)
		rate and ASAS nartial	Period	during renou 5	This summary includes Extended
		remission	Population		Treatment Period Population overall and
			ropulation		by ASAS20 or ASAS40 response status
					(responder vs. nonresponder) at Week 16
					(Visit 8) (NRI)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
Patient Global	Patient Global	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	change and %			placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	improvement			postbaseline visits in Period 2	
	from baseline	ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		mBOCF		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		baseline	Period		
			Population		
Spinal Pain	Spinal Pain	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	and Spinal			placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	Pain at night			postbaseline visits in Period 2	
	change and %	ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	improvement	mBOCF		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	from baseline			postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		baseline	Period		
(D.D.	GDD 1		Population		
CRP	CRP change	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	from baseline;			placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	ratio of			postbaseline visits in Period 2	
	postbaseline to	ANCOVA with	III Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	baseline CRP	mBOCF		placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
				INFROMAN & INFROMAN	
		MMKM	111 Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	(Section (10.4)
				during Dose 100 mg and 80 mg	(Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficiency analyses
		of change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6 10 4)
		baseline	Period		
			Population		

Variable

Analysis Method	Population		
(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
IMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	(Section 6.10.4)
NCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
BOCF		placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	(Section 6.10.4)
escriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
f change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
aseline	Period	-	
	Population		
IMRM	ITT Population with Baseline MASES >0(or	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)

Mobility-Related Measures	BASMI linear (incl. 5 components); chest expansion, occiput to wall distance change from	MMRM ANCOVA with mBOCF Descriptive statistics of change from	ITT Population ITT Population Extended Treatment	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2 IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2 No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	baseline	baseline	Period		
Enthesitis Scores	MASES and SPARCC change from baseline	MMRM	ITT Population with Baseline MASES >0(or SPARCC >0)	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		ANCOVA with mBOCF	ITT Population with Baseline MASES >0(or SPARCC >0)	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics of change from baseline	Extended Treatment Period Population with Baseline MASES >0(or SPARCC >0)	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	MASES score = 0 (similarly SPARCC = 0)	Logistic regression analysis with NRI; Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT Population with Baseline MASES >0(or SPARCC >0)	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)

Measure

Variable

Measure

**Analysis Method** 

(Sections 6.1, 6.3)

<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
ing Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)

		Descriptive statistics of MASES = 0 (or SPARCC=0)	Extended Treatment Period Population with Baseline MASES >0 (or SPARCC >0)	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
Peripheral	TJC and SJC	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
Arthritis	change from		with Baseline	placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	baseline		TJC>0 (or	postbaseline visits in Period 2	
		ANCOVA with	SJC>0)	IVE SOODW & IVE SOODW VI	Other appendance office are englying
		mBOCE	with Baseline	IAE80Q2W & IAE80Q4W VS.	Section 6 10 $4$ )
		IIIDOCI	TIC>0 (or	postbaseline visits in Period 2	(Section 0.10.4)
			SJC>0)	postousenne visits in renou 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of change from	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
		baseline	Period		
			Population		
	TJC = 0,	Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs.	Other secondary efficacy analyses
	SJC=0,	analysis with NRI;	with current or	placebo at Week 16 and all other	(Section 6.10.4)
	respectively,	Fisher's exact test with	historical	postbaseline visits in Period 2	
	when patients	NRI	peripheral		
	had current or		arthritis at		
	historical		baseline		
	orthritis of				
	artifittis at baseline				
	ousenne	Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group comparisons	Other secondary efficacy analyses
		of TJC = 0 (or SJC = 0)	Treatment	during Period 3	(Section 6.10.4)
			Period	0	
			Population with		
			current or		

Population

(Section 6.1.5)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
			historical peripheral arthritis at baseline		
	TJC = 0 when baseline TJC >0 (similarly SJC = 0 when	Logistic regression analysis with NRI; Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT Population with Baseline TJC>0 (or SJC>0)	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	baseline SJC >0)	Descriptive statistics of TJC = 0 (or SJC =0)	Extended Treatment Period Population with Baseline TJC>0 (or SJC>0)	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
Anterior Uveitis	Crude and exposure- adjusted incidence rates for patients	Fisher's exact test and Poisson regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo during Period 2	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
	with anterior uveitis	Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population Who are Initially Randomized to Ixekizumab	No treatment group comparisons during Combined Periods 2 and 3	Other secondary efficacy analyses (Section 6.10.4)
NSAID (including COX- 2 inhibitors) Intake	Proportion of patients taking NSAID	Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population	At baseline (Week 0), Weeks 16, 24, 32, 44, 52	Analyses on NSAID intake (Section 6.10.4.1)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1, 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
ASAS- NSAID50; ASAS- NSAID10;					
	Change from baseline in	Descriptive statistics	Extended	At Weeks 16, 24, 32, 44, 52	Analyses on NSAID intake
			Treatment		(Section 6.10.4.1)
	ASAS-NSAID		Period		
			Population who		
			have NSAID		
			(including		
			COX-2		
			inhibitor) intake		
			at baseline		
	ASAS- NSAID50;	Descriptive statistics	Extended	At Weeks 16, 24, 32, 44, 52	Analyses on NSAID intake
			Treatment		(Section 6.10.4.1)
	ASAS-		Period		
	NSAIDIU;		Population who		
	NSAID0		have NSAID		
			(including		
			COX-2		
			inhibitors)		
			intake at		
			baseline		

Abbreviations: ANCOVA = analysis of covariance; ASDAS = Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; BASDAI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASMI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CRP = Creactive protein; IXE = ixekizumab; IXE80Q2W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 2 weeks; IXE80Q4W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 4 weeks; ITT = intent-to-treat; LOCF = last observation carried forward; MASES = ; mBOCF = modified baseline observation carried forward; MMRM = mixed-effects model of repeated measures; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; NRI = nonresponder imputation; pMI = placebo multiple imputation; SJC = swollen joint count; TJC = tender joint count.

## 6.10.1. Primary Outcome and Methodology

The primary outcome is the proportion of patients achieving treatment success, defined as achieving ASAS40 at Week 16 (Visit 8) and remaining on the initially randomized treatment. This is a de-facto effectiveness estimand (that is, the relative effect attributable to the originally randomized treatment, ixekizumab and placebo, at the primary time point of Week 16 in all treated patients). With this estimand, any patient who discontinues treatment before Week 16 is considered a treatment failure through the use of the NRI. The numeric result for treatment success/failure is identical to NRI but interpreted differently and has different consequences for missing data. With NRI, as the acronym implies, there is explicit imputation of missing ASAS40 outcomes. With treatment success/failure, discontinuation of study medication is considered a treatment failure because if patients cannot adhere to the medication, they will not have sustained benefit from it. Therefore, every patient will have an observation for treatment success/failure, and there will be no missing data for this estimand and hence inferences will not depend on missing data assumptions. These attributes also apply to other endpoints involving use of NRI.

The primary outcomes related to improvement in symptomatic features of axSpA are assessed at Week 16 (Visit 8) before placebo patients being rerandomized to ixekizumab during Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period).

The primary analysis will be based on the ITT Population for the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2) comparing each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8). The primary analysis is a logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model (Section 6.1.1).

In the primary analysis, treatment groups of ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and Q4W will be analyzed without regard to starting dose. The primary comparison will be tested based on the graphical multiple testing procedures detailed in Section 6.5.

Table RHBW.6.5 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment comparisons for the primary outcome.

## 6.10.2. Major Secondary Efficacy Analyses

The major secondary outcomes at Week 16 (Visit 8) are:

- Proportion of patients achieving an ASAS20 response
- Change from baseline in ASDAS
- Change from baseline BASDAI
- Change from baseline in BASFI
- Proportion of patients achieving ASDAS <2.1
- Change from baseline in SF-36 PCS\*
- Change from baseline in ASAS HI\*
- Change from baseline in MRI SPARCC spine score

\* Detailed descriptions and analyses on SF-36 PCS and ASAS HI are described in Table RHBW.6.6 and Table RHBW.6.7.

The major secondary analysis will be based on the ITT Population for the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2) comparing each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8). In the major secondary analyses, treatment groups of ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and Q4W will be analyzed without regard to starting dose.

The primary analysis for <u>categorical</u> major secondary outcomes is a logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model (Section 6.1.1). Missing data will be imputed using the NRI method (Section 6.3.1).

The primary analysis for <u>continuous</u> major secondary outcomes (except MRI SPARCC spine score) is a MMRM analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, and treatment-by-visit interaction as fixed factors (Section 6.1.1).

The primary analysis for change from baseline in MRI SPARCC score is an observed case analysis using ANCOVA with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, and baseline value in the model (Section 6.1.1). Only patients with both baseline and Week 16 MRI SPARCC spine score will be included in the analysis.

These major secondary comparisons will be tested based on the graphical multiple testing procedure detailed in Section 6.5.

Table RHBW.6.5 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment comparisons for major secondary outcomes.

## 6.10.3. Additional Analyses of the Primary Outcome

There will be no adjustment for multiple comparisons for additional analyses of the primary outcome, ASAS40.

In the additional analyses of ASAS40, treatment groups of ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W and Q4W will be analyzed without regard to starting dose, unless indicated otherwise.

To support the primary outcome analysis ASAS40 will be analyzed based on the PPS Population for Period 2 at Week 16 (Visit 8) using a logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model (Section 6.1.1) [de-facto estimand]. Missing data will be imputed using the NRI method (Section 6.3.1).

Additional analyses based on the ITT Population for Period 2 for ASAS40, include:

• Comparisons of each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at postbaseline visits other than Week 16 (Visit 8) using logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, in the model (Section 6.1.1) [de-facto estimand]. Missing data will be imputed using the NRI method (Section 6.3.1).
- Comparisons of each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8) and all other postbaseline visits using Categorical MMRM analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status, visit, treatment-by-visit as fixed factors (Section 6.1.1) [de-jure estimand]
- Comparisons of each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8) and all other postbaseline visits using Fisher's exact test with NRI (Sections 6.1.1 and 6.3.1) [de-facto estimand]

Figures showing the proportion of patients achieving an ASAS40 response at each scheduled visit during Period 2 within each treatment group will be provided.

Time to first ASAS40 response will be assessed based on the ITT Population during Period 2 as described in Section 6.1.1.

Table RHBW.6.5 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment comparisons for the additional analyses on primary outcome.

## 6.10.4. Other Secondary Efficacy Analyses

There will be no adjustment for multiple comparisons for other secondary efficacy analyses.

The other secondary efficacy variables for secondary objectives include:

- ASAS40: analyses other than primary analysis (Section 6.10.1) and additional analysis of the primary outcome (Section 6.10.3)
- ASAS20: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)
- ASAS5/6 and partial remission
- Change from baseline in individual components of the ASAS criteria (patient global, spinal pain, function [see BASFI below], inflammation [see BASDAI below], CRP [see CRP below], and spinal mobility [lateral spinal flexion] [see BASMI below])
- Change from baseline in BASDAI: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis, 6 individual items and inflammation (mean of Q5 and Q6 on BASDAI)
- BASDAI 50: analyses for other major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)
- Change from baseline in ASDAS: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)
- ASDAS <2.1: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)
- ASDAS inactive disease
- ASDAS disease activity states: inactive disease, low disease activity, high disease activity, very high disease activity, clinically important improvement and major improvement
- Change from baseline in CRP
- Change from baseline in BASFI: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)
- Change from baseline in SPARCC spine score: analyses other than major secondary efficacy analysis (Section 6.10.2)

- Change from baseline in mobility (BASMI linear and individual components, chest expansion, occiput to wall distance)
- Change from baseline in MRI ASSpiMRI –Berlin score
- Change from baseline in enthesitis score (MASES and SPARCC)
- Change from baseline in TJC and SJC
- Incidence of peripheral arthritis by TJC and SJC scores of 46/44 joints
- Incidence rate of anterior uveitis or uveitis flares
- Change from baseline in ASAS-NSAID score (apply to Period 3 analysis only), Section 6.10.4.1.

Treatment comparisons of each ixekizumab treatment group and placebo at Week 16 (Visit 8) and all other postbaseline visits during Period 2 will be provided.

The impact of ixekizumab starting doses will be evaluated for the categorical responses (including ASAS40 and ASAS20) and mean change in continuous efficacy measure of CRP at Week 16 and earlier time point as described in Section 6.1.1.

Descriptive statistics (that is, no inferential testing) will be provided for each treatment group during Period 3, or Combined Periods 2 and 3, as applicable.

Table RHBW.6.5 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment comparisons for other secondary outcomes.

#### 6.10.4.1. Analyses on NSAID Intake

ASAS-NSAID score is used to present the NSAID (including COX-2 inhibitors) intake by considering the type of NSAID, the total daily dose, and the number of days on which NSAID has been taken during a period of interest (Dougados et al. 2011). Appendix 5 provides the equivalent dose of each NSAID compared to 150 mg diclofenac (Dougados et al. 2011), additional equivalent scores are listed below.

For the NSAID equivalent scoring system, 0 = no intake, 100 = 150 mg diclofenac, 1000 mg naproxen, 200 mg aceclofenac, 400 mg celecoxib, 600 mg etodolac, 90 mg etoricoxib, 200 mg flurbiprofen, 2400 mg ibuprofen, 150 mg indometacin, 200 mg ketoprofen, 15 mg meloxicam, 200 mg nimesulide, 400 mg phenylbutazone, 20 mg piroxicam, 20 mg tenoxicam (Dougados et al. 2011). Additionally, 100 = 180 mg acemetacin, 3600 mg acetylsalicylic acid, 3600 mg salicylic acid, 32 mg lornoxicam, 360 mg loxoprofen, 1000 mg mefenamic acid, 2000 mg nabumetone, 1000 mg niflumic acid, 600 mg tiaprofenic acid, 90 mg pelubiprofen, 240 mg zaltoprofen, 120 mg ketorolac tromethamine (if used intramuscularly [IM] or intravenous [IV]), 40 mg ketorolac (if used orally), 400 mg sulindac, 1200 mg dexibuprofen, 75 mg dexketoprofen, 1110 mg talniflumate. For Vimovo, esomeprazole strontium w/naproxen, esomeprazole w/naproxen and naproxen w/omeprazole, use the score for naproxen; for caffeine with ibuprofen, CAROL-F, and famotidine w/ibuprofen, use the score for salicylic acid.

The general formula for calculating ASAS-NSAID score is:

(equivalent NSAID score) x (days of intake during period of interest) x (days per week)/(period of interest in days)

A score is assigned depending on the frequency of NSAID use per week (Dougados et al. 2011):

- 7/7: everyday use
- 6/7: 6 days/week
- 4/7: 4 5 days/week
- 2/7: 2 3 days/week
- $0.5/7: \le 1 \text{ day/week}$
- 0: no intake

Using an example in Dougados and colleagues (2011), if during a period of interest (between 2 visits) of 6 months, the patient has taken piroxicam 20 mg during 4 months and if during this 4-month period he has taken piroxicam 3 to 5 days per week, the calculation of ASAS-NSAID is as follows:

100 (20 mg piroxicam score)  $\times$  120 (4 months)  $\times$  4/7 (3–5 days/ week)/180 (6 months) = 38.1

If the patient has used 10 mg piroxicam during the remaining 2 months on 2 days a week, the NSAID score for this period is:

50 (10 mg piroxicam score)  $\times$ 60 (2 months)  $\times$  2/7 (1 to 3 days/week)/180 (6 months) = 4.8

In this example, the total score for the 6-month period is 42.9 (38.1 plus 4.8).

ASAS-NSAID score will be summarized for the following endpoints at baseline Week 0 (when applicable) and each scheduled visit of interest, which includes the timeframe after the date of previous visit to the date of current visit.

Change from baseline in ASAS-NSAID

- Proportion of patients with 50% decrease in ASAS-NSAID scores compared with baseline
- Proportion of patients with ASAS-NSAID score <10
- Proportion of patients with ASAS-NSAID score = 0

In addition, proportion of patients taking NSAID at specified visit will be summarized.

# 6.10.5. Sensitivity Analyses

## 6.10.5.1. pMI

ASAS40, ASAS20, and mean change in ASDAS at Week 16 (Visit 8) will be analyzed based on the ITT Population using the pMI method, as described in Section 6.3.4. Analyses for ASAS40 and ASAS20 will be based on the logistic regression analysis with treatment, geographic region, number of prior TNF inhibitors used, and baseline CRP status in the model (Section 6.1.1). Analysis for mean change in ASDAS will be based on MMRM analysis. The model will include treatment, geographic region, and number of prior TNF inhibitors used, baseline CRP status,

baseline value, visit, baseline value-by-visit, and treatment-by-visit interaction as fixed factors (Section 6.1.1).

