

Title: Tolerance to residual astigmatism and defocus in eyes with a non-diffractive extended depth of focus (EDoF) intraocular lens

NCT05392998

21 April 2021

# **Tolerance to residual astigmatism and defocus in eyes with a non-diffractive extended depth of focus (EDoF) intraocular lens**

## **INTRODUCTION**

Multifocal intraocular lenses have been shown to be a good option for cataract surgeons aiming to offer improved vision at different distances. Trifocal IOLs provide enhanced vision at far, intermediate and near distances and have overcome the characteristic V-pattern with 2 peaks corresponding to near and far vision provided by bifocal IOLs.<sup>1</sup> Although multifocal IOLs could increase spectacle independence in patients undergoing cataract surgery, these lenses could also increase some visual disturbances such as glare or haloes.<sup>2</sup>

“Extended depth of focus” (EDoF) IOLs are currently available for cataract surgeons. They employ different optical technologies to achieve extended vision<sup>3</sup>, increasing the range of vision while minimizing visual disturbances induced by classical diffractive multifocal designs.<sup>3</sup> In fact, current clinical results show that EDoF lenses could increase the range of vision from far to intermediate distances and reduce the perception of visual disturbances of the patients.<sup>4</sup>

In addition, while it has been reported that issues such as biomechanical properties of the cornea, inaccurate calculations, or misalignments of the IOLs may lead into residual refractive errors that will likely decrease the visual quality of patients with bifocal or trifocal IOLs<sup>5</sup>, less is known about their impact on EDoF designs.

Therefore, regardless of the optical improvements achieved by EDoF lenses, better knowledge of the spherical and/or astigmatic tolerance of EDoF IOLs is crucial

for an appropriate surgical planning and for avoiding postoperative refractive corrections. Thus, the aim of this study is to analyze the impact of mild amounts of residual spherical defocus and astigmatism in patients implanted with a novel non-diffractive EDoF IOL.

## **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

Prospective study, which will include patients undergoing bilateral cataract surgery with bilateral implantation of a Vivity non-toric lens

Performed at Clínica Rementería, Madrid, Spain

Inclusion criteria:

-Patients >40 years old undergoing bilateral cataract surgery with Vivity IOL implantation

Exclusion criteria:

- corneal astigmatism  $\geq 1.0$  diopters (D)
- amblyopia
- previous ocular surgery
- presence of ocular pathologies
- abnormal iris
- intra- or postoperative complications
- postoperative distance corrected visual acuity (DCVA)  $< 20/20$
- postoperative refractive astigmatism  $> 0.50D$ .

### **Postoperative clinical assessment**

Patients will be evaluated one day, one week, one month, and three months postoperatively. Patients fulfilling inclusion criteria will be approached to be included in the study at the three-month visit.

Explorations to be performed at the three-month visit

- Uncorrected distance, intermediate (60cm) and near (40cm) visual acuity (VA) with an ETDRS chart, followed by subjective refraction.
- Once the best distance correction is obtained, further VA evaluation procedures will be performed with the FrACT3.9.9a version of the Freiburg Acuity Test software package.<sup>11</sup> Once patients achieve the best distance correction (reference situation), a monocular analysis of VA at distance vision will be conducted under different induced conditions.
  - both a myopic and hyperopic defocus of 0.50 D will be simulated
  - after these measurements, a mixed astigmatism with different orientation will also be induced by adding a combination of -0.25 diopter (D) spherical and 0.50 D cylindrical lenses placed in vertical (against the rule - ATR), oblique and horizontal (with the rule – WTR) positions.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The calculation of the required sample size was based on monocular CDVA. A difference of 0.2 logMAR units was assumed to be clinically significant and a standard deviation of 0.05 was considered.<sup>12</sup> Based on this assumption and  $\alpha$  of 0.05 and power of 0.8, it was calculated that 25 eyes were required.

Data analysis will be performed using SPSS for Windows V.20.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The normal distribution of variables will be assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) will be

used to gauge any statistically significant difference within the different situations. Post hoc multiple comparison testing will be performed using the Holm-Sidak method. Differences will be considered to be statistically significant when the P value is  $<0.05$  (i.e., at the 5% level).

Protocol approved by Hospital Clínico San Carlos, code C.I. 21/365-O\_P

## REFERENCES

1. Alfonso JF, Fernández-Vega L, Puchades C, Montés-Micó R. Intermediate visual function with different multifocal intraocular lens models. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010; 36:733-739.
2. Buckhurst PJ, Naroo SA, Davies LN, Shah S, Drew T, Wolffsohn JS. Assessment of dysphotopsia in pseudophakic subjects with multifocal intraocular lenses. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol*. 2017; 1:e000064.
3. Kohnen T, Suryakumar R. Extended depth-of-focus technology in intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2020;46:298-304.
4. Kohnen T, Petermann K, Böhm M, Hemkepler E, Ahmad W, Hinzelmann L, Pawlowicz K, Jandewerth T, Lwowski C. Nondiffractive wavefront-shaping extended depth-of-focus intraocular lens: visual performance and patient-reported outcomes. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2022; 48:144-150.
5. Hayashi K, Sato T, Igarashi C, et al. Effect of Spherical Equivalent Error on Visual Acuity at Various Distances in Eyes With a Trifocal Intraocular Lens. *J Refract Surg* 2019; 35:274-279.
6. Rementería-Capelo LA, Contreras I, García-Pérez JL, Carrillo V, Gros-Otero J, Ruiz-Alcocer J. Tolerance to Residual Refractive Errors After Trifocal and Trifocal Toric Intraocular Lens Implantation. *Eye Contact Lens* 2021; 47:213-218.
7. Ohashi T, Kojima T. Comparison of the Orientation of the Corneal Steep Meridian Determined by Image-Guided System and Manual Method in the Same Eye. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2020; 14:4135-4144.

8. Gundersen KG, Potvin R. The Effect of Spectacle-Induced Low Myopia in the Non-Dominant Eye on the Binocular Defocus Curve with a Non-Diffractive Extended Vision Intraocular Lens. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2021; 15:3541-3547.
9. Tognetto D, Giglio R, De Giacinto C, Pastore MR, Cirigliano G, Piñero DP, Turco G. Profile of a new extended range-of-vision IOL: a laboratory study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2022; 260:913-916
10. Arrigo A, Gambaro G, Fasce F, Aragona E, Figini I, Bandello F. Extended depth-of-focus (EDOF) AcrySof® IQ Vivity® intraocular lens implant: a real-life experience. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2021; 259:2717-2722
11. Bach M. The Freiburg Visual Acuity Test – Variability unchanged by post-hoc re-analysis. *Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2007; 245:965–971.