### 6.10.5.2. Tipping Point Analysis

ASAS40, ASAS20, as well as mean change in ASDAS at Week 16 (Visit 8) will be analyzed based on the ITT Population using the tipping point method (Section 6.3.5).

### 6.10.5.3. Analysis Including Efficacy Data Post Treatment Discontinuation

Per recommendation in the 2010 National Research Council report *The Prevention and Treatment of Missing Data in Clinical Trials*, additional efficacy data for patients who prematurely discontinued Period 2 are collected during the Post-Treatment Follow-Up Period (Period 4). Patients who discontinue treatment before Week 16 are instructed to schedule a Post-Treatment Follow-Up visit such that the post-treatment data will be collected 16 weeks after initial randomization. The efficacy data of ASAS40 at Week 16 will be summarized by treatment group, but no formal statistical comparison will be performed.

## 6.10.6. Health Outcomes/Quality-of-Life Analyses

The health outcomes and quality of life (QOL) measures are ASAS HI, SF-36, Fatigue NRS, JSEQ, WPAI-SpA, and QIDS-SR16.

The analyses of health outcomes and QOL measures for Period 2 will be based on the ITT Population. There will be no adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Descriptive statistics will be provided for Period 3 based on the Extended Treatment Period Population.

Table RHBW.6.6 includes the description and derivation of the health outcomes and QOL measures.

Table RHBW.6.7 provides the detailed analyses including analysis type, method and imputation, population, time point, and treatment group comparisons for health outcomes and QOL analyses.

				Imputation Approach if with
Measure	Description	Variable	<b>Derivation / Comment</b>	Missing Components
ASAS Health	The ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI) is a	ASAS HI	All item scores are summed to give a	If $\geq$ 4 items (>20%) have missing
Index	disease specific health-index instrument		total score or index.	response, then ASAS HI is
	designed to assess the impact of		Range: 0 to 17	missing.
	interventions for SpA, including axSpA.		0 (good health) and 17 (poor health)	If $\leq$ 4 items ( $\leq$ 20%) missing, then
	The 17 item instrument has scores			imputed sum = sum of scores
	ranging from 0 (good Health) to 17 (poor		Note, items # 7 and #8 may not be	from nonmissing items x $n/(n - $
	Health) (Kiltz et al. 2013). Each item		applicable for some patients.	no. of missing items), where n is
	consists of 1 question that the patient		For those patients who ticked the	the total number of applicable
	needs to respond to with either "I agree"		response "not applicable", the sum score	items, e.g. 15, 16, or 17.
	(score 1) or "I do not agree (score 0)." A		is analyzed based on n=16 or n=15,	
	score of "1" is given where the item is		respectively.	[ASAS Health Index User
	affirmed, indicating adverse health.			Manual]
		ASAS HI change	Calculated as: observed ASAS HI –	Missing if baseline or observed
		from baseline-	baseline ASAS HI	value is missing
		Major Secondary		
		Proportion of	The smallest detected change of ASAS	Missing if baseline or observed
		patients with $\geq 3$	HI is 3.	value is missing
		improvement	This variable will only be derived for	
		from baseline	patients with baseline score $\geq 3$ .	
		Proportion of	This variable will only be derived for	Missing if baseline or observed
		patients reaching	patient with baseline score $>5$ .	value is missing
		Good ASAS HI		
		(defined as ASAS		
		HI≤5)		
Medical	The SF-36 is a 36-item patient-	8 associated	Per copyright owner, the QualityMetric	Missing data handling offered by
Outcomes	administered measure designed to be a	domain scores:	Health Outcomes <sup>™</sup> Scoring Software 4.5	SF-36 software will not be used.
Study 36-item	short, multipurpose assessment of health	<ul> <li>Physical</li> </ul>	will be used to derive SF-36 domain and	
Short-Form	in the areas of physical functioning, role	Functioning,	component scores. After data quality	
Health	- physical, role - emotional, bodily pain,	• Role Physical,	controls, the SF-36 software will re-	
Survey	vitality, social functioning, mental health,	<ul> <li>Bodily Pain,</li> </ul>	calibrate the item-level responses for	
	and general health. The 2 overarching	• General	calculation of the domain and component	
	domains of mental well-being and	Health,	scores. These raw scores will be	

#### Table RHBW.6.6. Description and Derivation of Health Outcomes and Quality-of-Life Measures

				Imputation Approach if with
Measure	Description	Variable	<b>Derivation / Comment</b>	Missing Components
	physical well-being are captured by the	• Vitality	transformed into the domain scores (t-	
	Mental Component Summary and	<ul> <li>Social</li> </ul>	scores) using the 1-week recall period.	
	Physical Component Summary scores.	Functioning	The procedure to derive the SF-36 scores	
	The summary scores range from 0 to 100;	• Role	is described in Appendix 6. It entails	
	higher scores indicate better levels of	Emotional	exporting the patient data in a CSV or	
	function and/or better health. Items are	Mental Health	tab-delimited file for import, generation	
	answered on Likert scales of varying	2 component	of the SF-36 scores and reports, and	
	lengths. The SF-36 version 2 (acute	Scores:	export of the calculated scores in a CSV	
	version) will be used, which utilizes a	<ul> <li>MCS Score</li> </ul>	or tab-delimited file for integration into	
	1-week recall period (Ware [2000]).	<ul> <li>PCS Score</li> </ul>	SDTM/ADaM datasets. The summary	
			scores range from 0 to 100.	
		PCS change from	Calculated as: observed score – baseline	Missing if baseline or observed
		baseline-Major	score	value is missing
		Secondary		
		MCS and domain	Calculated as: observed score – baseline	Missing if baseline or observed
		scores change	score	value is missing
		from baseline		
Fatigue	The fatigue severity NRS is a patient-	Fatigue Severity	Range: 0 to 10.	Single item, missing if missing
Severity	administered single-item 11-point	NRS	0 (no fatigue) and 10 (as bad as you can	
Numeric	horizontal scale anchored at 0 and 10,		imagine).	
Rating Scale	with 0 representing "no fatigue" and 10	Fatigue Severity	Calculated as: observed Fatigue Severity	Missing if baseline or observed
	representing "as bad as you can imagine"	change from	NRS – baseline Fatigue Severity NRS	value is missing
	(Naegeli et al. 2013). Patients rate their	baseline		
	fatigue (feeling tired or worn out) by			
	circling the 1 number that describes their			
	worst level of fatigue during the previous			
	24 hours.			
Work	The Work Productivity Activity	Percentage of	Percent work time missed due to	If Q2 or Q4 is missing, then
Productivity	Impairment—Spondyloarthritis (WPAI-	absenteeism	problem: $(Q2/(Q2 + Q4))*100$	missing
and Activity	SpA) consists of 6 questions to determine	Percentage of	Calculated as: observed value - baseline	if baseline or observed value is
Impairment	employment status, hours missed from	absenteeism -	value	missing, then the value is
Questionnaire	work because of spondyloarthritis, hours	change from		missing
—Spondylo-	missed from work for other reasons,	baseline		

				Imputation Approach if with
Measure	Description	Variable	Derivation / Comment	Missing Components
arthritis	hours actually worked, the degree to	percentage of	Percent impairment while working due to	If Q5 is missing, then missing
	which spondyloarthritis affected work	presenteeism	problem: (Q5/10)*100	
	productivity while at work, and the	Percentage of	Calculated as: observed value – baseline	If baseline or observed value is
	degree to which spondyloarthritis affected	presenteeism -	value	missing, then missing
	activities outside of work. The WPAI-	change from		
	SpA has been validated in the rad-axSpA	baseline		
	patient population (Reilly et al. 2010).	Overall work	Percent overall work impairment due to	If any of Q2, Q4, or Q5 is
	Four scores are derived: percentage of	impairment score	problem: $(Q2/(Q2+Q4) + [(1-$	missing, then missing
	absenteeism, percentage of presenteeism	_	Q2/(Q2+Q4))*(Q5/10)])*100	
	(reduced productivity while at work), an	Overall work	Calculated as: observed value - baseline	If baseline or observed value is
	overall work impairment score that	impairment score	value	missing, then missing
	combines absenteeism and presenteeism,	- change from		
	and percentage of impairment in activities	baseline		
	performed outside of work. Greater	Percentage of	Percent activity impairment due to	If Q6 is missing, then the value is
	scores indicate greater impairment.	activity	problem: (Q6/10)*100	missing
		impairment		
		Percentage of	Change from baseline is calculated as:	If baseline or observed value is
		activity	observed value – baseline value	missing, then missing
		impairment -		
		change from		
		baseline		
Jenkins Sleep	The Jenkins Sleep Evaluation	JSEQ score	Sum of 4-item score (each on a 6-point	Missing if >20% items (ie, any
Questionnaire	Questionnaire (JSEQ) is a 4-item scale		Likert scale, $0 = no$ days and $5 = 22-30$	of the 4) are missing
	designed to estimate sleep problems in		days)	
	clinical research. The JSEQ assesses the		Range: 0 to 20, higher scores indicating	
	frequency of sleep disturbance in 4		greater sleep disturbance (Deodhar et al.	
	categories: 1) trouble falling asleep, 2)		2010)	

Maasura	Description	Variabla	Derivation / Comment	Imputation Approach if with Missing Components
Measure	waking up several times during the night, 3) having trouble staying asleep (including waking up far too early), and 4) waking up after the usual amount of sleep feeling tired and worn out. Patients report the numbers of days they experience each of these problems in the past month on a 6 point Likert Scale ranging from 0 = "no days" to 5 = "22-30 days."	JSEQ score change from baseline	Change from baseline calculated as: observed JSEQ – baseline JSEQ	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing
Quick Inventory of Depressive	See Section 6.12.6 for description of QIDS-SR16	9 Domains	See Section 6.12.6 for description of each domain	See Section 6.12.6
Symptoma- tology-self report 16 items		Change from baseline in each domain	Calculated as: observed domain score – baseline domain score	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing
		16 Individual items	Range: 0 to 3	Missing if item is missing.
		Change from baseline in each individual item	Calculated as: observed individual item score – baseline individual item score	Missing if baseline or item is missing
		QIDS-SR16 total score	See Section 6.12.6 for description of QIDS-SR16 total score	See Section 6.12.6
		Change from baseline in QIDS- SR16 total score	Calculated as: observed total score – baseline total score	Missing if baseline or observed value is missing

				Imputation Approach if with
Measure	Description	Variable	<b>Derivation / Comment</b>	Missing Components
		Proportion of patients with at least a 50% decrease in QIDS- SR16 total score	% reduction from baseline calculated as: $100 \times \frac{\text{Baseline} - \text{Observed score}}{\text{Baseline}}$ If the value of % reduction from baseline is $\geq$ 50, patients had at least a 50% decrease in QIDS-SR16 total score.	Missing if baseline or observed score is missing

Abbreviations: ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; JSEQ = Jenkins Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire; MCS = mental component summary; NRS = numeric rating scale; PCS = physical component summary; SF-36 = Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey; Q2W = every 2 weeks; Q4W = every 4 weeks; QIDS-SR16 = Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self Report 16 items; SpA = spondyloarthritis; radaxSpA = radiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1 and 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
36 item Short	PCS change from baseline	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Major secondary analysis is
Form Health	– Major Secondary			vs. placebo at Week 16	MMRM analysis for ITT
Survey (SF-					Population comparing IXE80Q2W
36)					& IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at
					Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
		MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
				vs. placebo at all other	(Section 6.10.6)
				postbaseline visits in	
				Period 2	
		ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
		mBOCF/LOCF		vs. placebo at Week 16 and	(Section 6.10.6)
				all other postbaseline visits	
				in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
			Treatment Period	comparisons during Period	(Section 6.10.6)
			Population	3	
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
			Who are Initially	comparisons during	(Section 6.10.6)
			Randomized to	Combined Periods 2 and 3	
			Ixekizumab		
	MCS, domain scores,	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
	change from baseline			vs. placebo at Week 16 and	(Section 6.10.6)
				all other postbaseline visits	
				in Period 2	
		ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
		mBOCF		vs. placebo at Week 16 and	(Section 6.10.6)
				all other postbaseline visits	
				in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
			Treatment Period	comparisons during Period	(Section 6.10.6)
			Population	3	

 Table RHBW.6.7.
 Description of Health Outcomes and Quality-of-Life Analyses

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1 and 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI)	ASAS HI change from baseline -Major Secondary	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Major secondary analysis is MMRM analysis for ITT Population comparing IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 (Section 6.10.2).
		MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		ANCOVA with mBOCF/LOCF	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		Descriptive statistics	ITT Population Who are Initially Randomized to Ixekizumab	No treatment group comparisons during Combined Periods 2 and 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
	Proportion of patients with ASAS HI ≥3 points improvement from baseline	Logistic regression with NRI; Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT population With Baseline Score ≥3	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population With Baseline Score $\geq 3$	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
	Proportion of patients reaching Good ASAS HI (defined as ASAS HI ≤5)	Logistic regression with NRI; Fisher's exact test with NRI	ITT population With Baseline Score >5	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1 and 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
			Treatment Period	comparisons during Period	(Section 6.10.6)
			Population With	3	
			Baseline		
			Score>5		
Fatigue	Fatigue Severity change	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
Severity	from baseline			vs. placebo at Week 16 and	(Section 6.10.6)
Numeric				all other postbaseline visits	
Rating Scale				in Period 2	
		ANCOVA with	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
		mBOCF		vs. placebo at Week 16 and	(Section 6.10.6)
				all other postbaseline visits	
				in Period 2	
		Descriptive statistics	Extended	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
			Treatment Period	comparisons during Period	(Section 6.10.6)
			Population	3	

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1 and 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
Work Productivity and Activity Impairment	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in:</li> <li>percentage of absenteeism</li> <li>percentage of</li> </ul>	ANCOVA with mBOCF	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
Questionnaire —Spondylo- arthritis	<ul> <li>presenteeism</li> <li>overall work impairment score</li> <li>percentage of activity impairment</li> </ul>	Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
JSEQ	JSEQ score change from baseline	MMRM	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		ANCOVA with mBOCF	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16 and all other postbaseline visits in Period 2	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatol ogy-self report 16 items (QIDS- SR16)	Change from baseline in QIDS-SR16 total score, the 9 QIDS-SR16 domains and 16 individual item	ANCOVA with mBOCF	ITT Population ITT Population with moderate depression at baseline (QIDS- SR 16 total score ≥11)	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W vs. placebo at Week 16	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)
		Descriptive statistics	Extended Treatment Period Population Extension Period	No treatment group comparisons during Period 3	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses (Section 6.10.6)

		Analysis Method	Population		
Measure	Variable	(Sections 6.1 and 6.3)	(Section 6.1.5)	<b>Comparison/Time Point</b>	Analysis Type
			Population with		
			moderate		
			depression at		
			baseline (QIDS-		
			SR 16 total score		
			≥11)		
	Proportion of patients with	Logistic regression	ITT Population	IXE80Q2W & IXE80Q4W	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
	at least a 50% decrease in	with NRI;	for patients with	vs. placebo at Week 16	(Section 6.10.6)
	QIDS-SR16 total score	Fisher's exact test with	moderate		
		NRI	depression at		
			baseline (QIDS-		
			SR16 total score)		
		Descriptive statistics	Extension Period	No treatment group	Health Outcomes/QOL analyses
		with NRI	Population with	comparisons during Period	(Section 6.10.6)
			moderate	3	
			depression at		
			baseline (QIDS-		
			SR 16 total score		
			≥11)		

Abbreviations: ANCOVA = analysis of covariance; ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; IXE80Q2W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 2 weeks; IXE80Q4W = ixekizumab 80 mg every 4 weeks; ITT = intent-to-treat; JSEQ = Jenkins Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire; mBOCF = modified baseline observation carried forward; LOCF = last observation carried forward; MCS = mental component summary; MMRM = mixed-effects model of repeated measures; NRI = nonresponder imputation; PCS = physical component summary; QOL = quality of life; QIDS-SR16 = Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self Report 16 items.

### 6.11. Bioanalytical and Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Methods

Observed ixekizumab serum concentrations will be summarized by treatment group, visits, and corresponding time when sampling occurred.

As appropriate, the pharmacokinetic (PK) and the exposure-response relationship between ixekizumab exposure and clinically important efficacy measures (for example, ASAS40 or ASAS20 at Week 16) may be explored using graphical methods and/or a modeling approach. Pharmacokinetic and/or exposure-response data from this study may be combined with existing PK and/or exposure-response data from other studies, if considered appropriate.

If a trend or statistically significant difference between the ixekizumab dose cohorts and/or the placebo cohort is noted in any safety endpoint, the exposure relationship for this endpoint may be explored graphically.

In addition, the potential impact of immunogenicity on ixekizumab exposure and/or efficacy responses may be evaluated by graphical assessments, as appropriate, to compare drug exposure or efficacy responses between ADA negative and ADA positive patients at corresponding visits, or before and after ADA development for patients who developed ADA. Both treatmentemergent only and all ADA positive/negative patients may be explored. A similar approach may be taken if patients become NAb positive. The effect of immunogenicity may be evaluated as a covariate in the population PK and exposure-response analyses, if applicable.

For further details, refer to the PK/PD analysis plan.

## 6.12. Safety Analyses

Safety will be assessed by summarizing and analyzing AEs, laboratory analytes, vital signs, QIDS-SR16, and Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). The duration of treatment exposure will also be summarized.

For Period 2, safety data will be summarized for the safety population. Treatment group comparisons will be performed on categorical safety data using Fisher's exact test as described in Section 6.1.1, continuous safety data will be analyzed by an ANCOVA model as described in Section 6.1.1.

For Period 3, safety data will be summarized for the Extended Treatment Period Population, and no treatment group comparisons will be performed.

For Combined Periods 2 and 3, safety data will be summarized for the Safety Population which is initially randomized to ixekizumab, and no treatment group comparisons will be performed. In addition, safety data on ixekizumab will be summarized for the All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population.

For Period 4, safety data will be summarized according to the treatment patients were on or before entering Post-Treatment Follow-up Period.

For safety analyses, the following baselines will be used:

- Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs): baseline will be all results recorded during the baseline period (see Section 6.1 for definitions of the baseline period).
- Change from baseline to last observation and each scheduled postbaseline visit for laboratory and vital signs: baseline will be last nonmissing assessment recorded during the baseline period (see Section 6.1 for definitions of the baseline period).
- Treatment-emergent abnormal laboratory and vital signs: baseline will be all results recorded during the baseline period (see Section 6.1 for definitions of the baseline period).
- Change from baseline to minimum or maximum: baseline will be all results recorded during the baseline period (see Section 6.1 for definitions of the baseline period).

## 6.12.1. Extent of Exposure

Duration of exposure to study drug will be summarized by treatment group for the Safety Population during the Period 2 and Extension Period Population for Period 3 using descriptive statistics. Exposure for Safety Population who are randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0 and All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population during Combined Periods 2 and 3 will be provided.

A by-patient listing of exposure duration with number of active injections and total dose will be provided.

The duration of exposure will be calculated as:

Duration of exposure (days) = Date of last visit (scheduled or unscheduled) in Treatment Period - Date of first dose in Treatment Period + 1

The number and percentage of patients in each of the following categories will be included in the summaries:

- >0, ≥7 days, ≥14 days, ≥30 days, ≥60 days, ≥90 days, ≥120 days, (for period 3 add: ≥150 days, ≥183 days, ≥210 day and ≥273 days). Note that patients may be included in more than 1 category.
- >0 to <7 days, ≥7 to <14 days, ≥14 to <30 days, ≥30 to <60 days, ≥60 to <90 days, ≥90 to <120 days, ≥120 days (for Period 3, change ≥120 days to ≥120 to <150 days, add ≥150 to <183 days, ≥183 to <210 days, ≥210 to <273 days, and ≥273 days)</li>

The summaries will also include the following information:

• Total exposure in patient years, calculated as:

#### Total exposure in patient years

```
=\frac{Sum of duration of exposures for all patients in treatment group}{365.25}
```

• Mean and median total dose. Total dose (in mg) is calculated by the number of active injections taken during the treatment period multiplied by dose. For those randomized to

ixekizumab 80 mg (Q2W or Q4W), the total dose (in mg) taken during Period 2 or 3 will be calculated as follows:

Total Period 2 or 3 dose for patients on ixekizumab 80 mg Q2W = Total number of active injections (including loading doses, if any) received in Period 2 or  $3 \times 80$ 

Total Period 2 or 3 dose for patients on ixekizumab 80 mg Q4W = Total number of active injections (including loading doses, if any) received in Period 2 or  $3 \times 80$ 

Total number of injections received will be derived using the response to the question "Was dose administered?" on the *Exposure as Collected* eCRF page and the actual dose description from IWRS study drug dispense dataset.

## 6.12.2. Adverse Events

Adverse events will be classified based upon the latest version of the MedDRA. Adverse events will be recorded at every study visit. Any Condition starting on or after the date of informed consent will be considered an AE. Any pre-existing condition which worsens in severity on or after the date of informed consent will be considered and recorded as an AE on the *Adverse Event* (*AE*) eCRF page from the date of worsening onwards.

A TEAE is defined as an event that first occurred or worsened in severity after baseline and on or before the date of the last visit within the defined treatment period. Both the date/time of the event and the date/time of the dose (that is, injection) are considered when determining TEAEs. Treatment-emergent adverse events will be assigned to the study period to which it is considered treatment-emergent:

- The MedDRA Lowest Level Term (LLT) will be used when classifying AEs as treatment-emergent.
- The maximum severity recorded for each LLT before the first dose date/time in the treatment period will be used as the pretreatment severity for that LLT. If an event during the baseline period has missing severity, and the event persists during the treatment period, then it will be considered as treatment-emergent, regardless of the postbaseline level of severity. Events with a missing severity during the treatment period will be considered treatment-emergent.
- Adverse events with a particular LLT will be classified as treatment-emergent if they first start on or after the first dose date/time in the treatment period (that is, a patient has no pre-existing conditions with that LLT), or if the severity is greater than the pretreatment severity for that LLT. If a partial AE start date/time is present, the date/time will be compared as far as possible to the treatment start date/time in order to determine whether the event is treatment-emergent or not. If there is any doubt, the event will be flagged as treatment-emergent.

A follow-up emergent adverse event (FEAE) is defined as an event that first occurred or worsened in severity after the date of Visit 15 (that is, Week 52) or the ETV:

- The MedDRA LLT will be used when classifying AEs as follow-up emergent.
- For AEs that are ongoing at the date of Visit 15 or ETV, the maximum severity recorded for each LLT on or before the date of Visit 15 or ETV will be used as the follow-up baseline severity for that LLT.

If a partial or completely missing AE start date/time or end date/time is present, the following imputation rules will be utilized in the analysis:

- For the start date:
  - If year, month, and day are missing, then use the earlier of the patient's first visit date or the consent date.
  - If either month or month and day are missing, then use January 1.
  - If only day is missing, impute the first day of the month.
- For the start time:
  - Impute as 23:59
- For the end date:
  - If year, month, and day are missing, then use the patient's last visit date in the follow-up period.
  - If either month or month and day are missing, then use December 31.
  - If only day is missing, then use the last day of the month.
  - The imputed date will not be beyond the patient's last visit date in the follow-up period.
- For the end time:
  - Impute as 23:59.
- If there is any doubt, the event will be flagged as treatment-emergent or follow-up emergent according the corresponding study period. If a FEAE was already counted as treatment-emergent during the prior treatment period, it will not be counted as a FEAE.

Adverse events and TEAEs will be summarized for the following study periods and analysis populations, treatment comparisons between treatment groups in Period 2 will be conducted using a Fisher's exact test.

- Period 2 (Safety Population)
- Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period Population)
- Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Safety Population which is randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0)
- Combined Periods 2 and 3 (All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population)

Otherwise specified, the following summaries/analyses will be performed for all of the populations above:

- An overall summary of AEs including the number and percentage of patients who experienced TEAE, TEAE by maximum severity, death, SAE, TEAE possibly related to study treatment, discontinuations from the treatment due to an AE, and TEAEs of special interest
- TEAE by SOC and PT (Safety Population only)
- TEAE by PT
- TEAE by maximum severity, SOC, and PT

Follow-up emergent adverse events will be summarized for the Follow-Up Population for FEAE by PT.

In general, for all AE related summaries, the number and percentage of patients experiencing the events will be presented by treatment group. In general, events will be ordered by decreasing frequency in the total ixekizumab group, followed in the order of ixekizumab Q2W, ixekizumab Q4W, and placebo (when applicable) group, within SOC and/or PT for sorting. For events that are gender-specific (as defined by MedDRA), the denominator and computation of the percentage will include only patients from the given gender.

A by-patient listing of all AEs will be provided.

#### 6.12.2.1. Common Adverse Events

Common TEAEs are those TEAEs that occurred in  $\geq 1\%$  before rounding of total ixekizumab-treated patients.

The following tables will be provided for common TEAEs by treatment group and study period. When SOC is presented, then events will be ordered by decreasing frequency in the total ixekizumab group, within SOC. When SOC is not presented, then events will be ordered by decreasing frequency in the total ixekizumab group.

The following summaries will be provided for common TEAEs based on the Safety Population for Period 2:

- Common TEAEs by PT nested within SOC
- Common TEAEs by PT
- Common TEAEs by maximum severity by PT nested within SOC

## 6.12.3. Deaths, Other Serious Adverse Events, and Other Notable Adverse Events

By-patient listings of deaths, SAEs, and AEs leading to discontinuation will be provided, respectively.

All deaths will be included, regardless of the investigator's or the sponsor's judgment about causality, including:

- any deaths occurring during participation in the study in the database for which data are being presented
- any deaths occurring after a patient leaves (is discontinued from or completed) the study in the database for which data are being presented if the death is:
  - the result of a process initiated during the study, regardless of when it actually occurred, or
  - o occurs during the Period 4 after discontinuation of study drug

An SAE is any AE that results in one of the following outcomes: death, life-threatening, initial or prolonged hospitalization, disability or permanent damage, congenital anomaly or birth defect, or any other serious/important medical events.

The following summary tables (including treatment group comparison for Period 2) will be provided for the Safety Population for Period 2 and for the Extended Treatment Period Population for Period 3, as well as Combined Periods 2 and 3 for Safety Population who are initially randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0 and All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population:

- SAEs by PT
- AEs that lead to treatment discontinuation (including death) by PT

A follow-up emergent serious adverse event (FESAE) is defined as an SAE that first occurred or worsened in severity after the date of Visit 15 (that is, Week 52) or the ETV. The following summary tables will be provided for the Follow-Up Population for the Period 4:

- FESAE by PT
- FEAEs that lead to treatment discontinuation (including death) by PT

#### 6.12.3.1. Special Safety Topics including Adverse Events of Special Interest

Safety information on special topics including AEs of special interest (AESI) will be presented by treatment group and by study period.

Table RHBW.6.8 provides the definitions/derivations and analyses methods (including analyses, summaries and by-patient listings) of special safety topics including AESIs.

Potential AESIs will be identified by a Standardized MedDRA Query (SMQ) or a Lilly-defined MedDRA PT listing. Preferred Terms within an SMQ will be classified as broad and narrow. In the Lilly-defined MedDRA PT listings, Lilly has provided the broad and narrow classification. The Lilly-defined broad terms are for a more sensitive search of potential events of interest and the Lilly-defined narrow terms are for a more specific search. Therefore, the summaries will include the classifications of broad term (same as pooling narrow and broad terms together) and narrow term.

In the event that the listing of terms or analysis changes for a special safety topic, it will be documented in the program safety analysis plan (PSAP) which will supersede this document; it will not warrant an amendment to the individual study SAP.

Fisher's exact tests will be used to compare the treatment group for the safety population during Period 2.

For Period 3, summaries will be provided for the Extended Treatment Period Population.

For Combined Periods 2 and 3, selective summaries will be provided for the Safety Population which is initially randomized to ixekizumab at Week 0.

In addition, for Combined Periods 2 and 3, selective summaries will be provided for the All Ixekizumab Exposures Safety Population.In general, AESI summary will not be provided for Follow-Up Population during Period 4 except for hepatic laboratory tests.

#### Table RHBW.6.8. Definitions and Analyses of Special Safety Topics including Adverse Events of Special Interest

<b>Special Safety</b>		
Торіс	<b>Definition / Derivation</b>	Analysis / Summary / Listing
Hepatic	Hepatic AE analysis will include events that are potentially drug-related hepatic disorders by using the	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test),
	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) PTs contained in any of the following	Period 3 (Summary) and
	Standardized MedDRA Query (SMQ) or sub-SMQ as defined in MedDRA:	Combined Periods 2 and 3
	• Broad and narrow terms in the liver related investigations, signs and symptoms (20000008)	(Summary):
	• Broad and narrow terms in the cholestasis and jaundice of hepatic origin (2000009)	TEAE by PT within SMQ or sub-
	• Broad and narrow terms in the hepatitis, non-infectious (20000010)	SMQ
	• Broad and narrow terms in the hepatic failure, fibrosis and cirrhosis and other liver damage	Listing ( in Spotfire):
	(2000013)	TEAE (included in the same
	• Narrow terms in the liver-related coagulation and bleeding disturbances (20000015)	listing with cytopenia, depression
		and interstitial lung disease
		AESIs)
	Elevations in hepatic laboratory tests (ALT, AST, ALP, total bilirubin) using Performing Lab	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test),
	Reference Ranges are defined as:	and Period 3(Summary):
	<ul> <li>Include scheduled visits, unscheduled visits, and repeat measurements.</li> </ul>	Elevations in hepatic laboratory
	• Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or AST: maximum postbaseline measurement $\geq 3$ times (3×), 5	tests: maximum baseline
	times (5×), 10 times (10×), and 20 times (20×) the Performing Lab upper limit of normal (ULN)	category to abnormal maximum
	for all patients with a postbaseline value.	postbaseline category
	$\circ$ The analysis of 3× ULN will contain 4 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum baseline	
	value is $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $>1 \times ULN$ to $<3 \times ULN$ , $\geq 3 \times ULN$ , or missing.	
	$\circ$ The analysis of 5× ULN will contain 5 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum baseline	
	value is $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $>1 \times ULN$ to $<3 \times ULN$ , $\geq 3 \times ULN$ to $<5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 5 \times ULN$ , or missing.	
	• The analysis of 10× ULN will contain 6 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum	
	baseline value is $\leq 1 \times$ ULN, $>1 \times$ ULN to $<3 \times$ ULN, $\geq 3 \times$ ULN to $<5 \times$ ULN, $\geq 5 \times$ ULN to $<10 \times$	
	ULN, $\geq 10 \times$ ULN, or missing.	
	$\circ$ The analysis of 20× ULN will contain 7 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum	
	baseline value is $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $> 1 \times ULN$ to $< 3 \times ULN$ , $\geq 3 \times ULN$ to $< 5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 5 \times ULN$ to $< 10 \times ULN$	
	ULN, $\geq 10 \times$ ULN to $\langle 20 \times$ ULN, $\geq 20 \times$ ULN, or missing.	
	• Total bilirubin: maximum postbaseline measurement $\geq 1.5$ times (1.5×), and $\geq 2$ times (2×) the	
	Performing Lab ULN for all patients with a postbaseline value	
	• The analysis of 1.5× ULN will contain 4 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum	

Special Safety		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	baseline value is $\leq 1 \times$ ULN, $> 1 \times$ ULN to $< 1.5 \times$ ULN, $\geq 1.5 \times$ ULN, or missing.	
	$\circ$ The analysis of 2× ULN will contain 5 subsets: patients whose nonmissing maximum baseline	
	value is $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $> 1 \times ULN$ to $< 1.5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 1.5 \times ULN$ to $< 2 \times ULN$ , $\geq 2 \times ULN$ , or missing.	
	• ALP: maximum postbaseline measurement $>1.5$ times (1.5×) the Performing Lab ULN for all	
	patients with a postbaseline value, and divided into 4 subsets: patients whose nonmissing	
	maximum baseline value is $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $>1 \times ULN$ to $\leq 1.5 \times ULN$ , $>1.5 \times ULN$ , or missing.	
	Shift for ALT, AST, and total bilirubin from maximum baseline to maximum postbaseline will be	Period 2, Period 3 (Summary)
	produced with the requirements using Performing Lab Reference Ranges:	and Combined Periods 2 and 3
	<ul> <li>Include scheduled visits, unscheduled visits, and repeat measurements.</li> </ul>	(Summary):
	• Use the maximum nonmissing value in the baseline period.	Shifts from maximum baseline to
	• Use the maximum nonmissing postbaseline value within each study period.	maximum postbaseline category
	Categories are:	
	• ALT: $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $\geq 1$ to $\leq 3 \times ULN$ , $\geq 3$ to $\leq 5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 5$ to $\leq 10 \times ULN$ , $\geq 10$ to $\leq 20 \times ULN$ , and	
	≥20× ULN	
	• AST: $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $\geq 1$ to $\leq 3 \times ULN$ , $\geq 3$ to $\leq 5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 5$ to $\leq 10 \times ULN$ , $\geq 10 \times$ to $\leq 20 \times ULN$ and	
	$\geq 20 \times \text{ULN}$	
	• I otal bilirubin: $\leq 1 \times ULN$ , $\geq 1$ to $\leq 1.5 \times ULN$ , $\geq 1.5$ to $\leq 2 \times ULN$ , $\geq 2 \times ULN$	
	$\circ  \text{ALP}; \leq 1 \times \text{ULN}, \geq 1 \text{ to} \leq 1.5 \times \text{ULN}, \geq 1.5 \times \text{ULN}$	
	• With additional categories:	
	• Decreased: postbaseline category < baseline category	
	<ul> <li>Increased: postbaseline category &gt; baseline category</li> <li>Same: postbaseline estagory = baseline estagory</li> </ul>	
	Same. postoasenne category – basenne category	Daviad 2 (Fishar's avaat tost)
	Elevated hepatic criteria. maximum AL $I \ge 5^{\circ}$ OL N and maximum total official $\ge 2^{\circ}$ OL N using Derforming I ab Deference Danges	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Bariad 3 and Bariad 4
	Listing of patients who meet any of the following criteria:	(Summerv)
	Elevated benatic criteria: defined as maximum ALT $>3 \times \text{LILN}$ and maximum total bilirubin $>2 \times$	(Summary). Elevated hepatic criteria
	• Elevated hepatic efferta: defined as maximum $AET \ge 3^{\times}$ OEN and maximum total officion $22^{\times}$ ULI N	Listing:
	• An AI T or AST $>3\times$ III N	Flevations in henatic laboratory
	• An ALP >1 5× $III N$	tests (to be prepared in Spotfire)
	• A total bilirubin $>2\times$ ULN	tests (to be prepared in Spotnic)
	The listing will include: nation demographics concomitant medications ALT/AST/ALP/total	
	bilirubin/GGT by visit, treatment start and stop dates, and reason for treatment discontinuation.	

<b>Special Safety</b>		
Торіс	<b>Definition / Derivation</b>	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	Evaluation of Drug-Induced Serious Hepatotoxicity (eDISH) plot: use maximum ALT measurement and maximum total bilirubin measurement with patients having at least 1 postbaseline ALT and total bilirubin, which contributes 1 point to the plot. The measurements do not need to be taken at the same blood draw.	<b>Period 2</b> : eDISH plot (to be prepared in Spotfire)
Cytopenias	<ul> <li>Cytopenias are defined using the PTs from the following 2 sub-SMQs of the Haematopoietic cytopenias SMQ (20000027) as specified in MedDRA:</li> <li>Broad and narrow terms in the Haematopoietic leukopenia (20000030)</li> <li>Broad and narrow terms in the Haematopoietic thrombocytopenia (20000031)</li> </ul>	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary): TEAE by PT within sub-SMQ, Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire): TEAE (included in the same listing with hepatic, depression and interstitial lung disease AESIs)
Infections	Infections are events including all infections (defined using all the MedDRA PTs from the Infections and infestations OSC), serious infections, potential opportunistic infections, and infections resulting in anti-infective medication administration (ie, antibacterial, antivirals, antifungals, antiparasitic treatments).	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary): SAE by PT, AE leading to treatment discontinuation by PT (Period 2 only)
	Anti-infective medications are defined in Appendix 7. Listing of patients experiencing a TEAE of infections will be provided including the following additional information: anti-infective medications use (if treated) with medication start/end dates, indication for use, and route; minimum postbaseline value within treatment Period 2 for leukocytes, platelets, lymphocytes, and absolute neutrophils.	Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire): TEAE with anti-infective medications
	The list of MedDRA terms used to identify infections that are predefined as potential OIs are found in Appendix 11. This list contains PTs as contained within categories (narrow or broad) from the Infections and infestations SOC and the Investigations SOC, which can assist in identifying potential	Period 2, Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary):

Special Safety		
Торіс	<b>Definition / Derivation</b>	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	OIs. The narrow terms are considered OIs unless medical review determines that the reported term is not consistent with the patient's clinical history/presentation/course. Medical review of broad terms is needed for final determination of patients meeting the program definition of OIs. Listing of patients experiencing a TEAE of OIs will be provided including the following additional information: source of identification (CRF or Lilly specified list), primary/secondary site of infection, primary/secondary infection type, primary/secondary identified by a laboratory diagnostic test (Yes/No), acquired in a Health care setting (Yes/No).	<ul> <li>TEAE of OIs by PT (Period 2 only)</li> <li>TEAE of OIs by maximum severity by PT</li> <li>Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire):</li> <li>TEAE of OIs</li> </ul>
	The duration of each common ( $\geq 1\%$ of total ixekizumab) TEAE PT of Infections and narrow terms for Opportunistic infections is defined as: Duration of treatment-emergent AE Infections (in weeks) = (End date of AE – Start date of AE + 1) / 7. Only TEAEs of infections beginning during treatment Period 2 or Period 3 will be included in the summary. If an AE has not ended by the date of completion of the treatment Period 2 or 3, or date of early discontinuation, it will be censored as of that date (last visit within the treatment Period 2 or treatment period 3, or date of early discontinuation). If a patient has multiple episodes of the same TEAE, the episode with the greatest severity will be used for the duration of event calculation. If a patient has multiple episodes of the same TEAE with the same severity, the episode with the longest duration will be used for the duration of event calculation.	Period 2: Duration of Common TEAE – Infections
Allergic Reactions/Hy- persensitivities	<ul> <li>Allergic reactions/hypersensitivity events will be categorized as either anaphylaxis or non-anaphylaxis events (these will refer to events that are not localized to the site of injection) and summarized separately.</li> <li><u>Allergic Reactions/Hypersensitivity Events, Anaphylaxis</u>: Anaphylaxis has been broadly defined as "a serious allergic reaction that is rapid in onset and may cause death" (Sampson et al. 2006). Identification of cases of potential anaphylaxis from the clinical trial data involves 2 criteria:</li> <li>1) designed to specifically identify cases (following Criterion 1) based on narrow terms from the MedDRA SMQ for anaphylactic reaction (20000021). Criterion 1 for anaphylaxis is defined by the presence of a TEAE based on the following MedDRA PTs from the anaphylactic reaction SMQ:</li> <li>Anaphylactic reaction</li> <li>Anaphylactic shock</li> <li>Anaphylactoid reaction</li> </ul>	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary) : TEAE by maximum severity by PT within Category, SAE by PT within Category, AE leading to treatment discontinuation by PT within Category (Period 2 only)

Special Safety		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	Anaphylactoid shock	
	Kounis Syndrome	
	Type I hypersensitivity	
	2) to identify possible cases, following Criterion 2 as defined by Sampson et al (2006). Criterion 2	
	for anaphylaxis requires having TEAEs from 2 or more of 4 categories of AEs as described by	
	Sampson et al (2006). Occurrence of these events should be nearly coincident; based on recording	
	of events on CRFs. All qualifying events must be within 1 day of study drug injection.	
	The 4 categories to be considered in Criterion 2 are:	
	Category A: Involvement of the skin-mucosal tissue	
	Category B: Respiratory compromise	
	Category C: Reduced blood pressure or associated symptoms	
	Category D: Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms	
	The specific MedDRA PTs covered by each of these Criterion 2 categories are shown in	
	Appendix 8.	
	Summaries of Criterion 2 anaphylactic TEAEs will be provided by the specific combination of	
	categories as follows:	
	• AB: events based on meeting Category A and Category B (but no other category)	
	• AC: events based on meeting Category A and Category C (but no other category)	
	• AD: events based on meeting Category A and Category D (but no other category)	
	• BC: events based on meeting Category B and Category C (but no other category)	
	• BD: events based on meeting Category B and Category D (but no other category)	
	• CD: events based on meeting Category C and Category D (but no other category)	
	• ABC: events based on meeting Category A, Category B, and Category C (but no other category)	
	• ABD: events based on meeting Category A, Category B, and Category D (but no other category)	
	• ACD: events based on meeting Category A, Category C, and Category D (but no other category)	
	• BCD: events based on meeting Category B, Category C, and Category D (but no other category)	
	• ABCD: events based on meeting each of the 4 Criterion 2 categories	
	Summaries of treatment-emergent anaphylactic AEs will be provided for patients meeting each of the 2	
	criteria and for patients who meet either criteria overall. Severity of treatment-emergent Criterion 2	
	anaphylactic AEs will be based on the maximum severity of the specific events met by the patient.	
	Maximum severity of an (or overall) treatment-emergent anaphylactic AE will be based on the	
	maximum severity within Criterion 1 and/or Criterion 2.	

Special Safety		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	<u>Allergic Reactions/Hypersensitivity Events, Non-Anaphylaxis</u> : TEAEs of allergic reaction/hypersensitivity categorized as nonanaphylaxis events are defined by the narrow terms within Hypersensitivity SMQ (20000214) excluding the PTs noted in Appendix 9 and excluding the anaphylactic events as defined above.	
	A by-patient listing will be provided for all patients experiencing TEAE of allergic reactions/hypersensitivities at any time, including status/criterion of anaphylaxis or nonanaphylaxis, and the associated information collected on <i>Allergic / Hypersensitivity Reaction Follow-Up</i> eCRF page if identified by the investigator.	Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire): TEAE including information collected on <i>Allergic /</i> <i>Hypersensitivity Reaction Follow</i> - <i>Up</i> eCRF page
Injection-site Reactions	Injection-site reaction is defined using the PTs from the MedDRA HLT of Injection-site reactions as specified by MedDRA excluding the following 10 PTs: 1) Embolia cutis medicamentosa 2) Injection-site joint discomfort 3) Injection-site joint effusion 4) Injection-site joint effusion 5) Injection-site joint inflammation 7) Injection-site joint movement impairment 8) Injection-site joint movement impairment 8) Injection-site joint swelling 10) Injection-site joint swelling 10) Injection-site Reaction eCRF page captures the injection-site reactions identified by the investigator. These TEAEs will be summarized within the MedDRA HLT by maximum severity or category. If more than 1 TEAE of injection-site reaction occurs, the event with the worst value (within the individual categories: redness, swelling and pain) will be used. Redness (Scored 0-4) • [0] Subject's normal skin color, no increased redness • [1] Noticeable, but very mild redness • [2] Clearly red	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test) and Period 3 (Summary): TEAE by maximum severity by PT within HLT SAE by PT within HLT AE leading to treatment discontinuation by PT within HLT (Period 2 only) TEAE identified by the investigator PT within HLT: by maximum severity by maximum severity by maximum pain category by maximum pain category by maximum severity by TEAE by maximum severity by PT within HLT SAE by PT within HLT
	• [3] Bright red	

Special Safety		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	• [4] Dark with some scar formation	Listing (to be prepared in
	Swelling (Scored 0-4 after running a finger over injected area)	Spotfire):
	• [0] No bump	TEAE including information
	• [1] Barely noticeable	collected on Injection-site
	• [2] Clear bump but very thin	Reaction eCRF page
	• [3] Clear bump 1 mm thick	
	• [4] Clear bump 2 mm thick or more	
	Pain (including burning) (Scored 0-3)	
	• [0] None	
	• [1] Mild	
	• [2] Moderate	
	• [3] Severe	
Cerebro-	Cerebro-cardiovascular events will be externally adjudicated by the Central Events Committee (CEC)	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test),
cardiovascular	at the Cleveland Clinic, as outlined in the Manual of Operations. The CEC will adjudicate investigator-	Period 3 (Summary) and
Events	reported events selected for adjudication and render an assessment as to whether the event represents a	Combined Periods 2 and 3
	confirmed event (meeting the event definition with all necessary documentation), a nonevent (does not	(Summary):
	meet the event definition and likely represents an alternative or nonevent diagnosis), or lacks sufficient	TEAE by PT within Subcategory,
	documentation for confirmation of an event. All events which qualify for CEC adjudication will be	Listing (to be prepared in
	used for the analysis of cerebro-cardiovascular events. The categories and subcategories of adjudicated	Spotfire):
	events used for the analysis will include the following:	TEAE
	Cardiovascular	
	• Death (cardiovascular)	
	<ul> <li>Cardiac ischemic event: myocardial infarction and hospitalization for unstable angina</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Serious arrhythmia</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Hospitalization for heart failure</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Hospitalization for hypertension</li> </ul>	
	• Resuscitated sudden death	
	<ul> <li>Cardiogenic shock</li> </ul>	
	• Coronary revascularization	
	• Neurologic	
	• Cerebrovascular Event: Transient Ischemic Attack or Stroke (Hemorrhagic, Ischemic and	
	Undetermined)	
	Peripheral Vascular Events	

<b>Special Safety</b>		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
Major Adverse Cerebro- cardiovascular Events (MACE)	<ul> <li>Peripheral arterial event         <ul> <li>Peripheral revascularization</li> </ul> </li> <li>Events will be analyzed using MedDRA PT nested within the CEC assessment (confirmed event, no event, or insufficient documentation for event determination) and the subcategory. Subtypes of stroke (Hemorrhagic Stroke, Ischemic Stroke, and Undetermined Stroke Type) will be displayed in the analyses nested within Cerebrovascular Event. Subtypes of Serious Arrhythmia (Atrial Arrhythmia, Ventricular Arrhythmia, Heart Block, Other, Unknown) will be displayed nested within Serious Arrhythmia.</li> <li>MACE (requiring adjudication as defined above) is defined as:</li> <li>Vascular Death (including cardiovascular and cerebro-vascular causes excluding hemorrhagic deaths outside of the central nervous system)</li> <li>Nonfatal myocardial infarction</li> <li>Nonfatal stroke (subtypes: hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke, undetermined stroke type) Where,</li> <li>Vascular death should be captured as an Event on <i>Adjudication - Death</i> eCRF page with Adjudication Death Type = 'Cardiovascular'.</li> <li>Nonfatal myocardial infarction should be captured as an Event on <i>Adjudication - Cardiac Ischemic Event</i> eCRF page with Type of Ischemic Event = "Myocardial Infarction" and the Event is NOT on <i>Adjudication - Death</i> eCRF page.</li> <li>Nonfatal strokes (ischemic, hemorrhagic) should be captured as an Event on <i>Adjudication - Cardiac Ischemic Event</i> eCRF page with Stroke Cerebrovascular Event Subtype in one of the following categories: hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke, undetermined stroke type, and the Event is NOT on <i>Adjudication - Death</i> eCRF page.</li> <li>Nonfatal strokes (ischemic, hemorrhagic) should be captured as an Event on <i>Adjudication - Cerebrovascular Event</i> eCRF page. Subcategories of nonfatal stroke (Hemorrhagic Stroke, ischemic Stroke, undetermined Stroke type, and the Event is NOT on <i>Adjudication - Death</i> eCRF page. Subcategories of nonfatal st</li></ul>	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary): TEAE by maximum severity by PT within category Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire): TEAE
Malignancies	<ul> <li>Malignancy is defined using PTs from the Malignant or unspecified tumors SMQ as specified in MedDRA (SMQ: 20000091, which includes the sub-SMQs:</li> <li>20000194 [Malignant tumours], including sub-SMQs of 20000227 [Haematological malignant tumours] and 20000228 [Non-haematological malignant tumours]</li> <li>20000195 [Tumours of unspecified malignancy], including sub-SMQs of 20000229 [Haematological tumours of unspecified malignancy] and 20000230 [Nonhaematological tumours of unspecified malignancy]</li> </ul>	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test), Period 3 (Summary) and Combined Periods 2 and 3 (Summary): TEAE by PT within category, Listing (to be prepared in Spotfire): TEAE

<b>Special Safety</b>		
Торіс	<b>Definition / Derivation</b>	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	Events will be summarize by the following categories:	
	Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer (NMSC)	
	<ul> <li>Basal Cell Carcinoma, PTs include:</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Basal cell carcinoma</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Basosquamous carcinoma</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Basosquamous carcinoma of skin</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Squamous Cell Carcinoma, PTs include:</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Squamous cell carcinoma of skin</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Bowen's disease</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Lip squamous cell carcinoma</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Skin squamous cell carcinoma metastatic</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Keratoacanthoma</li> </ul>	
	Malignancies excluding NMSC: all PTs in the Malignant or unspecified tumors SMQ excluding	
	the 8 defined NMSC PTs.	
Depressions	Depression is defined using the PTs from the Depression and suicide/self-injury SMQ as specified in	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test)
	MedDRA (SMQ: 20000035, which includes the sub-SMQs: 20000037 [Suicide/self-injury] and	and Period 3 (Summary):
	20000167 [Depression (excluding suicide and self-injury)]).	TEAE by PT within SMQ and
		sub-SMQ,
		Listing (to be prepared in
		Spotfire):
		TEAE (included in the same
		listing with hepatic, cytopenia and
		interstitial lung disease AESIs)
Inflammatory	IBD will be identified using the following subcategory and MedDRA PTs: The narrow terms are	Period 2 (Fisher's exact test),
Bowel Disease	considered IBD.	Period 3 (Summary) and
(IBD)		Combined Periods 2 and 3
	IBD (Narrow terms)	(Summary):
	Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Inflammatory bowel disease	TEAE by PT within subcategory,
	Crohn's Disease: Crohn's disease	Listing (to be prepared in
	Ulcerative Colitis: Acute haemorrhagic ulcerative colitis; Colitis ulcerative; Proctitis	Spotfire):
	ulcerative	TEAE
	Non-Specific Terms Events That Can Occur with IBD (Broad Terms)): The PTs in this category are	

<b>Special Safety</b>		
Торіс	Definition / Derivation	Analysis / Summary / Listing
	listed in Appendix 10.	
Interstitial	ILD is defined using the following terms:	Listing (to be prepared in
Lung Disease	• Broad and narrow terms in the Interstitial lung disease SMQ (20000042)	Spotfire):
(ILD)	• Additional 6 PTs from Eosinophilic pneumonia SMQ (20000157):	TEAE (included in the same
	<ul> <li>Angiolymphoid hyperplasia with eosinophilia (Narrow)</li> </ul>	listing with hepatic, depression
	<ul> <li>Eosinophilic bronchitis (Narrow)</li> </ul>	and interstitial lung disease
	<ul> <li>Hypereosinophilic syndrome (Narrow)</li> </ul>	AESIs)
	<ul> <li>Loeffler's syndrome (Narrow)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Pulmonary eosinophilia (Narrow)</li> </ul>	
	• Pulmonary vasculitis (Narrow)	

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; AESI = adverse event of special interest; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; CRF = case report form; eCRF = electronic case report form; HLT = high-level term; OI = opportunistic infection; PT = Preferred Term; SAE = serious adverse event; SOC = System Organ Class; TEAE = treatment emergent adverse event; ULN = upper limit of normal.

## 6.12.4. Clinical Laboratory Evaluation

Clinical laboratory assessments include hematology, serum chemistry, urinalysis, and safety-related immune markers such as neutrophil counts.

Continuous laboratory tests will be summarized as changes from baseline to last observation for patients who have both baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result for Periods 2 and 3, respectively:

- The scheduled visits/measurements will be included. The unscheduled visits and the repeat measurements will be excluded.
- Both international system of unit (SI) and conventional unit will be summarized when different.
- For the Safety Population Period 2, the comparisons between and among treatment group will be conducted using an ANCOVA with treatment group and baseline value in the model.
- Data will be analyzed based on original scale.

Laboratory test observed values at each visit (starting at baseline) and change from baseline to each scheduled visit, respectively, will be displayed in box plots for patients who have both a baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result. These box plots will be used to evaluate trends over time and to assess a potential impact of outliers on central tendency summaries.

- The scheduled visits/measurements will be included. The unscheduled visits and the repeat measurements will be excluded.
- The displays with both SI and conventional units will be provided when different.
- The following summary statistics will be included as a table below the box plot: number of patients with a baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result, mean, SD, minimum, Q1, median, Q3, and maximum.
- Data will be summarized based on original scale.
- On the box plots of the laboratory test observed values, the lines of the reference ranges/limits (by using the performing laboratory reference ranges) will be added. In cases where limits vary across age and gender, the lowest of the high limits and the highest of the low limits will be used.

The number and percentage of patients with a treatment-emergent or follow-up emergent abnormal, high, or low for laboratory tests will be summarized by treatment group for each study period (Period 2, 3, and 4, respectively). The comparisons between and among treatment groups will be conducted using Fisher's exact test for the Safety Population for the Period 2.

- All scheduled, unscheduled, and repeated measurements will be included.
- Performing laboratory will be used to defined the low and high limits reference ranges except for leukocyte, neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts where Lilly defined LLN will be used for these 4 labs.

#### **I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4**

- Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), neutrophils, leukocytes, platelets, and lymphocytes will not be included in the treatment-emergent abnormal, high, or low summary as a separate analysis addressing the risk of liver injury is described in Section 6.12.3.1 and a separate analysis addressing leukocytes (WBC) and platelets is described in Section 6.12.4.1. Note that the ranges are defined by a lower limit of normal (LLN) and an upper limit of normal (ULN). A result that is greater than or equal to the LLN and less than or equal to the ULN is considered to be within the normal ranges.
- For categorical laboratory tests:
  - Treatment-emergent abnormal value is defined as a change from normal at all baseline visits to abnormal at any time postbaseline during the treatment period.
  - Follow-up emergent abnormal result is defined as a change from normal at baseline to abnormal at any time during the follow-up period.
- For continuous laboratory tests:
  - Treatment-emergent high value is defined as a change from a value less than or equal to the ULN at all baseline visits to a value greater than the ULN at any time postbaseline during the treatment period.
  - Treatment-emergent low value is defined as a change from a value greater than or equal to the LLN at all baseline visits to a value less than the LLN at any time postbaseline during the treatment period.
  - Follow-up emergent high value is defined as a change from a value less than or equal to the ULN at baseline to a value greater than the ULN at any time postbaseline during the follow-up period.
  - Follow-up emergent low value is defined as a change from a value greater than or equal to the LLN at baseline to a value less than the LLN at any time postbaseline during the follow-up period.

By-patient listing of laboratory test values will be provided. Listing of laboratory tests reference ranges (Lilly defined LLN for leukocyte, neutrophil, lymphocyte and platelet counts, and Performing Lab reference ranges for other lab tests) will be provided. By-patient listing of abnormal laboratory test results (criteria defined in the shift tables excluding the normal category) for parameters of special interest (hepatic, leukocytes, and platelets) will be provided.

#### 6.12.4.1. Leukocytes (WBC) and Platelets

Further analyses will be conducted for total leukocytes, neutrophils, platelets, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils. Neutrophils will include both segmented neutrophils and absolute neutrophils (derived by adding segmented neutrophils and band neutrophil). The segmented neutrophils and absolute neutrophils will be summarized using the same categories.

Shift table will be produced showing the number and percentage of patients shifting from baseline to a minimum postbaseline result in each relevant category by treatment groups for study Period 2, Period 3, and Combined Periods 2 and 3, respectively:

#### **I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4**

- Scheduled visits, unscheduled visits, and repeat measurements will be included.
- Baseline is defined as the minimum result during the defined baseline period or baseline.
- Use the minimum nonmissing postbaseline value within each study period.
- The parameters and categories are:
  - Leukocytes:  $\geq 1 \times$  LLN (Normal),  $\leq$  LLN to  $\geq 3.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L (Grade 1),  $\leq 3.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L to  $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L (Grade 2),  $\leq 2.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L to  $\geq 1.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L (Grade 3), and  $\leq 1.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L (Grade 4).
  - Neutrophils:  $\geq 1 \times LLN$  (Normal),  $\leq LLN$  to  $\geq 1.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 1),  $\leq 1.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  to  $\geq 1.0 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 2),  $\leq 1.0 \times 10^{9}/L$  to  $\geq 0.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 3), and  $\leq 0.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 4).
  - Platelets: ≥1 × LLN (Normal), < LLN to ≥75.0 × 10^9/L (Grade 1), <75.0 × 10^9/L to ≥50.0 × 10^9/L (Grade 2), <50.0 × 10^9/L to ≥25.0 × 10^9/L (Grade 3), and <25.0 × 10^9/L (Grade 4).</li>
  - Lymphocytes:  $\geq 1 \times$  LLN (Normal),  $\leq$  LLN to  $\geq 0.8 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 1),  $\leq 0.8 \times 10^{9}/L$  to  $\geq 0.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 2),  $\leq 0.5 \times 10^{9}/L$  to  $\geq 0.2 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 3), and  $\leq 0.2 \times 10^{9}/L$  (Grade 4).
- The above LLNs are defined as:
  - Leukocytes:  $LLN = 4.0 \times 10^{9}/L$
  - Neutrophils:  $LLN = 2.0 \times 10^{9}/L$
  - Platelets:  $LLN = 150 \times 10^{9}/L$
  - Lymphocytes:  $LLN = 1.1 \times 10^{9}/L$
- With additional categories:
  - Decreased; postbaseline category < baseline category
  - Increased; postbaseline category > baseline category
  - Same; postbaseline category = baseline category.

The change from minimum baseline to minimum postbaseline result for each of these leukocytes and platelets will be summarized graphically using a box plot for Periods 2 and 3, respectively.

#### 6.12.4.2. Neutrophil Follow-Up

Neutrophil counts will be followed throughout the study. Patients will continue in Period 4 until their neutrophil counts have recovered.

The neutrophil follow-up analysis will be conducted on the Neutrophil Follow-Up Population defined as patients who have an absolute neutrophil count <1500 cells/ $\mu$ L (SI units: <1.5× 10^9/L) at the last scheduled visit or early termination visit before entering Period 4 and less than the patient's baseline absolute neutrophil count (that is, before first injection at Week 0). These patients are monitored during Period 4 until neutrophil recovery.

Neutrophil clinical recovery is defined as an absolute neutrophil count  $\geq 1500 \text{ cells}/\mu\text{L}$  (SI units:  $\geq 1.5 \times 10^{9}/\text{L}$ ) or greater than or equal to a patient's minimum absolute neutrophil count before first study drug injection at Week 0.

If a patient's neutrophil count has not recovered, within 12 weeks after entering the follow-up period (Visit 802), the patient will return for Visit 803 (12 weeks after Visit 802). Additional

visits may be required for appropriate patient management depending upon the degree of neutropenia. If at Visit 802, a patient has met the criteria for neutrophil recovery, the patient's participation in the study will be considered complete unless the investigator deems additional follow-up may be necessary.

The number and percentage of patients achieving neutrophil clinical recovery will be presented by treatment groups and week interval for the Neutrophil Follow-Up Population for Period 4. The number and percentage of patients with an absolute neutrophil cell count that is at least 25%, 50%, 75%, or 100% of the patient's baseline absolute neutrophil count (that is, before first injection at Week 0), irrespective of absolute neutrophil minimum, will be included in the summary.

### 6.12.5. Vital Signs and Other Physical Findings

Analyses will be conducted on vital signs and physical characteristics including systolic blood pressure (mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), pulse (bpm), weight (kg), BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Change from baseline to last observation for vital signs and physical characteristics will be summarized for patients who have both baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result, for Periods 2 and 3, respectively:

- The scheduled visits/measurements will be included. The unscheduled visits and the repeat measurements will be excluded.
- For the Safety Population for Period 2, the comparisons between and among treatment groups will be conducted using an ANCOVA with treatment groups and baseline value in the model.
- Data will be analyzed based on original scale.

For vital signs and physical characteristics, the observed values at each visit (starting at baseline) and change from baseline to each scheduled visit, respectively, will be displayed in box plots for patients who have both a baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result. These box plots will be used to evaluate trends over time and to assess a potential impact of outliers on central tendency summaries.

- The scheduled visits/measurements will be included. The unscheduled visits and the repeat measurements will be excluded.
- The following summary statistics will be included as a table below the box plot: number of patients with a baseline and at least 1 postbaseline result, mean, standard deviation, minimum, Q1, median, Q3, and maximum.
- Data will be summarized based on original scale.

To assess the effect of administration of study drug on vital signs (blood pressures and pulse rate) among patients, at Weeks 0 and 16 vital signs will be measured before the first injection and 1 hour after the injection. The box plots will be produced for predose and postdose vital signs at Weeks 0 and 16 by treatment groups for the safety population.

The number and percentage of patients with treatment-emergent or follow-up emergent high or low vital sign and weight at any time for Periods 2, 3, and 4, respectively, will be summarized. The comparisons between and among treatment groups will be conducted using Fisher's exact test for the Safety Population for Period 2.

Table RHBW.6.9 defines the high and low baseline values as well as the limits that are specified as treatment-emergent and follow-up emergent. Note that weight does not have an abnormal baseline; therefore, the treatment-emergent and follow-up emergent values are determined by change from baseline.

- All postbaseline scheduled, unscheduled, and repeated measurements will be included.
- To assess increases, change from the maximum value during the baseline period or baseline to the maximum value during each study period will be used.
- To assess decreases, change from the minimum value during the baseline period or baseline to the minimum value during each study period will be used.
- For treatment-emergent high and low:
  - A treatment-emergent **high** result is defined as a change from a value less than or equal to the high limit at baseline to a value greater than the high limit at any time that meets the specified change criteria during the treatment period.
  - A treatment-emergent **low** result is defined as a change from a value greater than or equal to the low limit at baseline to a value less than the low limit at any time that meets the specified change criteria during the treatment period.
- For follow-up emergent high and low:
  - A follow-up emergent **high** result is defined as a change from a value less than or equal to the high limit at baseline to a value greater than the high limit at any time that meets the specified change criteria during the follow-up period.
  - A follow-up emergent **low** result is defined as a change from a value greater than or equal to the low limit at baseline to a value less than the low limit at any time that meets the specified change criteria during the follow-up period.
# Table RHBW.6.9.Categorical Criteria for Abnormal Treatment-Emergent Blood<br/>Pressures and Pulse Measurement, and Categorical Criteria for<br/>Weight Changes for Adults

Parameter	Low	High
Systolic BP (mm Hg) <sup>a</sup>	$\leq$ 90 and decrease from baseline $\geq$ 20	$\geq$ 140 and increase from baseline $\geq$ 20
(supine or sitting – forearm at heart		
level)		
Diastolic BP (mm Hg) a	$\leq$ 50 and decrease from baseline $\geq$ 10	$\geq$ 90 and increase from baseline $\geq$ 10
(supine or sitting – forearm at heart		
level)		
Pulse (bpm) <sup>a</sup>	$<50$ and decrease from baseline $\geq 15$	>100 and increase from baseline $\geq 15$
(supine or sitting)		
Weight (kg)	(Loss) decrease from baseline $\geq$ 7%	(Gain) increase from baseline $\geq 7\%$

Abbreviations: BP = blood pressure; bpm = beats per minute; kg = kilogram; mm Hg = millimeters of mercury.

<sup>a</sup> Baseline abnormal values are defined by the value presented.

### 6.12.6. Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self Report 16 items (QIDS-SR16)

The QIDS-SR16 is a self-administered 16-item instrument intended to assess the existence and severity of symptoms of depression as listed in the American Psychiatric Association's (APA's) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV)* (APA 1994). The QIDS-SR16 scale is used to assess the potential impact of treatment on new onset or changes in depression, thoughts of death, and/or suicidal ideation severity. A patient is asked to consider each statement as it relates to the way they have felt for the past 7 days. There is a 4-point scale for each item ranging from 0 to 3. The 16 items corresponding to 9 depression domains are summed to give a single score ranging from 0 to 27, with higher scores denoting greater symptom severity. Additional information and the QIDS-SR16 questions may be found at the University of Pittsburgh IDS/QIDS [WWW] resource page (http://www.ids-qids.org).

The 9 domains assessed by the instrument are defined as:

- 1) **Sleep disturbance** (initial, middle, and late insomnia or hypersomnia): the highest score recorded for the 4 sleep items: #1 (falling asleep), #2 (sleep during the night), #3 (waking up too early) and #4 (sleeping too much). Domain is missing if all items are missing.
- 2) Sad mood: Item #5 (feeling sad). Domain is missing if the item is missing.
- 3) **Decrease/increase in appetite/weight:** the highest score recorded for the appetite/weight items: #6 (decreased appetite), #7 (increased appetite), #8 (decreased weight within the last 2 weeks), and #9 (increased weight within the last 2 weeks). Domain is missing if all items are missing or not applicable.
- 4) **Concentration:** Item #10 (concentration/decision making). Domain is missing if the item is missing.
- 5) **Self-criticism:** Item #11 (view of myself). Domain is missing if the item is missing.

- 6) **Suicidal ideation:** Item #12 (thoughts of death or suicide). Domain is missing if the item is missing.
- 7) Interest: Item #13 (general interest). Domain is missing if the item is missing.
- 8) **Energy/fatigue:** Item #14 (energy level). Domain is missing if the item is missing.
- 9) Psychomotor agitation/retardation: The highest score recorded for the 2 psychomotor items: #15 (feeling slowed down) and #16 (feeling restless). Domain is missing if all items are missing.

The QIDS-SR16 total score is the sum of the above domain scores. The total score will be missing if any domain score is missing.

The QIDS-SR16 total scores are categorized as follows:

- None (no depression): 0-5
- Mild: 6 10
- Moderate: 11 15
- Severe: 16 20
- Very severe: 21 27

The following summaries will be produced for QIDS-SR16 total score category by treatment groups for Safety Population during Periods 2 and 3:

- The number and percentage of patients falling into each QIDS-SR16 total score category at each scheduled visit.
- Shift from maximum baseline to each postbaseline visit in QIDS-SR16 total score category.
- The number and percentage of patients falling into the following categories based upon the maximum postbaseline QIDS-SR16 total score:
  - Improved; maximum postbaseline category < maximum baseline category
  - Worsened; maximum postbaseline category > maximum baseline category
  - Same; maximum postbaseline category = maximum baseline category

In addition, the number and percentage of patients falling into the following groups based upon the maximum postbaseline QIDS-SR16 item 12 (Thoughts of Death or Suicide) score will be summarized by treatment groups for the Safety Population during the Period 2 and Extended Treatment Period Population for Period 3:

- Improved: maximum postbaseline QIDS-SR16 item 12 score < maximum baseline item 12 score
- Worsened; maximum postbaseline QIDS-SR16 item 12 score > maximum baseline item 12 score

• Same; maximum postbaseline QIDS-SR16 item 12 score = maximum baseline item 12 score

# 6.12.7. Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS)

The C-SSRS is an assessment tool that evaluates suicidal ideation and behavior. Information on the C-SSRS scale can be found through the following link: http://www.cssrs.columbia.edu (Columbia University Medical Center (WWW).

Specifically, the following outcomes are C-SSRS categories and have binary responses (yes/no). The categories have been re-ordered from the actual scale to facilitate the definitions of the composite and comparative endpoints, and to enable clarity in the presentation of the results.

Category 1 – Wish to be Dead

- Category 2 Nonspecific Active Suicidal Thoughts
- Category 3 Active Suicidal Ideation with Any Methods (Not Plan) without Intent to Act
- Category 4 Active Suicidal Ideation with Some Intent to Act, without Specific Plan
- Category 5 Active Suicidal Ideation with Specific Plan and Intent
- Category 6 Preparatory Acts or Behavior
- Category 7 Aborted Attempt
- Category 8 Interrupted Attempt
- Category 9 Actual Attempt (nonfatal)

Category 10 – Completed Suicide

Self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent is also a C-SSRS outcome (although not suicide-related) and has a binary response (yes/no).

Composite endpoints based on the above categories are defined below.

- Suicidal ideation: A "yes" answer at any time during treatment to any one of the 5 suicidal ideation questions (Categories 1-5) on the C-SSRS
- Suicidal behavior: A "yes" answer at any time during treatment to any one of the 5 suicidal behavior questions (Categories 6-10) on the C-SSRS
- Suicidal ideation or behavior: A "yes" answer at any time during treatment to any one of the 10 suicidal ideation and behavior questions (Categories 1-10) on the C-SSRS

Given that few or no suicidal ideation or behaviors are anticipated, C-SSRS will be listed by patient and visit. Only patients who show suicidal ideation/behavior or self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent will be displayed (that is, if a patient's answers are all 'no' for the C-SSRS, then that patient will not be displayed). However, if a patient reported any suicidal ideation/behavior or self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent at any time point then all their ideation and behavior will be displayed, even if not positive. Note, missing data should not been imputed.

The Self-Harm Supplement Form is a 1-question form that is completed, at any visit, including baseline visit, that asks for the number of suicidal behavior, possible suicide behaviors, or nonsuicidal self-injurious behaviors the patient has experienced since the last assessment. For

each unique event identified, a questionnaire (Self-Harm Follow-up Form) which collects supplemental information on the self-injurious behavior is to be completed. The Self-Harm data will be listed by patient and visit if number of events on Self-Harm Supplement Form is not zero in the CRF '*Self Harm Questionnaire Supplement*.'

#### 6.12.8. Immunogenicity

#### 6.12.8.1. Definitions and Terms

The following sample- and patient-related definitions and parameters will be used to describe the immunogenicity data.

#### 6.12.8.1.1. Sample Category Definitions

Samples are classified into the following categories:

- Unevaluable sample: Sample could not be tested for antidrug antibody (ADA) due to sample loss, mishandling, or errors in collection, processing, storage, and so on.
- Antidrug antibody (ADA) Positive sample: The presences of ADA is detected and confirmed. The samples are reported as positive. If the sample is positive, a titer value is reported.
- Neutralizing antidrug antibody (NAb) Positive sample: NAb are reported as detected.
- Antidrug antibody (ADA) Negative sample: The presence of ADA is not detected and the assay drug tolerance level is not exceeded.
- **NAb Negative sample:** The presence of NAb is not detected and the assay drug tolerance level is not exceeded.
- **Inconclusive sample:** when ADA/NAb is not detected in a sample but drug is present in the same sample at a level that can cause interference in the ADA/NAb detection method, then the negative ADA/NAb result cannot be confirmed and the sample should be considered inconclusive.
  - Confirmation of a negative ADA or NAb result was based on ixekizumab concentrations.

Figure RHBW.6.4 illustrates the relationship of some of the above terms.



Abbreviation: ADA = antidrug antibody.

#### Figure RHBW.6.4. Sample definitions.

#### 6.12.8.1.2. Patient Category Definitions

The following categories are applied to patients based on the classification of their samples:

- Unevaluable patient: a) a patient with no evaluable baseline sample and/or no evaluable postbaseline samples; b) a patient with an evaluable baseline sample but no evaluable postbaseline sample; c) a patient with no evaluable baseline sample, but whose evaluable postbaseline values are all ADA positive or a mix of positive and negative. (Note: If all postbaseline samples are negative, the patient is considered 'evaluable' and will be classified as ADA-negative.)
- Evaluable patient: a) Patient with an evaluable baseline sample and at least 1 evaluable postbaseline sample (that is, sample after administration of study drug); b) patient with no evaluable baseline sample whose evaluable postbaseline samples are all ADA negative

Figure RHBW.6.5 illustrates the relationship of the above terms.



Abbreviation: ADA = anti-drug antibody.

# Figure RHBW.6.5. Patient categories (evaluable/unevaluable) based on sample status at baseline and postbaseline.

#### 6.12.8.1.3. Definitions for Clinical Interpretation of Assay Results

• **Baseline:** For immunogenicity analyses during Period 2, baseline is the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of study treatment (Week 0). Unless otherwise specified, the baseline for subsequent treatment periods is defined as the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of first injection of ixekizumab. For patients originally randomized to ixekizumab during Period 2, baseline is the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of study treatment for Period 2 (Week 0). For patients who are not originally randomized to ixekizumab in Period 2, baseline is the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of study treatment for period 2, baseline is the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of study treatment for Period 2 (Week 0). For patients who are not originally randomized to ixekizumab in Period 2, baseline is the last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of iterval. See Table RHBW.6.10 for further details.

# Table RHBW.6.10.Baseline Definition for Immunogenicity Analyses for Extended<br/>Treatment Period

Treatment Assignment for	Treatment Assignment for	
<b>Blinded Treatment Dosing Period</b>	<b>Extended Treatment Period</b>	<b>Baseline for Extended Period</b>
(Period 2)	(Period 3)	Analysis <sup>a</sup>
Ixekizumab	Ixekizumab	Week 0
Placebo	Ixekizumab	Week 16

<sup>a</sup> Last nonmissing observation on, or before, the date of the first injection of study treatment at the defined week.

- **Baseline ADA positive (pre-existing antibody):** ADA detected in a sample collected at baseline
- **Treatment-emergent antidrug antibody (TE-ADA) positive:** a) a patient with a ≥4-fold increase over a positive baseline antibody titer (Tier 3); or b) for a negative baseline titer, a patient with an increase from the baseline to a level of ≥1:10
- **Baseline ADA-negative:** ADA is not detected in a sample collected at baseline.
- **TE-ADA inconclusive patient:** A patient without a TE-ADA positive sample and with at least 1 sample for which drug levels may interfere with the ADA assay
- **TE-ADA negative patient:** A patient who is evaluable for TE-ADA and is not either TE-ADA positive or TE-ADA inconclusive



Figure RHBW.6.6 illustrates the relationship of some of these terms.

Abbreviation: ADA = anti-drug antibody.

# Figure RHBW.6.6. Relationship of terms for clinical interpretation of assay results for evaluable patients.

- Incidence of TE-ADA: Patients with TE-ADA as a proportion of the evaluable patient population during the treatment period. This excludes unevaluable patients.
- Follow-up emergent ADA: ADA is first detected during the follow-up period, after study drug administration is discontinued. This category includes patients negative at baseline who increased to ≥1:10 titer after baseline in the follow-up period or patients ADA positive at baseline and increased at least 4-fold (2 dilutions) over baseline for the first time in the follow-up period.

All ADA positive samples will be evaluated for NAb. Definitions for NAb patient status will be defined as follows:

- NAb-positive patient: A patient where a NAb positive result is detected for ≥1 TE-ADA positive samples
- **NAb-inconclusive patient:** A patient without a NAb positive sample and with at least 1 sample for which drug levels may interfere with the NAb assay
- **NAb-negative patient:** A patient who is evaluable for NAb and is not either NAb positive sample or NAb inconclusive

A flow chart that reflects the connection between the analytical test results and the clinical interpretation based on the definitions is shown in Figure RHBW.6.7.



Abbreviation: ADA = antidrug antibody; NAb = Neutralizing antidrug antibody; PK = pharmacokinetics.

# Figure RHBW.6.7. Flow chart of ADA assessment with clinical interpretation of the various result possibilities.

#### 6.12.8.2. Immunogenicity Analyses

Immunogenicity evaluable patients will be identified as TE-ADA positive, TE-ADA negative, or TE-ADA inconclusive, according to the definitions provided in Section 6.12.8.1.2 and further grouped into TE-ADA status groups and time-varying TE-ADA status groups:

#### **TE-ADA Status Groups:**

- TE-ADA status (positive, negative, or inconclusive)
- NAb status (positive, negative, or inconclusive) for TE-ADA positive patients; and
- TE-ADA titer groups for TE-ADA positive patients:
  - Low Titer: TE-ADA titer value (LOCF) <1:160
  - Moderate Titer: TE-ADA titer value (LOCF)  $\geq$ 1:160 and <1:1,280
  - High Titer: TE-ADA titer value (LOCF) ≥1:1,280

#### **<u>Time-Varying TE-ADA Status Groups:</u>**

Individual ADA samples will be ascribed into 3 different dichotomous variables as explained in Table RHBW.6.11. Each variable has possible values of a "greater-TE-ADA status" or a "lesser-TE-ADA status," in the sense that the level of TE-ADA detected in the greater-TE-ADA category is higher than in the lesser-TE-ADA category.

 Table RHBW.6.11.
 TE-ADA Status Dichotomous Variables for AE Analysis

<b>TE-ADA Status Dichotomous</b>		
Variable	Greater-TE-ADA Status	Lesser-TE-ADA Status
TE-ADA positive	TE-ADA positive	not TE-ADA positive
TE-ADA moderate-to-high	TE-ADA positive with moderate	not TE-ADA positive, or TE-ADA positive
	titer or high titer	with low titer
TE-ADA high status	TE-ADA positive with high titer	not TE-ADA positive, or TE-ADA positive
		with low or moderate titer

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; TE-ADA = treatment-emergent antidrug antibody.

Note: For purpose of this analysis, TE-ADA Inconclusive is taken to be "not TE-ADA positive."

Note: A TE-ADA low is defined as a TE-ADA positive with a titer value <1:160; a TE-ADA moderate is defined as a TE-ADA positive with a titer value  $\geq$ 1:160 and <1:1,280; and a TE-ADA high is defined as a TE-ADA positive with a titer value  $\geq$ 1:1,280.

For each TE-ADA status dichotomous variable, a time-varying TE-ADA status will be computed. At time *t* the TE-ADA status is taken to be the highest of the TE-ADA values bracketing time *t*. More formally, the TE-ADA status at time *t* is given by the greater of (a) the TE-ADA status at the most-recent postbaseline measurement before *t*, and (b) the TE-ADA status at the first TE-ADA postbaseline measurement at or after time *t*. In this computation, "greater" is given by the greater-TE-ADA status of Table RHBW.6.11. If there is no value satisfying criterion (a), then the value (b) is used. Similarly, if there is no value (b), then the value (a) is used.

For each TE-ADA status dichotomous variable, patients will be categorized according to whether they were (i) always in lesser-TE-ADA status postbaseline or (ii) at some point postbaseline, were in greater-TE-ADA status.

#### 6.12.8.2.1. Analyses of Characteristics of ADA Immune Response

The analyses of ADA effects will be conducted on all evaluable patients within the defined safety population for the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2), and Combined Blinded Treatment Dosing and Extended Treatment Periods (Combined Periods 2 and 3).

Baseline definition for immunogenicity analyses for the Combined Treatment Period is the same as Table RHBW.6.10.

The overall frequency and percentage (incidence) of patients will be summarized for the TE-ADA status groups and the time-varying TE-ADA status groups. Scheduled visits, unscheduled visits, and repeat measurements will be included.

In addition, the overall frequency and percentage (incidence) of patients will be summarized for the patients who are baseline ADA positive by TE-ADA status groups. For those patients who are TE-ADA positive, a summary of titer values and the proportion of patients who are NAb positive will also be provided.

The time to the development of TE-ADAs (TE-ADA positive, low titer, moderate titer, high titer, and NAb positive) will be calculated as follows:

*Time to development of TE-ADAs/NAb (in weeks)* = (*Date of development of TE-ADAs/NAb* – *Date of first injection of study treatment* + 1) / 7

If a patient has not developed TE-ADAs/NAbs, they will be censored at the date of the last immunogenicity assessment.

Descriptive statistics, including 25th percentile, 50th percentile (median), 75th percentile, and corresponding 95% CIs as well as proportion of TE-ADA/NAb positive by endpoint summarized by treatment group, will also be provided if sufficient data is present. A Kaplan-Meier plot of the time to development of treatment-emergent ADA/NAb will be presented by treatment group, also if sufficient data is present.

For each TE-ADA status dichotomous variable (as defined in Table RHBW.6.11), summaries will be provided of the total postbaseline time in the greater-TE-ADA status for patients who were at some point postbaseline in the greater-TE-ADA status group. Postbaseline time in greater-TE-ADA status for each patient will be aggregated.

A by-patient listing to include treatment, visit date, visit, ADA result, TE-ADA result, NAb result, ADA titer value, ixekizumab concentration, ADA and NAb inconclusive results will also be provided for the individual studies, for patients with any 1 sample of ADA (or NAb) positive or inconclusive.

#### 6.12.8.2.2. Analyses of ADA Effects on Efficacy

Efficacy analyses for the Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2) and Extended Treatment Period (Period 3) will be conducted on all evaluable patients within the ITT Population and Extended Treatment Period Population.

The ASAS40, ASAS20, and ASDAS <2.1 at Week 16 with NRI will be summarized by the TE-ADA status groups as described in Section 6.12.8.2.1.

A logistic regression model with treatment group, TE-ADA status group (excluding patients in the TE-ADA inconclusive category for TE-ADA, excluding TE-ADA positive and co-occurring NAb inconclusive subgroups for NAb) and the interaction of treatment group-by-TE-ADA status group included as factors will be used to test the interaction of treatment group-by-TE-ADA status. The p-value associated with the interaction term will be used to assess if the treatment groups effect is consistent across the TE-ADA status group. When the interaction term is statistically significant, the association between responder status and treatment groups depends, in some manner, on the TE-ADA status group. The interaction will be tested at the 10% significance level. Treatment differences will be evaluated within each subgroup using Fisher's exact test regardless of whether the interaction is statistically significant.

Response rates for ASAS40, ASAS20, and ASDAS <2.1 at Week 16 will be provided and compared among the TE-ADA status (and TE-ADA tiers) and NAb status groups for the ITT Population who were treated with ixekizumab.

Descriptive statistics for ASAS40 and ASAS20 at Week 52 based on the TE-ADA status (and TE-ADA tiers) and NAb status group will be provided for the Extended Treatment Period Population. No inferential statistics will performed.

#### 6.12.8.2.3. Analyses of Treatment-Emergent ADA Effects on Specific Adverse Events

The analyses of TE-ADA effects on safety will be conducted on all evaluable patients within the defined Safety Population for Blinded Treatment Dosing Period (Period 2) and Combined Blinded Treatment Dosing and Extended Treatment Periods (Combined Periods 2 and 3).

Baseline definition for immunogenicity analyses for the Combined Treatment Period is the same as Table RHBW.6.10.

Adverse events of special interest (AESI) of allergic reaction/hypersensitivity (anaphylaxis and nonanaphylaxis) and of injection-site reactions will be included in an assessment of AE to TE-ADA over time. Timing of an AE will be taken to be the reported AE start date.

For each TE-ADA status dichotomous variable (as defined in Table RHBW.6.11), patients will be categorized according to whether they were (i) always in lesser-TE-ADA status postbaseline or (ii) at some point postbaseline, were in greater-TE-ADA status. For each AESI, within the time-varying TE-ADA status groups, a summary will be provided of the number of patients who had no event, events only while in lesser-TE-ADA status for group (i), or for group (ii) – at least 1 event while in greater-TE-ADA status.

Additionally, summaries will be provided of the total number of AESI events (with unique start dates) by time-varying TE-ADA status groups at the event date. The summaries will aggregate time respectively in greater-TE-ADA status and in lesser-TE-ADA status, along with the event rates (rates per 100 patient-years) relative to those aggregate times.

By-patient listings will be provided of patients with TE-ADA who experience a treatmentemergent allergic reaction/hypersensitivity reaction or an injection-site reaction.

#### 6.13. Subgroup Analyses

### 6.13.1. Efficacy Subgroup Analyses

Subgroup analysis will be conducted for the primary endpoints of proportion of patients achieving an ASAS40 response at Week 16 (NRI) using the ITT Population for Period 2. The major secondary efficacy endpoints, the proportion of patients achieving ASAS20 (NRI) at Week 16, will also be conducted.

For categorical response variables (ASAS20 and ASAS40), a logistic regression analysis with treatment, subgroup, and of treatment-by-subgroup interaction included as factors will be used. The treatment-by-subgroup interaction will be tested at the 10% significance level. Treatment group differences will be evaluated within each subgroup using the Fisher's exact test, regardless of whether the interaction is statistically significant. If any group within the subgroup (for example, yes, no) is <10% of the total population, only descriptive statistics will be provided for that subgroup (that is, no inferential testing).

Forest plots may be created to illustrate the treatment differences with 95% CIs between each of the ixekizumab treatment groups and placebo group, by each subgroup category.

The following subgroups will be analyzed:

- Patient Demographics Subgroups:
  - o Sex
  - Age category: <40 years,  $\geq40$  years
  - Age category: <50 years,  $\geq 50$  years
  - Age category: <65 years,  $\geq 65$  years
  - Weight:  $<70 \text{ kg}, \ge 70 \text{ kg}$
  - BMI: underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); normal ( $\geq$ 18.5 and <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); overweight ( $\geq$ 25 and <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); obese ( $\geq$ 30 and <40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); or extreme obese ( $\geq$ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - o Ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino
  - Race: American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Black/African American, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, White, or Multiple
- Geographic Region Subgroups:
  - Geographic region: US (including Puerto Rico sites) or ROW
  - Geographic region: Europe or Non Europe
  - Geographic region: North America (US including Puerto Rico, Canada), ROW
  - Geographic region: America, Asia, Europe, and ROW
- Baseline Severity of Disease Subgroups:
  - Baseline (CRP categories):
    - $\leq 3.00 \text{ mg/L or} > 3.00 \text{ mg/L}$
    - $\leq 5.00 \text{ mg/L or} > 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$
    - $\leq 10.00 \text{ mg/L or} > 10.00 \text{ mg/L}$

- $\leq 15.00 \text{ mg/L or} > 15.00 \text{ mg/L}$
- Baseline MRI status:
  - ASSpiMRI-Berlin score >0 or = 0
  - SPARCC Spine score  $\geq 2$  or < 2
- Number of prior TNFi used: 1 or 2
- Baseline BASDAI:
  - $4 \leq BASDAI < 6$  or  $BASDAI \geq 6$
- Baseline ASDAS:
  - ASDAS  $\leq$  3.5 or ASDAS > 3.5
- Other Patient Characteristics Subgroups:
  - Duration of symptom since axSpA onset category: <10 years or ≥10 years
  - o Duration of symptom since axSpA onset category: <5 years or  $\ge 5$  years
  - Duration of symptom since axSpA of onset category: <3 years or ≥3 years
  - HLA-B27 status: positive or negative
  - Smoking status: current or former/never
  - Concomitant cDMARDs (methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine) at baseline: yes or no
  - o History of arthritis: yes or no
  - History of uveitis: yes or no
  - History of dactylitis: yes or no
  - History of psoriasis: yes or no
  - History of enthesitis: yes or no
  - History of inflammatory bowel disease: yes or no
  - History of extra-axial involvement: yes or no

Additional subgroup analyses on efficacy may be performed as deemed appropriate and necessary.

### 6.13.2. Safety Subgroup Analyses

Safety subgroup analysis for common TEAEs and AESI of allergy reaction/hypersensitivity and infections will be summarized by treatment group and overall, using the safety population for Period 2. The common TEAEs will be presented by MedDRA PT within SOC. The AESI of allergy reaction/hypersensitivity will be presented by anaphylaxis and nonanaphylaxis events, by PT within category. The AESI of infection will be presented by PT.

A logistic regression model with treatment, subgroup, and the interaction of subgroup-bytreatment included as factors will be used. The subgroup-by-treatment interaction will be tested at the significance level of 0.10. The response variable will be each AE. Treatment groups' differences will be evaluated within each category of the subgroup using Fisher's exact test, regardless of whether the interaction is statistically significant. If any group within the subgroup is <10% of the total population, only the descriptive statistics will be provided for that subgroup (that is, no inferential testing). The subgroups include baseline demographics and geographic region, which are defined as in Section 6.13.1.

Additional subgroup analyses on safety may be performed as deemed appropriate and necessary.



### 6.14. Protocol Deviations

Protocol deviations will be identified throughout the study. Important protocol deviations are defined as those violations from the protocol likely to have a significant impact on the completeness, accuracy, and/or reliability of the study data or that may significantly affect a subject's rights, safety, or well-being.

Table RHBW.6.12 includes the categories and subcategories of important protocol deviations, whether or not these deviations will result in the exclusion of patients from PPS and the source of identification for the deviations for the CSR.

The number and percentage of patients having important protocol deviation(s) will be summarized within category and subcategory of deviations by treatment group for:

- Period 2 (ITT Population)
- Period 3 (Extended Treatment Period Population)

A by-patient listing of important protocol deviations will be provided.

		Source to Identify
Important Protocol Deviation		Protocol
Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Deviation <sup>a</sup>
Category: Eligibility		
Sub-Category: Inclusion/Exclusion		
[1] No established diagnosis of rad-axSpA at screening	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[2] History of back pain $<3$ months or age at onset $\geq$ 45 years	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[3] No active rad-axSpA defined as BASDAI $\geq$ 4 and total back pain $\geq$ 4 on an NRS at screening and baseline	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[4] No prior TNF inhibitor OR more than 2 TNF inhibitors OR the patients have not discontinued at least 1 TNF inhibitor due to either intolerance or an inadequate response	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[5] Not have had an inadequate response to 2 or more NSAIDs at therapeutic dose range for a total duration of at least 4 weeks AND not have history of intolerance to NSAID	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[6] Not have a history of prior therapy for axSpA of at least 12 weeks before screening	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[7] Not have stable dose for NSAID or COX-2 for at least 2 weeks before baseline randomization, if taking NSAID or Cox-2 inhibitors	<ol> <li>Only Exclude from PPS if</li> <li>Stopped or decreased dose for a current one &lt;3 days before randomization;</li> <li>Start or increased dose for a current one or started a new one &lt;2 weeks before randomization.</li> </ol>	Monitor and Stats
[8] Not ≥18 years of age at time of screening	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[9] Female patient of childbearing potential with positive pregnancy test; or did not use a reliable method of birth control, if applicable	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[11] Have total ankylosis of the spine at screening	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[12] Have a history of other systemic inflammatory diseases or other chronic pain conditions that might confound the evaluations of benefit from ixekizumab therapy	Exclude from PPS	Monitor

 Table RHBW.6.12.
 Identification and Action of Important Protocol Deviations

Important Protocol Deviation Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Source to Identify Protocol Deviation <sup>a</sup>
[13] Have active Crohn's disease (CD) or active ulcerative colitis (UC) at screening	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and
		Stats
[14] Have evidence of active anterior uveitis (an acute episode) within the last 4 weeks before baseline randomization	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[15] Have current or a history of lymphoproliferative disease, or signs or symptoms of lymphoproliferative disease; or have active or history of malignant disease within 5 years before V2	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[16] Have had fluid overload, MI or new onset ischemic heart disease, uncompensated heart failure, or other serious cardiac disease within 12 weeks before V2	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[17] Presence of significant, uncontrolled cerebro-cardiovascular events at screening	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[18] Presence of respiratory, hepatic, renal, gastrointestinal, endocrine, hematologic disorder at screening that pose unacceptable risk to patient or interfering with interpretation of data	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[19] Presence of neurologic or neuropsychiatric disorders at screening that pose unacceptable risk to patient or interfering with interpretation of data	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[20] Presence of significant uncontrolled neuropsychiatric disorder, recent history (30 days before V1 and anytime between V1 and V2) of a suicide attempt, have a score of 3 on Item 12 (Thoughts of Death or Suicide) of the QIDS-SR16 at V1 or V2, or at risk for suicide	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[22] In the past 12 weeks before V2, had a serious infection, hospitalization or IV antibiotics for an infection; in the past 24 weeks before V2, had a serious bone or joint infection, ever had an infection of an artificial joint, or infection that occurs with increased incidence in an immunocompromised host	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[23] Have a known immunodeficiency or are immunocompromised to an extent such that participation in the study would pose an unacceptable risk to the patient	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[24] Have or had a herpes zoster or any other clinically apparent varicella-zoster virus infection within 12 weeks of V2	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[25] Have any other active or recent infection within 4 weeks of V2 that would pose an unacceptable risk to the patient	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[26] Have a known allergy or hypersensitivity to any biologic therapy that would pose an unacceptable risk to the patient if participating in this study	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[27] Have had surgical treatment of a joint to be assessed in the study within 8 weeks before	Exclude from PPS depending on the clinical	Monitor

Important Protocol Deviation Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Source to Identify Protocol Deviation <sup>a</sup>
baseline randomization or will require such during the first 16 weeks of the trial	significance	
[28] Had any major surgery within 8 weeks before V2, or will require such during the study that would pose an unacceptable risk to the patient	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[29] Have received cDMARDs, and/or other therapies such as but not limited to: gold salts, cyclosporine, azathioprine, dapsone, 6-mercaptopurine, mycophenolate mofetil, or any other immunosuppressive agents within 4 weeks before baseline randomization.	<ul> <li>Exclude from PPS</li> <li>1. Stopped or decreased dose for a current one ≤3 days before randomization; or</li> <li>2. Start new treatment or increased dose for current one or started a new one &lt;4 weeks before randomization.</li> </ul>	Monitor and Stats
[30] Current use of oral corticosteroids >10 mg/day prednisone or its equivalent	<ol> <li>Exclude from PPS</li> <li>If dose &gt;10mg/day any time within 4 weeks; or</li> <li>Stopped or decreased dose for a current one &lt;10 days before randomization; or</li> <li>Start new treatment or increased dose for current one or started a new one &lt;4 weeks before randomization;</li> </ol>	Monitor and Stats
[31] Have received any prior, or are currently receiving, treatment with biologic or other immunomodulatory agents, including investigational therapies (such as but not limited to Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, IL-1, IL-6, IL-23, IL-17 (including ixekizumab), IL-17R, T cell, or B cell targeted therapies)	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[32] Are currently enrolled in, have participated, or discontinued from a clinical trial involving an investigational product (IP) or nonapproved use of a drug or device within the last 30 days before screening or a period of at least 5 half-lives of the last administration of the drug, whichever is longer; for Brazil patients, patients completed a clinical trial involving an IP or nonapproved use of drug or device unless there is direct benefit to patient	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[33] Are currently (for all sites except Brazil sites) or concurrently (for Brazil sites, unless	Exclude from PPS	Monitor

Important Protocol Deviation Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Source to Identify Protocol Deviation <sup>a</sup>
there is direct benefit to patient) enrolled in any other clinical trial involving an investigational product or any other type of medical research judged not to be scientifically or medically compatible with this study		
[34] Are currently receiving or have received treatment with denosumab within 6 months before baseline randomization	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[35] Have received any parenteral glucocorticoid administered by intra-articular, intramuscular, or IV injection within 6 weeks before baseline randomization, or a parenteral injection of glucocorticosteroids is anticipated during the Period 2	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[36] Use of any opiate analgesic at average daily doses >30 mg/day of morphine or its equivalent or use of variable doses of any opiate analgesic within 6 weeks before baseline randomization.	<ol> <li>Exclude from PPS</li> <li>If doses &gt;30 mg/day any time within 6 weeks before randomization; or</li> <li>Stopped or decreased dose for a current one &lt;7 days before randomization;</li> <li>Start new treatment or increased dose for current one or started a new one &lt;6 weeks before randomization.</li> </ol>	Monitor and Stats
[37] Had a live vaccination or participated in a vaccine clinical study within 12 weeks before V2, or intend to have a live vaccination during the study or within 12 weeks of completing study treatment	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[38] Had a vaccination with BCG within 12 months before V2, or intend to have this vaccination with BCG during the study or within 12 months of completing study treatment	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[39] Have a body temperature ≥38°C (100.5°F) at V2	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[40] Have evidence or suspicion of active or latent TB	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[41] Are positive for human immunodeficiency virus serology (HIV)	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[42] Have evidence of or test positive for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and are HBV DNA	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and

		Source to Identify
Important Protocol Deviation		Protocol
Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Deviation"
positive		Stats
[43] Have evidence of or test positive for hepatitis C virus (HCV)	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[44] Have ECG abnormalities that are considered clinically significant and would pose an	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
unacceptable risk to the patient if participating in the study		
[45] At V1, have a neutrophil count <1.50 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[46] At V1, have a lymphocyte count <0.80 GI/L;	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[47] At V1, have a platelet count <100 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[48] At V1, have aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) >2.5	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and
times the upper limit of normal (ULN)		Stats
[49] At V1, have a total white blood cell (WBC) count <3.00 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[50] At V1, have hemoglobin <8.5 g/dL for male patients and <8.0 g/dL for female patients	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[51] Have other clinical laboratory test results at V1 that are outside the normal reference range for the population and are considered clinically significant	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[52] Have donated >450 mL of blood within the last 4 weeks before V1, or intend to donate blood during the course of the study	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[53] Are women who are lactating or breastfeeding	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[54] Are investigator-site personnel directly affiliated with this study and/or their immediate families	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[55] Are Lilly employees or its designee or are employees of third-party organizations (TPOs) involved in the study	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[56] Are unwilling or unable to comply with the use of a data collection device to directly record data from the patient,	Exclude from PPS when occurred in Period 2	Monitor
[57] Have any other condition that precludes the patient from following and completing the protocol	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
[58] Patients having contraindications to MRI (This is only for MRI addendum countries)	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor

Important Protocol Deviation		Source to Identify Protocol
Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Deviation <sup>*</sup>
Sub-Category: Other		
Rescreened patients were enrolled but did not meet rescreening criteria per protocol.	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Category: Study Procedures		
Sub-Category: Violation of Discontinuation Criteria		
[D1] Lilly medical not consulted when patient met hepatic lab criteria for consideration of discontinuation	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[D2-N] Neutrophil counts <0.50 GI/L, or $\ge$ 0.50 GI/L and <1.00 GI/L based on 2 test results within 1 week of knowing 1 <sup>st</sup> result, or $\ge$ 1.00 GI/L and <1.50 GI/L based on 3 test results and a concurrent infection	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[D2-W] Total WBC count <2.00 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[D2-L] Lymphocyte count <0.50 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[D2-P] Platelet count <50 GI/L	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
[D3] Patient experiences a severe AE, an SAE, or a clinically significant change in a laboratory value that merits the discontinuation of the investigational product and appropriate measures being taken	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[D4] Clinically significant systemic hypersensitivity reaction does not respond to treatment	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[D5] Patient became pregnant	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[D6] Patient developed a malignancy (Patients may be allowed to continue if they develop no more than 2 nonmelanoma skin cancers during the study)	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
[D7] Enrolled in prohibited medical research	Exclude from PPS when occurred in Period 2	Monitor
[D11] Lille stern date action anticipation		Manitan

		Source to Identify
Important Protocol Deviation		Protocol
Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	Deviation <sup>a</sup>
sites	Y Y	
Category: Study Procedures		
Sub-Category: Excluded Con-meds	Exclude from PPS (Excluded Con-meds refers to clinically meaningful change in con- meds [Appendix 13] when occurred during Period 2 and prescribed for primary study condition) Excluded Con-meds in Period 3 refer to the lists in Appendix 13.	Monitor and Stats
Sub-Category: Lab/Imaging Criteria		
Missing imaging as per protocol schedule of events	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Missing lab chemistry and hematology: missing baseline or not having at least 1 postbaseline	Do not exclude from PPS	Stats
Sub-Category: Other		
Missing QIDS total score: missing baseline or any scheduled visit before discontinuation visit	Do not exclude from PPS	Stats
Missing Columbia scale at any visit except V1	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor and Stats
Missing ASAS components for ASAS40 derivation: not having Week 16 measurement for patients who have completed Week 16	Do not exclude from PPS. Note: if missing ASAS components lead to missing ASAS40, such patient will be treated as nonresponder for the Week 16 ITT analyses	Stats
Had unqualified site personnel perform clinical safety and/or efficacy assessments	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Category: Investigational Product		
Sub-Category: Treatment Assignment/Randomization Error		
Took incorrect study medication	Do not exclude from PPS.	Monitor and
	Analyze 'As randomized' or 'As assigned'.	Stats
Sub-Category: Compliance	Exclude from PPS when occurred during Period 2	Stats

		Source to Identify
Important Protocol Deviation		Protocol
Category/Subcategory/Study Specific	Action for PPS Analysis	<b>Deviation</b> <sup>a</sup>
Sub-Category: Patient took medication not fit for use	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Sub-Category: Unblinding	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
Sub-Category: Other		
Randomized but did not take any study medication	Exclude from PPS	Stats
Category: Safety		
Sub-Category: SAEs	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Category: Informed Consent		
Sub-Category: Informed Consent not Obtained/Missing/Late	Exclude from PPS	Monitor and
		Stats
Subcategory: Improper Informed Consent	Do not exclude from PPS	Monitor
Category: Administrative/Oversight		
Sub-Category: Reg/Ethic Approvals	Exclude from PPS	Monitor
Sub-Category: Other		
Enrolled in a site with significant GCP non-compliance issue	Exclude from PPS	Monitor

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; axSpA = axial spondyloarthritis; ASAS = Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; BASDAI = Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BCG = Bacille de Calmette et Guérin; BSA = body surface area; cDMARD = conventional diseasemodifying antirheumatic drug; ECG = electrocardiogram; eCRF = electronic case report form; GCP = good clinical practice; IL = interleukin; ITT = intent-totreat; IV = intravenous; IWRS = interactive web-response system; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; MI = myocardial infarction; NRS = numerical rating scale; PCP = pneumocystis pneumonia; PPS = per protocol set; QIDS-SR16 = Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology–Self-Report 16 items; rad = radiographic; TB = tuberculosis; V = visit; WBC = white blood cell.

<sup>a</sup> The term "Monitor" indicates the protocol deviation will be identified by site monitors and entered into monitor's list using a spreadsheet. The spreadsheet will be exported as Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) DV domain.

The term "Stats" indicates the protocol deviation will be programmed based on data in clinical database by statistical programmers with the statistical programming guidance provided. The detailed programming specification will be documented in Analysis Data Model (ADaM) specification. The terms "Monitor and Stats" indicates the protocol deviation will be a combination of monitor's list (SDTM.DV domain) and statistical programming from clinical database, the deviation will show if either source identifies.

#### 6.15. Interim Analyses

An interim database lock and unblinding will occur, and interim analyses will be performed at the time (that is, a cutoff date) the last patient completes Visit 8 (Blinded Dosing Treatment Period [Period 2], Week 16) or ETV. This interim database lock will include all data collected by the cutoff date including the data from the Extended Treatment Period (Period 3) and follow-up data from patients who have begun the Post-Treatment Follow-Up Period (Period 4). The analyses from the Week 16 lock will be treated as a primary analysis because all primary and major secondary study objectives will be assessed at this time.

Additional analyses and snapshots of study data may be performed during Period 3 or after completion of Period 4 to fulfill the need for regulatory interactions or publication purposes.













I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4





#### 6.17. Annual Report Analyses

Annual report analyses will be documented in a separate document.

#### 6.18. Clinical Trial Registry Analyses

Additional analyses will be performed for the purpose of fulfilling the Clinical Trial Registry (CTR) requirements.

Analyses provided for the CTR requirements include summary of AEs, provided as a dataset, which will be converted to an XML file. Both SAE and 'Other' AEs are summarized: by treatment group, by MedDRA PT.

- An AE is considered 'Serious' whether or not it is a TEAE.
- An AE is considered in the 'Other' category if it is both a TEAE and is not serious. For each SAE and 'Other' AE, for each term and treatment group, the following are provided:
  - o the number of participants at risk of an event
  - o the number of participants who experienced each event term
  - o the number of events experienced
- Consistent with www.ClinicalTrials.gov requirements, 'Other' AEs that occur in fewer than 5% of patients/subjects in every treatment group may not be included if a 5% threshold is chosen (5% is the minimum threshold).
- Adverse event reporting is consistent with other document disclosures such as the CSR.

# 7. Unblinding Plan

Refer to a separate blinding and unblinding plan.

# 8. References

- Alosh M, Bretz F, Huque M. Advanced multiplicity adjustment methods in clinical trials. *Stat Med.* 2014;33(4):693-713.
- Andersen SW, Millen BA. On the practical application of mixed effects models for repeated measures to clinical trial data. *Pharm Stat.* 2013;12(1):7-16.
- [ASAS] Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society. ASAS-Heath Index users manual. Available at http://www.asas-group.org/clinical-instruments/asas health index/asas hi english um.pdf. Accessed 25 March 2016.
- [ASAS] Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS): 2018 update of the nomenclature for disease activity states.
- Baeten D, Braun J, Baraliakos X, Sieper J, Dougados M, Emery P, Deodhar A, Porter B, Martin R, Mpofu S, Richards H. Secukinumab, a monoclonal antibody to interleukin-17A, significantly improves signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis: results of a 52-week phase 3 randomized placebo-controlled trial with intravenous loading and subcutaneous maintenance dosing [abstract]. In: ACR/ARHP Annual Meeting Abstract Supplement. 14-19 Nov 2014; Boston, MA. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2014;66(S10):S360. Abstract 819.
- Braun, J, Baraliakos X, Golder W, Brandt J, Rudwaleit M, Listing J, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging examinations of the spine in patients with ankylosing spondylitis, before and after successful therapy with infliximab: Evaluation of a new scoring system. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2003;48:1126-1136.
- Bretz F, Posch M, Glimm E, Klinglmueller F, Maurer W, Rohmeyer K. Graphical approaches for multiple comparison procedures using weighted Bonferroni, Simes, or parametric tests. *Biom* J. 2011;53(6):894-913.
- Calin A, Jones SD, Garrett SL, Kennedy LG. Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index. *Br J Rheumatol.* 1995;34(8):793-794.
- Columbia University Medical Center. Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) web site. Available at: http://www.cssrs.columbia.edu. Accessed April 21, 2011.
- Davis JC Jr, van der Heijde D, Braun J, Dougados M, Cush J, Clegg DO, Kivitz A, Fleischmann R, Inman R, Tsuji W; Enbrel Ankylosing Spondylitis Study Group. Recombinant human tumor necrosis factor receptor (etanercept) for treating ankylosing spondylitis: a randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2003;48(11):3230-3236.
- Deodhar A, Braun J, Inman RD, Mack M, Parasuraman S, Buchanan J, Hsu B, Gathany T, van der Heijde D. Golimumab reduces sleep disturbance in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2010;62(9):1266-1271.
- Diggle PJ, Heagerty P, Liang K-Y, Zeger SL. Analysis of longitudinal data. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994.
- Dougados M, Simon P, Braun J, Burgos-Vargas R, Maksymowych WP, Sieper J, van der Heijde D. ASAS recommendations for collecting, analysing and reporting NSAID intake in clinical trials/epidemiological studies in axial spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2011;70(2):249-251.

- Dougados M, Wood E, Combe B, Schaeverbeke T, Miceli-Richard C, Berenbaum F, Koppiker N, Dubanchet A, Logeart I. Evaluation of the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-sparing effect of etanercept in axial spondyloarthritis: results of the multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled SPARSE study. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2014;16(6):481.
- EuroQol Group. EQ-5D-5L user guide. Version 1.0. April 2011. Available at: http://www.euroqol.org/fileadmin/user\_upload/Documenten/PDF/Folders\_Flyers/UserGuide\_ EQ-5D-5L. Accessed September 14, 2012.
- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, Whitelock H, Gaisford P, Calin A. A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index. *J Rheumatol*. 1994;21(12):2286-2291.
- Heuft-Dorenbosch L, Spoorenberg A, van Tubergen A, Landewé R, van ver Tempel H, Mielants H, Dougados M, van der Heijde D. Assessment of enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2003;62(2):127-132.
- Inman RD, Davis JC Jr, van der Heijde D, Diekman L, Sieper J, Kim SI, Mack M, Han J, Visvanathan S, Xu Z, Hsu B, Beutler A, Braun J. Efficacy and safety of golimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008;58(11):3402-3412.
- Jenkinson TR, Mallorie PA, Whitelock HC, Kennedy LG, Garrett SL, Calin A. Defining spinal mobility in ankylosing spondylitis (AS). The Bath AS Metrology Index. *J Rheumatol*. 1994;21(9):1694-1698.
- Kiltz U, van der Heijde D, Boonen A, Cieza A, Stucki G, Khan MA, Maksymowych WP, Marzo-Ortega H, Reveille J, Stebbings S, Bostan C, Braun J. Development of a health index in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (ASAS HI): final result of a global initiative based on the ICF guided by ASAS. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2013;74(5):830-835.
- Landewé R, Braun J, Deodhar A, Dougados M, Maksymowych WP, Mease PJ, Reveille JD, Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Stach C, Hoepken B, Fichtner A, Coteur G, de Longueville M, Sieper J. Efficacy of certolizumab pegol on signs and symptoms of axial spondyloarthritis including ankylosing spondylitis: 24-week results of a double-blind randomised placebocontrolled Phase 3 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014;73(1):39-47.
- Lukas C, Braun J, van der Heijde D, Hermann KG, Rudwaleit M, Østergaard M, Oostveen A, O'Connor P, Maksymowych WP, Lambert RG, Jurik AG, Baraliakos X, Landewé R; ASAS/OMERACT MRI in AS Working Group. Scoring inflammatory activity of the spine by magnetic resonance imaging in ankylosing spondylitis: a multireader experiment. *J Rheumatol.* 2007;34(4):862-870.
- Machado P, Castrejon I, Katchamart W, Koevoets R, Kuriya B, Schoels M, Silva-Fernández L, Thevissen K, Vercoutere W, Villeneuve E, Aletaha D, Carmona L, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, Bijlsma JW, Bykerk V, Canhão H, Catrina AI, Durez P, Edwards CJ, Mjaavatten MD, Leeb BF, Losada B, Martín-Mola EM, Martinez-Osuna P, Montecucco C, Müller-Ladner U, Østergaard M, Sheane B, Xavier RM, Zochling J, Bombardier C. Multinational evidencebased recommendations on how to investigate and follow-up undifferentiated peripheral inflammatory arthritis: integrating systematic literature research and expert opinion of a broad international panel of rheumatologists in the 3E Initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011a;70(1):15-24.

- Machado PM, Landewé RB, van der Heijde DM. Endorsement of definitions of disease activity states and improvements scores for the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score: results from OMERACT 10. *J Rheumatol.* 2011b;38(7):1502-1506.
- Machado P, Navarro-Compán V, Landewé R, van Gaalen FA, Roux C, van der Heijde D. Calculating the ankylosing spondylitis disease activity score if the conventional c-reactive protein level is below the limit of detection or if high-sensitivity c-reactive protein is used: an analysis in the DESIR cohort. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2015;67(2):408-413.
- Maksymowych WP, Mallon C, Morrow S, Shojania K, Olszynski WP, Wong RL, Sampalis J, Conner-Spady B. Development and validation of the Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC) Enthesitis Index. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2009;68(6):948-953.
- Maksymowych WP, Wichuk S, Chiowchanwisawakit P, Lambert RG, Pedersen SJ. Development and preliminary validation of the spondyloarthritis research consortium of Canada magnetic resonance imaging sacroiliac joint structural score. *J Rheumatol.* 2015;42(1):79-86.
- Naegeli AN, Flood E, Tucker J, Devlen J, Edson-Heredia E. The patient experience with fatigue and content validity of a measure to assess fatigue severity: qualitative research in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS). *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2013;11(11):192.
- National Research Council. The prevention and treatment of missing data in clinical trials. Panel on handling missing data in clinical trials. Committee on National Statistics, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. 2010. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Nunnally JC, Bernstein IH. Psychometric Theory (3rd Edition). 1994; McGraw-Hill: New York.
- Reilly MC, Gooch KL, Wong RL, Kupper H, van der Heijde D. Validity, reliability and responsiveness of the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire in ankylosing spondylitis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010;49(4):812-819.
- Sampson HA, Munoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL, Adkinson NF Jr, Bock SA, Branum A, Brown SG, Camargo CA Jr, Cydulka R, Galli SJ, Gidudu J, Gruchalla RS, Harlor AD Jr, Hepner DL, Lewis LM, Lieberman PL, Metcalfe DD, O'Connor R, Muraro A, Rudman A, Schmitt C, Scherrer D, Simons FE, Thomas S, Wood JP, Decker WW. Second symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: summary report-Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006;117(2):391-397.
- Sieper J, Rudwaleit M, Baraliakos X, Brandt J, Braun J, Burgos-Vargas R, Dougados M, Hermann KG, Landewé R, Maksymowych W, van der Heijde D. The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2009;68(suppl 2):ii1-ii44.
- Sieper J, Braun J, Baraliakos X, Baeten D, Dougados M, Emery P, Deodhar A, Porter B, Andersson M, Mpofu S, Richards H. Secukinumab, a monoclonal antibody to interleukin-17A, significantly improves signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis: results of a phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with subcutaneous loading and maintenance dosing [poster]. Poster presented at: American College of Rheumatology 2014 Annual Scientific Meeting; 14-19 November 2014; Boston, MA. Poster 536.
- Szende A, Oppe M, Devlin N, eds. EQ-5D Value Sets: Inventory, Comparative Review and User Guide. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer; 2007.
- University of Pittsburgh Epidemiology Data Center. Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) and Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS). Available at http://www.ids-qids.org/.2010. Accessed November 12, 2012.
- van der Heijde D, Dijkmans B, Geusens P, Sieper J, DeWoody K, Williamson P, Braun J; Ankylosing Spondylitis Study for the Evaluation of Recombinant Infliximab Therapy Study Group. Efficacy and safety of infliximab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled trial (ASSERT). *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005;52(2):582-591
- van der Heijde D, Kivitz A, Schiff MH, Sieper J, Dijkmans BA, Braun J, Dougados M, Reveille JD, Wong RL, Kupper H, Davis JC Jr; ATLAS Study Group. Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;54(7):2136-2146.
- van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Feldtkeller E. Proposal of a linear definition of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI) and comparison with the 2-step and 10-step definitions. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2008;67(4):489-493.
- Ware JE, Jr. SF-36 health survey update. Spine. 2000;25(24):3130-3139.
- Wyrwich KW. Minimal important difference thresholds and the standard error of measurement: is there a connection? *J Biopharm Stat.* 2004; 14(1): 97-110.
- Zochling J. Measures of symptoms and disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS), Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale (ASQoL), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Global Score (BAS-G), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), Dougados Functional Index (DFI), and Health Assessment Questionnaire for the Spondylarthropathies (HAQ-S). *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63(suppl 11):S47-S58.



## I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4







## I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4





































## I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4














































## I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4









## I1F-MC-RHBW Statistical Analysis Plan Version 4



Leo Document ID = ddfbe1ce-b294-42a4-b69b-d2918f9d2cc2

Approver: PPD Approval Date & Time: 28-Feb-2019 15:01:17 GMT Signature meaning: Approved